1 Tuesday, 14 June 2022 2 (10.00 am)3 LORD BRACADALE: Well, good morning. 4 Ms Grahame, who is the witness this morning? 5 MS GRAHAME: The witness this morning is Amanda Givan. LORD BRACADALE: Good morning, Ms Givan. 6 7 A. Good morning. 8 LORD BRACADALE: You're going to be asked questions by 9 Ms Grahame, whom you have already met, but before that 10 I will put you on oath, so if you raise your hand, 11 please. 12 PC AMANDA GIVAN (sworn) 13 LORD BRACADALE: Ms Grahame. 14 Questions from MS GRAHAME 15 MS GRAHAME: Good morning. 16 A. Morning. 17 Q. You are Amanda Givan? A. I am, yes. 18 19 What age are you, Ms Givan? Q. 20 Α. I'm 49. 21 Q. And how many years' service do you have? Just about to complete 30 years' service. 22 Α. And you're going to retire later this year? 23 Q. 24 Friday is my last working day, yes. Α. 25 Oh my goodness, I'm glad we got you here today. Q.

- 1 A. Just in time.
- 2 Q. You have given an Inquiry statement to us?
- 3 A. I have, yes.
- 4 Q. And it's very detailed.
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And you have given a lot of details for the Chair to
- 7 consider about your police career and your SPF career.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So we will be able to come to that, but can I refer you
- 10 to the black folder in front of you.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And I want to make sure you've got everything you need.
- 13 A. Okay.
- Q. So let's look at that Inquiry statement for a moment.
- 15 It is SBPI 00072. You will see -- sorry, the first
- page. You will see that's your statement, Tuesday
- 17 31 March and 12 April 2022 it was taken?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And that was by a member of the Inquiry's team?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And then if we can look at the final paragraph, 220.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You're getting there quicker than we are. And you will
- see that the final paragraph says:
- "I believe the facts stated in this witness

- 1 statement are true. I understand that this statement
- 2 may form part of the evidence before the Inquiry and be
- published on the Inquiry's website."
- 4 A. That's right, yes.
- 5 Q. And you understand that that's the case?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And then you have signed all the pages of your
- 8 statement.
- 9 A. Yes, signed every page.
- 10 Q. Now, we don't have your signature on the screen, it's
- 11 been redacted --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- but your hard copy has the signature?
- 14 A. It's on every page, yes.
- Q. And that was signed on 2 May?
- 16 A. It was, yes.
- 17 Q. Thank you. And let me look also at PIRC 000237. You
- will see that this is a statement and it was prepared by
- 19 you, as I understand it. It's not dated, but if we look
- 20 at paragraphs 105 and 107 of your Inquiry statement --
- 21 there's going to be a little bit of juggling around, 105
- 22 and 107. You say that --
- 23 A. Yes, I provide the dates there.
- Q. 107, please, and you talk about preparing your
- 25 statement.

- A. Yes.

 Q. And then at 108, you talk about DI Wilson contacting you

 by email as PIRC had approached -- so can you just
- 4 explain it maybe quite simply to the Chair, how these
- 5 statements came to be prepared?
- A. So -- yes, the initial statement, the PIRC --
- 7 Q. The undated one?
- 8 A. -- (inaudible overspeaking) 237, I was asked to prepare
- 9 that by a detective inspector who I think had -- in turn
- 10 had been asked by PIRC to contact me to provide that, so
- 11 I created a statement. I had never been asked for
- 12 a statement for this kind of thing before so I really
- wasn't sure, having not been involved in the incident,
- 14 what they were looking for, so I provided a -- I typed
- up a statement and I emailed it to him and quite clearly
- I have not put the date on the actual document but I had
- 17 the date that I had sent it, if that makes sense so
- 18 that --
- 19 Q. Do you remember when you prepared that first statement?
- 20 A. So on the day that he asked me for it, I --
- Q. Do you remember when that was?
- 22 A. -- typed it. Do you know actually it was about 9.00 or
- 23 10 o'clock at night that I sent it away that -- whatever
- 24 date that I have said.
- 25 Q. Right. And then can you look at 238. This is another

- 1 statement prepared -- now, this one was prepared on
- 2 22 June 2015.
- 3 A. Yes. So I was interviewed by PIRC in respect to this
- 4 statement. So this statement is put together from
- 5 questions that they specifically asked me --
- Q. And that was in the offices of PBW Law in Glasgow?
- 7 A. It was, yes.
- 8 Q. And it was Senior Investigator John McSporran who
- 9 interviewed you?
- 10 A. It was.
- 11 Q. In the presence of DSI Brian Dodds and Peter Watson who
- is a solicitor?
- 13 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. So the first statement I think you said was about
- 15 29 May?
- 16 A. May, yes.
- Q. And you said that in your Inquiry statement, and that
- was prepared by you by email?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And sent by email?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And the second statement that we have, 238, was dated
- 23 22 June 2015?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And that was in response to questions from PIRC

- 1 investigators?
- 2 A. That's right, yes.
- 3 Q. Thank you. And then we have looked at your Inquiry
- 4 statement and that was taken by a member of the Inquiry
- 5 team?
- 6 A. Yes, by Euan.
- 7 Q. The person sitting next to you.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And in all of these statements, is it fair to say you
- 10 have tried to give your best recollection --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- and true and accurate account --
- 13 A. As best I could, yes.
- Q. -- as best you could? And you have signed your Inquiry
- statement, you already told us.
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. You won't have signed the email version; do you remember
- if you signed the PIRC statement?
- 19 A. I think I will have signed the original, yes. Obviously
- 20 not the typed copy that you have here, but the original
- 21 manuscript copy I will have signed that.
- 22 Q. And was that after you had either it read over or been
- given a chance to -- or had it read over to you?
- A. I think it was read over to me and I signed it at that
- point.

- 1 Q. And you were happy with it and signed it.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And I think in paragraph 116 of your Inquiry statement
- 4 you say that:
- 5 "My memory would be better when I gave those
- 6 statements than it is now."
- 7 Is that the PIRC and the email statement that you
- 8 did?
- 9 A. Yes. I mean I think any statement that you give closer
- 10 to the incident is bound to be more accurate than seven
- 11 years later.
- 12 Q. And you say:
- "If there's a discrepancy between my statements and
- my Inquiry statement I would prefer to know what the
- discrepancy is in order to give a view on that. It
- depends what it is."
- 17 A. Yes. I was asked specifically whether I would prefer
- the earlier versions to be taken as the accepted --
- 19 well, it depends what -- it depends what the discrepancy
- would be.
- 21 Q. Yes. But generally you think your memory was probably
- 22 better?
- 23 A. Probably better, absolutely.
- Q. Thank you. Well, we will go through a few things today.
- 25 Can I ask you first of all about SPF, Scottish Police

- 1 Federation.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And the -- as I said earlier, in terms of your role
- 4 within the SPF you -- and I will take -- this is
- 5 paragraphs 3 to 7 of your Inquiry statement, but I will
- just run through this quite quickly. You have been
- 7 a member since you joined the police in 1992?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. That's at paragraph 3.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And is it right that all constables are automatically
- members of the SPF?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And then you were elected as a local SPF representative
- after about ten years.
- 16 A. That's right.
- Q. Paragraph 4. And then you were elected as a full-time
- office-bearer in 2012.
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you have been in that role -- you were in that role
- 21 in May 2015?
- 22 A. I was, yes.
- 23 Q. So you were a local representative for the SPF?
- 24 A. I was a full-time office-bearer in 2015 at the time of
- 25 this incident.

- 1 Q. Right. And you are on a full-time secondment and
- 2 originally it was with Fife Constabulary, but then
- 3 in April 2013 it became Police Scotland?
- 4 A. That's right.
- 5 Q. And after it became Police Scotland, you became the East
- 6 Area representative?
- 7 A. Yes, so after the amalgamations and we became
- 8 Police Scotland, the previous structure that the SPF had
- 9 was based on the old legacy forces, so the SPF had to
- 10 change its structure as well, so I initially took up
- a role with Fife Constabulary, Fife Constabulary was no
- more, so when Police Scotland became the structure as it
- 13 remains today was kind of north of Scotland, the east of
- 14 Scotland and the west of Scotland, so my position
- 15 changed from being solely responsible for Fife to having
- 16 responsibility for the former forces that were based in
- 17 the east of Scotland.
- Q. And what were they?
- 19 A. So they were formerly Fife Constabulary, formerly
- 20 Lothian and Borders Police and formerly Central Scotland
- Police.
- 22 Q. So a much bigger area --
- 23 A. Much bigger area, yes.
- Q. -- your remit covered?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And paragraph 7 of your Inquiry statement, since 2017
- 2 you have been assistant to the general secretary.
- 3 A. Yes, since 2017 I have responsibility for conduct
- 4 matters for the SPF.
- Q. Right. And can you tell us what do you mean when you say "Conduct matters"?
- 7 A. So police officers' disciplinary matters are covered by
- 8 regulation, so they're very different to normal
- 9 employees, and I had responsibility for overseeing all
- of the conduct matters within Scotland, ensuring
- 11 consistency, that what was happening in the north of
- 12 Scotland was the same as the east and the west, making
- sure that the advice we gave followed the regulations,
- so really any police officers that found themselves the
- subject of complaints and disciplinary matters and
- sought advice from the SPF, it would have been
- 17 ultimately my responsibility to make sure that they got
- 18 the right advice.
- 19 Q. Thank you. But to be clear, you remain a police
- 20 officer?
- 21 A. Yes, yes. I remain a police officer, but I'm seconded
- from the Police Service of Scotland to the Scottish
- 23 Police Federation.
- 24 Q. So you are full-time with the Scottish Police
- 25 Federation?

1 Α. Yes. 2 But you still remain a police officer? Q. 3 Yes, I remain a constable, albeit I'm not necessarily Α. 4 doing the traditional role that everyone would expect 5 a response police officer to be carrying out. And presumably you're not wearing a uniform? 6 Q. 7 Α. No. Or using equipment or anything of that sort? 8 Q. 9 No, no. Α. 10 Q. And you work in the SPF offices full-time? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Who is the general secretary? Calum Steele. 13 Α. 14 And you're his assistant? Q. 15 Α. One -- yes, so there's a number of people who are assistants to the general secretary. My role 16 17 specifically is conduct. There's another individual that looks after health and safety matters, there's 18 another individual that has responsibility for equality, 19 20 so the three -- I mean there's lots of other things that 21 the SPF are involved in, but the three main subjects are -- have full-time officials looking after that 22 particular portfolio. 23

So he has three full-time officials?

25 A. Yes.

Q.

24

- 1 Q. You personally deal with conduct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Someone else deals with health and safety?
- 4 A. Health and safety.
- 5 Q. And then someone else deals with equality?
- 6 A. Equality and people, I think is the job title for that.
- 7 Q. Could you tell us who the equality and people assistant
- 8 is?
- 9 A. So currently it's James Thomson.
- 10 Q. And does his remit involve things like equality and
- diversity, racial discrimination, that type of thing?
- 12 A. Yes, absolutely. All kind of employment, diversity
- issues would probably fall into that portfolio, whether
- it's him personally that would deal with it, but he
- would have responsibility for engaging with the service
- in relation to those matters.
- Q. So he would be the person to speak to if we wanted more
- information about that?
- 19 A. Probably, yes, yes.
- Q. And then just for completeness, who is the health and
- 21 safety assistant?
- 22 A. Health and safety is Gordon Forsyth.
- Q. And what does his remit cover?
- A. I mean it's all things, you know, all things health and
- 25 safety: trips, slips and falls, equipment, he has a say

1 in things like shift patterns and -- you know, there's much more to health and safety than the usual -- what 2 3 initially springs to mind, but he would have certainly 4 an involvement in all aspects of safety for our members 5 whilst they're working. 6 So the SPF cover a wide variety of areas? Q. 7 Α. Yes. And how many members does the SPF have? 8 Q. Well, it's probably -- I mean every officer up to the 9 Α. 10 rank of chief inspector is effectively automatically a member, so that's probably sitting -- I know the 11 12 numbers have dropped recently, but they're maybe sitting 13 about the high 16 -- 16,000 or thereabouts. It 14 obviously fluctuates depending on --15 Q. And those officers can contact the SPF at any time? 16 Α. Yes. I think in one of your paragraphs you say the closest 17 Q. 18 equivalent would be a trade union, although you're not 19 a trade union --20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. -- you're more of a member organisation? 22 Yes, we don't have the same rights as unions. Obviously Α. 23 police officers can't strike, so we're not a trade 24 union, but if you were looking for an equivalent, yes, 25 the SPF is a statutory staff association probably most

- 1 akin to a union.
- 2 Q. And all officers who are members can seek advice from
- 3 you, or we have heard that can seek access to legal
- 4 advice through the SPF, is that correct?
- 5 A. That's right, yes.
- Q. And then your motto is "Welfare and efficiency"?
- 7 A. It is, yes.
- 8 Q. And so are these really the areas that are covered by
- 9 the SPF?
- 10 A. Yes, I mean it's welfare and efficiency of the service,
- so anything that -- you know, any consultation about
- 12 changes that Police Scotland are looking to make the SPF
- would be involved in that, given the numbers and our
- membership.
- 15 Q. Right. And you have said in your statement there are
- four office-bearers, or four senior --
- 17 A. National, yes, yes, yes.
- 18 Q. National, sorry, national office-bearers.
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And national as in for the whole of Police Scotland?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Or the whole of Scotland?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And one is the general secretary, Calum Steele?
- 25 A. Calum Steele, yes.

- 1 Q. There's a deputy general secretary?
- 2 A. That's right, yes.
- 3 Q. What's his name?
- 4 A. David Kennedy.
- 5 Q. And then is there a chairman?
- 6 A. There's a chairman and there's a vice chairman.
- 7 Q. What's the chairman's name?
- 8 A. David Hamilton.
- 9 Q. And the vice chairman?
- 10 A. Brian Jones.
- 11 Q. Vice chairman or deputy chairman, sorry?
- 12 A. No, he is a vice --
- Q. Vice chairman.
- 14 A. Vice chair. No, he is vice chair.
- 15 Q. He's vice. So it's a deputy general secretary and a
- vice chair.
- 17 A. And a vice chair.
- 18 Q. I'm sure I will get that wrong.
- 19 Can you explain to our Chair what the sort of remit
- of -- what the role of each of these positions
- encompasses?
- 22 A. Well -- yes, I mean there's -- you know, under the --
- I hate to hark back to the old structure but it was
- 24 probably easier under the old structure because we had
- 25 eight separate forces, you had eight chief constables,

- 1 so each Federation joint managed board dealt with the 2 police managers from their force. When we became 3 Police Scotland, we have one chief constable; we have 4 a number of senior deputy chief constables and ACCs so 5 the four officials that you have -- the national officials, they tend to deal with the chief constable 6 7 and with the deputy chief constables on kind of 8 strategic matters and they are certainly -- that would be their role leaving the areas to deal with much more 9 10 of the day-to-day, the day-to-day matters, but with the direct contact with the members for consultation and 11 12 raising issues when things are not working as they 13 should do. What does the general secretary do? Q.
- 14
- 15 That's probably -- that's probably a question for him, Α. 16 but Calum leads on many of the, you know, pension 17 matters, pay matters. He is the leader of the SPF, so, 18 you know, his name goes on much of the documents that 19 are circulated. He effectively manages the 20 organisation.
- 21 Q. So you have described him as the leader of the SPF. he the most senior office-bearer? 22
- 23 Yes. Α.
- 24 Q. And in terms -- you have talked about having three assistants: yourself on conduct and Mr Thompson on 25

- 1 equality.
- 2 A. Yes.

19

20

21

22

- Q. Do you speak to Calum Steele as general secretary and seek his approval or his authority for major decisions
- 5 that you're making in your role?
- No. So I suppose that's one clarification: the 6 Α. decision-making of the SPF is the responsibility of 7 8 a Joint Central Committee, so clearly recommendations or 9 suggestions would be made to the Joint Central Committee 10 and the Joint Central Committee is made up of -- I'm trying to think how many people are on it, maybe about 11 12 24, 25 people from around the country that are -- some 13 of them will be local representatives and some of them 14 will be full-time representatives and it's -- that's the 15 decision-making body of the SPF, not Calum Steele, but many of the recommendations and suggestions that are put 16 17 to the Joint Central Committee might come from him or 18 might have come through myself or any of the other
 - Q. Wherever the ideas or the projects or suggestions come from, are they filtered through the leader of the SPF, Calum Steele?
- A. Yes, I mean it is a committee, but Calum is at the top
 of that committee, yes.
- Q. So he is at the top of that committee?

portfolio holders.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And there's about 24 or 25 people on the committee and
- 3 you said there was lay people as well. Are they
- 4 appointed to that role?
- 5 A. Yes, you get elected to that, to the Joint Central
- 6 Committee, so yes, there are set numbers that represent
- 7 the east of the country, the west and the north to make
- 8 sure that it's fair and equitable around the country.
- 9 Q. And they're the governing body, so formal decisions that
- are taken have to be approved by the committee?
- 11 A. Absolutely, yes.
- 12 Q. But the general secretary is on the chair of the
- Committee, is he?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And the other office bearers, are they also on the
- 16 committee?
- 17 A. Again, only if they're elected, so not all the office
- bearers are there. They get elected by their area
- 19 committee.
- Q. Okay. Now, so can I ask you whether there are any
- 21 issues -- we have -- obviously it's a matter of public
- 22 knowledge that Calum Steele has been involved in
- 23 potential misconduct proceedings from Police Scotland in
- 24 relation to tweets connected with this Inquiry, and the
- events in Hayfield Road on 3 May, and I'm interested in

- whether that causes any conflicts or issues to arrive in relation to the Joint Central Committee and his position as general secretary?
- A. I -- I'm trying to think whether there's ever been any
 discussion about that at the Joint Central Committee and
 I don't think there has been. I think, you know, Calum
 is tweeting as an individual, he wasn't tweeting from
 the SPF account. I don't -- I don't remember being part
 of any discussion about that, if I'm being honest.
 - Q. So that's not been raised at any of the Committee meetings, or discussed at any of the Committee meetings?
- 12 A. I don't think so, no.

10

11

25

- Q. Right. And then in relation to engagement with the media, who is in charge of that in SPF?
- 15 Α. Do you know, I got asked this question specifically prior to this and I -- I believe that that's probably 16 17 the role of the chair, but that's just because what I have seen go out from the SPF tends to be in 18 David Hamilton's name currently. I'm not sure that 19 20 I have been part of any discussions about particularly 21 who is responsible for that. I would expect that it 22 would depend on what the media matter is about and who is probably best placed to speak about it, but it's not 23 something that I have ever been involved in personally. 24
 - Q. But if we wanted to try and find out more information

- about that in the future David Hamilton might be the --
- 2 A. Probably -- David Hamilton or Calum Steele would
- 3 probably be the best people to ask about who -- how
- 4 that's dealt with in the office, I'm not sure.
- 5 Q. So either the general secretary Calum Steele --
- 6 A. Calum Steele.
- 7 Q. -- or the chair, David Hamilton?
- 8 A. Yes, I think so.
- 9 Q. Thank you. Can I ask you, when you became
- 10 a representative for the SPF and also when you got your
- 11 new role in the SPF and became elected, did you have any
- 12 particular training for that role?
- A. So no, not to become a kind of local representative, and
- 14 if I'm being honest, when I first became involved with
- 15 the Scottish Police Federation it was with a view to
- trying to get information, you know. It's difficult
- 17 sometimes particularly -- everybody is interested in
- their pay and when your pay rise -- if you're going to
- 19 get one -- is coming out, and I suppose I got involved
- 20 with that because it was frustrating that information
- 21 didn't seem to be coming out quite quickly enough and
- spreading through my colleagues, so that's why I became
- 23 involved to find out information and to share it with
- colleagues.
- 25 So initially it was just an interest in the workings

- of the organisation and being involved in helping

 colleagues, so to begin with, absolutely no training

 other than having been a police officer for a set period

 of time.

 Q. So you have mentioned in paragraph 4 of your Inquiry
 - Q. So you have mentioned in paragraph 4 of your Inquiry statement, you were elected as a local rep after about ten years.
- 8 A. Yes.

