1 Friday, 25 November 2022 2 (10.01 am)3 LORD BRACADALE: Before we begin this morning I should explain the position in relation to Mr Stewart whom 4 I asked to return this morning. 5 Having discussed certain issues with my Assessors 6 7 I came to the view that it was not necessary to put any 8 further questions to Mr Stewart and as Ms McMenamin 9 indicated that she did not wish to ask any questions under Rule 9.2, I cancelled Mr Stewart's attendance this 10 11 morning. We are ready now to proceed to Constable Gary 12 Wood. 13 Would you take the oath, please? 14 CONSTABLE GARY WOOD (sworn) 15 Questions from MS GRAHAME 16 LORD BRACADALE: Ms Grahame. MS GRAHAME: Good morning, Constable Wood. 17 A. Good morning. 18 Q. You are Gary Wood? 19 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And what age are you? A. 46. 22 Q. How many years' service do you have? 23 24 A. 14. 25 Q. You own a police dog?

- 1 A. Well, the police own the dog; I work the police dog,
- 2 yes.
- 3 Q. You're in the dog unit --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- with Police Scotland. How long have you been working
- in the dog unit?
- 7 A. Coming up for about nine years.
- 8 Q. In May 2015, you were working in the dog unit?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. How long had you been in the unit by that stage,
- 11 by May 2015?
- 12 A. Probably about a year or so.
- Q. You will see in front of you that there is a blue folder
- and if you would open that up, you should see inside
- that there's a hard copy of your Inquiry statement.
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. And please feel free at any time if you want to look at
- it, or refer to it, take it out if it's easier. You
- 19 will have that in front of you the whole time I'm asking
- questions.
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. When you go through this it will come up on the screen
- in front of you, the computer screen --
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 Q. -- so people will be able to see it, but I will refer to

25

83, says:

1 maybe a paragraph. If there's other areas in your statement you want me to refer to, just let me know and 2 3 we can put it up on the screen. Thinking about paperwork, you do not have anything 4 5 other than your Inquiry statement in that folder; the 6 PIRC never came to ask you for a statement, did they? 7 Α. No. 8 So there's nothing like that. In fact, as I understand Q. 9 it, the first time you were approached and asked for 10 a statement was when the Inquiry came to you? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. And let's look at that Inquiry statement, it's SBPI00108 13 and you will see that coming up on the screen and it's 14 your statement, taken on Monday 28 March this year. 15 Α. Yes. And if we look at the final page we should see that it 16 Q. was signed on 13 May 2022. 17 18 Α. Okay. 19 Now, on the version on the screen your signature has Q. 20 been redacted, but from the hard copy, can you confirm 21 that you had signed every page of your statement. 22 (Pause). 23 Yes. Α. Thank you. And the last paragraph of your statement, 24 Q.

"I believe the facts stated in this witness 1 statement are true. I understand that this statement 2 3 may form part of the evidence before the Inquiry and be 4 published on the Inquiry's website." And that was your understanding? 5 6 Α. Yes. 7 Thank you. In addition to the folder in front of you Q. 8 there should be an A3-sized spreadsheet. We call this 9 the combined audio and video timeline. Do you have 10 that? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. You may have seen a version of this previously? 13 Α. No. No. I don't know if you have watched any evidence? No. 14 Q. 15 If we look at page 1, just to familiarise you with it, you will see that on the left-hand column there are 16 timings. 17 18 Α. Okay. 19 And those are video timings and there's also timings for Q. 20 audio timings. 21 Α. Okay. 22 To the right of that you will see Airwave transcription Q. and Airwave transcription, and you will see that there 23 24 are entries towards the bottom of page 1 where we can 25 see that a controller in the ACR provided an Airwaves

1 transmission in relation to diverting officers to 2 Hendry Road. 3 Α. Okay. 4 As we go through your evidence I will probably refer you Q. 5 to parts of this where we have some of your Airwaves 6 transmissions and I will just ask you some questions 7 about that. 8 Α. Okay. But as we go through it I will tell you what page to 9 Q. 10 look at and the timing. I would like to begin by maybe looking at 11 12 paragraph 6 of your Inquiry statement and really just 13 asking you about East Command, and can you tell us about the sort of structure in which you work in the dog unit? 14 15 A. Yes. It was broken down. When Police Scotland first came into being we used to work obviously the legacy 16 17 forces that was taken to three command areas which is the west, east and north, so our command area covers 18 19 places like Central, Fife, Edinburgh, Borders, West 20 Lothian, Midlothian etc and --21 Q. So you're in East Command? 22 We're in East Command, yes, so we have three kind of Α. central hubs. We have one in Glenrothes that covers 23 Fife, we have one in Central area which is Larbert and 24 25 then we have one in Edinburgh which also covers the ex

- 1 Lothian, Borders force area.
- 2 Q. And do each of those command areas have a dog unit?
- 3 A. Yes. The dog unit is just the overarching banner for
- 4 our whole dog unit, but each area has a kind of
- 5 satellite area that they work from, but it's still under
- 6 the same command area.
- 7 Q. Where is the satellite area for East Command?
- 8 A. Well, there's three. There's Fettes, where I work, our
- 9 Edinburgh headquarters, there's one in Glenrothes, and
- one in Larbert, those three.
- 11 Q. And you're in Edinburgh?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. How many dogs are in each of those satellite areas, or
- work from those satellite areas?
- 15 A. Each shift there should be a maximum of four dogs that
- kind of work an area, so we work two from Edinburgh and
- we work one in Glenrothes and one in Larbert, so at any
- one time there should be four dogs covering that command
- 19 area.
- Q. On any shift?
- 21 A. Yes, and that shift rotates obviously.
- 22 Q. And those four dogs will cover effectively the whole of
- 23 Scotland?
- 24 A. Not the whole of Scotland, the whole of the East Command
- 25 area. Glasgow, again, will have equivalent numbers for

- 1 their side and North Command would have equivalent for
- 2 their side.
- 3 Q. So how many dogs are working in East Command?
- 4 A. East Command in total?
- 5 Q. Yes.
- 6 A. Probably 20 dogs. That's general-purpose dogs, looking
- 7 at the German Shepherd, the Malinois, because we
- 8 obviously have specialist dogs who do other types of
- 9 searching stuff, but for the general-purpose type that
- 10 people recognise as being police dogs, it would be about
- 11 20 covering the East Command area.
- 12 Q. And is that the same for the West Command and the
- North Command?
- 14 A. Yes, it's generally the same, so you're probably
- 15 talking -- I'm not going to be exact, but say 60 dogs
- 16 covering the whole of Scotland.
- 17 Q. Thank you. You have talked about general-purpose dogs
- and then other types of dogs. Explain what
- 19 a general-purpose dog is?
- 20 A. A general-purpose dog is a dog that is utilised to find
- 21 human scent and to find people, or to deal with people
- 22 that are violent or any kind of situation, so the
- general kind of nature of those dogs is we train them to
- find human scent, then if there is someone that has
- 25 basically ran away from something or someone that's

vulnerable, they may be kind of a suicidal person or someone with dementia, for example, we train the dogs to find the scent that is left by that person. The dog can follow that scent, obviously hopefully to find the source of that scent, the person that's kind of there at the end to be found. So the way we do that is the dogs are very, very amazing, for want of a better phrase, at finding scent, so much more powerful than our noses are. So there's 50,000 skin cells fall off the human body at any given second, in or around that number --

- Q. That's a horrible image you have just created.
- A. Yes, it's certainly an image, but if you look at a body through a special camera you would be able to see that, the dead skin cells falling off the body, so what we do early in the dog's development, we introduce that scent, coupled with a kind of reward that the dog can associate with that scent through classical conditioning, etc, and the dog then learns that "If I follow that scent, good things come", so if you follow that scent pattern and then obviously introduce other things into the mix with the person's footprint into the ground can release moisture, all these different molecular changes in the footprint, coupled with the scent falling off the body, the dog then follows that, gets its reward, so that's how we kind of introduce the kind of tracking to the

18

19

- dogs further down the line, so that's how it's introduced, so we find dogs that are capable of doing that kind of work.
- Q. Fantastic. And what about the other types of dog you
 mentioned? You said there were general-purpose dogs and
 others. What are they?
- 7 We have different types of specialism. Drugs and Α. 8 firearms were generally paired together because 9 obviously the serious crime nature, drugs and firearms 10 kind of generally tend to go together. We then have a separate specialism of explosive dogs who do a lot of 11 12 searching, like Holyrood, when the Queen or the King now 13 is going to come into residence we do loads of explosive 14 searches for that kind of stuff and we also do victim 15 recoveries for cadaver dogs to find bodies or residual component decomposition and that kind of work as well so 16 17 that's kind of the three main ones that we have.
 - Q. So they've got special skills in relation to seeking out unique smells?
- A. Just a particular scent, yes. So they're trained to
 find a particular scent. So cadaver dogs obviously

 follow the decomposition, they're trained to find that,
 explosive dogs, they work on all the major component
 scents of explosives and drugs and firearms, we train
 them on all the different drug scents and the kind of

Yes.

Α.

25

1 firearm components, gun oil and all the firearms components of the guns and stuff like that, so yes, each 2 3 of the dogs -- generally we use labradors and spaniels 4 and they've got obviously a very good hunting behaviour 5 and we train them to find those specific scents. Q. Thank you. But the general-purpose dog, their role 6 7 would include dealing with people who are violent or --8 That's part of their job, yes. Α. 9 Part of their job, or who maybe tried to escape? Q. 10 Α. Yes. Or a missing person you said? 11 Q. 12 Α. Yes. 13 Or someone who is in crisis of some description? Q. Yes, so a large majority of our work now -- I would say 14 Α. 15 maybe 50%/60% of our work is vulnerable people or missing people that have kind of -- either having mental 16 17 health breakdown or some kind of issue, or like I say, people with dementia, people that have maybe got lost 18 19 and stuff like that, so it's a huge proportion of our 20 work doing that type of stuff. 21 Q. So the dogs, they are capable not just of dealing with 22 violent people, but also very vulnerable people? 23 Yes. Α. Maybe elderly people? 24 Q.

- 1 Q. So they've got a nice nature, if I can say that?
- 2 A. Nature -- we obviously need to work with the nature of
- 3 the dog that we have in front of us, but we have to
- 4 assess the dog as having an ability to do the work,
- 5 first and foremost, so the nature of the dog: is it
- 6 biddable enough to be controlled, is it safe, is it
- 7 efficient that we can work it in a safe manner. We have
- 8 to assess all those things when we're doing the
- 9 assessment of the dog because if we have a dog that's
- 10 uncontrollable and doesn't have a nature that's going to
- 11 be controllable, then it's obviously not going to be
- safe when you're operationally working on the street so
- we have to assess all of those things when we're looking
- 14 at dogs, yes.
- Q. When you're talking about controllable, is that
- 16 controlled by the handler?
- 17 A. Yes, yes.
- 18 Q. And is there always one individual police officer that
- works with one individual dog?
- 20 A. Yes, yes. In the police we have a dog -- we take our
- 21 dogs home, they live in a kennel in the garden, so they
- 22 are individually given to a handler to manage and
- 23 maintain. Military dogs, for example, they can pass
- 24 from shift to shift because they have specific ways of
- 25 working, specific command structures and stuff like

- 1 that, they can move dogs from person to person, but with
- 2 us, in the different environments we take our dogs into,
- 3 we work our dogs specifically to that individual
- 4 handler.
- 5 Q. And does that allow a bond to develop between you and
- 6 the dog that you're handling?
- 7 A. Yes. A bond is critical. If you've not got a bond with
- 8 your dog, then you're not going to be able to work the
- 9 dog in a safe, efficient and controlled manner, so yes,
- 10 the bond is critical.
- 11 Q. Do you as a handler have the same dog for their whole
- 12 service?
- 13 A. Generally. I have had three -- this one I've got just
- 14 now is my third general-purpose dog. He -- the one
- I had at the time, 2015, was a dog who got rehandled
- because the handler that had her before got pregnant and
- 17 went off-duty, so rehandled her to me at the time, so it
- depends if the health of the dog permits it to work for
- 19 the eight or nine years that we're going to be able to
- work it.
- 21 Q. Is that how long they work for the police?
- 22 A. Yes, they generally retire when they're around
- 23 nine years old or something like that.
- Q. How long do the dogs live? I mean, what's their
- 25 lifespan?

- A. Dogs, generally anywhere, 10 to 15 years, just the same as pets, no different to any pet animal.
- 3 Q. And for eight of those years it would be with the
- 4 Police Force?
- 5 A. Yes, eight or nine years, yes.
- 6 Q. Do they retire with a pension?
- 7 A. Unfortunately not, no.
- 8 Q. And what happens to them once they have retired?
- Generally the handlers keep them if they want to, if 9 Α. 10 it's a practical solution, then if not, they can get 11 rehomed to other people. Ember, the dog I was working 12 at the time, was rehomed to somehow in the west who had 13 another couple of German Shepherds, who just loved 14 German Shepherds. She got hip dysplasia so I had to 15 rehome her at the time and it probably wouldn't have 16 been good for me to keep her because she was a very 17 frantic dog at the back of a vehicle so what I used to 18 do was I used to try and avoid the vehicle as much as 19 I could when I was off-duty so I wasn't kind of putting 20 that on her, so she went to someone who didn't have 21 a vehicle, she lived on the edge of a village and she 22 was able to just take her without putting that into her, 23 because I didn't want her putting through that stress of what the lady would have went through to put her in 24 a vehicle and stuff, so each dog has their individual 25

- characteristics the same as people do, so you have to
 try and look at what's best for the dog and what's best
 for the handler at that given time.

 Q. And Ember wasn't particularly fond of being in the back
 of a police van?
- A. No, she was a bit of a barker.
- 7 Q. Is that how you knew she was frantic?
- She had her trait, she just associated the back of the 8 Α. 9 car with barking so it was just one of these things. 10 But each dog has a different nature, they have a different thought process. You know, dogs have their 11 12 independent thought process. We have to instill in them 13 a balance of what we're looking for through our training 14 to understand what different pictures they're seeing in 15 front of them. Again, you talked about violence or vulnerability and stuff like that; we have to train 16 17 those kind of elements into the dog so the dog can understand "Right, okay, this person is showing threat 18 19 behaviours or evasive behaviours where they're trying to 20 escape", or the dog is actually coming across someone 21 who is vulnerable, to then bark to indicate to the 22 handler that the dog has found the person. So say someone is hiding in the wood and it's a vulnerable 23 person from a care home and the person is lying, the dog 24 is trained to basically go up, do a stand-off, bark, 25

1 bark, bark indication so that the handlers can then come 2 and join the dog. So we would train different scenarios 3 so it gives the dog a different picture as to what 4 they're facing, you know, and things like stick attacks, 5 gun attacks, so the dog understands this picture is this person is a threat coming towards either the handler, 6 7 ourselves or one of my colleagues, so the dogs -- you 8 have to train all these pictures into the dog's mind to 9 understand but they have their own individual thought 10 process as well so you have to manage that. 11

- Q. So you're teaching them scenarios and as part of that, teaching them about body language --
- 13 A. Yes.