6

7

- 9 Q. So would that be about 2002?
- 10 A. That -- yes, that would be about right, yes.
- 11 Q. And that was roughly the time you wanted to become more involved with the SPF?
- 13 A. Yes, yes.
- Q. And then you were elected as a full-time office-bearer in 2012 which would be another ten years?
- 16 Yes, so during that time when you're first elected as Α. 17 a local rep, you get sent on some local -- or basic 18 training courses that the SPF arrange which are 19 generally roundabout the kind of police regulations, 20 rights in terms of, you know, the questions that people 21 want to ask you, which is, you know, "How much am 22 I entitled to claim if I get held -- if I have to work 23 a rest day, or if I have to work on a public holiday?" so there was training provided, but not until I was an 24 25 elected local representative because that training was

- 1 provided by the SPF.
- 2 Q. All right. Was there any particular training at that
- 3 time on situations where there may be potential criminal
- 4 allegations against the police?
- 5 A. I think at the time when I was a local rep, no.
- 6 Q. No.
- 7 A. I wasn't trained early doors in kind of conduct, or
- 8 legal matters.
- 9 Q. Well, when you were elected as a full-time office-bearer
- in 2012, was there any additional training provided to
- 11 you at that time?
- 12 A. Yes, yes, I received training in respect of health and
- safety matters and training on the conduct regulations
- which had changed in 2014.
- Q. And you received that after 2014, after the change?
- 16 A. Yes, just when they were introduced, yes.
- Q. Did that training include considering situations where
- there were potential criminal allegations against
- 19 the police?
- 20 A. Yes, yes.
- 21 Q. And had you had any training by May 2015 in relation to
- post-incident procedures, post-incident management?
- 23 A. No. I attended post-incident training at the end
- of May 2015, so after this incident. I was aware of the
- 25 process but I wasn't -- I wasn't trained in it.

- 1 Q. Right. And when you said that you had had training in
- 2 relation to potential criminal allegations against
- 3 the police, what did that training involve?
- 4 A. Well, it involved the SPF explaining our legal advice
- 5 and assistance scheme and how -- you know, and how that
- 6 worked for police officers who were members of that and
- 7 were seeking to access legal advice and it covered
- 8 the -- you know, very -- it's not in any way, shape or
- 9 form legal training, but it -- providing a bit of advice
- in respect of providing statements, probably more for
- 11 complaints against the police as opposed to criminality.
- 12 Q. Right. Can I ask you to look at paragraph 33, please,
- just to clear something up for me. This is your Inquiry
- 14 statement:
- "I don't know of any training particularly related
- to criminal allegations about the police."
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. I'm wondering -- that sounds a little bit different to
- 19 what you have just told us.
- 20 A. Yes, so I think what I was saying there is I think I'm
- 21 talking about Police Scotland training.
- 22 Q. Oh, I see.
- 23 A. What I'm saying is I don't know if Police Scotland
- 24 provide any training in respect of that because I then
- go on to say "Between 2013 and 2018 I didn't have access

- to Police Scotland", so I wasn't able to -- you know, to

 access the internet and to see what information

 Police Scotland was necessarily putting out to its staff

 and to its officers, so I think that -- I think

 paragraph 33 might be in relation to training provided

 by Police Scotland.
 - Q. As opposed to the SPF?
- 8 A. As opposed to the SPF.

7

- 9 Q. And you say there between 2013 and 2018 you didn't have
 10 IT access to anything to do with Police Scotland. Why
 11 was that?
- 12 Α. I think mainly because in 2013 the SPF -- certainly the 13 office that I worked in -- moved out of a police 14 building and moved into our own accommodation and 15 previously I had been able to access Police Scotland's 16 computer system because I was based in a police station, 17 so it was very easy just to go down the stairs and access the internet and see what information -- once 18 I had moved out and was based at an office in 19 20 Livingston, we didn't have any police computers, the IT 21 was all SPF IT, and I didn't have -- I lost my --22 I don't know, my log-on information, if you like, it expired and it took until about 2018 to get that back 23 24 again and get a kind of laptop that we could access it, 25 so for about a five-year period I didn't have access to

- 1 my email, my Police Scotland email or the intranet.
- 2 People could still contact me because I had SPF contact
- details, but it did make it a bit difficult when new
- 4 guidance came out. You were relying on members making
- 5 me aware of that, if that was something that we needed
- 6 to know.
- 7 Q. We have heard a bit of evidence that, as you say, people
- 8 get emails, they get guidance, they get information sent
- 9 to them through Police Scotland. We have heard about
- 10 SOPs being available online --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- and forms being available online and things changing
- on a regular basis, so during that five-year period you
- 14 didn't have any access to that at all?
- 15 A. No. I mean I would be aware of many of the SOPs because
- they would be sent to the SPF for consultation. I just
- wouldn't necessarily have ready access to be able to go
- in and access that document but I would be aware of them
- 19 because the SPF were a -- there's a statutory -- they
- 20 have to be consulted for the introduction of SOPs, but
- 21 at that time there were hundreds of them and, you know,
- 22 many of them had hundreds of pages, so the only way that
- you could keep up-to-date would be going in regularly
- and reading them.
- 25 Q. But you weren't -- you didn't have access to that?

- 1 A. I didn't have access to that, no.
- 2 Q. And although you were consulted in them, obviously with
- 3 these processes you will be consulted in maybe a draft
- 4 version --
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. -- but when the final approved version was created, that
- 7 would be on the Police Scotland's website?
- 8 A. Absolutely.
- 9 Q. And you don't have immediate access to that?
- 10 A. No, I wouldn't.
- 11 Q. So unless someone was sending that to you specifically,
- 12 you wouldn't have access to that?
- 13 A. No, and there were occasions where I did ask for an
- 14 up-to-date version of a SOP because I was perhaps using
- it or looking to seek information from it to make sure
- that I had the right version, if I was assisting someone
- 17 with a conduct matter. There was a way to get that
- 18 emailed to me, but I didn't have -- personally I didn't
- 19 have ready access to get it myself.
- Q. Thank you. Can we look at paragraph 34, please. So it
- 21 says:
- "In May 2015 I was aware of post-incident procedures
- but I hadn't been trained. I attended the
- 24 Police Scotland post-incident training at the end of May
- 25 following Sheku Bayoh's death but at the time I wasn't

1 trained. I was aware of the process, but I hadn't formally attended any training on it." 2 3 What do you mean you were aware of the process? 4 Α. So I was aware of post-incident -- the post-incident 5 process, I just had never attended -- I had never received any formal training, so I was aware that the 6 7 process existed, I was aware that it was used, probably 8 at that time mainly for firearms offences, but I had 9 never been called out in respect of a firearms incident 10 and I had -- probably because I wasn't trained and I didn't go on the training until the end of May, which 11 12 was a Police Scotland training. 13 So you were aware that there was a firearms process --Q. 14 Α. Yes. 15 -- and post-incident management? Q. 16 Α. Yes. But you had not had any formal training? 17 Q. No, I mean I was aware of what the SPF's role in that 18 Α. 19 process was, but I had never received the -- I had never 20 attended the training. 21 Q. And then paragraph 37, if we could look at that, you 22 say: "The incident on 3 May 2015 was the first time that 23 I had attended at a police station where there could 24 25 have been a death in custody, a death in contact if you

- 1 like. I suppose the main source of my advice would be people either coming into my office to see me after the 2 3 fact or it would be over the phone. So, no, this was 4 different, absolutely. This was the first time that 5 I had called [or I had been called] out for that type of incident. It was the first time I was called out when 6 7 something was happening spontaneously and it wasn't 8 after the fact." Yes. Α.
- 9
- 10 Q. So this was in fact -- on 3 May this was in fact the 11 first time you had ever been involved in something like 12 this?
- 13 Yes, I mean it wasn't the first time I had been called Α. 14 out, but it was the first time I had been called out in 15 respect of something like this. You know, we get called 16 out at the weekends and evenings unfortunately when 17 there's a death of a police officer, so I had been out dealing unfortunately with more of them than I would 18 have liked, but this was the first time that I attended 19 20 in respect of something that had happened spontaneously.
 - Q. And the first time there had been a death in custody --
- 22 Α. Yes.

21

- -- of a suspect or a person who --23 Q.
- 24 Α. Yes, yes.
- -- was involved in an incident. And then paragraph 38: 25 Q.

1 "So I suppose since that particular incident on 3 May 2015, and the position that I've now taken up with 2 3 SPF ..." 4 Is that you are assistant to the general secretary? 5 Yes, yes. Α. "... I coordinate for the SPF who would attend at deaths 6 Q. 7 in custody. We have a process now which we perhaps 8 didn't have at the time. I deal with that with the SPF, 9 so I've got probably a fair bit of experience now in attending deaths in custody, deaths in contact, 10 post-incident procedures." 11 12 So it sounds like things have changed since 13 3 May 2015? A. Yes, no, I -- yes, absolutely. Since 2015, 14 15 Police Scotland have a process now to deal with deaths or serious injuries that are not connected to a firearms 16 17 incident. There was always a post-incident procedure in 18 respect of firearms --19 Firearms. Q. 20 -- or discharge of a firearm, but there wasn't for Α. 21 everything else, or anything else. Q. A non-firearm matter? 22 A. Yes. So they have now -- they have now adapted the 23 24 process that was in place for the firearms staff to take 25 cognisance of all other -- I think we call it DSI, so

- deaths or serious injury, and there's a process in place
- 2 now if that happens that a -- yes, I mean I'll say it's
- 3 not identical because they are different, if a firearm
- 4 is used, it is different, but they're very, very similar
- 5 processes now that would be put into action if there was
- 6 a death or serious injury.
- 7 Q. And that's been formalised by Police Scotland?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And have you had training on that from Police Scotland
- or from SPF?
- 11 A. So I have now attended the -- I have attended the
- 12 post-incident training and I have been involved in the
- creation of the SOP in respect of that and the guidance,
- 14 so was very much involved in that process being put
- 15 together for death and serious injury.
- Q. Right, thank you. Then can I ask you about the morning
- of 3 May 2015. So if we could look at paragraph 49,
- 18 please. You say you received a call from
- 19 Austin Barratt, a local representative at Kirkcaldy
- Police Office.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And do you remember much about the call?
- 23 A. Yes. I mean all I remember is it woke me up, I was
- 24 still in bed, I wasn't expecting to be working that day.
- Q. It was your day off?

- 1 Α. Yes, it was my day off and I wasn't actually on call for 2 the East Area, I wasn't responsible for the out of hours 3 calls, but again, because I was a Fife officer, 4 you know, I joined Fife Constabulary, lots of 5 individuals in Fife still have my telephone number, so I got a direct call from Austin basically making me 6 7 aware that something had happened and that he really 8 wasn't very sure what he should be doing, or whether he 9 should be doing anything and seeking me to come and 10 help. Did you know Austin Barratt at that time? 11 Q. Yes. I didn't know him well. He was one of the local 12 Α. 13 reps. I knew who he was, he had my number, so he is not 14 someone that I know well but I knew him. 15 Q. How experienced was he at that time? 16 Do you know, not very experienced. I mean I think he Α. 17 had a bit of police service, so he wasn't -- you know, he wasn't brand new, but he hadn't -- I don't think he 18 19 had been a rep for very long at that point, so certainly
- 21 Q. But by then you had had some considerable experience --

the impression I got was that he genuinely wasn't sure.

22 A. Yes.

20

- 23 Q. -- and so he reached out to you --
- A. Absolutely.
- 25 Q. -- to get you to help?

- A. Yes.

 Q. And as a result of that call, you then decided to attend

 Kirkcaldy Police Office?

 A. Yes. Well, I made some calls to colleagues because
- I wasn't the person that was on call and I just wanted
 to make sure that there hadn't been an official phone
 call from Police Scotland calling someone else out and
 then we both turn up, but as it transpired, that was the
 only call that the SPF got in respect of this matter, so
 no one else was -- no one else was aware of the
- Q. So at no time did SPF actually receive an official call from Police Scotland --
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. -- to seek the assistance of SPF for their officers?
- 16 A. That's correct, yes, no call.
- 17 Q. At any time on 3 May?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. So if Austin Barratt hadn't taken the initiative to phone you, there hadn't been any approach?
- A. No. Well, there might -- I mean I don't know whether my
 attending and introducing myself meant that that was
 you know like ticking the box that someone could put
 later, we will never know, but yes, I think he
 absolutely did the right thing by phoning me at that

- 1 point, yes.
- Q. Who was the person on call? Who should have been
- 3 contacted at the SPF?
- 4 A. It was Brian Jones, who is the vice chair, he was
- 5 on call that weekend.
- 6 Q. That day, right. And then in paragraph 54, you say that
- 7 at that time you lived 10 to 15 minutes from Kirkcaldy
- 8 and you went along and you have had cause to maybe
- 9 regret going along --
- 10 A. Yes, yes.
- 11 Q. -- on that day, but as you drove to Kirkcaldy you
- 12 remembered various news articles, things on the radio?
- 13 A. Yes. Well, I suppose I -- Fife is an odd location in
- 14 that in my car at that time I only got Radio Forth or
- 15 Kingdom FM were the two radio stations that you got
- 16 clearly wherever you were in Fife, so that would have
- been the radio stations I was listening to. I probably
- preferred Radio Forth, so I think it was a Radio Forth
- 19 news bulletin that had something on the news about
- a police incident, so I heard that travelling in.
- 21 Q. And apart from hearing some information on the radio,
- 22 what had Austin Barratt told you?
- 23 A. He -- again, I'm trying to remember, I think he just
- 24 told me that something had happened, that the man had
- 25 died and that the police officers involved had been

4

5

6

20

21

22

- taken back to Kirkcaldy Police Station and they were in
 the canteen area.

 He didn't -- he was a bit concerned because they
 - appeared to be there kind of on their own, nobody really taking responsibility for looking after them, and he was looking for me to come along and assist him with that.
- Q. What time was it that you got the call from
 8 Austin Barratt?
- 9 A. Do you know, I think I got there the back of 9.00, so
 10 I think he probably phoned me about the back of 8.00,
 11 about 8.30ish.
- 12 Q. Your recollection now is that he told you the man had died?
- A. Yes. Again, I think he said that he -- there was an
 expectation that he wasn't going to survive. I'm not
 sure -- I think we were certainly -- after I arrived
 there was confirmation that Mr Bayoh had died, but that
 came much later. I think Austin just told me that there
 was an expectation that it might not be survivable.
 - Q. Okay, thank you. And when you travelled to Kirkcaldy, you have said you arrived just after 9.00, what were you expecting your role that day to be?
- A. Really just the welfare of the police officers and looking to make sure that the police treated them fairly, you know. They don't always, they don't always

- treat police officers with the same courtesy and respect
 that they do with members of the public, so I suppose
 I was just there to make sure that they had all the
 information that they needed in order to get through
 whatever was going to take place that day.
- Q. Right. Can you help the Chair understand what you mean when you say Police Scotland don't always treat their officers with courtesy and respect?
- 9 Well, so -- yes, well, Police Scotland don't always give Α. 10 cognisance that police officers have rights, have legal rights, and often look for them to provide statements to 11 12 things where their status is perhaps unclear and I think 13 there's an expectation that police officers will be --14 will absolutely be truthful and will give as much 15 information that they can, but when there's a suggestion of possible criminality, I think it can sometimes be 16 17 forgotten by police officers, and police officers treat 18 police officers sometimes differently.
- Q. Is that something you had experienced previously in Kirkcaldy?
- A. It's something that I have -- not just in Kirkcaldy.

 I mean much of my experience was in -- I was a Fife

 officer, so yes, I have experienced it in Fife, but it

 is something that's -- that affects all of

 Police Scotland. You know, there are some individuals

1 that seek police officers to provide statements about 2 matters where quite clearly there's evidence that they are a suspect in a crime, with the expectation that 3 4 "Well, you're a serving police officer and you should be 5 providing this information regardless", when they would never ask a member of the public the same question. 6 7 So a tension there between what the police expect of Q. their officers and maybe the officers' rights --8 Yes --9 Α. 10 Q. -- not to incriminate themselves? 11 -- I mean, I'm not suggesting that this is -- you know, Α. 12 it happens every single day, but there have been 13 occasions previously where police officers have been 14 asked -- in fact, does a senior officer ever ask you for 15 anything, you know, we're a disciplined service, so if 16 a supervisor asks you for a statement then most officers 17 will do what they're told, and will then realise after 18 they have perhaps submitted something that -- yes, 19 "I was a suspect then, I'm not sure that that was 20 entirely lawful, and I'm not sure it was entirely right 21 that I've been asked that", but it happens 22 unfortunately. 23 Q. We have heard some evidence about the hierarchy in the police and the different ranks and senior officers 24 25 giving instructions or commands or whatever?

23

24

25

- 1 Yes, and, you know, there sometimes can be a, you know, a bit of a blood line there of around what is -- am 2 3 I asking you for it, am I requiring it, you know, 4 police officers deal with legislation which is normally, 5 you know, unambiguous, you know, it's very clear, but dealing with some senior officers who -- for all of the 6 7 right reasons, you know, trying to get hold of 8 information, don't always consider that police officers have the same rights as members of the public. 9 10 Q. And in considering that experience that you have had in Fife, had you had that prior to 2015, or is this 11 12 experience that you have had since? 13 Oh, no, it's still -- it continues to this day, but yes, Α. 14 at that time, particularly in Fife we were in an unusual 15 set of circumstances. Believe it or not, if 16 an allegation was made about a police officer -a criminal allegation was made about a police officer, 17 18 Fife Constabulary would contact that officer, make them 19 aware that there was a criminal complaint and give them 20 the option of whether they should provide a statement. 21 That's -- I know I'm -- the lawyers in the room, I will 22 be blowing your mind here that that happened, but it
 - that. You know, Fife Constabulary was one of the areas

or perhaps had just been changed just shortly before

did, and that was still ongoing round about that time,

- 1 that employed that particular process as the --2 you know, you were asked whether you wanted to provide 3 it, knowing full well that you were a suspect and that 4 anything you said would absolutely be used in evidence 5 and that didn't change until round about -- I'm not sure exactly when that changed, but it was -- you know, it 6 7 was still ongoing in Police Scotland --8 In 2015? Q.
- A. It might have changed around about 2014. I know that
 there was correspondence sent out to rectify that
 because it wasn't just ongoing in Fife, it happened in
 other areas of Scotland, so clearly Police Scotland
 sought to ensure that it was the same national process
 throughout the country.
- Q. And when -- do you have any recollection of when that correspondence was sent out?
- 17 A. Yes. It was maybe just before this actually.
- 18 Q. Before May 2015?
- 19 A. Yes, but in 2015, yes.
- Q. And where -- you have obviously told us you were aware of that; were officers, constables, aware of that?
- A. Well, I suppose only those that had fallen into that -you know, fallen into having a complaint made about them
 and perhaps been asked, so no, not everybody would have
 been aware of that unless they had been caught up in the

- 1 process.
- Q. Caught up in some manner?
- A. Yes, you know, if there had been a complaint, or if
 there had been -- you know, they might have been asked
 for this and then sought some advice. It might have
 been, you know, news to some of them that they were
 being asked. I mean there's no pressure put on them to

8 provide it, but again, when it's an inspector in

9 the police contacting you and asking you, "Am I being 10 asked or am I being instructed?" that's always the

11 question.

- 12 Q. And I suppose some officers will be more susceptible to
 13 pressure, that pressure than others?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. So your role that day on 3 May was, aware of that situation, to provide some support to those individual officers?
- Yes, and it was also to help, you know, if there was 18 Α. 19 going to be some post-incident procedure -- and I didn't 20 know that at the time -- then it would be to do whatever 21 I could to make the day go a bit -- you know, I'm not 22 there to rock the boat or to cause any problems, I was 23 there to help, primarily to help our members but, 24 you know, I remain a serving police officer, so I was 25 happy to do whatever needed to be -- whatever needed to

- 1 be done, given the incident that they were dealing with.
- 2 Q. So you were there to help the officers?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And to support them?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. On behalf of SPF?
- 7 A. Absolutely, yes.
- 8 Q. And was their welfare more of a priority to you?
- 9 A. That was my -- yes, it was absolutely my primary
- 10 concern, making sure that they were okay, and if they
- 11 needed anything, to try and facilitate that through the
- 12 service, if need be.
- Q. Okay. And then we see at paragraph 57 of your Inquiry
- 14 statement that Austin Barratt let you into Kirkcaldy
- Police Office when you arrived?
- 16 A. Yes. Kirkcaldy Police Station has got keypads on the
- 17 back door and I don't -- I didn't work there so I didn't
- 18 know the code. Austin let me in the back, the back
- door.
- Q. And you went to the canteen?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And there were eight out of the nine officers who had
- 23 attended Hayfield Road in the canteen at the time you
- 24 arrived?
- 25 A. Yes, that's right.

- 1 Q. I think Nicole Short was still at the hospital?
- 2 A. Yes. Well, I'm not sure that I knew she was at the
- 3 hospital but yes, she wasn't there at that point.
- 4 Q. We have heard evidence that she was.
- 5 So would you be able to just at that moment when you
- 6 arrive at the canteen explain to the Chair the mood in
- 7 the canteen, the way the officers were at that time?
- 8 A. Yes. I mean they were all -- they were all really
- 9 anxious, they were worried. Again, from my
- 10 recollections, I don't think they had had any update
- about what had happened, or what was ongoing. That they
- had been in the canteen with kind of no feedback, no new
- information, so they were all a bit anxious, didn't
- 14 really know what lay ahead of them for the rest of the
- day. I think they felt -- they felt -- they were
- worried, you know, all being grouped together like that
- and not getting much information, so it was quite an
- anxious environment to walk into. They were all quite
- 19 worried.
- Q. Did you have a sense at that time when you first arrived
- 21 who was in charge of the situation?
- 22 A. Yes, there was nobody in charge of the situation.
- 23 Q. Nobody. Was there any senior officers there present?
- 24 A. No, no. I think the most senior officer present was at
- 25 that time Scott Maxwell who I think was a constable but

1 was the acting sergeant on that particular day. He was the only individual above the rank of constable that 2 3 I recall seeing, which is why I immediately went 4 upstairs to try and find out what was going on. 5 Before we do that, can we look at paragraphs 50 and 59. Q. You talk about going in and asking how they were and 6 7 then at 59 you say: 8 "I remember telling them on arrival that I had heard 9 the incident be reported on Forth News and my concern 10 was that members of their families and loved ones would be worried. I don't remember the specifics beyond what 11 12 I've noted in my statement to PIRC. That's pretty much 13 my recollection." 14 So again, were you trying to get them to contact 15 their family members? Yes -- no -- so that was probably the first thing that 16 Α. 17 I encouraged them all to do, was to make a phone call 18 home and tell their significant others that they were 19 okay, you know, it's such a ridiculous question to ask 20 someone "How are you?" but that's what I did, but I told 21 them to phone, not to give any details about what was 22 ongoing, but because I was aware that there was 23 information on the news -- you know, my family would worry about me if they had heard that, so I thought tell 24 25 them that you're okay and that in all likelihood you

- 1 will be late home, you know, not to expect you any time
- 2 soon.
- 3 Q. Were you aware at that time about a story about a female
- 4 police officer having been stabbed?
- 5 A. Do you know, I -- that -- that was mentioned at some
- 6 point, but I don't know where it came -- I can't
- 7 remember where it came from.
- 8 Q. Right.
- 9 A. I don't remember if it was on the -- I think the news
- 10 item was just about there was a police incident, it
- 11 didn't give any more information than that, so I don't
- 12 know whether that -- I have been asked that question
- before; I don't recall being concerned about that at the
- 14 time. I don't know whether that came later when --
- I think when we were updated that there were -- much
- later on in the day that there were news items about
- someone being injured or stabbed, but I don't think
- I knew that at that early point.
- 19 Q. Okay. And then you have said you had a conversation
- 20 with Scott Maxwell.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And you mention that at 60, 61 and 62, and he gave you
- a brief summary of what had happened at that time.
- 24 A. Mm-hm.
- 25 Q. And then can I ask you to look at paragraph 66, please.

1 You talk there about: "The officers hadn't been updated, they had been 2 3 left within that canteen --4 Α. Yes. 5 "-- only with Austin Barratt kind of hanging around. Q. It's not a secure part of the building. It is 6 7 a thoroughfare, so people were coming and going. I left 8 there to go and try and find out what was happening." 9 And you then go on to say you went from the canteen 10 up to the CID part of the building to try and find out 11 what was happening: 12 "I remember Colin Robson, he was someone that I knew 13 from my time within the CID, I think he was maybe the DI 14 at the time. Colin Robson was there along with 15 Pat Campbell, I think that was his name, said he was in charge." 16 17 So that's Colin Robson said he was in charge? 18 No, sorry, Pat Campbell said he was in charge. Α. 19 Sorry, Pat Campbell said he was in charge. Did you know Q. 20 what Pat Campbell's rank was at that time? 21 Α. I think he was a detective super at the time, but he's not someone -- I had never met him before. He's not 22 23 someone that I knew. But they were both in the CID area? 24 Q. A. Yes, yes. 25

1 Q. And you say at paragraph 68: 2 "I remember feeling that I was in the way. I think 3 they were in the throes of trying to identify 4 Sheku Bayoh, so I left my business card with him and ... 5 that my intention was to go back and sit with the staff but at that point I asked him whether the intention was 6 7 to do post-incident procedures. My impression was that 8 that hadn't been considered, hadn't been thought about, 9 which I suppose is understandable when they were in the 10 very early stages of trying to deal with whatever had gone on, I left and went back downstairs and spent the 11 12 rest of the time with the individuals on the ground 13 floor." 14 I'm interested in your contact with Robson and 15 Campbell. 16 Α. Yes. And you have told us just a moment ago that no one was 17 Q. 18 in charge, so was this the first time that you had heard 19 that Pat Campbell was in charge? 20 Yes -- well, when I say there was no one -- there was Α. 21 no one in control of the canteen area, which I would 22 have expected if you had brought a number of police officers back to sit together, I would have 23 24 expected someone to be there, even just to check on 25 their welfare and make sure they were okay, but yes,

- 1 Pat Campbell, the superintendent as he was at the time,
- 2 said that he was -- he was the investigating officer.
- Q. Right. And they were trying to identify Mr Bayoh?
- 4 A. Yes. That was the impression that I got. It was all
- 5 a bit chaotic and I just generally got the impression
- that I was in the way and I absolutely didn't want to be
- 7 slowing anything down or hampering their investigation,
- 8 so I -- but I left my business card, I told them that my
- 9 intention was to go back downstairs and make sure the
- 10 cops were okay.
- 11 Q. And what were you hoping would happen in relation to
- 12 your involvement with the officers?
- 13 A. So -- well, I suppose telling him that I was going down
- 14 to look after them, or to remain with them, I was hoping
- that he would send along someone from Police Scotland
- that would do that job. It's absolutely their
- 17 responsibility, so I was hoping that that would be the
- 18 case. I asked about post-incident procedures, given the
- 19 nature of what we were -- what the police were dealing
- 20 with, and he said he would get -- he would get back to
- 21 me.
- 22 Q. Right. So you have said "My impression was that that
- 23 hadn't been considered", post-incident procedures; what
- do you mean by that?
- 25 A. When I asked -- when I asked if that was

1 a consideration, you know, I got a kind of -- not a startled look, but, you know, the impression I got was 2 3 that was the first of him considering that that might be 4 suitable. Who gave that you startled look? 5 Q. Superintendent Campbell. 6 Α. 7 Q. Right. So you got the impression you were the first 8 person to mention post-incident procedures? Yes. That was the impression I got. Whether that was 9 Α. 10 the case or not, I don't know, but that's certainly what I felt. 11 12 Q. And when you mentioned it and he gave you that look, 13 what did he say about your suggestion, if anything? 14 I don't think -- I don't think he said anything. Α. 15 I think he said just he would get back to me. 16 And did he ever get back to you? Q. Well, yes, I mean -- he didn't get back to me, but he 17 Α. 18 got -- he came -- he got back to the group by updating 19 us later. 20 Right. And when did that later update take place? Q. 21 Α. Again, I'm not -- I wasn't recording times, which 22 obviously I perhaps should have been, but that was a bit later on in the morning, maybe about mid-morning. That 23

was the update where he came in and confirmed that

Mr Bayoh was deceased.

24

25

- 1 Q. Was he on his own when he came in?
- 2 A. I think so, yes. I don't remember anyone else being
- 3 with him.
- 4 Q. Right. And he confirmed that Mr Bayoh had died.
- 5 A. Died, yes.
- 6 Q. And was there anything else he confirmed at that time?
- 7 A. Now I -- yes, so I don't know whether then
- 8 chief inspector that was responsible for the
- 9 post-incident procedures later on had arrived by them,
- so I'm not sure whether we were updated that that was
- going to happen, or whether he was already there.
- 12 Q. Was that confused -- your memory of that is confused?
- 13 A. Yes, yes, I'm not sure whether he updated us that
- 14 post-incident procedures were going to happen and then
- the chief inspector arrived, or whether the chief
- inspector had arrived and he confirmed we're going to do
- post-incident procedures.
- Q. So you have told us about Pat Campbell, you have
- 19 mentioned the chief inspector; what was his name?
- 20 A. You know, that's when I have a complete blank of what
- 21 his name is.
- Q. You might remember later?
- 23 A. Yes. Conrad Trickett, sorry.
- Q. Conrad Trickett.
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. So Conrad Trickett was the chief inspector?
- 2 A. He was the chief inspector at the time.
- 3 Q. He came in after Pat Campbell or before?
- 4 A. Not sure.
- 5 Q. What did the chief inspector Conrad Trickett say to the
- 6 officers?
- 7 A. Conrad, as I recall, introduced himself as the
- 8 post-incident manager. He -- on his arrival, he --
- 9 provided them with the conferring warning that he
- 10 I think was reading from a -- you know, a kind of
- 11 aide memoire that he had with him and explained that it
- was probably going to be quite a long process and that
- he would need to go and find out what was happening and
- 14 he would come back and update them.
- Q. Do you remember the time, or roughly what time
- 16 Conrad Trickett arrived at the canteen?
- 17 A. I mean I -- my recollection is about mid-morning, so he
- was there -- so some time between 10.00 and 11.00, it
- 19 might have been a wee bit later than that.
- Q. You've mentioned, and you mention it in your Inquiry
- 21 statement a conferral warning.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. What was that?
- A. So it's a -- it's part of the process. Indeed,
- 25 generally post-incident -- post-incident managers

1 generally read it verbatim off a kind of laminated sheet that they have, and it effectively tells those that are 2 3 involved in the process that, you know, the whole point 4 of this process is to establish your recollection of 5 what's just happened, so warn against conferring with your colleagues, because actually we will get their 6 7 version of events, what we're looking for is what you 8 honestly -- I think they call it your honestly held 9 belief at the time. 10 So it's just clarifying to them: the reason we're looking for you not to speak about the incident is 11 12 because we're looking to get what you recall as opposed 13 to a mixture of what other people might have said to 14 you. 15 And prior to Conrad Trickett coming in, you thought Q. about mid-morning, had anyone spoken to the officers and 16 given them a conferral warning or a conferring warning? 17 So I don't believe that that had happened prior to my 18 Α. arrival. Now, I didn't provide the proper conferring 19 20 warning, but on my arrival I just sort of made sure that 21 there was -- I didn't give them a warning, but 22 I explained to them that the whole point of this process is about: What they're looking for is your 23 recollection, so please don't talk about what happened, 24 25 I don't need to know, but I don't think that they had --

1 I don't believe that they had had that from anyone else, certainly nobody mentioned that they had already had it 2 3 from somebody else and that's probably because there 4 hadn't been a decision made on post-incident procedures, 5 I expect. So you didn't have the laminated aide memoire? 6 Q. 7 Α. No, but I understood the --Is that from previous experience? 8 Q. No, well again -- no, just around about going: let's not 9 Α. 10 talk about anything that's happened until the process has kicked off. 11 12 Q. Okay. And did you have concerns in the morning about 13 the way things were being handled with the officers in the canteen, from an SPF perspective? 14 15 Α. Concerns? No, I wouldn't put it as concerns. I suppose I was -- it's always a bit disappointing when 16 information is really slow in coming forward, but it's 17 18 absolutely to be expected when there's an ongoing 19 spontaneous investigation, so I wasn't worried about it, 20 I suppose I had a specific job to do and I was just 21 keen that I did that, despite perhaps Police Scotland 22 not looking after them themselves. And you said earlier that Police Scotland absolutely had 23 Q. a responsibility; was that a responsibility to consider 24

the welfare of the officers, or some other

25

- 1 responsibility?
- 2 A. No, I mean -- yes, I think that they are serving
- 3 police officers, they're only involved in this incident
- 4 because they turned up for their work that morning.
- 5 I just think it's right and proper that Police Scotland
- 6 look after and provide them with all of the information
- 7 that they might need, and if you're not there, how do
- 8 you know what anybody needs.
- 9 Q. So what would you have liked Police Scotland to have put
- in place in the morning to support those officers?
- 11 A. So someone should have been with these officers from the
- minute that they come back to the police station.
- Q. And when you say "someone", what sort of person are you
- 14 thinking?
- 15 A. Well, do you know, anyone -- anyone not connected to the
- incident, preferably a supervisor because that holds
- some gravitas in the police, you know, rank matters,
- 18 whether we like it or not, so I would have preferred
- that. That isn't always possible when things are
- ongoing and people need to be seen, but I'm not sure
- 21 that them coming back to the police station and sitting
- in a room where there can be a suggestion that they have
- spoken about this is looking after them.
- 24 Q. And what could that supervisor have done for the
- officers? If someone had been there, what could they

have done? 1 A. Just ensured that the kind of principles of the 2 3 post-incident procedure, even before it started have 4 been -- have been maintained from the outset, if that 5 makes sense. Q. Maintained and observed? 6 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Right. Can we move on to paragraphs 72 to 81 in 9 relation to Nicole Short. 10 Α. Yes. Q. You describe her arriving, and you have been referred to 11 12 your previous statement 237. It is quoted in 13 paragraph 73, so I won't go to that immediately, and you 14 say: 15 "Around mid-morning, Constable Short returned to the police station from the hospital." 16 17 And then on to the next page, please: "About half past 10 she arrived back mid-morning. 18 That seems right to me." 19 20 Was that before or after Pat Campbell came in? I don't know. 21 Α. Okay. And you said there: 22 Q. "I don't know if she was wearing her body armour or 23 24 officer safety equipment, but she had it with her when she came back. I didn't see her wearing it." 25

1 Α. Yes. So in the canteen she wasn't wearing it? 2 Q. I don't remember seeing Nicole wearing her body armour. 3 Α. 4 Q. Right. And then: "There was a bit of a huddle around her." 5 You say in 75. And then you describe her at 76: 6 7 "She was a poor wee soul." 8 Α. Yes. And you say: 9 Q. 10 "She was very stiff on her upper body. When she was turning round to see you her whole upper body was 11 12 moving. She was white as a sheet, not really taking 13 anything in. It was a wee shame. I was quite worried 14 about her. Everybody else seemed to be well, just a bit 15 not really sure what was going on." And then you're asked at paragraph 77 about your 16 17 previous statement which said: "'I enquired as to how she was feeling and she 18 complained of feeling sore around her head and neck. 19 20 I was [presumably it was obvious] from what I could see 21 that she was not comfortable and in pain' ... I didn't know what injuries [she] had suffered." 22 And you asked how she was. At 78 you say: 23 24 "She wasn't able to move her head to the side so 25 when she moved her head she was having to move from the

1 waist, if that makes sense. She was moving her entire upper body, so it looked like she was uncomfortable." 2 3 And then at 79 you are referred to your later PIRC 4 statement which was 22 June, and you describe her there 5 and you say: "She was very stiff about the chest and neck." 6 7 And appeared to lack focus, appeared shocked and you thought she might have been medicated but she wasn't: 8 9 "When I spoke to her I gave her the same advice 10 I gave to the other officers about status and statements. I think I had to explain this a couple of 11 12 times as it wasn't registering or sinking in." And that's what's written down in the statement. 13 14 Now, we will come back to the injuries side of things in 15 a moment, but looking at paragraph 79, you have said you gave her the same advice you gave the other officers 16 17 about status and statements. What advice did you give the other officers? 18 19 So the advice that I gave to the officers: was at some Α. 20 point someone who is investigating may come along and 21 ask you for a statement. What you should be enquiring 22 is what your status is, am I a witness or am I a suspect? If you're a suspect then you probably want 23 to seek some legal advice before you do that because 24 25 they really shouldn't be asking you for a statement if

1 you're a suspect, and if they confirm that you're 2 a witness, then -- I suppose -- we never got to that 3 part but the next part might have been: are we doing 4 that today? Is today the best day for that to be done 5 given what had gone on earlier? But yes, it was as straightforward as that, of going: if you're asked for 6 7 a statement, you should be asking -- because they will 8 not ask me for that, I wasn't there, you should be 9 ascertaining whether you're a witness or a suspect. 10 Q. And depending on the answer to that question, there's different --11 12 Α. Absolutely. 13 -- a different approach recommended? Q. 14 Yes. Α. 15 And that's the advice you had given the other officers Q. 16 in the morning? 17 A. Yes. Before Nicole Short arrived? 18 Q. 19 Α. Yes. 20 And you repeated that --Q. 21 Α. I repeated that as Nicole had missed it. -- for Nicole Short? 22 Q. 23 Yes. Α. Thank you. And then paragraph 80: 24 Q. 25 "It has been suggested to me that my account to PIRC

1 is different to what I wrote in the statement I produced..." 2 3 That was the original one: 4 "... Specifically in the first statement I said she 5 complained of feeling sore around her head and neck and in the PIRC statement said she was very stiff about the 6 7 chest and neck." 8 And you say: 9 "It is more detailed because I was asked about more 10 detail in the PIRC interview. In my first statement I was asked to provide a very general statement. Could 11 12 I have given more detail? Quite clearly." 13 And then paragraph 81: 14 "Perhaps if I had been provided at the time with 15 a bit more guidance. I had never been asked to provide a statement about my involvement. I believed they were 16 17 investigating the death of an individual. I'm not sure that I would have gone into the level of detail that 18 I was asked later. I answered questions when I was 19 20 asked specifically about them. Could I have added it at 21 the start if I believed it was relevant or helpful? Then I could have done, yeah." 22 So the first statement says head and neck --23 24 Α. Yes. Q. -- the second statement says chest. 25

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Thinking back now, can you tell us what your impression
- 3 was of the difficulties that Nicole Short had when she
- 4 came back to the canteen?
- 5 A. Yes. So when Nicole arrived back, my recollection is
- 6 that if she was going to speak to someone she entirely
- 7 moved her body from her waist. She was -- she didn't
- 8 turn her head, she didn't turn -- she turned her entire
- 9 body, that's what I remember, you know, and it was the
- same if she was turning that side, or if she was
- 11 turning -- obviously I'm on a swivel chair, she wasn't
- on a swivel chair, I think she was sitting on the sofa,
- so my recollection is she was turning from her waist.
- Q. So avoiding turning her neck?
- 15 A. Yes, yes, yes.
- 16 Q. And is that the area where she appeared to you at least
- to have some difficulties?
- 18 A. Yes, yes, she appeared to have discomfort in her kind of
- 19 upper body which is why she was moving, I thought, the
- 20 way she was moving.
- 21 Q. And she was avoiding turning --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- moving her neck left to right?
- A. Yes, absolutely.
- 25 Q. And then in paragraphs 86 and 87 -- sorry, I should have