12

14

24

25

- Q. -- and how people act or react to different situations?
- 15 Α. Yes, yes. Dogs are very intuitive. They're not greatly intelligent in what we term intelligence terms for 16 17 a human. All the signs kind of point to them being 18 around 3 years old when it comes to human intelligence 19 terms, but when it comes to body language, intuition of 20 someone's feelings and stuff like that, dogs are very, very advanced compared to human beings in relation to 21 how they read people, people's body language, body 22 23 language behaviour.
 - Q. So how well are dogs able to assess whether someone's behaviour is violent or a person who is in distress?

- 1 Can they distinguish between that type of behaviour?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. They can. Tell us a little bit more about the training
- 4 that a dog would have to go through to be deployed in
- 5 the Police Service? How long is the training that you
- 7 A. It's 13 weeks. The basic initial course is 13 weeks.
- 8 Up to that point generally the dogs are maybe starting
- 9 around anywhere 14 to 18 months old, so by that point
- 10 they would have had a lot of initial training with
- 11 either the breeder that they came from who will
- obviously be working -- training working animals, or the
- person who is kind of asked to kind of puppy-raise them
- 14 will be given specific a tasks to look towards putting
- traits into the dog that's obviously going to be
- amenable to when you actually train them, but when it
- 17 comes from the start of the training course to the end
- of the training course in Police Scotland it's 13 weeks.
- 19 That's led by the Home Office, it's guidelines from
- them.
- Q. So that's across the UK?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. So in relation to the age of a dog, they're quite mature
- 24 when they start going through this police training
- course?

1 Α. I wouldn't say mature. I would say let's say anywhere from 14 to 18 months old, but you can take dogs that are 2 3 slightly older than that or slightly younger, depending 4 on what traits they're giving you at that time. If 5 they're a confident dog -- because what you've got to do is you have to try and build up the dog's -- it's not 6 7 natural for a dog to bite someone, for example, so for 8 the bite-work we train it in such a way where we have to 9 teach the dog that when you're biting, it's done as part of their prey drive. A dog will either go into what's 10 called the prey drive or the defensive drive, so if 11 12 a dog is under attack, just like a human would be, the 13 dog will work on its instincts of protecting itself, but 14 when we're doing their training, a lot of the work we do 15 is in prey drive where ultimately the person running away with a bite jacket on is the rabbit, in effect, so 16 17 we train in that kind of setting so the dog understands 18 if a person is running away and we deploy the dog to go 19 and detain that person, it's part of the game, and what 20 we're training the dog to do, it's not biting in that 21 sense out of aggression, it's training as part of the 22 prey drive and the game that we're actually building at 23 this point.

Q. Let me just check I've got the right names for this: so there's a defensive drive?

24

25

25

1 Α. Yes. 2 And that's -- probably is what it sounds like? Q. 3 Α. Yes. 4 That's defending, maybe itself or you as the handler? 5 Α. Yes. Or another person, a third --6 Q. 7 Α. Yes. 8 -- a colleague or such-like? Q. Yes, yes. 9 Α. 10 Q. And then prey drive, is that P-R-E-Y? 11 Α. Yes. 12 And tell us more about this prey drive that is taught? Q. 13 It's just a survival instinct that dogs have. It's Α. 14 survival to find food in the wild so if you see 15 a rabbit, you see a dog chasing a rabbit, the dog is working on its instinct to survive, to acquire food. 16 And you develop that drive to suit the needs of the 17 Q. Police Service? 18 19 Yes, yes, but the dog also has to work in a defensive Α. 20 kind of frame of mind as well because we are putting 21 these dogs under a stress where the threat is going to 22 come and we have to have animals that actually understand and are able to overcome that threat because 23 we have people that attack officers or dogs and the dog 24

has to be capable of dealing with that threat and if it

1 doesn't have -- if the dog doesn't have the courage to 2 deal with that, obviously you don't want to put a dog 3 through that kind of stress anyway, so those dogs 4 wouldn't make it into the programme. It's only dogs 5 that are able to actually deal with that stress of being under threat and then forcing that threat to go away and 6 7 that's what they're trained to do and --So the temperament of the dog is --8 Q. 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. -- selected and --11 It's critical, yes. Α. 12 -- the training is applied on top of that? Q. 13 Yes, it's critical. The nature, if that's the word that Α. 14 was used earlier, yes, the kind of nature and the 15 courage and how the dog copes with stresses is a major 16 part of the selection process, same as it is for human 17 beings. It's no different. You know, if you have 18 police officers who are incapable of dealing with those 19 kind of stresses that the work can bring, obviously it's 20 probably not the job for them. It's just the way it is. 21 Q. And is there a high level of dogs that simply fail the 22 process and can't be deployed? Yes, there's definitely dogs that are kind of cut from 23 the programme because they're not showing -- or they're 24 maybe showing characteristics that they're not enjoying 25

the work that they're actually doing, so you don't want to put a dog through those kind of stresses because it's not going to enjoy the work and the handler is not going to ultimately have a tool that's able to actually do the job efficiently, so yes, there is the -- I couldn't give you a percentage of the ones that make it and not, but what we're trying to do is select dogs that come from a working background where maybe the parents of the dogs, or we're selecting breeders who have a history in working animals, who have the inherent DNA, or the fixed action patterns, we call them in the dog world, of those kind of traits that make them enjoy the work.

You know, if you see a police dog -- if you see my dog working now, he loves everything he does, you know, so I enjoy working him because he enjoys everything he does, you know, so if I see stresses in my dog, that he's not enjoying the work, it makes it much more difficult for me to deploy him and it doesn't make it enjoyable for the dog, so you have to be reading how the dog deals with stressful situations and then stimulate that in your training so that the dog is approximated to basically deal with that better the next time because we all have a difficulty in dealing with a difficult situation the first time we come across it, and then the next time you maybe deal with something difficult like

- 1 that you're so much better equipped at dealing with that
- 2 stressful situation.
- Q. Let me ask you about Ember, your dog in 2015. What
- 4 breed was Ember?
- 5 A. She was a German Shepherd.
- Q. And for Ember when she was in service, did she have
- 7 something -- not a uniform, but equipment on her that
- 8 was marked or branded with "Police Scotland"?
- 9 A. Not with "Police Scotland" but with "Police".
- 10 Q. "Police"?
- 11 A. She had a harness that she wore with a Velcro patch with
- "Police" on it, yes.
- Q. So if you looked at her you would have noticed that she
- was a police dog?
- 15 A. Yes. It depends on what kind of situation. In
- a working environment, you mean?
- 17 Q. Yes.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And how old was Ember in 2015?
- 20 A. She was about 3 when I got her, so say 4.
- 21 Q. 4 years old. And you were her second handler?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. By the time May 2015 came round, did you -- had you had
- an opportunity to build a bond with her and train with
- 25 her --

1 A. Yes.

- Q. -- and -- yes. Were you comfortable with your skills and her skills at that time?
 - A. Yes. I was probably relatively inexperienced at the time in the dog unit, but again, like everyone, you've got to build up your experience and your knowledge and -- yes, so -- but if I was incapable of proving that I was safe -- so safety, efficiency and control are our three mantras in the dog unit that we have the safety and control element. The efficiency is a kind of one where you can tidy up the behaviours that the dog is showing, but as long as it's safe and the control aspect is there, you have to demonstrate that to actually be licensed with a dog, so I would never have been allowed to become operational with her if I hadn't demonstrated the safety and control.

The efficiency element is just an ongoing process of sharpening the dog, making sure the dog is trained in certain situations. The dog maybe shows you a behaviour when it's out and then you go, "Okay, that didn't work that time", you go back and you identify that in your training and all your follow-up training is geared towards making the dog more efficient next time. So the critical components though are the safety and control stuff which is recalling a dog -- if you send it to

1 chase someone, for example, realise it's the wrong 2 person, you have to demonstrate the recall of the dog, 3 so the person -- the dog is in flight chasing this person, you give them the recall, if the dog doesn't 4 5 come back then you fail your recall control so you wouldn't be licensed to go operational, so you have to 6 7 demonstrate all these skills as part of the licensing 8 process. 9 Did you have a licence --Q. 10 Α. Yes. -- with Ember in May 2015? 11 Q. 12 Α. Yes. 13 So you were capable of sending her to apprehend Q. 14 somebody? 15 Α. Yes. And if you thought it's the wrong person, you had the 16 Q. 17 ability, even though she was in full flight, to recall her and bring her back before she even reached that 18 19 person? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. And let me ask about a situation where, for example, you 22 have someone who is suffering a mental health crisis, so they are a vulnerable person, or they may be intoxicated 23 24 with drink or drugs. You have told us that 25 general-purpose dogs are capable of doing that, dealing

- with people in mental health crises, but can you explain
 to the Chair how it is that a dog can help in that
 situation?
- 4 Α. First and foremost the control element of an 5 environment, placing a cordon on whilst -- if the person 6 is displaying violence then the visual deterrent of the 7 dog first and foremost is very, very helpful because it 8 gives a focal point for a person to focus on, so for me 9 to verbally engage with that person with the dog, I've 10 got a protection element there, but I have also got the person's attention with the dog so --11
 - Q. People notice your dog?

12

13 Yes, people notice the dog. If the dog is barking Α. 14 towards someone, it tends to get their attention so it's 15 a good focal point and also a good deterrent for violence because if you have that there, the person, 16 17 I have found in my experience, is much, much less likely 18 to fight with us, fight with my colleagues, fight with everybody else because the person becomes compliant just 19 20 through the visual deterrent of the dog even being 21 present, it's so much more powerful than lots and lots 22 of cops kind of shouting in different -- because it -if there's several people kind of shouting in 23 a situation, for example, the dog, when the dog arrives 24 25 it's a great focal point that the person just notices

- 1 the dog and we have a lot of kind of good engagements
- 2 end that way when the person goes "Right okay, dog is
- 3 here", just give up and that was it.
- Q. Do you find in your experience that people are maybe
- 5 more apprehensive of a dog?
- A. Very, yes. That's part of the training, that's why we
- 7 teach the dog to speak and to bark, it's a visual
- 8 deterrent and --
- 9 Q. To speak? Is the barking called speaking?
- 10 A. Yes, we train the dog to speak, yes, so there's a speak
- 11 command which indicates, and then the dog: bark, bark,
- 12 bark, bark, bark.
- 13 Q. You can get your dog to bark on demand?
- 14 A. Yes, that's part of the training, yes.
- 15 Q. And is that another way in which you get attention?
- 16 A. Yes. It's the first sign, just like the same as
- speaking to an individual, you know, if you try to get
- their attention, if someone's -- drink, drugs, whatever,
- 19 it's sometimes difficult to get their attention, so if
- 20 you're incapable of garnering that attention from the
- 21 person, it's -- I find the dogs are kind of very, very
- 22 good at getting that person's attention, getting the
- focus and actually getting compliance from them, so it's
- less likely to end up in a violent situation.
- 25 Q. Can you tell us about some examples in your experience

- 1 with the dogs, either Ember or now, where you have had
- 2 that good experience and achieved that good compliance.
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. If someone, say, is intoxicated, drink or drugs, or having a mental health crisis, can you give us any examples of a situation that you have dealt with with your dog?
- 8 Yes. There's one in Gorgie area, a guy was running Α. 9 about with an axe, that was described as a red-handled 10 axe, just off the main Gorgie street, so obviously 11 a very busy place, so firearms weren't there at the 12 time, so I basically arrived at the scene and found one 13 of the police cars was empty and a couple of officers 14 went into the kind of common stair that the guy had been 15 seen, so I deployed the dog into the stairwell and when I went up to the first floor the guys were pointing 16 17 towards a door which the guy is believed to have kind of went into, so I had the dog there at the time and the 18 19 guy actually presented at the door and he had weapons on 20 him and one of the officers was actually on the incline, 21 going up the stairs, so he was kind of almost -- if the 22 guy chased him up he would have been trapped, so by me 23 having the dog there at that point, the guy ended up kind of putting his weapon on the floor, he had a couple 24 25 of knives on his waistband as well after he had been

- 1 arrested, but he basically got on his knees because 2 I was basically using the dog as a use of force to say 3 "Down on your knees", just gaining that compliance from 4 him and then from that the guy was able to safely go 5 down and handcuff him, it was a situation which could 6 have potentially ended up for a very dangerous situation 7 for -- especially the cop who was further up the stairs 8 and trapped in the common stair. 9 Did you have the dog on the lead the whole time? Q. 10 Α. Yes, yes. The dog never came off the lead? 11 Q. 12 Α. No.
- Q. Never physically interacted with this person?
- 14 A. Physically interacted in the sense that she was barking 15 at him, yes.
- Q. Barking. And what about -- was he intoxicated?
- Α. He was having a mental health crisis at the time. There 17 was tonnes of weapons found in his -- the axe that he 18 had in the street was in his vestibule and he had 19 20 samurai swords and lots of other stuff so he was just in 21 mental health crisis. I don't know the follow-up from 22 that, whether he was intoxicated with alcohol or drugs, but he was -- certainly not by speaking to him in 23 a normal frame of mind. 24
 - Q. Can you think of any other examples where you have dealt

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with a situation with a dog, maybe in an open area where

the person was either in a mental health crisis or

intoxicated, but not in a stairwell, more in a street or

an open grass area?

There will be numerous people that I have found in kind Α. of wooded areas or areas where they have ran off from a stolen vehicle and kind of found them in a field and stuff like that and again, just dealing with the compliance of forcing that person into a position of disadvantage so that my colleagues can approach them properly, can approach them safely, can deal with them in a way that's much safer than actually just going hands on and having a struggle ensue. Putting them in that position of disadvantage, either on the ground -you're almost testing the compliance of that person before officers are approaching, so again, it's done in a much safer manner because if I say to you "I've got my dog, can you get on the ground, get on your knees, put your hands at the back of your head", if a person is doing all those actions that you're asking them to do they're showing that they're kind of putting themselves in that disadvantage, so for them to then jump back up off their knees, you've got time that my colleagues are kind of protected there, so my colleagues can approach from a position behind the suspect, so the person has

- 1 not seen it, if he still has his hands there, the person
- 2 can get handcuffed in a safe manner because the fighting
- 3 arc of the person is obviously in front, so if you can
- 4 diminish that as much as possible with the control of
- 5 the dog, I find it is very, very effective at stopping
- 6 people from fighting. I have found that numerous times
- 7 like, I couldnae go into, like --
- 8 Q. Numerous times?
- 9 A. Yes, tonnes of times.
- 10 Q. And again, you're doing this, is that you with the dog
- on the lead?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. So it's the visual deterrent and the barking?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And that can ensure the compliance of the subject?
- 16 A. It's very effective --
- Q. Without the dog touching him?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Without any of your officers, other fellow officers
- 20 touching him or you?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Thank you. Can I ask you in around 2015, how common was
- 23 it then for you to be called out to a knife incident --
- an incident involving someone with a knife?
- 25 A. Every other day, I would say.