25

1 maybe just confirmed with you there, Ms Givan, do you remember earlier we talked about the paragraph that 2 3 said: I'm not accepting everything in my original 4 statement" --5 Α. Yes. -- I want to be clear what it is, so if there's an 6 Q. 7 apparent discrepancy there, what would you suggest the Chair should prefer between your first and your second? 8 I mean, there isn't much time between the first and 9 Α. 10 second. I was asked in more detail at the second one, 11 so perhaps the second one. 12 Q. But generally your evidence today is your best 13 recollection today? Yes, it's my best recollection, yes. 14 Α. 15 Thank you. Paragraphs 86 and 87, you talk about the Q. officers in the canteen being a bit fed up, I think, 16 with the delay and the time things were taking. Tell us 17 18 what impact that was having on people? 19 So -- yes. They were absolutely aware that there was Α. 20 a need for them to be there, you know, there was an 21 ongoing investigation, but they were there all day and 22 there were times in that day where with lack of 23 information people were a bit frustrated and, you know, couldn't understand "Why am I sitting here when I could 24

go home?" you know, "What purpose -- what purpose are we

1 serving by remaining here if nobody is coming and asking us anything, or nobody's telling us what's happening? 2 Could we do this elsewhere?" 3 4 So it wasn't all day, but there were times when --5 you know, there were nine police officers, myself and 6 the two individuals that were doing the post-incident 7 procedures, there were times where, yes -- you know, it was a bit tense. 8 What information would have assisted the officers at 9 Q. 10 that time? Being told whether they were witnesses or suspects might 11 Α. 12 have helped greatly. Can we look at paragraph 88, first of all, to give it 13 Q. 14 some context. You talk about Conrad Trickett coming in, 15 and then at 89 you say: "Inspector Jane Combe joined us at some point late 16 morning, I think. Jane was an inspector with 17 Police Scotland that I know from my Fife days. I don't 18 19 know whether she worked at Kirkcaldy or was brought in 20 from [somewhere else], but she was clearly asked to come 21 along to help out Conrad Trickett with some local 22 knowledge and I think she knew some of the individuals that were there." 23 How long was Jane Combe there? 24 So, again, I don't know when she arrived because 25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- I wasn't keeping a log when -- you know, but that -- it should be in the log when Jane arrived, but she was there until the end, so Jane remained with us until everyone was effectively sent home much later on in the evening.
 - Q. So if the Chair had heard evidence that she only was in the canteen twice, the first time for five minutes and the second time with Conrad Trickett but she stood behind him and said nothing, does that accord with your recollection?
 - She -- first of all, Jane Combe wasn't someone who was Α. trained in post incident procedures. My impression was very much that she was there to provide a helping hand and I think she did leave on a number of occasions to attend the meetings that were ongoing, or the updates that were happening elsewhere, but certainly my recollection is that Jane was there throughout and, you know, the post-incident procedures often work where there's -- you know, there's a post-incident manager, then there are other individuals involved in that process and the whole point of that is if someone needs to leave and go to a meeting, or to find something out, then someone from that process remains and I very much had the impression that Jane was carrying out the role of the appointed officer, which is a role within the

25

1 post-incident procedures, albeit perhaps she shouldn't have been, but she was someone that was there when 2 3 Mr Trickett needed to attend a meeting or go to 4 a briefing. So she was the officer who remained while 5 Q. Conrad Trickett maybe left the canteen? 6 7 Α. Yes, yes. If one of them left, the other one --8 Would be present? Q. 9 -- would be present. That's certainly my recollection Α. 10 of what happened that day. And is that designed to provide that continuity? 11 Q. 12 Absolutely, yes. Α. 13 I would like to ask you some questions about equipment. Q. 14 We have heard that at some point a decision was taken to 15 recover equipment or clothing from the officers. Do you remember when that was? 16 My recollection is it was very late in the day, it was 17 Α. 18 actually one of the very last things that happened. 19 Their equipment was seized, they were then all to see 20 a doctor. 21 Q. We have heard that was an FME? 22 FME, and then they went home after that, so I think they Α. went up the stairs to get their equipment and their 23 24 uniform seized. When they came back down they went in

to see the doctor, and then after that they could go

1 home, so it was very -- it was one of the last things 2 that happened. Right. Can we look at paragraphs 90 to 92. You say at 3 Q. 4 90, the decision was taken that PIRC wanted to seize 5 clothing and equipment. And then 91 and 92 you say at the end of the day they were getting their uniform, 6 7 clothing seized and then they came down after that and 8 they were examined by a doctor: 9 "There was a ridiculous wait on all of the 10 police officers being examined by a doctor, so we had to wait for that doctor to come along and then each of them 11 12 went in, had a chat with the doctor, came out, and at 13 that point they were able to go home." 14 Did you feel that the delay in securing the doctor 15 was unacceptable? Yes -- yes, I mean it had been a really long day for 16 Α. 17 them, yes, and I thought if this is going to happen, it 18 could all have happened a bit quicker. It seemed an unnecessary delay and we certainly weren't expecting 19 20 clothing to be seized either. That was a --21 Q. And what concerns, if any, did you have about the 22 welfare of the officers as a result of the delay and 23 this happening? Yes, I mean I -- I was just really keen to get them 24 Α. 25 home. It was quite clear at that point that they

1 weren't going to get confirmation of whether they were witnesses or suspects at that point, so I was -- I was 2 3 keen to get them home as quickly as possible because it 4 had been -- you know, they had started at 7 o'clock in 5 the morning and they were now -- you know, it was dark 6 outside. 7 Q. Did you feel by the end of the day that they had not had 8 clarification of their status as witness or suspect? They absolutely didn't have clarification of their 9 Α. 10 status. You're quite sure about that? 11 Q. 12 Absolute -- yes, I'm certain. Α. And then can I ask you briefly, just before we move on, 13 Q. 14 about the layout of the canteen. Now, there's different 15 comments about this in your statement, so let's look, first of all, at 94 and 95, which are just coming up 16 17 "Layout of the canteen": 18 "The back door comes into the car park, brings you into a corridor ... male and a female toilet ... 19 20 a flight of stairs which took you upstairs. You then 21 entered the canteen area." Which would have been "a bit of an assembly hall". 22 23 Then: 24 "That open space was split into two by a kind of 25 wooden partition wall, snooker table, dart board ... on

1	the other side a formal table, dining table
2	chairs leather sofar with a TV on the wall."
3	And then can we look at paragraph 42 and then the
4	canteen was off the back yard:
5	"You accessed it from the parking area at the back
6	yard"
7	And you walked through the canteen to get to other
8	parts of the building:
9	"I've walked through it but it's the first time
LO	I sat down on a seat or a chair and actually was in the
L1	canteen area."
12	Then can we look at paragraph 138, please, and do we
13	see that this says:
L 4	"The only people that I know who came in were people
15	passing through, I have no idea who they were. I don't
L 6	work there, I don't even know if they work there, they
L7	were just there for that day, so there was people coming
18	in the back door from outside coming into the canteen
19	and then going out the double doors. That happened
20	throughout the day, so people were using it as
21	a thoroughfare continuously throughout the day."
22	I just want could you give the Chair an
23	impression of what was happening in that canteen? We
24	may have heard some evidence that this was designed as
25	a sterile area and I think the Chair would be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 interested to know your impressions?

Yes. So ordinarily for a room that's being used for Α. a post-incident procedure it would be exactly that, it would be a sterile room where only those that came into it had been allowed in by the post-incident manager. This was, I suppose, a bit of an ad hoc arrangement in respect of the location, in that it had -- you know, there were two doors, one that led in from outside, so if people didn't know that the canteen area was being used for this particular purpose, there was nothing to stop them, if they knew the keypad, to come in, come through the canteen and then go out the double doors to other parts of the building. I think it takes you -- if you go that way it takes you through into where, for example, the custody area is, or to other parts of the building.

So my recollection is that throughout the day there were individuals coming either in from outside and using that as their way into the building, or were coming through from the building to go outside -- you know, obviously once they come through those double doors and they realised that we were there, there was a bit of a "sorry" and out quickly, but there wasn't anybody controlling who come in and come out because the doors weren't -- the outside door could have been locked

- 1 I suppose, but the internal, wooden swing doors were not
- 2 locked.
- 3 Q. I was going to ask, was anyone in charge of who entered
- 4 the canteen or who left the canteen?
- 5 A. That should have been the job of the post-incident
- 6 manager.
- 7 Q. Right. So Conrad Trickett should have been responsible
- 8 for --
- 9 A. Yes, he would be responsible for that, yes.
- 10 Q. -- organising that. And when you say "responsible", do
- 11 you mean he would have appointed someone to --
- 12 A. Yes, absolutely, yes. I don't expect for a minute that
- 13 he would have been escorting people, but if someone was,
- for example, looking to go to the toilet, he would be
- 15 looking to make sure that they went and came back.
- 16 Q. And you have described that in a number of paragraphs as
- a "thoroughfare"?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. So people were walking through the canteen from who
- 20 knows where?
- 21 A. Yes. I mean -- yes, so I don't work at Kirkcaldy so
- 22 I don't know many of the people that were based there.
- They could well have been people that worked there every
- 24 day and that was just the normal route they took, or
- 25 they could have been -- you know, there were -- although

- we didn't meet them, there were individuals from the

 PIRC that had turned up at Kirkcaldy and would perhaps

 be less familiar with other routes to take to get into

 the building, so they may well have been -- been them,

 but I didn't know anyone.
- Q. And you say that was continuously happening throughout the day. Was that through the whole day, from the minute you arrived to when you left?
- 9 A. Yes. I suppose it got a bit less frequent as the day
 10 went on but certainly in the morning probably to -11 you know, between the kind of 9 to 5 hours when there's
 12 people there working, my recollection is that that was
 13 fairly regular.
- Q. And we have heard that when the officers were in the canteen, that they left their equipment and clothing sometimes leaning against a wall --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- sometimes on the floor, sometimes on a table; is that
 19 your recollection?
- A. Yes, yes, so my recollection is when I arrived nobody —

 I mean it's really cumbersome and uncomfortable, so most

 people had taken it off and it was kind of round the

 edge of the room. Your body armour often falls over, so

 most people prop it up against a wall, or a piece of

 furniture, clearly within their eye-line, but once you

1 have taken it off they had put it to the side and I think everybody had pretty much done that. 2 MS GRAHAME: Thank you. 3 I'm conscious of the time. 4 5 LORD BRACADALE: Very well. We will have a break for 20 minutes at this point. 6 7 (11.33 am)8 (Short Break) 9 (12.00 pm)10 LORD BRACADALE: Yes, Ms Grahame. MS GRAHAME: Thank you. I was just about to move on to ask 11 12 you some questions about equipment and in particular 13 Nicole Short's vest. 14 Can we look at paragraphs 148 to 157 of your Inquiry 15 statement, please. You have been asked a series of 16 questions by the Inquiry team about this and you explain 17 you can't remember when you first saw Nicole Short's vest and when we say "vest" we're talking about the --18 19 The body armour. Α. 20 The body armour with the high visibility vest attached Q. 21 to it. 22 Α. Yes. 23 And you rightly point out you don't remember if she was Q. 24 wearing it when she walked into the canteen. 25 And then you have indicated that you are going to

1

2 "I remember there being a mark." 3 Α. Yes. "I remember being quite satisfied that it was sitting 4 Q. 5 where it was sitting because it was not rubbing up against anything." 6 7 Is that the vest you're talking about? 8 Yes. Α. 9 Where was the vest sitting? Q. 10 Α. So the vest was propped up against the wall, the wall that -- on the other side of that would be the kitchen 11 12 area. 13 Right. Q. 14 So it was -- it was zip into the wall, with the back Α. 15 panel --Exposed? 16 Q. 17 Yes, visible. Α. So the zip side, the front of the vest leaning against 18 Q. the wall with the back exposed? 19 20 Yes, and it may have been leaning against -- the front Α. 21 of it may have been leaning against somebody else's body 22 armour, but the back of it wasn't touching anything 23 else. Q. Right. And where was Nicole Short in relation to her 24 25 vest?

struggle to remember what the mark looked like:

25

1 A. So I think Nicole was sitting on the sofa which would 2 be, you know, 10, 12 feet away. Q. And it wasn't covered, so it wasn't being preserved, 3 4 so -- but: 5 "There wasn't any likelihood that it was going to be damaged or rubbed off." 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 "The mark first came to my attention at some point Q. 9 during that day." 10 Do you remember when you noticed it? I -- no, I don't. I don't think I noticed it, I think 11 Α. 12 it was pointed out, but I -- I honestly can't remember 13 how that came about. 14 Do you remember who pointed it out? Q. 15 Α. I think Nicole, but --Are you not certain? 16 Q. 17 -- I'm not certain. Α. Q. And then you say, 150: 18 19 "What I need to be very clear is that none of this 20 was my job to preserve anything. I wasn't doing an 21 investigation but I can remember thinking at some point 22 someone is going to be interested in that and it's probably sitting in not the best location but not the 23 24 worst location. That's really all I can remember.

That's my lasting recollection of it. I don't have my

1 investigator's head on. I wasn't planning to do anything with it other than being satisfied that where 2 3 it was wasn't risking any evidence being lost." 4 Tell us what you were thinking at this time? 5 Yes, so I -- I suppose I had an expectation that the Α. mark that was on the vest may have been important, so 6 7 had -- if at the end of the day there was an expectation 8 that Nicole was going to pick that up and put it in her 9 locker, I would probably have raised it at that point to 10 make sure that somebody was showing an interest in it, or at least looking to examine it, or take possession of 11 12 it, but where it was sitting it wasn't doing any harm, 13 it wasn't -- there wasn't any evidence being lost. It 14 was within the room that someone was in all of the time, 15 so it was unlikely that anything would happen to it, so I suppose I was satisfied that where it was -- it was 16 17 fine for the time being, but if it at the end of the day 18 they hadn't had their uniform seized, I would probably 19 have been looking to speak to someone to say "Someone 20 might want to have a look at this", because nobody --21 you know, other than the couple of occasions where 22 individuals came in to update them on certain things, there wasn't any ongoing dialogue with the 23 investigators, if that makes sense. 24 Okay. So you have said you would have spoken to 25 Q.

- 1 someone -- who would that someone have been? 2 Probably -- yes, probably I would have spoken to Α. 3 Conrad Trickett because that absolutely would have been 4 his job to then facilitate what happened with that. 5 He was the post-incident manager? Q. He was the post-incident manager. 6 Α. 7 Would you have spoken to the SIO, the senior Q. 8 investigating officer at all? I don't know that necessarily I would have done that 9 Α. 10 because that wouldn't necessarily have been my place. Conrad Trickett was the post-incident manager, so 11 12 I would have raised it with him with an absolute 13 expectation that he did that. Did you raise it with him? 14 Q. 15 No, no, I didn't because the items were seized, so I was Α. 16 satisfied that it had been taken possession of. So in terms of the timing between you noticing the mark 17 Q. and the items being seized, do you have any recollection 18 of how long that was? 19 It would have been quite a while because, as I say, the 20 Α. 21 items weren't seized until much later on in the evening,
- Q. So you noticed it hours before the item was seized?

so it was probably -- it would have been sitting there

25 A. Yes.

for hours.

22

23

- Q. And then the someone who would have looked at it, who are you thinking?
- 3 A. Whoever was investigating it, whether that was someone
- 4 at Kirkcaldy, you know, someone in Pat Campbell's team,
- or whether that was the PIRC who would have already been
- 6 made aware were investigating the matter.
- 7 Q. So either a police officer of some description --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- or someone from PIRC --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- would have looked at it?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And why did you think it might be important?
- 14 A. Well, there was a -- I was aware that Nicole had been
- 15 assaulted. I don't know if I knew at that time much
- more about what had taken place, so I felt that that was
- 17 perhaps a relevant consideration and, if not, then no
- harm done, but better to have had it than not have it.
- 19 Q. And then if we can look at the next paragraph, please.
- 20 You say:
- 21 "It looked like a dirty mark. [It] looked like dirt
- 22 or dust. It wasn't paint or anything, it was a dirty
- 23 mark, so it looks like that's what it had been made
- from. If I'm being asked to speculate, it looked like
- 25 it was roughly the shape of a shoe mark. Albeit you

1 couldn't see specific tread detail, but it looked to be 2 roughly the size and shape. It was a kind of long, thin mark, roughly in the shape of a shoe." 3 4 And then 152: 5 "I might have spoken to Nicole Short about it. But 6 I can't remember going into any detail." 7 Α. Yes. 8 So in terms of where the mark was, can you tell us? Q. So -- yes. So again --9 Α. 10 Q. Would it help if I gave you a demo vest because you 11 could maybe see the back and point at it? 12 Α. Yes, it looked like it was on the luminous yellow part, 13 below the "Police" sign. Sorry, so --This is just a demo vest. 14 Q. 15 -- the (inaudible) are falling out of this. So my Α. 16 recollection is it was roughly along here (indicating), so below --17 18 Below the police badge? Q. 19 Below the police sign and here (indicating). Α. 20 And you're pointing to the sort of middle? Q. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Is that between the two strips of --Q. 23 Yes, I'm going to be honest and say I don't know if this Α. vest is -- if you're telling me it's identical to --24 25 Q. It's the same size.

- 1 Α. I couldn't tell you whether these reflective strips were 2 there at the time because my recollection is that it was on the yellow part, so I don't remember the shiny bits. 3 4 Q. Thank you. That's fine, thank you. 5 Then if we can go down on the Inquiry statement, Ms Drury will -- when Ms Drury is back at her seat. You 6 7 talk about making a presumption about it and then you have watched the BBC documentary regarding Sheku Bayoh? 8 Yes. 9 Α. 10 Q. And that's when you first became aware that the stomp, 11 or the stamp was an issue and you say: 12 "I would be lying if I said that that was when 13 I first became aware, but I certainly got a lot more 14 information following that documentary." 15 Α. Yes. I'm not sure when I first became aware that anyone 16 had saw Nicole be stamped on or stomped on, but I do remember the documentary covering that part of it. 17 18 Q. Is your memory of the documentary clearer than your 19 memory of when you would have become aware? 20 Yes -- well, I suppose it's really difficult, they have Α. 21 all kind of started to -- I suppose that's one of --22 you know, an issue that there was that information out 23 there and I'm not sure whether I got it from the
 - Q. Is it possible that the documentary was the first time

24

25

documentary or whether I knew about it beforehand.

1 you realised it was an issue? No, absolutely, it could have been, it could have been. 2 Α. 3 I'm not aware of any discussion on the day that Nicole 4 was stamped on because I had already said "Look, let's not talk about this", so I don't -- I don't recall that 5 happening on the day. Whether I heard later on, or 6 7 whether I saw it on the documentary, I'm honestly --8 I can't be clear on that. 9 Did you watch that documentary on the telly --Q. 10 Α. Yes. -- when it was playing live, if you like, rather than 11 Q. 12 iPlayer? 13 Yes. Α. And with when was that? Do you have any recollection? 14 Q. 15 Α. Oh, no, I don't actually. Again, it's been seven years, but it was some time after the incident. 16 17 Right. Q. 18 Α. I'm not sure when that was first shown. 19 Q. Okay. Then 155: 20 "I think the only conversation I had about the vest 21 was when Nicole was going up to have her clothing seized. It was to make sure that she identified the 22 mark to them. I believe that was the only conversation 23 24 I had. I didn't see who seized it. Nicole went 25 upstairs on her own to have that done. So I wanted to

25

make sure that she pointed it out." 1 2 Tell us about the conversation you had with Nicole Short. 3 4 So, as I have already said, Nicole was a bit --Α. 5 you know, a bit confused generally that whole day, so 6 I -- I suppose I performed the role of the bossy Federation rep where I -- when it was Nicole's turn to 7 8 go up and she was collecting all of her equipment to go 9 up and have that seized I was saying to her "Remember 10 and point out this mark on your body armour". Again, I'm not there to carry out an investigation, but I am 11 12 a serving police officer, so in my head I was thinking 13 you would want to know that there was something to be 14 preserved because that might impact on how you package 15 it, or look after it, whether you arrange for it to be 16 photographed before you put it in a bag, I wanted Nicole 17 to make sure that that information went to those that were seizing it, who I understood to be detectives from 18 19 the Major Investigation Team from Police Scotland. Right. And how long prior to Nicole going up the stairs 20 Q. 21 did you have that conversation with her? 22 Oh, I think it's as she was picking up her equipment to Α. 23 go -- to go up the stairs, I'm saying to her "Remember, when you get up the stairs" -- she wasn't filling me 24

with confidence in her ability to retain information or

- 1 remember it, so it was probably the last thing she heard from me when she was going up the stairs. I was as 2 3 confident as I could be, without accompanying her, which 4 didn't appear to be an option, but I wanted it to be the 5 last thing that she heard when she went upstairs. And as well as speaking to Nicole, did you consider 6 Q. 7 speaking to Conrad Trickett about it, if you weren't 8 confident? No -- well, I suppose I was confident that it was being 9 Α. 10 seized, so I didn't mention that to him. Perhaps I should have done, but I didn't. 11 12 Q. Thank you. And then going further down, please, 156, 13 you then sent the Inquiry an email you had sent to your 14 legal representative, I think who was Peter Watson? 15 Α. Yes.
- And that was dated 11 March 2022 and a copy of the email 16 Q. 17 is attached to the statement, but also the content of that email is then transcribed in detail there at 18 19 paragraph 156. So you talk about seeing Nicole on the 20 sofa.
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 She wasn't wearing her body armour and then if we could Q. 23 continue up:
- 24 "... not long after she was back, a 'shoe or boot mark' was seen by someone and pointed out to me. 25

1 I recall it looking very like the same of a shoe or boot sole." 2 3 Is that correct what's said there? 4 Α. Sorry, I think it should be "the shape" --"The shape of a shoe" --5 Q. -- "the shape", so it was a kind of long shape, as 6 Α. 7 opposed to, you know, narrower. 8 Q. Right: 9 "... and there wasn't enough detail to see tread or 10 anything but it was more than just a dark mark. It was left to the side along with everyone else's equipment." 11 12 When you say "It was left to the side", what are you 13 referring to? I mean the body armour. 14 Α. 15 Q. The vest? 16 The vest, yes. Α. "And I recall thinking this was clearly better than it 17 Q. being worn and possibly rubbing off. Near the end of 18 19 the day when each person went up the stairs to have 20 their clothing seized, I ..." 21 What that reminded? 22 Reminded, yes. Α. Q. "... Nicole to point this out to whoever was bagging 23 24 their clothing as it probably needed to be photographed." 25

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. So at the time, is your recollection that you thought it
- 3 should be photographed?
- 4 A. Yes. I would have expected something like that, that if
- it was put in a bag, it might rub off, that it would be
- 6 photographed either then, or shortly thereafter.
- 7 Q. So not photographed by forensic staff later, but
- 8 photographed in advance of it being recovered?
- 9 A. No, I would have expected that to be done by the
- 10 forensics.
- 11 Q. By the forensic people?
- 12 A. Yes, I'm not meaning that someone just take a picture of
- it on their mobile phone or something for confirmation
- it's there. I suppose it's an evidential process, so
- I had an expectation that that would be part of the
- investigation.
- Q. And as far as you know, no one took photographs of it
- 18 prior to --
- 19 A. I've got no idea -- no, I don't think anyone took
- a picture of it certainly in situ when we were in the
- 21 canteen, and I don't know whether someone took
- 22 a photograph of it later.
- Q. All right. Thank you.
- You have been asked at paragraph 157 why this mark
- 25 and the vest -- the mark on the vest were not mentioned

1 in your original statement or your PIRC statement and 2 you have said: 3 "I didn't include it in the first statement because 4 I only included the information I thought was relevant 5 to my part ..." 6 Α. Yes. 7 "I absolutely wasn't asked about the footmark by PIRC Q. 8 and I assume that's why it's not in it because what's 9 covered in that statement is information they asked for. 10 I was asked specific questions about certain things. they'd had asked me about it, it would have been 11 12 included." 13 Yes. Α. 14 So PIRC did not ask you any questions about a mark or Q. 15 having seen a mark in the canteen on Nicole Short's vest? 16 17 No. I had no reason to believe that it hadn't been Α. successfully -- I suppose I still don't know whether it 18 19 has been successfully maintained on the vest. She took 20 it upstairs, handed it over, I expected that that would 21 be -- would be looked after. And in terms of why it has never been mentioned in your 22 Q. earlier statements and it wasn't mentioned until the 23 24 email --Yes. 25 Α.

- 1 Q. -- that's just because no one asked you about it?
- 2 A. I suppose no one asked me about it and I have to say it
- 3 was -- probably kind of another hundred things that
- 4 happened that day that have turned out to be of no
- 5 value. I had no idea that that was going to be relevant
- and I suppose I don't know why it's relevant now,
- 7 probably because -- perhaps we don't have it, I'm only
- 8 assuming.
- 9 Q. Don't worry about that, we will hear other evidence
- 10 about that.
- 11 A. I had every expectation that because that had been
- 12 pointed out, that it would be available.
- Q. So between you watching the documentary and the email,
- sending the email to Mr Watson, how much time passed?
- 15 A. Years probably.
- Q. Why did you wait years to send the email to Mr Watson?
- 17 A. I was asked specifically about that.
- 18 Q. Who by?
- 19 A. Again, was it perhaps Mr Watson asked me about the shoe,
- the mark?
- Q. What did he ask you about?
- 22 A. Did I recall seeing a mark on Nicole's body armour and
- I said yes.
- Q. Why would he have asked you that question?
- 25 A. I have no idea. I don't know whether he has spoken to

- some of the other witnesses, I don't -- I didn't ask,
- I don't know.
- 3 Q. And he didn't volunteer why he was asking you or
- 4 speaking to you?
- 5 A. No. Well, he was representing the police officers, so
- I assumed it was to confirm whether there was anyone
- 7 else -- there weren't many of us in the canteen on that
- 8 day.
- 9 Q. So the email was from 11 March 2022, is that right?
- 10 A. Yes, because it was quite recently I got asked that,
- 11 I think. I don't know whether that would be the start
- of precognitions or --
- 13 Q. So your Inquiry statement began on 31 March and it was
- 14 11 March that he approached you to ask you about this?
- 15 A. Yes, it would be.
- 16 Q. Prior to that date?
- 17 A. 11th, yes. Yes.
- Q. Prior to 11 March. And how long after he approached you
- did you then send the email?
- 20 A. No, I think when he has spoken to me I have sent the
- 21 email that -- at that moment.
- Q. That day?
- 23 A. I have just responded.
- Q. Did he phone you about this, or did he speak to you
- 25 personally, or did he email you?

- 1 A. I don't ...
- 2 Q. Right.
- 3 A. I don't know. It probably has been either -- yeah,
- 4 I ... it's actually not even that long ago and
- 5 I can't -- I'm not. I don't think it will have been in
- 6 person. He's not someone that I see.
- 7 Q. Your paths don't cross?
- 8 A. Yes, so it's either been on email or a telephone call.
- 9 Q. All right.
- 10 A. I'm honestly not sure.
- 11 Q. Okay, thank you. Can I ask you about paragraph 139, so
- we're going back slightly. I would like to ask you
- about Chief Inspector Nicola Shepherd and what contact
- 14 you had with her in the canteen?
- 15 A. So -- yes, so my recollection is that at the time,
- 16 Chief Inspector Nicola Shepherd was probably the senior
- officer at Kirkcaldy. She was the kind of Divisional
- 18 Commander at that -- at Kirkcaldy Police Station, and my
- 19 recollection is that quite clearly because this incident
- 20 had happened, she had been called and was returning to
- 21 work, I don't think she was expecting to work that day,
- I could be wrong, but that was my impression, and that
- 23 Chief Inspector Shepherd came in to the police station
- and she accessed the building the same way that I did,
- 25 she came in that same back door and came into the

1 canteen and rather than walk straight through and go up the stairs to wherever her office would be, she stopped 2 3 to speak to the officers, who are effectively her --4 you know, her officers, she was in overall 5 responsibility for Kirkcaldy and my recollection is that Chief Inspector Shepherd still had her coat and her bag 6 7 on, you know, she had literally just come into the 8 station and the first thing she did was go in to check 9 that everybody was okay, but as she was leaving she --10 and she was only there for a brief period of time, she wasn't there long, but as she was leaving she made 11 12 a comment similar to -- just as I was alluding to 13 earlier, you know, "We will get statements from everyone 14 and then you can all go home", and I just felt that that 15 was entirely inappropriate, that she had just arrived, probably wouldn't know all of the information and that 16 17 again, as a senior officer, as a chief inspector, 18 I thought it was inappropriate that she would make 19 a comment like that to some officers who, as I'm sure 20 you have heard in your evidence, were very, very young 21 in service and I don't think that senior officers 22 appreciate that they are a chief inspector and perhaps 23 some of the comments that they make can be taken exactly as they are said, so I was a bit frustrated that what 24 I think was an offhand comment might have been perceived 25

- 1 by those to go "Well, I have been told by
- 2 a chief inspector that I should provide a statement".
- 3 Q. You have talked earlier about sort of subtle pressures
- 4 being applied --
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. -- because of the rank of the person making the comment;
- 7 is that what you're talking about here?
- 8 A. Yes, I mean I honestly don't think -- because I know
- 9 Nicola Shepherd, I don't think that that's what her
- intention was, but I think the unintended consequence of
- 11 that -- it could have applied pressure to people saying
- "There is an expectation that I do this", and I didn't
- think under the circumstances that she was just in the
- 14 door that it was entirely appropriate, and I made
- 15 comment of that to say "Well, let's just wait, let's
- just wait and see because these officers are all waiting
- 17 to find out whether they're witnesses or whether they're
- suspects".
- 19 Q. And did you say that when she was in the canteen?
- 20 A. Yes, I have said something -- I'm paraphrasing, but
- 21 I have said something along the lines of going "Yes,
- 22 chief inspector, can we just hold the bus, can we just
- 23 wait until we find out what's happening." I felt it
- 24 was -- it was appropriate that I challenge the comment
- 25 so that those who might have felt pressured realised

1 that it was inappropriate.

21

22

23

24

- Q. And what would you have preferred the approach to be at that stage from Chief Inspector Shepherd?
- 4 Α. Yes, I mean I -- I still on to this day have no issue 5 with her coming in and saying hello to effectively her 6 staff who she has -- she has a responsibility for their 7 wellbeing and their care, so it was right that she let 8 them know she was there and introduce themselves, but --9 and I do think that it was an offhand comment, perhaps, 10 you know "We will get statements from you and we will get everybody home", and then she was going to leave and 11 12 it just didn't sit comfortably with me that that was the 13 tone of how it ended. So yes, come in, explain that you 14 have been called out and that you're going to find out 15 what's happening, and perhaps say something along the lines of "We will find out what's going on and we will 16 get the ball rolling", or "We will get an update for 17 18 you". Really what these officers needed to know was 19 whether they were witnesses or whether they were 20 suspects.
 - Q. And when she came and spoke to the officers, did she say something about getting statements from everyone because the family of the deceased would want to know what happened?
- A. Yeah, absolutely. Again, I -- everybody was very aware

1 that family members would be looking for this 2 investigation to move on and get somewhere, so, 3 you know, I have absolutely no issue with that being the 4 case, but I just felt that there was an added --5 pressure is probably the right terminology that you use, of going -- it was an offhand comment, I think, but 6 7 I don't think that all senior officers quite realise 8 that their offhand comments actually carry quite a significant amount of weight with officers much junior 9 10 in service or in rank. Can I move on and ask you about some paperwork. Let's 11 Q. 12 look at paragraph 164, first of all, which deals with 13 notebooks, but before I go into the detail of that type 14 of paperwork, can I ask you about your own note-taking? 15 You mentioned earlier you weren't there as an investigating officer and what notes did you take, if 16 17 any, that day? 18 Do you know, I really -- I didn't take any notes. Α. 19 information that I left with that day was it was 20 everyone's name, their mobile telephone number and 21 an email address. I didn't have -- I didn't write 22 anything else. You know, I didn't write times down because that wasn't my role, that's the post-incident 23 manager keeps a log and all of that information should 24 be there, so I -- I didn't keep a log, or keep 25

25

1 a notebook. I left with a -- you know, a single piece 2 of paper that I wrote on -- I think I actually handed it 3 round and asked them to write their -- their name, their 4 contact details on it, because I had every expectation 5 that in the days that followed I would need that information in order to arrange legal advice and 6 7 assistance for them. 8 Right. And once you had -- what did you do with that Q. 9 bit of paper? 10 Α. Yes, so I updated our computer system, made sure that all the information that I had was updated for the nine 11 12 individuals and then I - -I shredded it. I didn't keep 13 it anymore. It was a loose piece of paper. There wasn't 14 anything else on it, other than perhaps 15 Conrad Trickett's name, number and confirmation of his email address, along with Jane Combe was on it, but once 16 17 I had that information in my phone I just disposed of 18 it. 19 And that's the people who were in the canteen? Q. 20 Yes, the post-incident team effectively. Α. 21 Q. Post-incident team. 22 Α. Yes. There's been some evidence before the Chair that 23 Q. 24 Inspector Combe was a deputy post-incident manager. We

heard evidence from her that she resisted that

1 suggestion and felt she was there as a support to Inspector -- Chief Inspector Trickett. 2 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. Did you have any impression of her role that day? 5 Yes, I mean the -- the process is that you would have Α. a post-incident manager and you would have as many other 6 7 appointed officers who are effectively -- they're all 8 trained as post-incident managers, but they effectively 9 deputise for the post-incident manager, so yes, I mean 10 I expected anyone in that room -- whilst she is not trained to do that role, but that effectively was the 11 12 role, so deputy post-incident manager or appointed 13 officer is actually the role within the process. 14 I expect -- that's what I thought she was doing. 15 Perhaps she didn't realise she was doing it because she is not trained, but --16 17 That was your impression? Q. That was my impression. 18 Α. 19 Let's look at paragraph 164, you say: Q. 20 "I don't think I gave any advice regarding the 21 completion of notebooks. Don't remember specifically speaking about notebooks." 22 Is that in relation to the officers in the canteen? 23

Yes, I think so, yes.

And then regarding use of force forms:

24

25

Α.

Q.

91

25

1 "I advised them that there were other supervisors who were on duty who could fill out that information who 2 3 already had that information, so until they were advised 4 whether they were suspects or witnesses that they 5 probably shouldn't complete that form. I did do that." 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. And that's consistent with what you have said? 8 Yes, I mean it's -- had they been told that they were Α. 9 witnesses, clearly any information that they provide in 10 forms or documents -- it would all be relevant. And you are saying there, there were other supervisors 11 Q. 12 who were on duty who could fill out that information. 13 Was it your understanding at that time that one use of 14 force form could be completed per incident and 15 a supervisor could complete that? I'm not sure whether one would have sufficed for the 16 Α. 17 whole incident, but certainly, you know, there's lots of occasions where these forms are required to be filled 18 in, but perhaps the officer involved has had to go home 19 20 because they are either unwell or they have become 21 injured, so there's flexibility within that process that 22 allows someone else to fill the form in, so I had every expectation that these officers that were involved in 23 this process, that they wouldn't be required to fill out 24

this information when probably sufficient detail was

available by other supervisors.

1

And when you say "Other supervisors", who are you 2 Q. 3 referring to? 4 Α. Well, I mean, I suppose any -- everyone that was on duty 5 that day when this happened was involved to some degree 6 in this, so everyone -- anyone that was on could have 7 taken over that role, I suppose. 8 So anyone who felt able --Q. 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. -- could have taken it on? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Or could it have been a more senior officer, senior to 13 a constable? A. Absolutely. I would have an expectation that if these 14 15 officers were involved in something traumatic or 16 dramatic and they needed to be sent home, that someone 17 else could fill that document, if it was necessary to be done there and then, but they shouldn't be required to 18 fill out a form when their status is uncertain. 19 Q. And then 166: 20 "I have no idea about CS or PAVA forms. That's 21 22 something you would need to ask people in Police Scotland. I think there's perhaps a form to fill 23 in if you have used it in order to get a new, fresh 24 25 bottle, but I've never filled any of that in so I don't

- 1 know what the process is for that and I certainly
- 2 wouldn't be the one to give advice on that."
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. So that's not something you had experience of?
- 5 A. No, I mean obviously before I took up this job I have
- 6 used, or had been issued with PAVA. I've never used it,
- 7 so I -- like everything, there are processes for
- 8 absolutely everything in Police Scotland, so I have no
- 9 doubt that there is a process and a procedure to fill in
- 10 a form if you have discharged your PAVA or CS spray in
- order to get a replacement bottle. I just have never
- 12 filled that form in. I wouldn't know where it would be
- and I probably wouldn't be the best person. Had I been
- 14 asked that question, I would have suggested that they
- perhaps speak to Scott Maxwell, or another sergeant on
- 16 a team if they needed more information -- they would
- 17 actually have more information about how to do that than
- 18 me.
- 19 Q. Did any of the senior officers that you came into
- 20 contact with that day in the canteen give advice to the
- 21 individual officers about forms to do with CS or PAVA
- discharge?
- 23 A. No. My recollection is there was no discussion about
- 24 notebooks, PAVA, use of violence forms, there was no
- guidance given by them that I'm aware of.

- 1 Q. When you say "Use of violence forms", do you mean a use
- of force form?
- 3 A. Sorry, yes. If there's any violence or any use of force
- 4 form -- I think somebody -- it used to be called a baton
- 5 report, that's what it was called years ago, so yes,
- it's got lots of different names.
- 7 Q. Okay. So when you say "I don't believe there's any
- 8 requirements to fill out statements, notebooks or use of
- 9 force forms", that was your understanding in relation to
- the events in May 2015?
- 11 A. Yes. I don't believe there was any request or
- 12 requirement made by anyone to do that before they left,
- or before they were updated with what their status was.
- Q. Not as far as you are aware?
- 15 A. Not as far as I was aware, yes.
- Q. Right. And then can I ask you about statements. We
- have heard about operational statements, witness
- 18 statements. Did you have -- you say there you don't
- 19 believe there's any requirements to fill out statements.
- 20 Can you distinguish between operational statements and
- 21 witness statements and any obligations on officers as to
- those?
- 23 A. Yes, there's not really any difference -- I mean the
- 24 only difference between a statement and an operational
- 25 statement is an operational statement is something

25

1 that's happened when you are on duty, when you have been 2 operational, you would provide a statement and -- yes, 3 there was no request. I mean, that's the whole point of 4 this post-incident procedure is to balance the 5 requirement -- the clear requirement for an 6 investigation with the welfare of those people that are 7 involved in it, and I would have expected that at some 8 point there was some clarity about where they sat in all of this. That, to my knowledge, didn't come. 9 10 Q. Okay. You have been asked a number of questions and answered a number of questions in relation to perhaps 11 12 differences between what some of the officers have said 13 about the advice you gave --Yes. 14 Α. 15 -- and what you have told us today. Can we look at Q. paragraph 177, first of all, and I think you -- very 16 17 fairly, you have gone through every single possible 18 discrepancy and 177 relates to PC Walker's account, and what you have done is you have been asked about specific 19 20 references from PIRC statements by individual officers 21 and you have been asked if they differ from the advice 22 you gave and you have given an explanation. 23 Now, I think largely your position on these is very clear for the Chair, but I'm interested in particular 24

about Pat Campbell. Can I ask you to look at 198, first

1 of all. This is Detective Superintendent Patrick Campbell's account and at 198 you say that you have been 2 3 told that his account: 4 "... includes the following: 'Shortly before 5 1130 hours, the exact time I do not recall, I remember having a conversation with Constable Givan, the 6 7 Federation Rep, she expressed to me that the 8 Police Officers were distressed. I informed Constable 9 Givan that all of the officers were being treated as witnesses and she should reassure them'." 10 And at 199 you say: 11 12 "That absolutely did not happen. I have been saying 13 the whole point was to try and establish whether they 14 were witnesses or suspects. If I had been told by 15 someone that they were one or the other that would have clarified that question, but there was no clarity 16 17 provided to me or, as far as I was aware, to any of the individual officers by anyone. That was why we were 18 left in the kind of position of waiting. I was 19 20 thinking: 'at some point, someone will declare whether 21 they are witnesses or suspects', and that never 22 happened. Had Pat Campbell told me that they were witnesses then that would've moved me on to make a phone 23 call to clarify that and we would have moved on to 24 25 whatever the next step of the process was. That did not

1 happen." 2 So I think you have been very clear today: you did not get that clarity throughout the whole of 3 May 2015? 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 And you say if you had had that information: Q. 6 "... that would've moved me on to make a phone call 7 to clarify that..." 8 Tell us about what phone call you would have made? Yes, so I would probably have -- had there been clarity 9 Α. 10 that they were witnesses then I would probably have made a phone call to colleagues within the SPF round about 11 12 whether -- you know, depending on where -- when these 13 statements were going to be asked to be provided, 14 whether there was a need or a requirement for legal 15 advice from anyone. That phone call never happened because we never got to that point, if that makes sense. 16 And what colleagues would you have spoken to in SPF? 17 Q. Yes, well probably David Kennedy, because David Kennedy 18 Α. is the deputy general secretary, has responsibility on 19 20 behalf of the JCC for the legal advice and assistance 21 scheme. That's the committee? 22 Q. 23 Yes. So I would have sought to speak to him round about who might have been the most suitable person if that was 24 necessary. That would have been probably the first 25

- phone call that I would have made, you know, I don't --
- I do now, but my phone didn't have contact details of
- 3 any of the solicitors because David facilitated that, if
- 4 necessary.
- 5 Q. And you have mentioned a few points today about when
- 6 statements would have been taken.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. What sort of factors would be going through your mind
- 9 when you're thinking about when?
- 10 A. So the process that we now have is that police officers
- 11 will provide -- on the day, police officers that are
- involved in the post-incident procedure will provide
- a very brief outline of their involvement and be given
- some time, up to, you know, kind of 48 hours in order
- 15 to, you know, get their heads straight having been
- involved in something that's quite traumatic, and then
- a full statement would be provided. So I had no
- 18 expectation that, you know, these lengthy statements
- 19 would be taken that day, given what had happened. My
- 20 expectation was they would have been taken in the days
- 21 to come, but I would have expected some clarity before
- 22 we left roundabout what the plans were for that.
- 23 Q. We may hear evidence at some point that there's
- 24 a balance to be achieved --
- 25 A. Absolutely, yes.