- 1 Q. Right. So that's a very common occurrence for you.
- 2 A. Yes.

- Q. And did you find that -- what impact would you say having the dog at a knife incident had?
 - A. It's very effective. Back then the firearms kind of situation was different. Obviously the change in the terrorist threat and stuff like that, there's kind of more firearms officers now than probably there was back then, but the dog as a deployment and a resource issue was always kind of there to protect the colleagues and to protect the members of the public, so we were always kind of deployed to those types of calls and we still are.

Now there's been several instances of dogs being stabbed in different kind of force areas around the world because they have engaged in those kind of situations, so if I don't have to deploy my dog to go and detain a person in that situation, I'm obviously -- I'm very wary of doing so because dogs are not knife-proof just the same as people are not knife-proof so we generally -- if we've got an STO, which is the taser officer, I would always kind of support the taser officer in the situation where the taser officer can take control and I will be there as a contingency should the person look to make off, so we can change the roles

- in relation to that given what we think is safest at
- 2 that time.
- Q. We have heard that in 2015, officers didn't have tasers?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. It would require an armed response vehicle?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. So if we can think about 2015 where there weren't
- 8 generally the tasers --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- available --
- 11 A. So yes I would have been shouted to, yes, the majority
- of those kind of calls, yes, to support the cops.
- 13 Q. And is there an attitude that if it comes down to you or
- 14 the dog, the dog -- if someone is going to be stabbed,
- it would be the dog rather than you?
- 16 A. It has to be an attitude, I think, the dog is a working
- 17 tool and I love my dogs, there's nobody loves dogs more
- than me, he -- but Ember, at the time, she's obviously
- 19 a working animal, she is trained as a tool, and if it
- 20 meant someone getting killed, or her getting stabbed in
- 21 the defence of that person, then obviously it's a tool
- 22 that has to be used in that scenario, but that's a risk
- 23 assessment I have to take at that time. It sounds
- 24 callous when you say it but ultimately they're a tool to
- 25 be used to defend people and in that situation if

- 1 a person is going to be killed then I would be deploying
- 2 my dog, yes.
- 3 Q. And that's something you would always have to be
- 4 prepared for?
- 5 A. Yes, but obviously I don't want to put my dog into
- 6 a dangerous situation if I don't have to.
- 7 Q. Tell us about -- you mentioned the words risk
- 8 assessment. We have heard evidence about risk
- 9 assessments.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Tell me about the sort of risk assessment you would be
- doing if you're attending a knife incident with your
- 13 dog?
- 14 A. I will just be looking for as much information as I can,
- just gather the information and intelligence that you
- can to look at what your deployment options are for the
- dog because if I'm -- you can't always rely on
- 18 everything that's heard through the control room so
- 19 I generally tend to ask questions when I'm going to jobs
- 20 because maybe the controllers don't understand when
- I get to a job and I deploy my dog it's a high level use
- of force, so I have to justify that, so I want to know
- as much information as I possibly can so I am constantly
- 24 kind of questioning bits of information and ask them to
- 25 kind of follow up with further bits of information

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because sometimes the information you get is not what you find when you get to the scene. I think through policing you learn that by experience, you know, you get a call regarding 50 people or 100 people fighting and you turn up and there's five people fighting, so the perception is kind of slightly different to what's actually been reported, so I just gather as much information and intelligence as I possibly can and then I would assess that information, look at my kind of options for what I'm going to do, it's all part of the National Decision-Making Model that we get in officer safety training, look at that, assess it, and then look at my powers, policies and think "What can I do in this situation? What am I legally allowed to do? What is --" and then once things happen and you take action, you review your situation and then obviously it just goes round a spinning wheel again so -- but it sounds -when we get officer safety training it probably took me a couple of years to understand really wholeheartedly what that really means, but we do it every single job we go to. It's a very simple process of processing that information you're getting and asking those questions because I need to be thinking about preclusion, for example. If I can preclude the use of using my empty hand techniques or my verbal chat because I'm suddenly

- 1 being -- somebody is shooting a gun at me, you know, if someone is shooting a gun at you, that level of violence 2 3 is up there, we have to obviously meet that level of 4 violence with our own. Like simply chatting to somebody 5 that shooting a gun at you is not going to work, so we're constantly looking at situations and assessing it 6 7 in that frame of mind. Q. We have heard that officers should use the minimum force 8 9 that they can and we have heard about this concept of 10 preclusion. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. And please tell me if I'm wrong, that's about trying 13 options --Yes, not just necessarily trying options. 14 Α. 15 -- or considering them, excluding them and then moving Q. on to other options --16
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- maybe more forceful options as you either try and 19 fail, or exclude the lower level options?
- Yes, yes. You just -- you've got to look and see what 20 Α. 21 situation is developing in front of you and think: well, is this thing going to work? Will this work? Will my 22 baton work? Will verbal, open hand, giving yourself 23 a bit of space, or a show of force with a baton, for 24 25 example, above the shoulder, or a low-profile carry in

1 a certain situation, so there's all these different 2 techniques that we have to try and --3 Q. So you've got all the normal pieces of equipment? 4 Α. Yes. 5 And you have a dog? Q. Yes, so a lot of the time I will go to jobs and I don't 6 Α. 7 take the dog out, or even I will maybe just open the 8 boot of the dog car. I have had situations where people 9 have approached me and I have had to kind of almost take 10 refuge at the back of the police van, just flip the 11 tailgate of the van so the dog is there, still within 12 the cage, but then I ask the dog to speak and it will 13 basically stop a crowd of people actually coming towards 14 me, because in a situation I have four, five people 15 coming towards me at the back of the vehicle, I will either have to -- well, get out there because I could 16 17 take my dog, bite one and then I have four other people 18 to deal with, so I've got to assess that threat and go: 19 is it best to deploy the dog here? Is it not? You're 20 always kind of assessing --21 Q. So even the dog in a cage in a van --22 Α. Yes. 23 -- is a sufficient visual deterrent at times --Q. 24 Α. Yes.

Q. -- to prevent matters escalating?

25

A. Yes. And we do that at things like football, for
example. We've got football games where there's maybe
opposing fans and you will have the dogs present. Again
it's just a visual deterrent to say "Right, okay,
there's a deployment of dogs here". People are less
inclined to then go down the line of committing

violence, it's just a deterrent.

- Q. I'm interested in where the dog or the use of the dog

 would fit in in terms of the preclusion that you have

 talked about. We have heard about tactical

 communications with officers and we have heard about

 restraint, or strikes with batons, or such-like, so we

 have heard about levels of force and we have heard about

 verbal communication.
- 15 A. Yes.

7

- Q. Where in the spectrum of the use of force would you describe the use of a dog? You have obviously talked about just the visual presence?
- A. It's quite high up there. I mean you've got your

 profiled offender behaviour, how you assess how that

 person is behaving at that given time, and then you have

 to match that profiled offender behaviour with how high

 up that ladder the use of force you're going to use to

 actually deal with that threat.
- 25 Q. I'd like to do that with you, I would like to look

through those with you. 1 No, it's just off the top of my head. 2 Α. 3 You're anticipating my questions. Q. 4 Α. Okay. Can we look, please, at the use of force SOP. Do we 5 Q. have that? That's PS10933, or we could have the manual, 6 7 2013 manual, which would be PS11538A. Either of those 8 would be ... 9 If you just give us a moment you will see it on the 10 screen. This is the use of force standard operating procedure which was in force in May 2015? 11 12 A. Okay. Q. So if we could look at that and if we could look at 4.6 13 14 and 4.7, so the 4.6 should be the profiled offender 15 behaviour. Here, "Profiled offender behaviour": "This term encompasses the actions and behaviour of 16 17 the subject and comprise of the Warning and Danger signs they exhibit coupled with Impact Factors. Profiling 18 a person's behaviour may assist in determining 19 20 an officer's reasonable response. Profiled Offender 21 Behaviour can be sub-categorised." So you will see there 4.6.2, level 1 is compliance, 22 so this is the subject who is at level 1 and it is 23 24 compliance and it says: 25 "Most people dealt with are reasonable and will

comply with any lawful instruction. This compliance may 1 2 be verbal or it may be active compliance such as 3 stopping an action when told." 4 And do you understand that --5 Α. Yes. Q. -- that description? 6 7 Tell me in terms of the response with the dog, what 8 would -- if someone is demonstrating level 1 compliance, 9 what could you do with your dog, or what would you need to do, if anything? 10 Nothing. If a person there, probably even driving up in 11 Α. 12 the dog van, it says "Police dogs" on the side of the van is enough and I will just start chatting to the 13 14 person. I don't need to engage a dog at that point. If 15 the person is showing compliance then --Nothing? 16 Q. 17 Α. No. "Level 2 - Verbal Resistance and/or Gestures. 18 Q. 19 "This includes shouting, swearing, verbal challenges 20 to requests and/or instructions given. It normally 21 includes non-verbal gestures and posturing (body language) and can consist of Warning and Danger signs of 22 potential attack." 23 24 Do you recognise that level 2? 25 Α. Yes.

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. What could you do -- how could your dog help with a subject who is demonstrating level 2 behaviour?
- Again, just the presence of being there. I wouldn't 3 Α. 4 generally be getting my dog out in a situation where 5 someone is just showing that kind of level 2 verbal resistance, someone being a bit mouthy or whatever. I'm 6 7 there as a trained cop, I'm a police officer as well, 8 I'm not just a dog handler. A dog handler is 9 a specialism but I have 14 years in the police, so 10 speaking to that person, trying to get them to kind of stop their behaviour and sometimes they will maybe not 11 12 be compliant at the start, but your explanation to them 13 to tell them what's going to happen should they refrain 14 from their behaviour, it's obviously going to be more 15 powerful than anything, so that coupled with -- also we 16 have other -- like the sight of me in my uniform with 17 all the equipment that's -- that again is a visual 18 deterrent, not just the dog in the van, me as a police 19 officer is a visual deterrent to that person to kind of 20 change that behaviour.
 - Q. What if the person appears to you anyway at that stage -- what if that person appears intoxicated or as if they may be having a mental health crisis? How could the dog help with that, with a subject who is displaying that type of level 2 behaviour in those circumstances?

- 1 A. Like I said earlier, the presence of the dog or even the
- 2 dog van could focus that person's attention towards you,
- 3 so you could use that to your advantage to try and
- 4 engage a person.
- 5 Q. And by engaging, do you mean trying to communicate with
- 6 them?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Is there a restriction on time that you can use that?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. No, thank you. And what if the person has or is
- 11 suspected of having -- it maybe not visible to you, but
- suspected of having a knife but appears to be displaying
- level 2 behaviour?
- 14 A. Well, just --
- 15 Q. How can the dog help with that situation?
- 16 A. Again, containing the area, trying -- again, it would be
- a visual contact point for the person and try and
- 18 contain and be support for the officers that are present
- and be there as a kind of contingency should things
- 20 escalate to a level where the threat needs to be dealt
- 21 with so ...
- 22 Q. What distance do you have to be from a person so that
- they can see the dog, hear the dog, maybe have the full
- impact of that visual deterrent?
- 25 A. You have to be aware of what's called the person's

1 fighting arc, so if you're within striking range of that 2 person or that person can close you down, obviously 3 certain parts of our kit, like the incapacitant spray 4 that we use, works at an optimal level from set 5 distances, but when it comes to being the dog and being close in, I would prefer to get as much distance as 6 7 I possibly can while still being effective at 8 communicating with the person because that safety gap 9 will give you more decision time to think about your 10 option, your preclusion, what am I going to use here if someone is kind of chasing me down and it gives you an 11 12 escape route to move by being further away. The closer 13 you are to a person, obviously the higher risk you're 14 at, so I'm not going to give you a specific distance for 15 it --16 No, no, I'm not asking. Q. -- but the more distance you can have whilst still being 17 Α. 18 effective at communicating is more optimal. 19 Can we look at passive resistance, level 3: Q. 20 "This is a typical tactic used, but not exclusively, 21 by demonstrators. It is best described as non-active conduct with no compliance to lawful instruction." 22 23 Do you recognise that description? 24 Α. Yes. Again, if somebody is demonstrating level 3, passive 25 Q.

1 resistance, how can the dog help in relation to that? It's not much a change from the one before to be honest 2 Α. 3 because the passive resistance, like it says there, 4 about demonstrators, kind of, predominantly, but 5 sometimes we get subjects that are maybe just hiding their hands under their body, just don't want to be 6 7 arrested but they're just staying rigid, so I don't 8 think the dog in that situation is really that 9 effective, to be honest, because if they're in that 10 frame of mind where they're still, again, the presence might change their behaviour, but if someone's kind of 11 12 showing that passive resistance I don't think the dog is 13 really that beneficial in that, but there may come 14 a time that that changes, and I come across an incident 15 where it maybe is effective but ... If the person is suffering from a mental health crisis 16 Q. 17 or intoxicated with drink or drugs, but demonstrating level 3, does that alter what you have just said about 18 the benefit of having the dog? 19 20 No, it's just the same as the one before, just again Α. 21 trying to get focus. It might be beneficial by being 22 there. Sometimes I have found speaking to people, 23 especially people maybe that are under the influence of

drugs or whatever, but they like dogs and they have

dogs, sometimes even being there people kind of talk to

24

25

so --

6

11

14

- 1 us like we're almost not police officers, so it's sometimes really effective at de-escalating a situation 2 3 because they go "Oh, what kind of dog have you got?" and 4 you're able to get a little bit more compliance because 5 you've got a common theme that you can speak to about
- 7 Q. Many people in this country like dogs?
- 8 Yes, yes, so there's a kind of respect thing there that Α. 9 they forget you're a police officer for a period of 10 time. I have found that lots.
- And what if in that situation where the subject is Q. 12 demonstrating level 3 behaviour but they are suspected 13 of having a knife, would that alter what you have just said?
- 15 Not greatly. Again, just putting that containment on, Α. just getting the officers further back and maybe having 16 17 the dog in front of the officers in a way where we try to do the containment of the subject that's there in 18 like as safe a manner as possible, rather than the 19 20 officers being there and then the dog being behind, so 21 if the threat of the knife is there I think it does 22 escalate up to a point where I would have closer access 23 to my dog for sure, either from the back of the vehicle or potentially on a lead to say: this threat may 24 escalate very, very quickly and I have to react in 25

a quicker manner, so I would probably be looking at that, but if the dog is going to compound the situation possibly then you could again change it, look at your powers and policies and think "Okay, I will stick the dog away because it's actually making things a little bit worse", so when we go to contain and negotiate situations, sometimes an individual officer, for some reason, the subject that's there will have a particular issue with that person, so we will take them out of the firing line and then have another person try to negotiate with them to have that verbal communication be more effective.