25

1 Q. -- between getting the statement noted early --2 Α. Yes. -- close to the events, but also the officers being well 3 Q. 4 enough and mentally fit to give those statements? 5 Yes, absolutely. Α. Is that correct? 6 Q. 7 Α. Yes, I mean, we had already been there for a really long 8 time. You know, people were tired, they were worried. Perhaps not the best environment to be sitting down and 9 10 starting what might be a really lengthy statement to 11 note from them. 12 Q. And you would also have -- you say when you spoke to 13 colleagues at SPF, that would have been about securing legal advice if required? 14 15 Α. Yes, and probably, you know -- there's no doubt, and I have been in a lot of these since then, but that was 16 17 the first one, so I would have been looking for some 18 reassurance that what I was saying and what I was doing 19 was absolutely correct. Q. Was correct. And then can we look at 201, please: 20 21 "I have been told that [Detective Superintendent] Patrick Campbell's account also includes the 22 following ... 'prior to entering the room 23 24 Constable Givan informed me that they would not be

providing operational statements. I informed her at

1 this time that that may be the case, however, I was still going to ask them to provide'." 2 And at 202 you say: 3 4 "That didn't happen. I don't remember speaking with 5 Mr Campbell outside the room at all. I'm surprised by this account." 6 7 Tell us about your recollection of what happened? Yes, I suppose I -- I don't understand why Mr Campbell 8 Α. 9 would be having that conversation with me. He should 10 perhaps be having that conversation with Chief Inspector Trickett. He was responsible for the --11 12 Q. Post-incident management. The post-incident manager. You know, I'm surprised that 13 Α. 14 there's a suggestion that I have spoken to him prior to 15 him coming in, and I -- I don't recall there being any announcement to anybody. 16 Do you remember having a conversation, any conversation 17 Q. with Detective Superintendent Campbell prior to entering 18 19 the room? No, the only conversation that I had with Mr Campbell 20 Α. 21 was in the morning when I introduced myself. The next 22 time I saw him was in the room. I didn't speak to --I don't know him, I didn't speak to him on my own. 23 Q. So you have told us earlier you went up to the CID room 24 25 when you arrived --

1

Α.

Yes. -- and you saw DI Robson and Pat Campbell? 2 Q. 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. And then you have talked previously about Pat Campbell 5 coming into the canteen when you were there? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. So do you remember any occasion when you were speaking 8 to him prior to entering the canteen? No, and I'm struggling to think of a reason why I would 9 Α. speak to him on my own. 10 Right. And then can we look at paragraph 203: 11 Q. 12 "I have been told that Detective Superintendent Patrick Campbell's account also includes the following 13 which may be a short time after 1130 ... 'I then entered 14 15 the break room all the officers were in and I spoke with the officers as a general group'." 16 Is that the canteen? 17 Yes, that was the only location we were. 18 Q. "... 'present at this time were the PIM and 19 20 Constable Givan. I explained that in consultation with 21 PIRC their status was that of witness. I told them that my intention was to obtain statements from them, their 22 clothing, footwear and officer safety equipment'." 23 24 And then at 204 you say: 25 "That absolutely was not said to the officers at

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 that time, it came much later. The whole taking of their clothes came much later on in the day, and that 2 3 came as a real surprise to the officers. They were not 4 expecting their footwear, their uniform clothing to be 5 seized because that very much changed the tone. So had that been said much earlier in the day then they 6 7 probably wouldn't have had that awkwardness and 8 difficulty in trying to explain why it was seen as being 9 necessary."

Can you explain that paragraph?

Yes, so the -- there was no discussion or mention that Α. footwear, uniform, clothing, equipment was going to be seized until it was going to be seized. That came much later on in the day and that -- I wouldn't call it a request, but, you know, it's Police Scotland's equipment so they absolutely can take possession of it if they want, but the footwear at that time police officers bought themselves. Most police officers only have one set of footwear, so taking that effectively meant that they -- you know, Police Scotland may be able to provide them with new body armour and trousers and they would have other sets of uniform, but the footwear meant that they would need to go and buy new footwear and seizing of clothing very much -- when I say changed the tone of it, it's -- it very much fell

25

1 into the suspect area, which upset a number of the officers by going "We haven't been told whether we're 2 3 suspects or witnesses, we are clearly suspects otherwise 4 why are they taking our -- why are they taking our 5 uniform, clothing and all our equipment?" So if that had come, as it is suggested, that 6 7 conversation would have been had much earlier in the day 8 and it didn't, it came as a surprise, it came as an 9 unpleasant surprise at the end of the day, and trying to 10 explain to police officers that regardless of how you feel about this, that is what's happening, you know: 11 12 your clothing and your uniform and your equipment will 13 be seized and we will worry about footwear and how you're able to perform your duties thereafter. 14 15 Q. So regardless of what may or may not have been said, the taking of their personal footwear changed the tone and 16 made it more like they were a suspect? 17 18 Α. I mean it wasn't just the footwear, it was the fact 19 that -- yes, it was the seizing of all of their 20 equipment. It did, it changed the tone and it hadn't 21 been mentioned in any way, shape or form throughout the 22 day until we were told "There are two teams up the stairs from the major investigation team and you will go 23 up individually and you will change out of your uniform 24

and put on whatever clothing you have brought with you".

1 Q. And when did that happen? 2 That was very late on in the day, certainly in the Α. 3 evening, just before the -- because when they came 4 downstairs they then went to see the doctor and they 5 went home after that, so it was one of the last things 6 to happen. 7 So any suggestion that it was part of a conversation, or Q. 8 a discussion at 11.30 in the morning, that's not your recollection? 9 10 Α. Absolutely. It may well have been part of conversations that happened outwith that room, but it certainly wasn't 11 12 part of a conversation that happened with myself and the 13 officers being present. And that was the canteen? 14 Q. 15 That was the canteen, yes. Α. Can we look at 205 and 206. You talk about it being the 16 Q. last thing --17 18 Α. Yes. 19 -- before they went home and then at 206 you said: Q. 20 "I have been asked why [Detective Superintendent] 21 Patrick Campbell has put this in his statement. My reason why is nothing that I want to put in this 22 23 statement." 24 But obviously we would like to get as much evidence to help the Chair as possible and I wondered if you 25

24

25

1 would be willing to explain why you think there would be such differences between your recollection and that of 2 Detective Superintendent Campbell. 3 4 Α. Yes, I can't -- I can't tell you why there's different 5 explanations. You know, the whole point of us being there that day was to get to the point of providing 6 7 information that would help in the investigation and all that was required was confirmation of whether people 8 9 were witnesses or suspects. If that was so easy, why 10 weren't the officers told? What is the reason why there's the differences? 11 Q. 12 I've got no idea. That's perhaps a question you may Α. have for Mr Campbell. I can't explain why he is saying 13 that that happened and ... no, I don't recall it. 14 15 Q. It's that you don't have an explanation, rather than you 16 have an explanation you're not prepared to say? Yes, I don't know why he is saying that happened. 17 Α. 18 Right. There's nothing else you want to add about that? Q. 19 No, I don't think so. Α. Can we look at paragraph 207, please. This relates to 20 Q. 21 Gold Group meetings: "I have been told that the Gold Group meetings were 22 informed that I had advised the officers not to provide 23

statements. No, I can't be any clearer. I absolutely

advised the police officers at the point when they were

1 asked to provide statements to establish whether they 2 were a witness or a suspect. In the event that that 3 information wasn't forthcoming, then they shouldn't be 4 providing statements until they knew what their status 5 was. I wasn't at the Gold Group so I don't know what was said and whether it was taken out of context." 6 7 So if we do hear in the future that meetings were 8 told that you had advised officers not to provide 9 statements, that's just not the -- not a correct or 10 accurate reflection of the advice you were giving? Yes. I cannot be clearer in that it was about 11 Α. 12 clarifying what their status was, that was the advice 13 that I gave, is that, you know, "Until they tell you 14 you're a witness they shouldn't be asking you for 15 a statement and if you're a suspect, there are other ways to obtain that information from you and they 16 17 shouldn't be doing it by way of looking to get a statement from you", that -- yes. 18 19 And then 208, we see: Q. 20 "I have been asked if I know of any new guidance in 21 respect of officers not having to provide statements with regards 'on duty criminal allegations'. I think 22 23 this probably relates to the two memos the Inquiry sent to me." 24 25 And then:

1		I have been asked now I became aware of this. It
2		certainly wasn't within the Police Scotland circles,
3		because I didn't have access to that."
4		I think that's what you said earlier today, you
5		didn't have access to the IT?
6	Α.	Yes, so as I recall, there are two Police Scotland memos
7		that clarify the Police Scotland position, in that we're
8		not going to ask you know, if someone is considered
9		to be a suspect, we're not going to ask them for
10		a statement. I hadn't seen the memos before, but I was
11		absolutely aware of that change in process. The reason
12		I didn't see the memos is for the reasons that I told
13		you earlier, that I didn't have access to the system.
14	Q.	And then 211. You mention the practice in Fife up until
15		31 March 2013 and:
16		" even after they became Police Scotland, they
17		would let police officers know that they were a suspect
18		but give them the option of whether they wanted to
19		provide a statement or not, which didn't happen in the
20		rest of the country."
21		I think you mentioned that earlier in the evidence?
22	Α.	Yes, I think it was an issue, if you want, in Fife, but
23		there were other locations in Scotland where that was
24		also the case, so the sending out of these memos
25		effectively put every location in Police Scotland on the

- same -- on the same footing, so we had the same process
- 2 happening everywhere, rather than some of the legacy
- 3 forces still operating as they had been up until that
- 4 point.
- 5 Q. And then at 213 you were asked some questions about the
- 6 contact you had had with the officers and you have told
- 7 us about taking their email and --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- contact details. What actual contact did you have
- 10 with these individual officers, between 3 May 2015 and
- 4 June 2015? Now, 4 June is the date that we have heard
- they gave statements to PIRC, so it's in that month
- after the events and prior to the officers giving
- 14 statements to PIRC.
- 15 A. Yes, so they -- again, I don't know what dates they came
- in, but they were -- they all attended the Kirkcaldy
- 17 Police Station in the days afterwards. I attended also
- for that. There were some administrative processes that
- 19 I -- that I had had them fill out -- you know, the
- longer we got away from 3 May and there appeared to be
- 21 no clarity roundabout their status, the SPF provided
- 22 them with legal advice and assistance, and all of that
- 23 was then passed over to solicitors, so my contact with
- 24 them was, you know, was roundabout those that were fit
- and able to return to work, how do they do that without

1 any footwear. Some of them were unable to get replacement body armour, so just some of the 2 3 practicalities around about whether they could or should 4 be back at work. Some of them -- many of them actually 5 went sick following it because they were unable to 6 resume duties. 7 So it became -- afterwards it became much more --8 much more routine assistance about how they got back to 9 work and whether they would actually come back and work 10 at Kirkcaldy, or whether they would be perhaps redeployed elsewhere. It was really the kind of contact 11 12 that I had with them. 13 Q. Looking back now, do you remember when they received clarity about their status? 14 15 Α. No. I mean my recollection is that came much, much later and roundabout the time where they then went --16 17 I think the vast majority of them attended at the Scottish Police College and they had their statements 18 19 noted by PIRC at the date -- the date in June. 20 4 June. Q. 21 Α. Yes. 22 And what would you have expected in terms of providing Q. that clarity to officers? So some have mentioned 23 expecting something more formal, some have given 24 25 evidence to the Chair they expected it in writing; what

- 1 would you have expected?
- 2 A. Just, you know, just -- probably a verbal reassurance
- 3 would have sufficed if it was me, but I don't think --
- 4 I'm trying to think whether there was any discussion
- 5 about whether it should come in writing. I don't think
- I was involved in that.
- 7 Q. So that wasn't part of your role at that stage?
- 8 A. No, no, not really, but would I accept from a senior
- 9 officer them telling me that I was a -- confirming that
- I was a witness or I was a suspect, yes, that would have
- 11 been good enough for me, but they perhaps had different
- 12 conversations with other people.
- 13 Q. All right. Now, we may hear evidence in the future to
- 14 the Inquiry about media engagement, but while I have you
- here, I just want to confirm did you say earlier it was
- the chair who takes the lead on media engagement for the
- 17 SPF?
- 18 A. Yes. I think that's the case, but I think it's very
- 19 much -- it depends on what the topic is. There might be
- someone within the organisation that's better placed,
- 21 but I think everything is funneled through the chair or
- the general secretary.
- 23 Q. So if there are media announcements to be made, are they
- on behalf of the SPF as the body or --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- or are they, as you say, funneled through 2 Calum Steele? Yes, I mean, they will definitely come out from the SPF, 3 Α. 4 but they wouldn't necessarily all have Calum Steele's 5 name on it. They may have -- they could have anyone's name on it, you know, I suppose for conduct matters that 6 7 I'm dealing with I could -- I just have never been 8 particularly confident or sure about that side of the 9 role, so I've never been involved in that, so I just 10 know that it's done by other people. In deciding the approach to media engagement on behalf 11 Q. 12 of the SPF, is that funneled through the committee? 13 Probably not for specifics, for specific things like Α. 14 this, for -- you know, for pension or pay, yes, the 15 committee will drive that. I -- I'm not sure who was involved in the discussions about what would or wouldn't 16 17 have been issued. I wasn't. You know, I saw them at 18 the same time as everybody else did. 19 Q. Let's look at paragraph 70 actually. We're going to go 20 way back, just to be fair to you. I think you said in 21 paragraph 70 -- you made a phone call to David Kennedy --22
- 25 "... to make him aware that this incident had

Q. -- who is the deputy general secretary:

23

24

Yes.

Α.

1 happened, primarily because the press might seek some comment, and to be honest I didn't want to be criticised 2 3 that I hadn't told senior members of the SPF. So 4 I spoke to David and made him aware where I was." 5 This was when you were at Kirkcaldy Police Office on 6 3 May? 7 Α. Yes. 8 "I told him I was there, and I was waiting to find out Q. 9 whether there were going to be post-incident procedures 10 in place." So on that occasion it was the deputy general 11 12 secretary that you contacted? 13 Yes. Α. So it was an option for you, at least in May 2015, as 14 Q. 15 long as you spoke to one of the office-bearers --Yes, I mean I chose David Kennedy because he had the 16 Α. 17 responsibility for the legal advice and assistance and is more involved in this kind of area that we deal with. 18 19 I could easily have made Brian Jones or -- I'm trying to 20 think, Brian Jones wasn't even in post at the time. I'm 21 trying to think back in 2015. 22 Calum -- you could have phoned Calum Steele if you had Q. 23 wanted? Yes, I could have phoned Calum Steele, but I contacted 24 Α. 25 David Kennedy because I knew that he would be the person

```
that I would need to contact in the event that we
 1
 2
             required solicitors.
         MS GRAHAME: Right. I've got a few more questions about
 3
 4
             that, but I'm conscious it's just after 1.00.
 5
         LORD BRACADALE: We will stop for lunch at that time.
             2 o'clock.
 6
 7
         (1.02 pm)
 8
                           (The luncheon adjournment)
 9
         (2.00 pm)
10
                     (Technical issues - no video feed)
11
         (2.04 pm)
12
                                 (Short break)
13
         (2.21 pm)
         LORD BRACADALE: Well, I'm hopeful that what has been done
14
15
             in the interim will allow us to proceed and I see
             something coming up on the transcription, which is
16
17
             a good sign.
18
                 I'm told there's a possibility that it might not
19
             work. If it doesn't, then we will have to stop for
20
             a longer period, but let's carry on in the meantime,
21
             Ms Grahame.
22
         MS GRAHAME: Thank you. I don't believe any of my questions
             were recorded, so I will go quickly again through what
23
24
             we covered after lunch.
25
                 The document I was asking you about was the FTOB
```

- circular. This one is number 5 of 2020 and you had told 1 us what this document is. What does it do? 2 Yes, it's effectively, you know, the equivalent of 3 Α. 4 a kind of internal memo to the full-time office-bearers. 5 This particular one is asking for it to be disseminated further to the local representatives, but it was 6 7 initially sent out to all those within the east, the 8 west, the north and the national officials for their information to then forward on. 9 10 Q. And they then absorb the information contained in this 11 and disseminate it further to the membership? 12 Absolutely, yes, yes, that's correct. Α. And this type of document, a circular such as this, 13 Q. 14 would this be funneled through -- or the content of it 15 be funneled through or approved by the committee that you told us about? 16 No, not necessarily. I don't remember any discussion. 17 Α. 18 The first of me seeing this document was when it arrived 19 in my inbox so I think it has been -- if it's been 20 discussed by anyone, it's not been discussed in my
 - Q. And I think before we stopped, you said that because it's Calum Steele's reference and he has signed the document --

presence, but it is certainly signed by Calum Steele, so

it looks like the general secretary has sent this out.

21

22

23

24

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- if the Inquiry want more information about it, he is
- 3 probably the best person to ask?
- 4 A. Yes, I would expect -- the fact that he has signed it,
- 5 yes, Calum is the best person to speak to, yes.
- Q. So you didn't see it until it was actually circulated to
- 7 you, some time around 9 June 2020?
- 8 A. Yes. If it was sent out on 9 June then they normally
- 9 come out the same day that they're dated, I will have
- 10 read that. I am not on an area committee so it wouldn't
- 11 be my responsibility to disseminate that, so other than
- 12 reading it, I have not really had any further
- 13 responsibility to share it with anyone.
- 14 Q. And you didn't have any personal involvement in
- preparing the document, or --
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. -- deciding what it said?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Thank you. And then can I ask you some questions about
- 20 SPF's involvement with consultation documents that are
- 21 maybe released by the Scottish Government --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- and the approach to those. Now, again, we may hear
- 24 evidence about this later, about a report prepared by
- Dame Elish Angiolini.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And that related to her independent review of complaints
- 3 handling, investigations and misconduct issues.
- 4 A. That's right, yes.
- 5 Q. And I'm wondering whether you personally had any
- 6 involvement in preparing the written submissions for the
- 7 SPF in response to the Government consultation document?
- 8 A. Yes, for that particular document, it falls -- much of
- 9 it falls within my remit of the conduct portfolio, so
- I was involved in contributing to the document that was
- 11 returned, yes, as were many other full-time
- office-bearers.
- Q. Right. And you can take it from me, this was signed by
- 14 Calum Steele --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- general secretary, on 30 November 2020, but you have
- 17 said you contributed --
- 18 A. Yes, I think -- yes, again from memory, I think we had
- 19 a gathering of full-time office-bearers who were
- familiar with the content, who were involved in that
- 21 area of work, and we went through every recommendation
- 22 and came to a kind of collective view -- not all of the
- 23 time, you know, sometimes there are differing views, but
- 24 that was all provided to assist in the preparation of
- 25 the document, which would be Calum's responsibility as

- 1 the general secretary to send that back.
- 2 Q. Would he approve the final version --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- final response?
- 5 A. Yes, most likely.
- Q. With contributions from the other office-bearers?
- 7 A. Absolutely, yes.
- 8 Q. Right. There was mention in that document of the SPF
- 9 having an excellent working relationship with diversity
- 10 staff associations. Do you know anything about who
- 11 those associations are?
- 12 A. Yes, they're -- well, there's a number of diversity
- associations. James Thomson would probably be the best
- 14 person because he would probably be the SPF point of
- 15 contact for that but I obviously know who they are: they
- will be the Disability Association, there will be
- Semper, there will be the Women's Development Forum and
- 18 there will be the -- I'm trying to think who I have
- 19 missed ... yes, there's maybe about six or seven in
- total.
- 21 Q. Is James Thomson the best person to -- you have told us
- he dealt with equality.
- 23 A. Equality and people.
- Q. He is in the same role as you?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. He deals with equality and people?
- 2 A. Yes.

25

- Q. Would he be better placed to...?
- A. Yes, I suppose depending on what information you're
 looking from, but yes, he would know all the individuals
 within these organisations that the SPF deal with on
- 7 a regular basis.
- Q. Thank you. And then there was mention in this response of dedicated officials in all areas of the country working on equality issues. Do you know who they would be?
- 12 A. At the time -- I might struggle to tell you in 2015 who
 13 they were but you know --
- Q. This is a response from November 2020?
- 15 Yes, so -- okay, so the -- as I have explained earlier, Α. 16 the SPF is split into east, west and north and in each 17 of these areas, there's a full-time office-bearer in 18 that area that leads on equality, health and safety and 19 conduct matters within their area, so if there's 20 a divisional issue then they don't -- it doesn't 21 necessarily have to be a national issue, if there's 22 something local to that particular area then the full-time office bearer who has -- who kind of leads on 23 these things, who has a bit more knowledge than everyone 24

else will be the single point of contact for that, so,

25

1 yes, I don't know who -- I don't know who that would 2 have been at that time. I can tell you who they are 3 now, but I'm not sure who that would have been back in 4 2015, or at the time --In 2020? 5 Q. 2020. 6 Α. 7 Who is it now? Q. 8 So for equality matters? Α. 9 "... dedicated officials in all areas of the country Q. working on equality issues"? 10 So in -- so there are some current changes ongoing, so 11 Α. 12 at the moment I believe in the north it's 13 Caroline Macnaughton that covers the north area of the 14 country. In the east it's Andy Malcolm. Andy Malcolm 15 is in a bit the same boat as myself in that he retires at the end of the month. And in the west -- you're 16 17 making me think here -- it's Adam Peppard who has responsibility for it currently. 18 He is not retiring? 19 Q. 20 No, he is not, no. Α. 21 Q. And then can you tell me what training is given to full-time office-bearers on diversity? 22 We have an external -- we have external assistance in 23 24 respect of equality matters, so that training comes

from -- sorry, I'm trying to think of her name,

1 Jayne Monkhouse, I think, as I understand it, on a kind of consultancy basis and she provides equality training, 2 3 whether that -- what the component parts of that are, 4 I'm --She could tell us? 5 Q. Yes, she could tell you. 6 Α. 7 Q. And she is an independent contractor effectively? 8 Α. Yes. She is not employed by SPF? 9 Q. 10 Α. No, no, she is not. She is not a full-time employee of us, but she comes in and provides any equality training 11 12 that we're needing. 13 Q. Okay. And then there was also mention of: 14 "... retained access to specialists in their field, 15 including former senior members of the Equality and 16 Human Rights Commission and legal experts who specialise 17 in employment law." So let's look at "access to specialists in their 18 19 field, including former senior members of the Equality 20 and Human Rights Commission"? 21 Α. I don't know whether that might be Jayne Monkhouse. I'm 22 not sure -- I'm not sure about her -- personally I'm not sure what her background is. That might be her. 23 We can explore that with her? 24 Q.

Yes. I'm not sure who any of the other individuals.

25

Α.

- 1 Q. The legal experts in employment law? Yes, I mean we do have legal experts that we deal with 2 Α. 3 but I'm not going to be able to tell you who that is. 4 Q. No, that's fine. Thank you, you have been helpful. 5 Can I ask you about discussions which were ongoing 6 in the canteen. 7 Α. Yes. 8 Can we look at paragraph 102 of your Inquiry statement, Q. 9 please. You were asked about the update and whether "black" was mentioned and you said: 10 "... yes it was ... the basis of the police being 11 12 called, that there was a black man and whether they want 13 to accept it or not, that would be relatively unusual in 14 Kirkcaldy. That was how the individuals that witnessed 15 it described him, so that's the only reason that I knew he was black. I don't recall there being any other 16 17 discussion about it because I was avoiding encouraging any discussion about it because being aware of the 18 process. It is about getting information from each 19 20 individual about what they recall, not about getting 21 a group view back, so it was avoided." 22 So can I ask you, first of all, on line 3 you say it "would be relatively unusual in Kirkcaldy". Can you 23
 - A. Yes. I've never worked in Kirkcaldy on a full-time

give me some context to that?

24

- basis, but I have gone along there for certain

 investigations that were ongoing and I suppose it would

 be my perception, having lived in Kirkcaldy for a short

 period of time when I first joined the police that,

 you know, I'm not familiar with the black community in

 Kirkcaldy, so it was based on my perception of the

 make-up of the community.
 - Q. And does that include the make-up of Kirkcaldy Police Office itself?
- 10 A. Oh, now I -- I don't work there, so I'm not sure what
 11 the make-up of the officers is that work there, but,
 12 you know, black officers are very much in the minority,
 13 certainly within -- certainly within Fife.
- Q. Thank you. And then you talk about the -- am I right in thinking the discussion that you are talking about there, this was you in the canteen, you were avoiding encouraging any discussion because you were aware of the process and what was you were meaning?
 - A. So when -- when I make reference to black being mentioned, I'm specifically meaning in relation to the update that I got from Scott Maxwell who provided me the brief resumé. Within that update he told me that the man involved was a black man. Thereafter I didn't really need to know any more specifics about what had happened, so because the process is about knowing your

him being black?

be a factor thereafter.

8

- belief, or your recollections of it, it's not about

 getting a collective view, I didn't want -- I didn't

 want to encourage that and in fact I discouraged it, so

 we -- the subject would have been changed, so there was

 no further discussion about the incident really.

 Q. So do you remember any discussion in the canteen about

 Mr Bayoh being black, or the possible implications of
- A. No, I don't. My recollection is that black was
 mentioned during the brief introduction that I was given
 on my arrival. That was the only reason I knew that
 fact and that it wasn't a factor. It didn't appear to
- Q. Do you remember any discussions at all in the canteen
 amongst the officers about the potential for racism or
 allegations of racism to rear its head?
- A. Not at that time in the canteen. I think that -
 you know, these worries came later in the days following

 when things didn't seem to progress.
- Q. When you say they came later, where did they come later?
- A. Just during discussion of -- there had been no

 clarification on whether they were suspects or witnesses

 and the longer that came, people were assuming that they

 were going to be suspects and there was a worry about

 whether that would -- that that might be connected to

- 1 the fact that he was a minority.
- 2 Q. And was that a discussion ongoing in SPF, or was that
- 3 a discussion going on more widely with the police?
- 4 A. I think it was more widely with the police, yeah. It
- 5 certainly wasn't something that was widely discussed
- 6 within the SPF.
- 7 Q. Do you remember who was having those discussions in
- 8 the police?
- 9 A. It would have been in the group. You know, we didn't
- 10 meet individually, they met collectively as a group
- 11 because Police Scotland were looking to check up on them
- and check that they were okay, so they would have come
- in a group setting of, you know, "I'm worried about
- this", or "What's likely to happen?"
- Q. When did they meet in a group?
- 16 A. So that was in the days that followed, they met at
- 17 Kirkcaldy Police Station.
- 18 Q. Did that happen once or more than once?
- 19 A. I think it perhaps happened more than once. I think
- I was only there once.
- Q. When were you there?
- 22 A. Either the next day or the next again day, I can't be
- certain.
- Q. So within two days of the events?
- 25 A. Within two days.

- 1 Q. Where did you meet?
- 2 A. We met in a conference room at Kirkcaldy Police Station.
- 3 Q. And what was the purpose of that meeting?
- A. It was -- well, the purpose and the reason they were
- 5 asked to get together was to update them on what was
- 6 happening.
- 7 Q. Who arranged that meeting?
- 8 A. That would have been -- that would have been the
- 9 management at Kirkcaldy, so I ... I'm trying to think
- 10 who ... yes, I'm not 100% sure who physically contacted
- 11 the officers. It wasn't done through me. It might
- 12 even -- it should have been done through Conrad Trickett
- so perhaps it was him, I'm just not clear on that.
- Q. When did that meeting take place?
- 15 A. Either the day -- the next day, so the Monday, or the
- Tuesday.
- Q. Was it the morning, afternoon, later, do you remember
- 18 the time?
- 19 A. No, no.
- Q. What was your role in attending that meeting?
- 21 A. Just accompanying the police officers, for nothing more
- 22 than having been there on the Sunday all day, again,
- a continuity of care, effectively, welfare.
- Q. What was discussed at that meeting?
- 25 A. Again, I -- from recollection it was about updating on

- 1 post mortem information and checking how they were.
- 2 Q. How the officers were?
- 3 A. How the officers were, yes.
- 4 Q. Anything else?
- 5 A. No, just a -- yes, just a general gathering of staff and
- 6 senior officers looking to ensure that they were okay.
- 7 Q. Which senior officers were there?
- 8 A. I am fairly certain that that was the first time that
- 9 I saw Garry McEwan who was the chief inspector. He was
- 10 the kind of Divisional Commander of Fife Division. I'm
- fairly certain that he was there.
- 12 Q. Anyone else that you remember?
- 13 A. There will have been other individuals, inspectors and
- 14 chief inspectors. Again, when the Chief Superintendent
- is there I'm not sure that it -- I'm not sure that
- anybody is really paying attention to anybody else.
- I remember Garry McEwan being there. I don't recall
- 18 anybody else being there.
- 19 Q. And the officers who attended at Hayfield Road?
- 20 A. And again, I'm not certain that all of them had
- 21 attended. I seem to recall that perhaps Nicole Short
- 22 and Alan Paton might not have been present due to just
- 23 being unwell, but I think everyone else was there.
- 24 Q. Thank you. Going back to SPF as an organisation, you
- 25 have told us about your membership?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And how many office-bearers, if any, are black or from
- 3 an ethnic minority?
- 4 A. Now?
- 5 Q. Now, yes.
- A. None that I'm aware of.
- 7 Q. In 2015?
- 8 A. None, I don't think.
- 9 Q. How many local representatives are black or ethnic
- 10 minority?
- 11 A. No idea. I mean there will be -- when it gets to the
- 12 local representatives you're talking -- you know,
- there's kind of 40 in each area and I -- I honestly
- 14 couldn't -- I couldn't tell you.
- 15 Q. You have talked about your membership being at upwards
- of 16,000.
- 17 A. There or thereabouts, yes.
- 18 Q. How many are black or from an ethnic minority?
- 19 A. However many black or ethnic minority police officers we
- 20 have that are in the rank of constable to
- 21 chief inspector? I'm not sure what that number is, but
- I'm quite sure Police Scotland could give you that.
- 23 Q. And do you think James Thomson might be able to help us
- 24 with that?
- 25 A. I think if he knows you're going to ask him that

- 1 question when he comes here, I'm sure he will come armed
- 2 with that information.
- 3 Q. Right. In the roles that you have held within SPF in
- 4 the past 20 years, how many occasions have you been
- 5 contacted by officers who were black?
- 6 A. Probably about -- again I'm guessing, about half
- 7 a dozen, somewhere between half a dozen and a dozen, for
- 8 conduct matters mainly.
- 9 Q. So if it was a conduct matter, maybe a complaint that
- 10 had been received?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. They could come to you for advice or guidance?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And have you been contacted in your time with SPF about
- any allegations of racism within Police Scotland?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. How many times?
- 18 A. A couple.
- 19 Q. Can you give us some details of those?
- 20 A. They all fall within the conduct area where there was
- 21 a perception that the conduct allegations, the conduct
- 22 process, had been brought about by the race, so that was
- my involvement.
- 24 Q. Right. So the officer themselves were concerned --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- about the disciplinary proceedings --
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. -- being racially motivated?
- 4 A. Yes, absolutely, yes.
- 5 Q. And were you involved in dealing -- in handling with
- 6 those matters?
- 7 A. I was to the point where they were then allocated to
- 8 someone else and they dealt with them.
- 9 Q. Right. Do you know the outcome of those concerns that
- 10 were expressed, or was that dealt with by the other
- 11 person?
- 12 A. Yes, it was dealt with by other people and there
- obviously were ongoing misconduct matters to be dealt
- 14 with, but -- so I wouldn't ...
- Q. Between you starting as an officer in 1992 and 2015 --
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. -- when these events took place, what training had you
- had in equality and diversity matters?
- 19 A. Again, don't ask me when it was but I attended when it
- 20 was first introduced and certainly in -- I think that
- 21 was when it was still part of Fife Constabulary.
- Q. When was that?
- 23 A. Yeah, I'm ... I'm guessing it's some time in the 20s,
- 24 you know, the 2000s and --
- 25 Q. 2000 plus?

- 1 A. Yes, I couldn't be certain on that, but I attended
- 2 I think it was a three-day course at Glenrothes in
- 3 respect of all diversity training.
- 4 Q. In the noughties?
- 5 A. Yes, at some point -- yes, I don't know when.
- Q. Anything apart from that?
- 7 A. No, I mean there's been more -- more recently when
- 8 our Professional Standards Department provide training
- 9 for those senior officers that carry out the role of
- 10 a chair or an assessor at a misconduct hearing and
- I have been present when the training on unconscious
- 12 bias is included in that training, but that's a more
- 13 recent development.
- 14 Q. Tell me about the training you have had on unconscious
- 15 bias?
- A. As I recall, it was about -- it was an hour's input and
- it was an external trainer that came in and did it so it
- 18 wasn't --
- 19 Q. Like Jayne Monkhouse?
- 20 A. No -- yes, a bit like -- it wasn't Jayne Monkhouse.
- I can't remember the chap's name, but he come in and
- 22 spoke about unconscious bias and I actually think there
- 23 was a couple of kind of exercises involved in that.
- I can't really give you any more detail on it, but it
- 25 covered more than just the race aspect of it. It was,

- 1 you know, all kind of diversity issues and I have to
- 2 say, it was the first training that I can recall of
- 3 unconscious bias.
- 4 Q. When was that?
- 5 A. So that would have been probably in the past couple --
- 6 certainly since this incident, so in the last three
- 7 years probably.
- 8 Q. Were you -- as part of that training, were you asked to
- 9 identify your own unconscious bias?
- 10 A. Yes, yes. Yes.
- 11 Q. Were you able to do that?
- 12 A. It was a kind of group scenario, so whilst it was all
- 13 contributing to it, so yes.
- 14 Q. What sort of unconscious bias was identified?
- 15 A. God, I can't remember. Sort of -- it covered kind of
- 16 racism, it covered sexism, homophobic, and people were
- 17 quite honest in saying where they didn't -- you know,
- they didn't feel that they had a lot of knowledge and
- 19 information of it and that, you know, to ask questions
- was much better than to have a perception and keep that.
- Q. So it encouraged an open mindset?
- 22 A. Yes, I suppose it was all about -- it was specifically
- in respect of chairing a misconduct hearing where, you
- 24 know, information or evidence may be presented
- 25 suggesting that an officer had behaved in a particular

- 1 way, but there might be other information to hear in 2 respect of that, so please don't come in with a closed 3 mind, that was pretty much what I took away from the 4 training. 5 And in terms of guarding against your own unconscious Q. bias, was that something that they thought was a good 6 7 thing to do, to keep an open mind? 8 Yes, absolutely. It was all about keeping an open mind, Α. 9 yes. And asking questions? 10 Q. Absolutely. 11 Α. 12 Q. And if you weren't sure, ask more questions. 13 Yes, yes. Α. 14 Q. Right. Thank you. 15 Are you aware of any negative views being held by colleagues in the SPF in relation to black people? 16 17 Am I ... Α. Aware of that? 18 Q. 19 No, not -- no. Α. 20 Have you ever -- you have obviously been a police Q. 21 officer for a long time; have you ever encountered racist comments or jokes? 22 A. By police officers?

Q.

Α.

No.

By police officers.

23

24

- 1 Q. Have you ever seen colleagues display that type of 2 behaviour, where they have made racist comments?
- 3 A. No. No.

- Q. If you did come across that type of behaviour, how would you react?
- I think the -- I think Police Scotland's advice on this 6 Α. 7 is that you challenge the behaviour and you report it, 8 so I -- I'm not one that would sit back and, you know --9 I joined the police in 1992, I am very aware that we're 10 not all treated equally. When I joined the police, 11 policewomen weren't issued with trousers, we wore skirts 12 and had a handbag, so I am -- you know, I'm very aware 13 that not everyone has always been treated fairly, so 14 I wouldn't sit back and not challenge something that 15 I believed was wrong, or was racist, or homophobic, or 16 sexist. I would absolutely speak my mind.
 - Q. I have to ask, what did you keep in the handbag?
- 18 Your notebook, your ridiculously small baton that women Α. 19 were issued because we didn't get the same baton as our 20 male colleagues, a spare pair of tights because you were 21 required to wear 20 denier tights in those days, and 22 a little tin that had your yellow chalk if you went 23 to -- yellow crayon if you went to a road accident because you had to mark the car. That's what you kept 24 25 in your handbag.

- 1 Q. It's fair to say Police Scotland wouldn't issue you with
- 2 a handbag now?
- 3 A. Thankfully -- you had a waterproof skirt as well so
- 4 thankfully we have moved on considerably in the 30 years
- 5 that I have been in the police.
- 6 Q. Good. In terms of the Joint Central Committee that you
- 7 have talked about, can I ask what would their attitude
- 8 be if anyone within SPF demonstrated an intolerant
- 9 attitude or a racist attitude in the work they were
- doing for SPF?
- 11 A. Do you mean if one of the full-time office-bearers
- 12 displayed that behaviour?
- 13 Q. Yes, and members of the joint committee. You said it
- 14 wasn't just office-bearers, it was lay persons.
- 15 A. So yes, I think if there was -- the SPF has its own
- 16 rules, as you would expect any committee to have, so if
- 17 there were concerns about an individual's behaviour or
- 18 comments, that there are processes in place to deal with
- 19 that.
- Q. And that would be -- would that involve not just overt
- 21 racism, but covert or --
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. -- implied racism?
- A. Absolutely, yes, yes.
- 25 MS GRAHAME: Could you just give me a moment, please.

1	(Pause).
2	I have no further questions. Thank you.
3	LORD BRACADALE: Thank you.
4	Are there any Rule 9 applications? Now, just give
5	me a moment. Ms Mitchell, Dean of Faculty, Mr Moir.
6	Dean of Faculty, am I right in thinking that you
7	represent Ms Givan?
8	DEAN OF FACULTY: Indeed so, my Lord.
9	LORD BRACADALE: I will come back to you then at the end.
10	Now, Constable Givan, I wonder if you would withdraw
11	to the witness room while I hear submissions.
12	(Pause).
13	Now, Ms Mitchell, perhaps you come up first.
14	Application by MS MITCHELL
15	MS MITCHELL: Yes, sir. A number of issues. The first one
16	is what my learned friend has talked about as immediate
17	post-incident management, ie the return to the police
18	station, and I would like to ask some questions about
19	her understanding of when PIRC became involved, so
20	I would like to ask questions about this based on the
21	fact that she said at [draft] page 73 "The PIRC" and
22	then something inaudible "Made aware that they were
23	investigating the matter", so she became aware of that
24	circumstance and I was going to ask her: Do you remember
25	when she heard that PIRC was investigating the matter?

Do you remember when a representative from PIRC arrived?

Did she speak to them, and if so, what was said.

She has touched upon the fact that the most senior officer present at that time was Scott Maxwell in the room, who she said "I think was a constable", and I wish to ask her did she know Scott Maxwell was involved with the incident and how did she know this. Given that he was the most senior officer back at the canteen for some time, asking whether or not he was the appropriate person, in her view, to be in charge of the situation and, if not, why not? And that's because of her evidence that there seemed to be no one in charge.

She also -- when she explained that there was no one in control of the canteen area it appears to be approximately between about 8 o'clock in the morning and 10.00 or 11.00, asking whether or not she expressed any concerns to anyone about the lack of senior police presence for that period of time.

Moving then on to collusion, or the appearance of collusion, I wanted to ask her questions in relation to a number of things. Firstly, given the fact that she realised for the potential for offices to be witnesses or suspects, whether or not she had any concerns that officers were all being kept together, which may result in the appearance or the potential of appearance for

collusion and to ask whether or not she took any steps in that regard, or thought of flagging that up with anyone.

Moving on under the same vein she indicated that she flagged up the issue of post-incident management with Superintendent Pat Campbell. The Inquiry might have heard that her evidence was that he seemed surprised by that and she indicated that if a supervisor had been present, they could have done something, for example, just ensure that the kind of principles of post-incident procedure have been maintained and she was asked by the Senior Counsel to the Inquiry "Maintained and observed?" and she said yes, and it is to ask against that background whether or not she considered raising with someone that officers should, to protect against allegations of collusion, actual collusion or the perception of it, be separated, to be put in separate places.