- Q. If you have concerns about a situation escalating you have said that you would have your dog on a lead. Is that --
- A. No, not all the time, but sometimes. It's an option because like the guy that was in that stairwell that I told you about on the landing, again, it was reported he had this axe, so the reason I deployed the dog was to protect the officers and to deal with a threat that may escalate because things happen so, so quickly. It's to have access to your dog is really, really critical, really important because if someone starts chasing a cop or someone starts chasing a member of the public and they produce that weapon so again, you're dealing

1 with the information intelligence that you've got at 2 that given time. If someone is telling me "This guy has 3 a knife, he is sitting there on the ground but he is not 4 complying with what's being said", he is already kind of 5 starting to show the sign that he is not being compliant, right, okay, where is this going to go? 6 7 Unless we can talk him down into compliance it's going 8 to go potentially further up that chain and then move on 9 to the active resistance that you're going to go to next 10 so we have to be ready and prepared for that active 11 resistance coming. 12 Q. So you will try to talk him down? 13 Α. Yes. 14 But you will have the dog close in case things escalate? Q. 15 Α. Yes, yes. Then let's look at level 4, this is "Active resistance": 16 Q. 17 "This is more of a physical form of resistance, in that the subject is actively doing something to prevent 18 19 or obstruct an officer from carrying out their duty. 20 This type of resistance, although physical by nature, 21 falls short of an assault upon another. It can include 22 holding on to an object/person either physically or 23 mechanically; struggling to break free from an officer's 24 grasp; trying to dispose of evidence." 25 So again, if a subject is demonstrating level 4

1 behaviour, how can a dog assist? 2 If someone is trying to break free from an officer's Α. 3 grasp, again, they're trying to evade capture, the 4 deployment of the dog may have to come further down that 5 line if he continues to break free, but depending on what crime is taking place. If it's a shoplifter trying 6 7 to break free from a cop and he runs away, I wouldn't be 8 deploying the dog in that situation, but if it's someone 9 who has maybe stabbed someone, or someone who has done 10 a -- committed a serious assault or something like that, then the person breaks free from the officer's grasp and 11 12 runs away then I have to be ready to try and detain that 13 person if required, so it really will depend on the type 14 of crime that's taking place for how I would react with 15 a dog in that situation. It's too wide a --It's too wide a --16 Q. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. So it could be that you don't use the dog? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Or it could be that you send the dog to apprehend the Q. 21 person? 22 If they break free, yes. Α.

So there's quite a range there of possible options?

And if the person is intoxicated or suffering from

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

Q.

Massive range, yes.

46

1		a mental health crisis would that alter any of those
2		options?
3	Α.	Again it depends on the vulnerability that is being
4		described. If a person is suicidal, for example, and he
5		wants to run away to harm himself and he is running
6		towards a bridge or a something like that, me deploying
7		the dog to bite that person rather than the person
8		jumping off the Forth Road Bridge, for example, that's
9		a better option because the person, yes, may get
10		injuries to his arm with the dog detaining him but it's
11		going to save him from taking his own life, so that's
12		obviously going to extremes of the matter but that's the
13		kind of example I can think of just now.
14	Q.	So again, you've got the whole range of options open to
15		you, it would depend on the circumstances?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	And that would include a situation where and you have
18		mentioned the knife scenario.
19		Can we look at level 5. This is "Assaultative
20		Resistance":
21		"This is when there is a deliberate intention by
22		another to cause a physical effect upon a person, either
23		directly or by indirect means (assault by menaces). It
24		can be caused by an individual or by a group of people
25		acting together."

- 1 I'm interested in an individual who may be
- demonstrating level 5 behaviour. Tell us how your dog
- 3 could assist in that situation?
- 4 A. It's pretty much the same as the last answer. I know
- 5 I'm kind of going back into the same thing.
- 6 Q. It's very helpful to have details.
- 7 A. But assaultive resistance can mean, you know, someone --
- 8 Q. Say a punch?
- 9 A. Someone is intoxicated and any time a cop goes near them
- 10 they're trying to punch out at the person or something
- like that, to someone being on top of someone and trying
- 12 to force a brick on their head, or something like that,
- you know, it's --
- Q. Can your dog help?
- 15 A. It's so wide-ranging. I mean, if someone is going to
- a level where they're going to seriously injure my
- 17 colleague, or seriously injure a member of the public
- then the dog could help by apprehending that person,
- 19 yes.
- 20 Q. So if someone punches a police officer, the dog could
- 21 help apprehend them?
- 22 A. Depending, again, just on the circumstances to that,
- 23 what other impact factors are there, what's happened
- 24 prior to this.
- 25 Q. What might stop the dog being able to apprehend a person

1 who has punched an officer?

2 Well, again, am I capable of apprehending the person, is Α. 3 there other officers there that are able to apprehend 4 the person, has the officer sustained injuries or he has 5 got a punch to the head and caused any injury and stuff like that, so one specific punch to another punch that 6 7 could potentially kill someone, if someone has kind of 8 fallen back and hit their head off the pavement and 9 they've got really serious injuries, my reaction would 10 obviously depend on what's in front of me at that time so it's very difficult to say how I would deploy the dog 11 12 in any situation until I see that picture in front of me 13 because it's so important that I take on board 14 everything that's in front of me and then that's how I'm 15 able to do the preclusion, "I did this and my justification for this was because of these factors", 16 17 but to simply go on the bands and then say "I would do this with my dog or do that", it disnae work like that. 18 19 I would have to take everything in totality and say 20 "This is why I would deploy my dog in this situation", 21 because my -- what we do is a bite debrief after. If 22 I have deployed my dog to bite someone, I have to do all 23 these preclusion justification stuff to actually explain why, you know, and some people would look at it and go 24 25 "Why did you deploy your dog in that scenario?" and you

1 have to actually say "This is how I was feeling at the 2 time, this is the picture I saw before me and this is 3 why I reacted in the way I did." 4 Q. I'm going to ask you about level 6 first and then I will 5 ask you about some circumstances for your specific 6 comment. 7 Α. Okay. 8 So level 6 is "Serious/Aggravated Assaultative Q. 9 Resistance": 10 "The highest level of resistance encountered which 11 generally involves the intended use of weapons as part 12 of the attack where the perceived threat is that of 13 serious injury or is life threatening. It can also 14 include situations without the presence of weapons where 15 the perceived threat is that of serious injury or is 16 life threatening. 17 "The above provides a rising scale of resistance. 18 An offender may display a combination of these types of behaviour, and may start at any level." 19 20 And we have heard that they're not clearly defined 21 categories --22 Α. No. 23 -- and things can be blurred between them. Q. 24 Α. Yes. Q. So again, if a subject is demonstrating level 6 25

- behaviour, how can a dog assist with that? Is there any
- 2 difference to what you have already told us really?
- 3 A. No, just -- well, that level obviously the dog being
- 4 there used as a use of force is there to suppress that
- 5 threat, so we train the dogs to bite the upper arm area
- and then the dog is trained to basically hold on to that
- 7 individual through pain compliance to basically suppress
- 8 that threat so we can arrest that person in a safe
- 9 manner, then obviously the dog is released once that
- 10 person is contained and safe so --
- 11 Q. So at this stage a dog -- you could instruct or command
- 12 your dog to bite the person?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. As a use of force?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. To prevent this subject demonstrating level 6 behaviour?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And you mention the arm, is it always the arm that they
- 19 bite?
- 20 A. We train them to predominantly take the upper arms, yes,
- 21 but a dog will, given the circumstances, depending how
- 22 the -- in what drive they're biting, or situation, they
- 23 will take a part of the body that is obviously kind of
- 24 available to them at the time, but we train them as the
- 25 standard to basically take the upper arm area.

- 1 Q. So if a subject is demonstrating the highest level of
- 2 behaviour, what is the worst thing to them that the dog
- is going to do?
- A. Bite them in the arm and just make them submit.
- 5 Q. And so it's that pain control?
- A. Yes. It's pain compliance to submit, or suppress the
- 7 threat.
- 8 Q. But they're not trained to go beyond that --
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. -- level of biting or attack?
- 11 A. No. You want a dog to basically go, bite the arm, clamp
- and then the person suppresses and then they can be
- arrested in a safe manner and then the dog is released.
- 14 Q. If the person doesn't comply with the dog, or react to
- the pain and the clamping of the dog on its arm, will
- 16 the dog go further and cause further damage to the
- 17 person?
- 18 A. Potentially. At the end of the day the dog is then in
- 19 a situation where it's fighting for its life and a dog
- 20 would then flip into the defensive kind of way of biting
- 21 where, like you and I would, you will defend yourself,
- a dog will defend itself, but we train them to take that
- 23 arm and you don't want a dog that kind of then takes off
- and then reattaches to another point of the body. It
- 25 may happen and in the middle of bites, but if a dog is

- not confident and strong that's generally what happens,
 but you've got a confident, strong dog, they will clamp
 harder and basically put on more pressure to submit that
 threat, just like they would in the wild, so you
 can't -
 Q. So they grip on?
- 7 Α. Yes. You train the grip so that the dog pushes into the 8 grip, pushes in and holds. That's the kind of dog you 9 want that's able to overcome that threat and I know 10 it's -- it's not a nice thing to talk about, it's like -- probably the public don't want to -- but that's 11 12 why the dogs are there. We're there to try and deal 13 with the threat that's in front of us and, like I say, 14 I would only deploy my dog in a situation like that if 15 it's very, very necessary and lives are on the line, but that's what the dog is there for as a tool to basically 16 17 stop that threat being towards another person or another 18 colleague.
- 19 Q. Was Ember a strong and competent dog?
- 20 A. She was, yes. She had her idiosyncrasies but she was --
- Q. Her barking in the back of the van?
- A. Yes, she had her little peccadilloes, like I think we have all got, but again, she was confident when she was working, yes.
- 25 Q. Was she competent and strong in relation -- if you had

- given her an instruction to bite somebody, would she
 have complied with that instruction?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. And would Ember have been -- you talked earlier about
 the ability to recall a dog, even if it's in full flow.

 If you had been with Ember and she was clamped onto
 somebody's arm but you instructed her to release --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- would she have complied with that instruction?
- 10 Α. Yes. That's part of the licensing, the safety and 11 efficiency. If you're not capable of getting your dog 12 off, or recalling it from -- the recall itself is so 13 critical to what we do because ultimately you need the 14 dog to understand that no matter what situation it's in, 15 the dog responds to the handler, so if you have got 16 a dog ultimately that disobeys a recall, for example, 17 and then goes and bites someone when you have actually 18 shouted it back, it's obviously a failure of safety and 19 control, so that person's licence would then be 20 withdrawn, they would potentially get an action plan to 21 work through those kind of scenarios in a situation 22 where they go and do the same exercise over and over, 23 they get the dog to understand "No, no, you come back", but there might be a time when a dog fails a recall then 24 the dog would have to get kind of taken off the -- taken 25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

out of the programme and be rehomed because it's not

a dog that's suitable. If a dog is not listening to you

then it's a potential danger to members of the public

so ...

- Thank you. Can I ask you about -- I said that Q. I would -- once we had gone through the levels I would ask you about a particular scenario, a scenario where officers have arrived -- and I want to talk about the ways in which you could have used your dog in this situation. So a subject is demonstrating initially level 1 or level 2 behaviour, they then refuse to -- or fail to comply, I should say, with verbal commands and their behaviour starts to move up the levels that we have seen. They are sprayed, potentially up to six times with CS and PAVA spray, that they then punch a police officer, so again, going up the levels of behaviour, and I'm interested in if we just take that as one scenario how you, with your dog, could assist officers who were at the scene?
 - A. It sounds like a messy scene. So when I arrived again given what you have given me I probably would have deployed the dog on lead at that point to be a contingency should things escalate further, but again, it's easy me saying that now in hindsight, it's just a -- the situation that unfolded and the information on

1 that morning that we were kind of given over the radio 2 which you will probably go on to, then the information 3 and intelligence picture that we're being given is that 4 there's a potential threat there, so if that threat is 5 then met by non-compliance, escalation in behaviours, all that kind of stuff, then that would be taken into 6 7 consideration when I first arrived, but to merely say 8 I would do this or do that is difficult, but certainly 9 with what you have given me and the information 10 intelligence picture that I've got, I would be very, very close to my dog and looking at what would have came 11 12 across should I have arrived at the scene and think: 13 right, okay, am I going to take the dog into this 14 situation, is it potentially going to make it messier, 15 so I have to think about that sometimes as well. If I take a dog into a situation where there's lots and 16 17 lots of stuff already involved and lots of people it's 18 potentially going to make things messier, potentially an officer could get bitten as part of that melee as 19 20 well, so I've got to think of the safety of everyone 21 that's there so maybe in that situation I would have 22 arrived and went: oh actually, I need to just be an extra hand or maybe take control of certain things as 23 a kind of more experienced officer or whatever, I don't 24 25 know, I never got to the scene so I can't actually say

- 1 what I would have done. 2 If you are the first person there, or officers are there Q. 3 but they have waited for you, you're the -- you're 4 basically the first person sent in? 5 I would have taken the lead, yes, probably as a --Α. generally colleagues will look at me to take that lead 6 as the kind of dog person, or I will try and cajole them 7 8 if we have maybe met round a corner and say: right, 9 okay, when I get there I'm going to try and engage him 10 with the dog and try and get some verbal communications done as a focal point. 11 12 Q. So you're saying meet round the corner -- say they are 13 meeting at a rendezvous point --If that had happened and --14 Α. 15 -- and you're there, or they're not maybe necessarily Q. round the corner, but they're in the vicinity but 16 17 sitting there waiting? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And they have waited for you, you would take the lead on Q. 20 that with the dog? 21 Α. I generally tend to, yes. 22 And does that mean you would have the dog on the lead Q.
- 25 A. Again, potentially, depending how many officers are

the subject?

out the van on the lead and you would go and approach

23

24

- 1 there, depending how many members of the public are there, if there's anybody needing protected, if that 2 3 person -- again, the kind of area it was in, it was in 4 the middle of a field where there's no members of the 5 public then we can contain that whole field, stick a cordon round the whole field, give him that space in 6 7 the middle, get a megaphone, speak to him from 8 a distance, you know, do all these things to try and 9 minimise the risk to the officers, minimise to the 10 members of the public. So I can't say what I would have done in that situation because --11
- 12 Q. You weren't there?

21

22

23

24

25

- I can't look at the layout, I can't see the escape 13 Α. 14 routes for the officers that are there, I can't see the 15 potential inherent dangers of any -- again, there could 16 be children running about, there could be other dogs 17 that are there, so you're assessing every single thing 18 of the layout, what you're seeing in front of you and 19 going: a dog is going to work here, or a dog is not 20 going to work here."
 - Q. But all of the options you have described to me in response to looking at the different types of behaviour and your earlier evidence, they are always open to you depending on the particular circumstances that you're faced with?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And you carry out your risk assessment in terms of the
- 3 National Decision-Making Model?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And you would tailor your response with the dog
- 6 depending on the circumstances as you saw them?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. At any given time?
- 9 A. Yes, and there's nothing worse than being in a situation
- where you don't have access to your dog, for example.
- I got assaulted a while ago down at the Cramond
- 12 waterfront because I was out looking for a missing
- person, for example. There was loads of joggers, loads
- of walkers, stuff like that. The other dog handler was
- searching around the kind of home area for this
- gentleman that went missing and I just decided to go and
- 17 walk the waterfront, so I didn't take the dog with me
- 18 because there was too many people, too many runners and
- 19 stuff, so I just walked the waterfront. At the end of
- the waterfront happens to come across a gentleman who
- 21 then decided he wants to go fighting with the police
- 22 because of the uniform I had on. Not even the person
- I was looking for, so suddenly I'm away from my vehicle,
- 24 no tools, individual officer, so I end up having to
- 25 engage with this guy and it just turns into a bit of

1 a stramash, so you learn pretty quickly: I need to be close to my tool, my dog that I have the ability to 2 3 deploy that, because at the end of the day that's what 4 I'm there for, I'm there as a specialist officer, so 5 without me having a dog and the ability to deploy that dog quickly, it doesn't really help having that 6 7 specialism there, but sometimes it will dictate where, 8 like you say, the level of compliance, do I need to get 9 the dog out because I'm a police officer first and 10 foremost, and then I'm a police officer with a tool so the same as a kind of firearms officer going to a job 11 12 and not having his guns with him, it makes sense in most 13 situations that escalate -- and things do escalate really, really quickly and it's very difficult again in 14 15 hindsight to look over these things. I understand that 16 that's just part of the process of what we're going 17 through here, but it's another thing to actually be in 18 that situation where someone goes from level 1 straight up to that top level without any kind of warning 19 20 whatsoever and that reaction time it takes for someone 21 to process that information, to -- and until you have 22 had several kind of times where people have assaulted 23 you and went through that process, your body, your mind, is able to adapt much more quickly to actually react to 24 it, rather than obviously people sometimes freeze 25

- 1 because they have never been in that situation before
- 2 and you can try and roleplay all those situations but
- it's very, very difficult until you're actually faced
- 4 with that threat to actually fluently allow your brain
- 5 to think: okay, what are these -- what's my
- 6 contingencies, what's my policies, and get in that
- 7 mindset, you know. It takes time to learn and get that
- 8 experience in the job.
- 9 Q. And everyone's experience is different?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And for you, one of your most important pieces of
- 12 equipment or a tool is your dog?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And I can see that you feel that having your dog is an
- important part of your work and your ability to do your
- job properly?
- 17 A. It's massive, yes.
- Q. Can we look, please, at the combined audio and visual
- 19 timeline. That's the spreadsheet that you have in front
- of you and can I ask you do you have -- we maybe won't
- 21 need it. If there's -- yes, we will put the combined
- 22 audio and visual timeline on the screen, please. Do you
- 23 have any other paperwork in front of you, Mr Wood?
- A. No. Just the two things you described.
- 25 Q. Actually what I would like to start with is the STORM

- log, it might be better to start there. We heard some
- evidence yesterday about the STORM log and I'm
- interested in PS00232. So you will not -- you don't
- 4 have a copy of this in front of you, but it's only two
- bits I want to ask you about. Oh, yes, there may
- 6 actually be a hard copy we can provide you with. So
- 7 it's PS00232.
- 8 A. Thank you.
- 9 Q. And it is at the top left-hand corner, you will see it
- says 3/5/2015, 7.15 and it is now on the screen as well?
- 11 A. Yes, okay.
- 12 Q. And we have heard that this is a STORM log, comes up on
- the screen in the ACR.
- 14 A. Okay.
- Q. And I just want to ask you about something on page 2.
- You will see in the middle column on the right-hand side
- that there are timings in the column.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Would you look please at 7.18.18.
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. It is on the screen and it says "SD10 attending".
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. We have heard that that was a dog unit.
- 24 A. Yes, Sierra Delta, specialist dogs.
- Q. Specialist dogs?

- 1 A. Well, I assume that's what it's from, I have always
- 2 assumed it's specialist dogs how they've come up with
- 3 the numbers, because they change every few years, they
- 4 kind of seem to change these call signs and stuff, but
- 5 yes, Sierra Delta.
- 6 Q. And then slightly further down that page you will see
- 7 another reference that says "SD18", if we can go down to
- 8 7.21.25, so it says "SD18 attending from Edinburgh"?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Were you SD18?
- 11 A. I was Sierra Delta 18, yes.
- 12 Q. 18, sorry.
- 13 A. Sierra Delta 10 is probably a typo, I would think, but
- 14 Sierra Delta 18, that was the call sign I generally
- 15 used. Sierra Delta 10 is a call sign from Central,
- I think, so it would have been me, being 18.
- Q. So you were 18 that day and you were attending from
- 18 Edinburgh?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. We have heard that -- keep those timings in mind but
- 21 let's look at the combined audio and visual timeline.
- 22 We have also heard evidence in relation -- let's look at
- 23 page 2 of the spreadsheet and just to give you some
- 24 context you will see that at 7.17.23, you will see an
- 25 entry relating to Acting Police Sergeant Maxwell?

1

25

Α.

Α.

APS Maxwell. 2 Q. And he requests: 3 "All units, an ARV and a dog as well please." Do you see that? 4 5 Yes, I see that. Α. Q. Then further down at 7.19.12 you will see an entry by 6 Maxwell again saying: 7 "Is there an update from ARV or dogs unit over." 8 9 And then Con 1, who we have heard was a Mr Masterton, at 7.19.17 says: 10 "I believe a dog unit is en route." 11 12 Α. Okay. 13 And we heard yesterday that SD10 by that time was Q. 14 attending. 15 Α. Yes. And you have told us you were attending from 7.21.25. 16 Q. 17 Now, we have heard some evidence that the Airwaves transmissions are audible to officers on their radios? 18 19 Yes. Α. 20 And that's audible to ACR, to the inspector in Kirkcaldy Q. 21 Police Office, but also to the officers en route to Hayfield Road. 22 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. And was that Airwaves transmission audible to you also?

It would have been, yes. I've got a vehicle handset

- that would be on Police Scotland on top, I don't know if
- it was the same back then, but our roaming channel, we
- 3 call it, for specialist services and then I would have
- 4 switched my personal channel on to the local channel so
- 5 I was kind of listening to both.
- Q. Listening in to the events in Kirkcaldy that day?
- 7 A. Yes, at some point I would have switched my radio onto
- 8 the Kirkcaldy channel.
- 9 Q. And we heard yesterday that as well as the Airwaves
- 10 transmissions, that from ACR there is communication
- going on in the background, so between Sergeant Maxwell
- 12 asking for a dog and then being told by the controller
- in ACR that there was a dog en route, there would have
- 14 been some communication in the background, not that we
- can hear on the Airwaves transmission but someone such
- as another controller at ACR contacting the dog unit
- 17 people?
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. So is that how you got involved with these events?
- 20 A. It would have been the roaming channel shout up for the
- 21 specialist resource, so yes, it has been shouted on the
- 22 roaming channel and then I would have switched my normal
- 23 radio onto the Kirkcaldy channel.
- Q. And is that shout out from the ACR?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. So they make an open request --
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. -- to dogs?
- 4 A. They just shout up something like:
- 5 "Any dog handler able to attend a call in
- 6 Kirkcaldy?"
- 7 Q. And you responded to that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And having responded to it, at that time were you still
- in Edinburgh?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. At Fettes?
- 13 A. I had just started, yes, because it was a 7 o'clock
- 14 start.
- 15 Q. It was 7.18 -- 7.21 in the morning on Sunday 3 May.
- Tell us, once you received that communication from
- 17 ACR, what did you do?
- 18 A. I stuck the blues and twos on and started driving over
- 19 towards Kirkcaldy.
- Q. That's the flashing lights?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. The sirens?
- 23 A. Yes, just started attending from Edinburgh as quickly as
- I could.
- 25 Q. Right. How long did it take you to get the dog into the

1 van? 2 The dog was probably already in the van at that point. Α. 3 Normally for day shifts I will get there maybe at about 4 6.30 so I get the dog, PE, everything like that, get the 5 dog in the van, get my uniform on, get ready, so I'm actually starting at 7 o'clock, so the dog would have 6 7 been in the van at that point so it would have been 8 a case of me just jumping in the van and going. What type of van was it? 9 Q. 10 Α. I'm not 100% sure. Maybe a -- a Ford Cougar or maybe a Connect van maybe, Ford Transit Connect. 11 12 Q. Okay. Can we look at your Inquiry statement for 13 a moment, please, and if we could start with 14 paragraph 38. You will see this on the screen. You say 15 here: "I basically loaded the dog up on the van and just 16 17 left from Edinburgh. I was just hearing the radio 18 communications from the transcript as I was driving over. So I think I got probably over to just past the 19 20 Forth Road Bridge at the time when everything developed 21 and happened as it did. So at that point I got stood 22 down just prior to actually going off and doing what needed to be done at the locus. So I was aware of 23 everything that was going on from the radio, but 24 25 I didn't have a huge involvement in anything that went

1 on at the scene. "The drive from Edinburgh to Kirkcaldy would 2 3 probably take me about 13 or 14 minutes with lights and 4 sirens. Anywhere between 10-15 minutes depending on 5 congestion. On an early Sunday morning I would expect empty roads and nothing to slow me down." 6 7 And you have given an estimate there in paragraph 39 8 of anywhere between 10 and 15 minutes to get from 9 Edinburgh to Kirkcaldy. 10 Α. Yes. How realistic was that estimate? 11 Q. 12 Α. I don't know. I would have to look at Google Maps to be 13 honest. I don't know. If it's clear roads, you're 14 straight along Queensferry Road, straight past Barnton, 15 up on to the Forth Road Bridge and you're on the A90, 92 so it's pretty much straight roads all the way so yes, 16 17 I would say 15 minutes is accurate enough. What was the traffic like that Sunday morning at 7.20? 18 Q. I can't remember. I would imagine it would have been 19 Α. 20 quiet because it was a Sunday morning, it generally is. 21 Q. What speed were you doing when you've got the blue lights and the sirens on? 22 At what specific point? 23 Α. As you were travelling to Kirkcaldy? 24 Q. It depends on the speed of the actual road that I was 25 Α.

1 on. 2 Did you comply with the speed limits on the road or do Q. 3 you go faster when you're doing --4 Α. I would like to think so, but I couldn't give you an 5 exact, to be honest. I'm not asking you to confess to speeding. 6 Q. 7 So it's been -- one of our witnesses suggested some 8 surprise at the idea you could be there in 10 to 9 15 minutes, and I just wondered how confident you were 10 about that estimate. I honestly don't know. Unless I went out and drove it 11 Α. 12 under those conditions again, I'm not sure, but 13 15 minutes for me, if you're going on the A90, it's 14 a 70-mile limit, so you can go up to 90 miles an hour on 15 the 90, 92 so it's a -- yes, I think 15 minutes would be a doable enough time given kind of quiet road 16 conditions, but again, I could be wrong. 17 All right, thank you. 18 Q. 19 That was just a -- this kind of stuff there was Α. 20 obviously a chat on Teams with a solicitor and it was 21 just basically that, it was a chat, just -- so the 22 accuracy of that may be -- but I don't think it changes much to be honest. 23 Q. Sorry, I didn't quite understand, so when you gave your 24

Inquiry statement to the Inquiry team, you did it over

25

Teams? 1 2 It was over Teams, yes. Α. 3 Online? Q. 4 Α. Yes. 5 Can I ask you when you -- at paragraph 38 you mention Q. 6 you had just: 7 "I got probably over to just past the Forth Road 8 Bridge at the time when everything developed and 9 happened so at that point I got stood down." 10 Tell us what sort of time you took getting just past the Forth Road Bridge? 11 12 Α. I don't know, 6 minutes, 7 minutes, I don't know. 13 All right, thank you. Q. 14 Again, it is all kind of guestimate stuff, I'd have to Α. 15 live It again. But are these your best estimates? 16 Q. 17 Yes. Α. 18 Q. Based on your recollection? Based on my -- I don't know, knowledge, experience, 19 Α. 20 or -- but again, I've never timed those kind of runs, 21 you know. It's difficult to say exactly how long. 22 Q. Have you had to go to Kirkcaldy --23 Yes. Α. 24 Q. -- on other occasions? But again, I don't time these things so unless 25 Α.