She gave evidence, when asked by the Inquiry, about how the process had now changed for obtaining information. Now, I appreciate the Inquiry will come to hear no doubt in much greater detail about the practices and procedures of post-incident management, but she was asked about the process that was now in place and she indicated that police officers who are involved

1 in post-incident procedure will provide a brief outline 2 of their involvement and then given 40 hours in order to give a longer statement, and what I wish to explore with 3 4 this witness is in the new post-incident procedures, are 5 police officers separated from each other to ensure that their evidence is not contaminated, either intentionally 6 7 or unintentionally. I wouldn't ask to go any further with that, just to provide giving that statement and 8 that form of context. 9 10 Moving on to the next issue in relation to the 11 canteen, she indicated that she had said "Look, let's 12 not talk about this", and that was in particular in 13 relation to Nicole Short's vest, and I wanted to ask her what talking was going on "about this", and whether or 14 15 not she recalled what was being said. LORD BRACADALE: Have you got a transcript reference to 16 17 that? MS MITCHELL: Yes, certainly, that's [draft] page 76. She 18 said "Look, let's not talk about this". 19 20 On the issue of race, she was in the canteen for 21 a number of hours. This Inquiry has heard evidence that 22 there was an apprehension of media attention and 23 possible public response in relation to the death of a black man in custody and I wish to ask whether or not 24 anyone discussed this possibility in the canteen. 25

1 The witness also gave evidence, at page 18, in relation to Calum Steele and the potential misconduct 2 3 proceedings from Police Scotland in relation to tweets 4 connected with the Inquiry and the events in 5 Hayfield Road on 3 May, and Senior Counsel to the 6 Inquiry said: 7 "I'm interested in whether that causes any conflicts or issues to arise in relation to the Joint Central 8 9 Committee and his position." 10 And her response was she was trying to think whether or not there had been any discussion about that at the 11 12 Joint Central Committee and she didn't think there had 13 been and she further gave the response: "I think, you know, Calum was tweeting as an 14 15 individual, he wasn't tweeting from the SPF account ... I don't remember that being part of any discussion ... 16 17 if I'm being honest." And what I would like to ask this witness is whether 18 19 or not she recalled any discussion about Calum Steele 20 changing his Twitter name and whether or not she was 21 aware that he was tweeting as "Calum Steele SPF" and that changed to "Calum A Steele". So -- to see whether 22 or not she was aware of that. 23 24 The next issue was that this witness gave evidence at the start of the afternoon, at [draft] page 113/114 25

in relation to an FTOB circular. This is the one headed "Black Lives Matter. The death of Sheku Bayoh, Aamer Anwar", and she indicated it's effectively an equivalent of an internal memo to the full-time office-bearers, but this particular one is asking for it to be disseminated further to the local representatives.

What I was wanting to ask her was how many representatives there are, are there circumstances in which these memos are circulated to all members, and whether or not she is aware if this memo was sent to all members.

The next issue is that this witness explained to us about a meeting that took place days after the event. This was at a time, of course, when the status of the police was not yet decided and the witness has given evidence that there was discussion about the post mortem, so I would like to ask this witness if they can remember what the issues were about the post mortem and also does this witness have any information in relation to what this Inquiry might come to hear of as being described as a welfare meeting taking place with the Chief Constable, Sir Stephen House and police officers involved in the incident, was she present, who else was present and what was said.

Finally, she indicated that she had been given

1 training in respect of unconscious bias, but that was in 2 particular to carry out the role of a chair or an 3 assessor at misconduct hearings and to ask whether or 4 not she knows if there is any training outwith this 5 context more generally to police officers. LORD BRACADALE: These are your issues? 6 7 MS MITCHELL: Yes. 8 LORD BRACADALE: Thank you. If you just perhaps return to 9 your seat please. 10 Mr Moir. Application by MR MOIR 11 12 MR MOIR: Sir, I have one question that I would wish to put 13 to this witness and it relates to the meeting a day or 14 so after the actual incident. Indeed, my learned friend 15 Ms Mitchell has already mentioned that and the question I would have: was there any discussion at the meeting at 16 17 Kirkcaldy Police Office a day or so later regarding Mr Bayoh's race by either the officers in attendance or 18 any of the senior officers. 19 20 The Chair will remember that Senior Counsel to the 21 Inquiry asked about that and the reply was it was post mortem information that was discussed and checking 22 how they were, but I would like to ask whether or not 23 race was raised by any of the officers, whether they be 24 senior officers or the actual junior officers involved. 25

1	LORD BRACADALE: Thank you. Can you return to your seat.
2	Dean of Faculty, would you come then?
3	DEAN OF FACULTY: My Lord, I wonder if I might reserve my
4	position until the others have questioned, as I did with
5	previous witnesses. It might make more sense if
6	I respond once I have heard everything.
7	LORD BRACADALE: Very well. There is quite a lot of
8	material there, so I will adjourn to consider these
9	submissions.
10	(3.04 pm)
11	(Short Break)
12	(3.25 pm)
13	Ruling
14	LORD BRACADALE: Well, I'm conscious that the Inquiry will
15	be thoroughly examining the issue of post-incident
16	management and the issue of media engagement at later
17	hearings.
18	I am therefore minded to restrict questioning of
19	this witness to issues that are within her knowledge, so
20	I shall allow questioning as to her knowledge of the
21	stage at which PIRC became involved on 3 May.
22	I shall allow further questioning in relation to who
23	was in charge in the canteen.
24	I do not consider that the Inquiry would be assisted
25	further by further exploration of the issue of collusion

1 with this witness, so I shall not allow any questioning on that issue. That, of course, is clearly an issue in 2 3 which the Inquiry will have an interest in due course. In relation to Nicole Short's vest and the 4 5 conversation, I consider that this is simply a reference to her earlier approach to discouraging discussion and 6 7 need not be further elaborated, so I shall not permit 8 any further questioning on that. 9 In relation to discussion of race in the canteen, I do not think that that issue can be taken further 10 forward with this witness, so I shall not allow further 11 12 questioning on that. 13 In relation to the use of Twitter by Calum Steele 14 and the Calum Steele circular, I think these are both 15 matters that are better left to be explored further in detail in the hearing on media issues. 16 17 In relation to the meeting which this witness attended in the days after 3 May, I shall allow the 18 19 proposed questioning. 20 In relation to the meeting with the then chief 21 constable, that is a matter which should be properly 22 explored in the hearing on post-incident management. I shall allow the questioning in relation to more 23 general training on unconscious bias. 24 25 I shall allow Mr Moir to ask his question.

```
So, Ms Mitchell, if you would come to the table and
 1
             we can have the witness back. Sorry, are you --
 2
         MS MITCHELL: I wonder if I could just clarify one matter --
 3
 4
         LORD BRACADALE: Certainly. You had better come up so the
 5
             microphone picks you up.
         MS MITCHELL: Just so I can get it correctly, I will be
 6
 7
             asking the PIRC questions. I wonder whether or not
 8
             the Inquiry can remind me whether or not I shall be able
 9
             to ask questions in relation to Scott Maxwell being the
10
             most senior person there and whether or not he was the
11
             appropriate person in her view to be in charge of the
12
             situation.
13
         LORD BRACADALE: Yes. I said I will allow questioning in
14
             relation to who was in charge in the canteen.
15
         MS MITCHELL: I'm obliged, and whether or not she expressed
             any concerns to anyone about the lack of senior
16
17
             police officers present.
         LORD BRACADALE: Yes. I'm just taking an umbrella approach.
18
         MS MITCHELL: As a whole. Okay, I'm obliged.
19
20
         LORD BRACADALE: You understand at least -- whether you are
21
             content or not, you understand the --
         MS MITCHELL: I understand, my Lord.
22
23
         LORD BRACADALE: Thank you. Can we have the witness back,
24
             please.
25
                 (Pause).
```

1 Constable Givan, you're going to be asked some questions by Ms Mitchell, senior counsel for the Bayoh 2 3 families. 4 Ms Mitchell. PC AMANDA GIVAN (continued) 5 Questions from MS MITCHELL 6 7 MS MITCHELL: I'm obliged. 8 You spoke in your evidence about becoming aware that 9 PIRC was involved on the day of the incident, is that 10 correct? Yes, that's correct, yes. 11 Α. 12 Q. Do you remember when you became aware that PIRC was 13 investigating the matter during the course of that day? 14 They were -- we were updated, so whenever Α. 15 Superintendent Campbell came in, he made us aware that 16 it would be a PIRC investigation. Whether they were 17 there at that point, I'm not sure, I didn't see anyone from -- or anyone that I know from PIRC now. I'm not 18 aware of seeing them, if that makes sense. 19 20 Q. So we would take from that that that would be some time after 11.00 am? 21 22 Yes, yes. Α. And I take it then from what you have said you don't 23 Q. 24 remember a representative from PIRC arriving or speak to 25 them?

- 1 A. My recollection is that no one from PIRC came in and
- 2 spoke to anyone that was within that canteen area.
- 3 Q. You have indicated in your evidence earlier also that
- 4 the most senior officer present by your recollection for
- 5 some time was Scott Maxwell, is that correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And you would have presumably been aware that
- 8 Scott Maxwell was part of the group of people who had
- 9 been at Hayfield Road?
- 10 A. Absolutely, yes.
- 11 Q. How did you come to know that?
- 12 A. Sorry, how did I --
- 13 Q. How did you know that?
- 14 A. That he was at Hayfield Road?
- 15 Q. Yes.
- 16 A. Because he was the person that gave me the update on
- 17 what had happened and had provided information, so he
- 18 would have -- did he tell me he was there? Yes, he must
- 19 have done. He must have said "Then I turned up
- afterwards".
- 21 Q. So do you recall him giving you a précis of the whole
- 22 incident?
- 23 A. Yes, he gave me a very brief resumé of what had
- happened.
- 25 Q. And is it your evidence to this Inquiry that he was

24

25

1 effectively the person "In charge" for the period of time between when you arrived and when Pat Campbell 2 3 arrived? 4 No, no, no, sorry. I don't think he was -- he was the Α. 5 most senior officer that was present. He remained the sergeant of that shift, so he effectively would be in 6 7 charge, but I'm not sure that he was in a position to be 8 managing anything. He was in the same boat as everyone 9 else within that -- I would have expected someone else 10 who hadn't been involved to come in and take responsibility for whatever needed to be done. 11 12 Q. You perhaps preempted my next question. So although he 13 was the most senior officer there --Yes. 14 Α. 15 -- your evidence to this Inquiry is that he wasn't Q. 16 actually in charge of anything? No. He just so happened to be the most senior officer. 17 Α. 18 I don't know what had happened prior to my arrival, 19 whether he had given instructions, but he most certainly 20 wasn't managing anything, he was very much one of the 21 nine officers. 22 Q. Between the time that you arrived and the time when we 23 got to half past 10/11 o'clock when Pat Campbell arrived, did you express concern to anybody about the

lack of senior police presence for those first couple of

- hours? 1 2 No, I don't think I will have done that to the officers. Α. 3 I think they might -- you know, they were potentially 4 already worried. I'm not sure that I would have raised 5 my personal concerns with them at that time. Would you have expressed, or did you express your 6 Q. 7 personal concerns with anyone outwith those group of 8 people? Not at that time, I don't think, no. 9 Α. 10 Q. And when you say "Not at that time", does that lead us 11 to believe that you did at a later stage? 12 Α. Had no one turned up to take charge, ie Chief Inspector 13 Trickett, I would have -- I probably would have raised 14 it at that point to go "How much time needs to pass ..." 15 but he came round about -- I don't know, 10.30, 11 o'clock. 16 Q. You have told us in your evidence about a meeting taking 17 place days after the incident. Now, if we can just get 18 19 the timeline clear: this was still at a time when in 20 your evidence at least it wasn't clear whether or not 21 people were witnesses or suspects? 22 That's correct, yes. Α. 23 And you have given evidence to this Inquiry that there Q.
- 25 A. I -- well, discussion is probably pushing it a bit.

was a discussion about the post mortem.

24

- 1 There was I think an update that the post mortem had
- 2 been carried out. I think that was the sum total of
- 3 that.
- 4 Q. Was it explained why they were giving that information?
- 5 A. No.
- Q. And was there anything discussed in that meeting about
- 7 any of the findings of the post mortem?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. So is your evidence simply that they were told a post
- 10 mortem had taken place?
- 11 A. Yes. It was an update on that.
- 12 Q. Can you remember anything else that they were updated
- 13 on?
- 14 A. They were -- they were updated on things like the
- 15 efforts to provide them with alternative uniform
- 16 clothing and clearly some of those present, as I had
- said earlier, their footwear at that time was purchased
- by them, most police officers have only got one set of
- 19 boots that they use, so those being seized was
- 20 problematic and there were plans put in place for
- 21 alternative footwear by Police Scotland providing that.
- Q. Other than those sorts of administrative things, was
- anything else said about anything that had happened the
- 24 day before?
- 25 A. No, no. It wasn't about the investigation or anything

24

25

1 that had happened the day before. It was a welfare, wellbeing check. That was certainly -- that certainly 2 3 seemed to be the focus of the get-together. 4 Q. Can you tell us how long that meeting lasted? 5 Not long, about an hour in total. I say not -- I can't Α. 6 say that everyone was present. There were some that 7 were unwell. 8 Can you recall who was unwell? Q. 9 I don't recall Nicole being there, Nicole Short being Α. 10 there, and I don't recall Alan Paton being there. I think everyone else was present. 11 12 Q. And you have said that you recall Garry McEwan being 13 present? Yes, yes. 14 Α. 15 Can you remember any more senior officers? Q. I want to say that Nicola Shepherd was there because 16 Α. 17 I would expect Nicola Shepherd to be present because she was the chief inspector of that station, but I don't --18 I don't actually know for certain. I would have 19 20 expected her to be there and I can't -- I can't think of 21 anyone else. 22 Moving on, you were giving evidence earlier on and you Q. explained that in terms of the issue of unconscious 23

bias, training has been given more recently to senior

officers in a particular context --

1 Α. Yes. -- and you said that context is if you're a chair or an 2 Q. 3 assessor at a misconduct hearing. 4 Α. Yes. 5 Can you tell the Inquiry do you know if there is any Q. training outwith this context given to police officers? 6 7 I'm not aware of -- I don't know if there is training, Α. 8 I have certainly never attended it. The only training that I'm aware of was part of that chair and assessor 9 10 training that I attended. I don't know personally. LORD BRACADALE: Thank you. 11 12 Mr Moir. 13 Constable Givan, you're now going to be asked 14 questions by Mr Moir, senior counsel for the Coalition 15 for Racial Equality and Rights. Questions from MR MOIR 16 17 MR MOIR: Thank you, sir. Constable Givan, all I want to ask you about is the 18 meeting that occurred a day or so after the incident and 19 20 what I would like to ask you about was there any 21 discussion at Kirkcaldy Police Office, at that meeting regarding Mr Bayoh's race, by either junior officers in 22 attendance or indeed any of the senior officers in 23 24 attendance? No. I mean it was a -- it was a welfare meeting, so it 25

1 was more about them than what had happened. It wasn't part of the investigation side of things, so I don't 2 recall any discussion about Mr Bayoh, other than 3 4 confirmation that there had been a post mortem 5 examination. Q. So in respect of Mr Bayoh, other than the reference to 6 7 the post mortem there was no discussion about him or his race at all? 8 A. No, it was a meeting about the police officers. 9 10 MR MOIR: Thank you. Thank you, sir. 11 12 LORD BRACADALE: Thank you. 13 Now, Dean of Faculty, do you have a matter? 14 DEAN OF FACULTY: Indeed, my Lord. 15 LORD BRACADALE: Now, do you think the constable should withdraw while we discuss this? 16 DEAN OF FACULTY: It's a matter for your Lordship. It's 17 18 only one matter I want to clarify. LORD BRACADALE: What's the area of it? 19 20 DEAN OF FACULTY: It's regarding the vest and the markings 21 on the vest. LORD BRACADALE: Yes, very well. I shall allow you to ask. 22 Questions from DEAN OF FACULTY 23 24 DEAN OF FACULTY: I'm obliged. 25 Constable Givan, you will recall being asked by

1 counsel for the Inquiry about markings that you saw on the vest of Nicole Short in the canteen when you met 2 3 with the officers afterwards. Can I just clarify that, 4 please, under reference to some photographs and I wonder 5 please if we're able to have on screen PIRC 0117. 6 LORD BRACADALE: Is that possible? 7 DEAN OF FACULTY: 1176, I'm obliged. And page 47, yes, 8 thank you. That's it there. 9 If you look at the label on that, Constable Givan, 10 you will see that the label indicates it was taken from PC Nicole Short --11 12 Α. Yes. Q. -- at Kirkcaldy Police Station, 3 May 2015 at 18.08 to 13 14 18.12 hours, do you see that? 15 Α. Yes. Now, that's maybe not that helpful, but it comes out of 16 Q. the bag if we turn on to page 48, and again, you will 17 see the same label there and I wonder if for the Chair 18 19 you could identify, if indeed it's there, the mark of 20 which you spoke when you gave evidence earlier? Yes, so the way it was sitting or standing I've not 21 Α. 22 particularly saw the side part of it, but the mark on the back is the dirty mark I was referring to, so just 23 below the silver, in the sort of centre panel. 24 Okay. So do you remember seeing the sort of more dirty 25 Q.

- 1 mark further to the right?
- 2 A. I don't remember seeing the part that would have been to
- 3 the side, but I do remember seeing the part in the
- 4 middle panel below the police sign.
- 5 Q. And I don't know if it's possible, are you able -- does
- 6 the screen respond? No. So just looking then and so we
- 7 can orientate ourselves, there is a horizontal stripe --
- 8 two horizontal stripes and there are two vertical
- 9 stripes.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you are referring to -- if we were to imagine the
- 12 vest as it would have been worn, you are talking about
- the mark immediately under the top horizontal stripe and
- 14 its junction with the right most vertical stripe, is
- 15 that right? Sorry, it has gone --
- 16 A. Sorry, it's gone -- yes, yes. So the -- yes, exactly
- 17 what you have said there, yes. I'm -- the mark that
- I recall seeing was the part that's in the middle panel
- 19 where the silver reflective -- I don't know what you
- 20 call that -- band is, but the part that is -- not the
- 21 part that's below the -- on the screen, the horizontal
- 22 line.
- Q. Yes, okay.
- A. Does that make sense?
- 25 Q. That's helpful, thanks. So if we envisage that being

1 worn by Ms Short, that would be, what, the lower right of her back? 2 Yes, that would be her right side and my recollection is 3 Α. 4 that this vest was zipped up and standing and my 5 recollection is seeing the back of it --6 Okay. Q. 7 Α. -- clearly. 8 Thank you. And just for clarity, if we go on to Q. 9 page 50, please. Now, slightly confusing -- keep going please, it's the close-up, page 50 of the PDF. Now, 10 that's I think in a different orientation. 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 But again, are we talking -- or are you talking about Q. 14 the marking again below the junction of the two lines --15 Α. Yes. -- that's what you remember seeing? 16 Q. Yes, so there's a less reflective part of that, so it's 17 Α. the -- it's what's to the right of that I remember 18 seeing. I think that's -- it looks like it might be 19 20 upside down because I think the black mark on the bottom 21 right is a clip where you would attach something, so 22 it's a bit confusing whether that's the right way up or 23 upside down. DEAN OF FACULTY: Okay. Thank you, constable. 24

Obliged, my Lord.

25

1	LORD BRACADALE: Constable Givan, thank you very much for
2	coming to give evidence to the Inquiry. You're now free
3	to go.
4	A. Thank you.
5	LORD BRACADALE: Now, Ms Grahame.
6	MS GRAHAME: We have no further witnesses for the remainder
7	of the day.
8	LORD BRACADALE: Thank you. We will adjourn. Now, am
9	I right in thinking then that the Inquiry will sit agair
LO	on Friday morning?
L1	A. That's correct, yes.
L2	LORD BRACADALE: Well, we will sit again on Friday morning
L3	at 10 o'clock.
L 4	(3.46 pm)
L5	(The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am on
L6	Friday, 17 June 2022)
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	INDEX
3	
4	PC AMANDA GIVAN (sworn)1
5	Questions from MS GRAHAME1
6	Application by MS MITCHELL136
7	Application by MR MOIR142
8	Ruling143
9	PC AMANDA GIVAN (continued)146
LO	Questions from MS MITCHELL146
L1	Questions from MR MOIR152
L2	Questions from DEAN OF FACULTY153
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	