1 I actually went out with the mindset of timing where 2 I got to and stuff like that, so it's difficult to say. All right. Thank you. 3 Q. 4 Can we go back to the combined audio and video 5 timeline, the spreadsheet, please, and we were looking at page 2. You will see that we finished at 7.19.17 6 7 with the controller at the ACR believing a dog unit was 8 en route, and I would like to move on to your first sort 9 of contact on the Airwaves transmission, which I think 10 is 6, page 6. Someone will tell me if I'm wrong, but at the bottom of page 6., you will see that you do make a 11 12 transmission at 7.24.06. It is inaudible, 118 to 13 control". I think you said your number was 18? Yes, Sierra Delta 18. 14 Α. 15 Q. SD18. And then the controller responds and then if we 16 turn over to page 7 and you will see at 7.24.11, it 17 says: 18 "Gary Wood: yeah I'm en route from Edinburgh. 19 I take this is the same male with the knife, yeah." 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. Do you remember where you were when you made that 22 transmission? 23 No. Α. No. Then if we move on to page 8 you will see at 24 Q. 25 7.26.20, which is at the top, you see:

"Sierra Delta 18 ..." 1 2 We don't have a lot of what you said and then on 3 page 9 --Probably saying inaudible because obviously me driving 4 Α. 5 with the blues and sirens on when you're trying to transmit, the clarity of the set is probably not the 6 7 best. 8 Q. Can I ask you to explain 7.27.39. You appear to say: 9 "Yeah, that's received, thank you". 10 What was that in relation to? And please feel free 11 to look at the previous page, but I couldn't see 12 anything on the previous page. LORD BRACADALE: (Mic turned off) 7.27, which is the 13 14 beginning of that conversation. A. It says: 15 "Yes, I'm a dog handler. I'm heading from 16 17 Edinburgh. Is this guy fully restrained or is there still requirement?" 18 So I'm asking is there still a requirement for 19 20 myself to attend. 21 MS GRAHAME: My version doesn't have that in it, so excuse me for the moment. It must have something missing. 22 Right, sorry. That was my issue. 23 24 7.27.27, you have that on your version, do you? 25 A. Yes.

1 Q. I don't know why it has been missed out on mine. It 2 says: 3 "Yes, I'm a dog handler. I'm heading from Edinburgh. Is this guy fully restrained or is there 4 still a requirement?" 5 What information were you seeking there? 6 7 If I was still required to attend at the scene because Α. 8 obviously saying -- I want to know is the guy 9 controlled, that's what I'm asking, if I'm still 10 required because I don't want to be driving blue lights if I don't need to, because again, any time you're 11 12 driving blue lights it's -- I'm not saying it's 13 inherently dangerous, but it's obviously more dangerous 14 than driving at normal speed, so I'm just asking is the 15 guy under control. Right. And then the response to that, 7.27.34 from 16 Q. 17 Sergeant Maxwell: "We've got him under control now thanks." 18 19 Yes. Α. 20 And then so the 7.27.39 response from you was: Q. 21 "Yes, that's received. Thank you". 22 Α. Yes. 23 And then do you remember where you were when you were Q. 24 stood down? Probably somewhere on the 92, I would think, or the 90, 25 Α.

- going on to the 92, something like that. I don't know.
- Q. So in relation to the Forth Road Bridge, where were you?
- 3 A. I would probably be on the Fife side of it, yes.
- 4 Q. Over the bridge --
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. -- on the Fife side, heading to Kirkcaldy?
- 7 A. I think so, but ...
- 8 Q. Yes. And can we look at paragraph 63 of your Inquiry
- 9 statement please. You have said:
- "I have been asked what I did next after I was told
- 11 that the male was under control. I just kept going
- 12 over. I just drove to Kirkcaldy anyway just for
- 13 support. Given the nature of the call and what was
- going on on the radio, then it was obvious it was
- becoming a bit more of a serious incident. A lot of
- times I get requested to then do further searches for
- things because still at that stage I didn't really know
- if the knife was outstanding or if there was any other
- 19 stuff that had happened."
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. So you kept going?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you turn off your lights and siren?
- 24 A. I would have done, yes.
- 25 Q. And how long did it then take you to get to Kirkcaldy?

- 1 A. Again, I'm not sure if I was -- how far I was kind of on
- 2 the 92. It depends how close I was to Kirkcaldy.
- 3 Q. Where did you head first of all?
- 4 A. I can't even remember to be honest. I just went into
- 5 the kind of area and then I was requested by the --
- I think it was maybe the CID or somebody to attend to --
- 7 was it Hayfield Road, something like that?
- 8 Q. That's where the incident took place, yes.
- 9 A. Yes, so I was asked to go to a certain property which
- 10 was believed to have been connected to it so ...
- 11 Q. Right.
- 12 A. Just that kind of area.
- 13 Q. If we look at your statement again, I think on the next
- page, paragraph 70 and 71, you were asked about --
- 15 A. It would have been that other address, yes.
- Q. A call to Arran crescent?
- 17 A. So not Hayfield then, just Arran.
- Q. So you then went to Arran Crescent, we see that in
- 19 paragraph 71, and you took your dog to Arran Crescent,
- 20 not Hayfield Road.
- 21 A. I drove the car, yes, just to the back.
- 22 Q. Thank you. Can I ask you briefly about the -- are you
- 23 happy for me to continue given the time?
- 24 LORD BRACADALE: What's your sort of --
- MS GRAHAME: I don't have very long at all.

- 1 LORD BRACADALE: Perhaps you can finish this witness and
- 2 then take a break.
- 3 MS GRAHAME: Can I ask you briefly about paperwork that you
- 4 have to fill in.
- 5 If the dog comes off the lead --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- and you command the dog to approach, apprehend, bite
- 8 the subject, what paperwork do you have to do?
- 9 A. We have a use of force document we have to submit which
- 10 goes to the officer safety training instructors to
- 11 assess and we also complete an ION, an incident of note
- form, basically dictating what had happened and from
- that if the dog had bitten and engaged in that we would
- 14 have a bite debrief which is a kind of like a bite
- 15 report.
- Q. So we have heard that other officers if they have to use
- force they have to complete paperwork and you are in the
- same position with your dog?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And can I ask you one or two questions about whether you
- 21 have had any training in relation to diversity,
- 22 equality, discrimination, that type of thing.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. What sort of training have you had?
- 25 A. I remember the first week at college we did the

- diversity training. Apart from that --
- Q. When would that have been?
- 3 A. 2008, when I first started, and there's diversity
- 4 training on Moodle that we have kind of completed as
- 5 part of kind of -- stuff like unconscious bias and other
- 6 items like that.
- 7 Q. And how were you able to use that in terms of your work
- 8 in the dog unit?
- 9 A. I'm not really sure what you mean, just ...
- 10 Q. Did you learn any skills or techniques that you were
- able to develop and evolve as your work with the dog
- 12 unit continued?
- 13 A. I don't think anything specific to dogs would relate,
- 14 but certainly as a police officer, yes, I think
- diversity is obviously a massive part of your
- 16 understanding of different groups, cultures, belief
- 17 systems. The more you can understand, the more you can
- 18 emphasise with people in situations.
- 19 Q. Do your dogs or any dog deal with people differently
- depending on their ethnicity, or their nationality?
- 21 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. Again, there may be science
- 22 to disprove that but I have certainly never been given
- any training in relation to how a dog would deal with
- 24 different people.
- 25 Q. You have mentioned unconscious bias; do you remember

- anything about the training you received on that?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Were you given any techniques to guard against
- 4 unconscious bias?
- 5 A. As part of the training we would have had, yes, but
- I have also kind of researched stuff like that in my own
- 7 free time as well, but it's important to our role that
- 8 we're dealing with different people all the time.
- 9 Q. Do you remember anything that you have learned?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Do you want to tell us about that?
- 12 A. Just that unconscious bias is a thing that exists and we
- have to guard against it in situations where you may
- make decisions based on your pre-conceived stereotypes
- of things so ...
- Q. Is that something you're conscious in yourself?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. You have unconscious bias and --
- 19 A. I think everyone does, in a sense, so to guard against
- it you have to understand it and I think I'm -- I like
- 21 to read things, I like to understand things as best
- I can, so to deny that fact is, I think, folly. You're
- 23 not going to be able to understand people if you don't
- 24 understand it.
- 25 Q. Do you yourself -- can you -- are you able to identify

- 1 any unconscious bias that you have?
- 2 A. Individually, maybe. I don't know what --
- Q. I suppose I'm more interested in whether there is any recognition about an unconscious bias that you may have
- 5 which somehow is translated in how you use your dog
- 6 and --
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. -- use that tool in your work?
- 9 No. I wouldn't like to think so, but again, you've got Α. 10 to guard against that all the time by keeping learning and keeping understanding things and the more experience 11 12 you have, the more knowledge you acquire, the more that 13 you can guard against that. I mean when we first came 14 in, it was not long after the Stephen Lawrence Inquiry, 15 the Macpherson Report came out, so a lot of the stuff in the initial phases of the police training was to do with 16 17 the Macpherson Inquiry, to do with the stuff that 18 happened in the Met at the time, so we were very well 19 sighted that that was a main trigger as to why we were 20 doing all the training we were doing at police college, 21 to try and understand that right from the start. So 22 again, throughout my life just the kind of person I am, I like to understand things, I have read a lot of books 23 about those kind of inquiries, those kind of situations 24 so it helps me to be a better police officer and that's 25

1 just -- I think it's an important thing to do, but yes, have I as a person probably got inherent biases that 2 3 I was given by my parents as a child, probably, but have 4 I tried to guard against them as best I can? Probably, 5 yes. Thank you. Could you give me a moment please. 6 Q. 7 (Pause). 8 One last thing please, Constable Wood. You 9 mentioned Glenrothes as being a satellite where a dog 10 is, or dogs are based. That's closer to Kirkcaldy than Edinburgh? 11 12 Α. Yes. Were you aware when you were deployed to Edinburgh and 13 Q. you went to attend to Kirkcaldy, why the Glenrothes dog 14 15 wasn't? I'm not sure if I knew that the person that was working 16 Α. 17 at Glenrothes wasn't working that day until I had asked that on the radio maybe, I don't know, or if I knew in 18 19 advance, I can't remember, I can't tell you, but 20 certainly the handler in Glenrothes that day wasn't 21 working which was why I would cover -- because I wouldn't normally cover from Edinburgh to go to 22 23 Glenrothes unless there wasn't a handler over in that 24 area. And from your own experience, do you know how much 25 Q.

1 quicker it is for someone to get from Glenrothes to Kirkcaldy than Edinburgh to Kirkcaldy? 2 A. Yes, it would be a matter of minutes to get from 3 4 Glenrothes, just straight up the 92, yes. So it would 5 be quicker from Glenrothes to Kirkcaldy, definitely, 6 yes. 7 If they had been available? Q. 8 A. Yes, yes. 9 MS GRAHAME: Thank you. 10 Questions from LORD BRACADALE LORD BRACADALE: Thank you. 11 12 Just following on from that, Constable Wood, you 13 described the set-up for specialist dogs in the 14 East Command as being 20, and on any one shift there 15 would be four: two in Edinburgh, one in Glenrothes and one in Larbert. 16 17 A. Yes. 18 LORD BRACADALE: Can you help me whether to understand is 19 that the case now and in 2015, or is there any 20 difference? 21 Α. I think it's probably the same. I couldn't give you exact like 100%, but when Police Scotland -- obviously 22 it was changed over to the one force, those structures 23 24 were changed in a way where it obviously became 25 Police Scotland and those satellite areas. I don't

1	think they have changed since then and I don't think the
2	numbers of dogs have particularly changed. I think the
3	big change had happened from the transition of the
4	legacy forces to the actual Police Scotland set up as it
5	is, so I think it's if it's not exactly the same it
6	will be very, very close to the same numbers.
7	LORD BRACADALE: Thank you.
8	Any Rule 9 applications? Mr Dunlop.
9	Constable, would you just withdraw to the witness
10	room for a moment so I can hear this.
11	A. Okay, thank you.
12	(The witness withdrew)
13	Application by THE DEAN OF FACULTY
14	LORD BRACADALE: Sorry, Dean of Faculty, I didn't give you
15	your proper
16	DEAN OF FACULTY: (Mic turned off). My Lord, the question
17	of the time it would have taken for the dog to get from
18	Edinburgh to Hayfield Road may be a matter of some
19	importance, particularly given what we expect to come
20	from Ms Caffrey, a witness to be adduced later. I would
21	like to ask the witness a few clarifying questions
22	regarding the contention that he could have been there
23	within 10 to 15 minutes.
24	LORD BRACADALE: I don't think you put in a written
25	application.

DEAN OF FACULTY: No, that is correct, my Lord, but it 1 2 arises from the discussion that my learned friend has 3 just had with the witness 10 minutes ago, [draft] 4 page 66 and page 67. 5 Ruling LORD BRACADALE: Very well, I shall allow you to do that. 6 7 Can we have the witness back. 8 (Pause). 9 (The witness returned) 10 CONSTABLE GARY WOOD (continued) LORD BRACADALE: Constable Wood, senior counsel for certain 11 12 of the attending officers is going to ask you about 13 a certain matter. A. Okay. 14 15 Questions from THE DEAN OF FACULTY DEAN OF FACULTY: Officer, I wonder if I could just ask you 16 17 a few questions about the time it might have taken to get to Hayfield Road on the day in question. 18 19 Okay. Α. 20 The statement has redacted where you were starting from, Q. 21 it just says "Edinburgh". 22 Α. Yes. Am I right in understanding it is based at Fettes? 23 Q. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. So we're looking to get from Fettes Police Station to

- 1 Hayfield Road in Kirkcaldy.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Now, Google Maps tells us that's -- travelling on the
- 4 road that's 26.7 miles, okay. When you're getting from
- 5 Fettes, there you're going to have to go over the Forth
- 6 Road Bridge.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. The first part of that journey involves going through
- 9 built up areas, yes?
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. So I just want to be clear, am I right in understanding
- that in that time you're not going to be travelling --
- some of that is 20, some of it is 30 miles an hour,
- 14 you're not going to be travelling at 80 miles an hour
- going through those?
- A. No, 20 miles an hour above the speed limit.
- Q. Okay. So for the first part of that journey you're not
- bound by the speed limit but you're not going to be
- going at 80 miles an hour?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 LORD BRACADALE: Did you just say you're allowed to go at 20
- 22 plus over the limit.
- 23 A. 20 miles an hour above the speed limit, yes.
- 24 DEAN OF FACULTY: So if it's a 20 mile an hour, you will be
- going up to 40; if it's a 30, you would be going up to

25

50? 1 I don't think a 20 mile an hour existed in Edinburgh at 2 Α. 3 that time, it was probably still a 30, but yes, okay, 50 4 in a 30 area and -- yes. 5 Okay. If it's right to say it's 26.7 miles, to get Q. 6 there in ten minutes would require you to hold a speed 7 of 160 miles an hour? 8 Okay. Α. So it can't be 10, is that fair? 9 Q. 10 Α. Yes, that's fair, yes, 100%. To get there in 15 minutes would require you to hold 11 Q. 12 a speed of 107 miles an hour for the whole distance and 13 again, I assume we're agreed that's not correct? 14 Yes. Α. 15 Q. 20 miles an hour requires you to hold a speed of 80 miles an hour from the start to the end. Again, does 16 that seem perhaps a little unlikely? 17 18 Α. Sorry, you said 20 miles an hour. 19 Sorry, 20 minutes, 20 minutes requires you to hold Q. 20 a speed for the whole duration at 80 miles an hour? 21 Α. Okay. We'll say 20 then, that's fair, yes. 22 Q. So is it at least 20? Again, without scientifically going out and proving how 23 24 long it would take me to drive, that comment of the

timings was based on a conversation via Teams with

1		a solicitor and again, I gave an off-the-cuff timings
2		for it.
3	Q.	Please
4	Α.	It could very easily be 20.
5	Q.	Please don't misunderstand, I've got no criticism,
6		I just want to be clear as to how accurate this could
7		be.
8	А.	Yes. If you're telling me the numbers then yes, fair
9		enough.
10	Q.	And if, for the first part of that, you're effectively
11		capped to 50 miles an hour, and even allowing that you
12		might be able to catch up some thereafter by going at
13		90, does that mean at the very, very quickest you could
14		have been there is 20 minutes and it's probably a bit
15		more than that?
16	Α.	I suppose that would be fair enough because it sounds
17		more scientific than my approach to it, yes.
18	DEA	N OF FACULTY: Thank you, officer.
19		I'm obliged.
20	LOR	D BRACADALE: Thank you very much, constable, for coming
21		to give evidence. When we rise you will be free to go.
22		A 20-minute break.
23	(11	.51 am)
24		(Short Break)
25		

- 1 (12.21 pm)
- 2 LORD BRACADALE: Good afternoon, Mr Graves.
- 3 A. Good afternoon, sir.
- 4 LORD BRACADALE: Would you say the words of the affirmation
- 5 after me, please.
- 6 MR MARTIN GRAVES (affirmed)
- 7 Questions from MS GRAHAME
- 8 LORD BRACADALE: Ms Grahame.
- 9 MS GRAHAME: Thank you.
- 10 Good afternoon, Mr Graves.
- 11 A. Good afternoon.
- 12 Q. You are Martin Graves?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. What age are you?
- 15 A. I'm nearly 60. 59.
- Q. And you were formally with the Metropolitan Police
- 17 Service from 1982 until your retirement
- in September 2012.
- 19 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Thank you. And you have been retired for 10 years?
- 21 A. Yes, nearly -- well, just over.
- Q. And when you retired, you had held the rank of sergeant
- from January 1997, so for the last 15 years of your
- 24 service you were a sergeant?
- 25 A. I was, yes. I had also acted as inspector during that

- 1 time as well.
- 2 Q. Right. So did you end as an acting inspector?
- 3 A. No, I was a sergeant when I retired. I had done acting
- 4 inspector in the interim period, yes.
- 5 Q. Right, thank you. You see in front of you that you have
- 6 some papers, you have a folder and some loose papers and
- 7 I just want to let you know, please feel free to use any
- 8 of the papers in front of you. You have given an
- 9 Inquiry statement to us and there's a hard copy of that
- in front of you.
- 11 A. Yes, okay.
- 12 Q. And other documents that we will come to in a moment.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. When I'm going through those they will come up on the
- screen in front of you as well, but that might be just
- one paragraph or one section. If you feel there's some
- other important issues that are outwith the screen,
- 18 please let me know and we will have those brought up on
- 19 the screen as well.
- 20 A. I will, yes.
- 21 Q. Could I ask you to look first of all at your Inquiry
- 22 statement, SBPI00190. You will see on page 1 it was
- 23 taken over the course of two days, 12 and 13 October
- 24 this year?
- 25 A. That's correct, yes.

- 1 Q. And if we can look at the final page, you should see
- 2 that it was signed on --
- 3 A. 16 November.
- 4 Q. Yes. I have that as well. I don't see it on the
- 5 screen, but your signature is redacted on the screen.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. But can you confirm to us that on the hard copy you have
- 8 signed every page?
- 9 A. Yes, every page and the last page is all signed by
- myself.
- 11 Q. Thank you. The final paragraph, which is 123, reads:
- "I believe the facts stated in this witness
- 13 statement are true. I understand that this statement
- 14 may form part of the evidence before the Inquiry and be
- 15 published on the Inquiry's website."
- 16 A. Yes, I am aware of that, yes.
- Q. And that was your understanding when you signed?
- 18 A. It was, yes.
- 19 Q. Thank you. You will also see in front of you an A3
- sized spreadsheet which we're calling the combined audio
- and visual timeline?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Am I correct in saying you have had a chance to look at
- 24 that previously?
- 25 A. Yes, I have had access to that document previously, yes.

- 1 Q. Thank you. We may come to that, when we do I will tell
- 2 you which page I'm interested in.
- 3 Then we have also got access to an evidence video
- 4 timeline, which is SBPI00046. If we just pause it, can
- 5 I just confirm you have had access to this?
- A. From the original investigation, yes, I was given the
- 7 footage that was available at the time. I believe
- 8 further footage has come to light which I have not seen.
- 9 Q. This has been combined into one document. If we need to
- 10 look at it, we will do so and I will explain that on the
- 11 screen for you.
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, at one point you were contacted, or instructed by
- 14 the Crown Office, so if we could go back to your Inquiry
- statement, paragraph 9, do we see that you have
- 16 explained here that you were instructed by the Crown
- Office to produce a report on the use of force by
- 18 the police in relation to the restraint of
- 19 Mr Sheku Bayoh?
- 20 A. Back in 2018, yes.
- Q. And that was dated 13 April 2018?
- 22 A. That is correct, yes.
- 23 Q. So it was three years after the events, but it was four
- years ago now?
- 25 A. That's correct, yes.

- 1 Q. And in addition to your involvement with the Inquiry,
- 2 you have been also given access to some of the Inquiry
- 3 evidence?
- 4 A. That's correct, yes.
- 5 Q. People are aware that we have -- this is all been
- 6 live-streamed and we have recordings of evidence
- 7 available on our website.
- 8 A. Yes, I was specifically asked to view certain footage
- 9 from the Inquiry, yes.
- 10 Q. You will be aware that in 2018, no evidence had been
- 11 taken, but we now have the privilege of having been able
- 12 to do that?
- 13 A. Yes, at the time I didn't have any of that information.
- 14 Q. But you have had a chance to look at some of that?
- 15 A. I have, yes.
- Q. Thank you. Let's just look briefly at your report,
- which is COPFS00024. You see that this was instructed
- by Mr Alisdair Macleod, Senior Procurator Fiscal Depute
- 19 at Crown Office, Serious and Organised Crime Unit in
- 20 Edinburgh?
- 21 A. That's correct, yes.
- 22 Q. And if we can just go down to pages 3 and 4, do we see
- 23 that as part of your report to Crown Office you provided
- 24 a summary or a brief CV.
- 25 A. Yes, as it was dated in 2018, yes.

- 1 Q. So it was up-to-date in 2018?
- 2 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 3 Q. And if we could just briefly -- if I could just briefly
- 4 take one or two matters from you in relation to this, so
- 5 everyone can tell what your experience is.
- I think you say in your summary here that
- 7 in April 2011 you went to New Scotland Yard as a senior
- 8 advisor and subject matter expert in relation to
- 9 personal safety.
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Sorry, if we go up the screen?
- 12 A. That's correct. I have been involved with its practical
- delivery since 1995. I became the lead sergeant for the
- 14 Central Officer Safety Unit in April 2000 and then due
- to restructuring within the Metropolitan Police, in 2011
- I then moved to Scotland Yard as the senior advisor on
- officer safety.
- 18 Q. And how many officers were you -- in terms of delivering
- 19 officer safety training, how many officers did you work
- 20 with?
- 21 A. In delivery, we were responsible for all the new
- 22 recruits and staff joining the Metropolitan Police on
- a yearly basis, and a cascade trainer pool of nearly 500
- trainers, and I personally managed a team of 16 staff.
- 25 Q. And were you part of that group of 500 trainers or were

- 1 you the manager in charge?
- 2 A. I was the manager in charge of the lead team of 12 to 16
- 3 staff. The cascade trainers were out on station and
- 4 they were responsible for delivering the refresher
- 5 training at a local level.
- Q. And was that the 500?
- 7 A. That's the 500, yes.
- 8 Q. And how many recruits or new officers were you training,
- 9 let's say, in the course of a year?
- 10 A. In excess of 5,000.
- 11 Q. So every year, 5,000, thank you. And you said that this
- 12 role included the creation of a curriculum, review and
- development of service training packages, policy and
- 14 equipment.
- 15 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. So it didn't remain static during that time?
- 17 A. It was a continual development process on a six-monthly
- 18 rolling basis, yes.
- 19 Q. Right. How long were you in that particular role?
- 20 A. Just over ten years, nearly ten and a half years.
- 21 Q. You have also indicated in this CV that you provided
- 22 advice to professional standards, legal services and
- other departments within the Met?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And would that be writing opinions, or how would you

- 1 provide that advice?
- A. It would be reviewing case studies, reviewing CCTV

 footage, providing professional standards with opinion

 on use of force, dealing with -- as a representative of

 the Metropolitan Police -- external bodies such as

 Inquest and various Home Office panels.
- Q. Right. And during that time, did you also have to keep up with your own personal training?
- 9 A. Yes, I was actively involved in the delivery of the
 10 training and obviously the development of those skills,
 11 so we had to keep our skills up to level.
- 12 Q. So you remained a police officer?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 13 A. Yes, I was still operationally deployed, mainly at the
 14 weekends, and trained during the Monday to Friday.
- Q. Thank you. As part of this role, you say you liaised
 with outside agencies and you mentioned a number of them
 already. You mentioned the agency Inquest. Could you
 tell us a little bit about your involvement with them?
 - A. We were regularly involved with a number of external organisations that were looking at police use of force and specifically deaths in police contact, with the continual review of the training and the national curriculum and the national manual it was part of my role as the secretary for the Advisory Committee to -- then ACPO, now National Police Chiefs Council -- to be

- the liaison between these outside groups and senior
 management within the Police Service.
- Q. So you were representing the police force on those advisory boards, or engaging with those bodies?
- 5 A. Yes, representing and also providing required support
 6 for those groups that were multi-agency on a number of
 7 occasions.
- Q. Now, as part of your -- this is (f), you said in this CV
 you still retained your qualification as a personal
 safety trainer and, as I understand, having read your
 up-to-date statement, I think that remains the position
 today, is that right?
- 13 A. Yes, I still deliver some training on behalf of the
 14 College of Policing and I also deliver training
 15 externally to police forces, police services and also
 16 immigration and Border Force officers.
- Q. Right. Can you tell us about your involvement with the College of Policing?
- A. When I retired in 2012 I became an associate lecturer
 with the College of Policing, again, assisting with the
 development of training packages and development
 processes around personal safety. I delivered various
 packages on their behalf, both here in the UK and also
 abroad to police forces such as Sierra Leone,
 Saudi Arabia, Ghana and various other places.

- 1 Q. I will come back to that actually. In relation to your
- 2 position as associate lecturer, is that building on the
- 3 experience and the work you did with the Met in your
- 4 role as head of the training, effectively?
- 5 A. Yes, obviously as I said I was secretary to the
- 6 committee for over ten years and that gave me an
- 7 overview nationally. I regularly attended other police
- 8 services around the country, including Scotland,
- 9 Northern Ireland, etc, to look at their training, to
- 10 provide advice and guidance and to act as a conduit to
- 11 try and pull all of this -- the different learning that
- was happening around the country into one place.
- Q. Can I just ask: is that an honorary position as
- 14 associate lecturer?
- 15 A. No, it's a -- you have to go through a selection
- process.
- 17 Q. So is it a paid employed position?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. With the College of Policing?
- 20 A. With the College of Policing, yes.
- 21 Q. So although you have retired from the Police Force,
- you're now an employee of the College of Policing?
- A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. How often do you work with them?
- A. I haven't worked with them for over two years now,

- 1 purely because of other work commitments, not being able
- 2 to release the time, but I worked extensively with them
- for over five -- nearly six years.
- 4 Q. Thank you. Now you mentioned that as part of your role
- 5 you would go round the country and you mentioned
- 6 Scotland. Can you tell us what experience you had of
- 7 Scotland and when you would do that?
- 8 A. Yes, I have attended the training school on at least
- 9 three separate occasions for meetings.
- 10 Q. Is that Tulliallan?
- 11 A. Yes, that will be Tulliallan. I have also attended --
- I have been to Edinburgh, I have been to Glasgow, when
- regional meetings were being held and I was asked to
- 14 come and sit in to comment on particular areas of
- development that different forces or different services
- 16 were looking at. In relation -- I have also been to
- Scotland on two occasions where we have had multi-agency
- seminars with the Prison Service, the police and mental
- 19 health institutions where we have been looking at
- 20 combined restraint techniques and looking at best
- 21 practice.
- Q. And would members of the Police Service in Scotland be
- 23 at those meetings --
- A. They would, yes.
- 25 Q. -- and discussing the training that they were

- 1 considering implementing or doing --
- 2 A. Yes, they would, yes.
- Q. -- at the time.
- 4 A. Police Scotland, when it was formed, they actually had
- 5 a representative who sat on the main committee with us.
- 6 Q. And did you, as part of any of those meetings, say at
- 7 Tulliallan, did you see demonstrations of training
- 8 carried out in Scotland?
- 9 A. Yes. Not just demonstrations but we also took part in
- 10 actual workshops where we sort of joined in together and
- 11 looked at different physical tactics and different
- things that we were all looking at.
- 13 Q. And during those meetings would you share best practice,
- information, that type of thing?
- 15 A. Yes, we would, and there was also a process that
- I introduced whereby other services, other police forces
- 17 could introduce tactics or amendments to the manual with
- 18 relevant paperwork, etc, which could then be considered
- 19 by the committee for inclusion in the following year's
- amendments.
- 21 Q. And that committee, could it adopt some of those
- 22 recommendations and then roll them out?
- 23 A. Yes. We were responsible for the ongoing management of
- 24 the -- both the curriculum within England and Wales and
- 25 obviously Scotland were happy to sort of come along with

- 1 that work and also the yearly update of the manual.
- 2 Q. And when were these meetings and sharing sessions taking
- 3 place?
- 4 A. Across the board we had a quarterly meeting every
- 5 three months. That was shared around the country, so we
- 6 went to different places to sort of support the
- 7 different services. From memory, I can remember at
- 8 least four visits to Scotland over that sort of ten-year
- 9 period.
- 10 Q. When you were in this role with the Met?
- 11 A. Yes, so at least once a year.
- 12 Q. Once a year.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Thank you. Can we also just draw the Chair's attention
- 15 to some of the other information that you provided in
- 16 your Inquiry statement and I think that's available from
- paragraphs 2 of your Inquiry statement up to
- paragraph 8. So all of these detail your experience
- over the years and different work that you have carried
- out. Is that correct? The Chair will have this Inquiry
- 21 statement available to him to consider in detail.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, in addition to your original report and your
- 24 Inquiry statement I think you have also kindly provided
- us with a CV and can I ask us to look briefly at

- 1 COPFS00007, please. It is headed appendix A but it is
- 2 a curriculum vitae and that is up-to-date at the moment,
- 3 is it?
- 4 A. Yes, it should be, yes.
- 5 Q. Thank you. Can I look first of all at page 1,
- 6 paragraph 6. I think you mentioned this a moment ago.
- 7 You say that earlier this year, is that 2022?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You designed and delivered an eight-week personal safety
- 10 training programme to police officers in Sierra Leone.
- 11 A. That's correct, yes.
- 12 Q. Can you explain a little more about the work you did?
- 13 A. It was basically initially a training event for
- 14 police officers in Sierra Leone, then from that training
- of just over 250 officers we selected a group of 20
- officers who had the relevant level of expertise, and
- then trained them on to be able to deliver cascade
- training going forward, so basically a train the trainer
- 19 event. In total we were there for just over seven
- weeks.
- 21 Q. And can you explain to those listening a little bit
- about this word you have used, the cascade training.
- 23 Can you tell us about that?
- A. Yes, obviously if we were going out all the time and
- 25 delivering the training we would be there on a constant

1 basis and certainly within the UK, the model is around repeated refresher training for officers, although very 2 3 minimal, it's -- they have dedicated it 12 hours a year, 4 officers to deliver that normally come from local draw, 5 whereby they are trained up to deliver a package of refresher training every six months, or once a year, and 6 7 that's normally delivered by a central team, sometimes 8 from the training school, sometimes from another 9 location, sometimes under the public order wing and they 10 basically -- they look after the cascade trainers and the cascade trainers deliver to the local officers and 11 12 the local staff. 13 Thank you. You mentioned a 12-hour training regime. Q. 14 I -- I may be mistaken in this, I thought in Scotland it 15 was a one day a year but --It's one day a year in Scotland. The UK, the UK 16 Α. recommendation is 12 hours per year. 17 18 Thank you. Can we look at paragraph 9, please, on Q. page 1. You talk about having a certificate for verbal 19 20 judo. I'm quite interested in an explanation on that? 21 Α. It's a very old form of tactical communication that was 22 introduced probably 15 years ago but looks at different ways of being able to engage people and use 23 communication skills to glean a result or lead a person 24 25 in a particular direction. It's sometimes now referred

1 to as sort of persuasive communication skills, for want of a better terminology. It's about using different 2 3 words and different phrases in different ways to glean 4 a result from a person that they might not want to 5 actually go down that particular road. And you did that 15 years ago? 6 Q. 7 Α. Yes. Right. We have heard mention of things like 8 Q. 9 de-escalation, tactical communications, and they may 10 mean slightly different things, but the use of words and body language to communicate with people, is that the 11 12 type of thing that is covered by verbal judo? 13 It is, yes, and it's something that was brought in --Α. 14 a lot of what appeared in the original training for 15 verbal judo was incorporated into the manual, into the communication section of the manual. 16 Right. Could we look at the top of page 2, please. 17 Q. That will be fine, thank you. There is mention of --18 19 it's at the bottom of page 1 and then on to page 2 --20 let me just read that: 21 "At a National level, I was appointed Secretary to the Association of Chief Police Officers Practitioners 22 Advisory Group on Personal Safety in April 2000. This 23 24 is part of the Self-Defence Arrest & Restraint 25 sub-committee under the Firearms and Conflict Management

1 portfolio. This role included the management of the National Personal Safety Manual which I fully reviewed 2 and continually updated with the latest version having 3 been launched in February 2012." 4 5 So is this the equivalent of the Scottish OST 6 manual? 7 Α. It's a far larger document. It's in excess of 4,500 pages. 8 Oh, right. 9 Q. 10 Α. It covers just about everything that we come across, including personal safety skills for firearms officers, 11 12 mounted officers, etc, and it's -- as part of the review 13 we conducted a very large, independent medical review of 14 all of the tactics that went into the manual and that 15 panel which was independent was funded by the Home Office. 16 And this covers not just the police services; is it all 17 Q. 18 emergency services? 19 It is -- it's a police document, it's run by the Police Α. 20 Service. As I said, it was owned and instructed by ACPO 21 as it was then, now the NPCC, and -- but there are other organisations that take some of its content on board. 22 I'm aware that Police Scotland have taken an awful lot 23 24 of what is in that manual across to their training here

and, as I said, the Prison Service have some of it in

25

- 1 their manual, certainly some of the medical review
- 2 information has been incorporated into their manuals.
- 3 Q. Right. And the latest version of that is
- 4 dated February 2012?
- 5 A. The latest -- when I say "version", the latest sort of
- 6 incarnation of it, how it looks now is from the review
- 7 that I did in 2012 just before I retired. It is updated
- 8 on a regular basis, but the actual format is
- 9 from February 2012 onwards.
- 10 Q. Was that the sort of foundation document?
- 11 A. Yes, that was the large document and then every year, or
- 12 every two years there's a review and, as I said, there's
- this process for additional tactics or training to be
- 14 added to it, or amendments to be made to what's already
- in there.
- Q. Is this the document that new recruits would be given
- when they are arriving in the service?
- 18 A. Within England and Wales they're given access to it
- 19 online because it's such a large document, via the --
- 20 what was then called the -- via the College of Policing
- 21 basically, but most services or forces also then take
- from that manual what they require for the training of
- their particular staff and will mostly produce an
- 24 additional manual, a hard copy manual for their staff.
- Q. I see. And for officers who maybe became

- 1 police officers before February 2012, are they given
- 2 access to this as well?
- 3 A. Yes, they would have been, but they would have been
- 4 trained from the previous manual and the previous manual
- 5 has been in existence since 2004.
- 6 Q. Right. Was that the first manual?
- 7 A. That was the first formulated manual at a national
- 8 level, yes.
- 9 Q. Thank you. Then can we look at paragraph 3 of page 2,
- 10 please. This is about 2008 and you say that you
- designed a national learning programme curriculum.
- 12 A. Yes, in 2007 there was a -- Her Majesty's Inspector of
- 13 Constabularies did a review of personal safety and made
- 14 a number of recommendations and on the back of those
- 15 recommendations ACPO put together a working party, for
- 16 which I sat on, to review the national curriculum,
- 17 review the trainers' programme and also review the
- manual.
- 19 Q. Thank you. And then paragraph 4, you talk about doing
- a research project on behalf of the Federation.
- 21 A. I did --
- Q. Police Federation?
- 23 A. Yes, I did a number of research programmes over the
- 24 years, not just for the Federation but also on behalf of
- 25 ACPO.

- ${\tt Q.}\,$ So are the Police Federation seen as one of the outside
- 2 bodies, or third parties, or are they seen as being part
- 3 of -- a closer part of the police?
- 4 A. They're an outside body, they're the representatives for
- 5 police officers, rank and file and the senior officers
- 6 across the service, but they -- you know, part of their
- 7 role is to improve the safety of their members, of
- 8 officers, and they will regularly fund and support
- 9 programmes that may improve that.
- 10 Q. Thank you. Then if we can look at the bottom of page 2,
- do we also see that you have received a number of
- 12 commendations and awards --
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. -- during your time as a police officer.
- 15 A. Yes, I mean the top one on there, my ACPO President's
- 16 commendation, was for my 11 plus years' work on the
- development and improvement of officer safety across the
- 18 whole of the service.
- 19 Q. And it says:
- "Commander's commendation for bravery in talking
- 21 a [redacted] with a large knife and wearing an
- 22 improvised explosive device."
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. So it sounds like that was a situation where you -- did
- you use your judo on that occasion?

disarmed him.

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

- A. I tried it. It ended up in a scuffle whereby he went to
 detonate the improvised explosive device that he was
 wearing on his body, he basically provided a bomb and he
 had it on his chest and he went to detonate the bomb and
 we had to tackle him, so we took him to the ground and
- Q. Can we look now -- move away from that for a moment and go back to your Inquiry statement, please. You have said in paragraph 3 that you were in a unit based in Hendon. Was this at the time you were doing the job for the -- at New Scotland Yard?
 - A. I had -- I basically had three hats. I had the training role at Hendon, the police college, I had the role at Scotland Yard, so I split my time between the two positions, and I also had my national role as well as secretary in the committee.
 - Q. A very, very busy man obviously at this time.
- You were asked at paragraph 6 of your Inquiry

 statement if you had ever trained as an initial tactical

 firearms commander. We have heard this expression,

 sometimes abbreviated to ITFC, and I think you said that

 wasn't something that you had done?
- A. No, I was a firearms officer for a number of years.

 I had to surrender that qualification when I moved over

 and became a sergeant, so I have trained with firearms

- officers, I have actually delivered personal safety
- 2 training to firearms officers, so at the time of when
- I was still serving, I was aware of the guidance and the
- 4 deployment guidelines for armed officers, but I've never
- 5 been trained or acted as an ITFC.
- 6 Q. When did you train with firearms officers?
- 7 A. I was training right up to 2012 with firearms. I was
- 8 an authorised firearms officer up until about a year
- 9 after I became a sergeant, so 1997/1998.
- 10 Q. I think you said you became a sergeant in 1997?
- 11 A. Yes, that will be about right. By 1998 I would probably
- 12 have had to surrender my authorisation.
- Q. Right. So after that date, did you stop training with
- firearms officers?
- 15 A. Yes, yes I did.
- 16 Q. And then you have also said that you trained -- you
- 17 carried out some training for firearms officers?
- 18 A. My department was responsible for training alongside
- 19 firearms trainers, new officers to the role and in
- 20 particular, close protection and residential protection
- officers who were taking on those roles within the MPS.
- Q. And when were you doing that?
- 23 A. I was doing that right the way up to 2010.
- Q. Were you aware at that time in terms of the firearms
- 25 training were there distinctions between Scotland and

- 1 England in the way training was delivered?
- 2 A. There were distinctions, specifically and further
- 3 distinctions between the Met Police and how a lot of
- 4 other services deployed their armed officers, yes.
- 5 Q. Thank you. And looking again at paragraph 6, you say
- 6 you are also a trained tactical advisor and a PIM
- 7 manager, post-incident management.
- 8 A. Post-incident manager, yes.
- 9 Q. Could you tell us a little bit more about that
- 10 experience?
- 11 A. On a number of occasions while I was still -- before
- I went to the officer safety unit, I would be called in
- 13 to supervise and manage critical incidents, serious road
- 14 traffic accidents, etc, or possible deaths in police
- 15 contact.
- Q. And when was that?
- 17 A. That was right up to 2000, but then I continued my work
- past then and I started to do major incident debriefing
- 19 as part of the officer safety unit for major public
- order events, so major public disorder and things like
- 21 that, I would be involved more in that side of it rather
- than the death in police contact.
- 23 Q. And when you say more involved, what do you mean by
- 24 that?
- 25 A. I would be called in sometimes as a subsequent debriefer

6

20

21

- for post-incident debriefs, after the sort of initial

 PIM process has been put in place, so I would be brought

 in maybe two, three days, maybe even a week or two after

 the incident to offer advice and guidance and to debrief

 officers in relation to the incident to try and prevent
- 7 Q. Can you help me understand when you last acted as a PIM 8 manager?

post-traumatic stress building within the units.

- 9 A. Last one would have been 2011, just after the disorder in London.
- 11 Q. Right, and then after that you would be occasionally
 12 brought in a couple of weeks after the incident to deal
 13 with other matters?
- A. Yes. I mean 2011 I was actually deployed throughout
 that whole week of disorder within the MPS and I think
 it was about three to four weeks after that I was asked
 to sit in on a couple of debriefs and offer support to
 some of the units that had been on the ground working at
 the time.
 - Q. And can you tell me a little bit more about the disorder that you're describing?
- A. It was the major disorder that basically followed
 a shooting in London. It started with the Tottenham
 disorder and then spread rapidly throughout London to
 many seats. One of the first and only times I have seen

- so many officers from other forces being deployed on the streets of London.
- Q. And you came in after that to assist?
- A. Yes, as I say, having worked the five or six days solid
 that the sort of disorder went on for, it was about
 three or four weeks afterwards I was brought in to
 I think three separate briefings that were set up and
 asked to assist with the debriefing of officers who had
 been involved.
 - Q. Thank you. You have also said that you delivered training in relation to risk assessment. This is again in paragraph 6 -- and managing restraints within the custody arena on the initial custody course within the Met. Could you tell us a little about that role?
 - A. Yes, when they did a review -- I think it was about 2003 -- of the custody officers' training within the MPS they looked at bringing in something that would assist them in dealing with and understanding the protocols around dealing with violent or potentially violent individuals coming into custody. We had just at that time -- we were reviewing the restraint processes around restraint of individuals, so it was about giving them an input, giving new sergeants, new custody officers an input on how to manage that environment, you know, that they're in charge of that domain and that they've got to

25

1 manage those environments to the best of their 2 capability, and also I used to look at the assessment of 3 detainees and looking at the risks, you know what to 4 look out for, different things to look out for for risk 5 markers for detainees in response to self-harming and those sorts of areas. 6 7 Q. Just out of interest, if someone is brought to a custody 8 suite and they have been struck to the head, is it part 9 of the training for custody officers that that person 10 should be medically assessed? Oh, definitely, yes. 11 Α. 12 Definitely. Our understanding in evidence we have heard Q. 13 is that any head injury should be -- the person should 14 be assessed medically? 15 Α. Yes, that's correct. And then at the final sentence there you say: 16 Q. 17 "Additionally, I have worked in an area control room in Scotland Yard on the 999 system." 18 19 Yes. Α. 20 And I would like to hear more about that, please. Q. 21 Α. I used to work additional shifts as both a receiver and 22 dispatcher within the Scotland Yard 999 system, so that's taking in what you would class as a grade 1 or 23 category 1, I call, as we would call them, communicating 24

with the informants, deploying and assigning units,

- setting up containment, setting up cordons, managing

 RVPs, rendezvous points, if it's necessary, and

 escalating any calls that needed to be looked at by the

 supervisors, possibly the chief inspector or inspector

 within the control room.
 - Q. We have heard some evidence about a control room in Scotland and the structure there and we have heard about call-takers, controllers, sergeants and then people in the overview room which contains an overview sergeant, an inspector who is also a trained ITFC officer. From that description, brief as it is, are you able to compare the job you did in the ACR in Scotland Yard with any of those jobs that we have heard about?
 - A. I would have been both a call receiver, or taking the calls in, but also a dispatcher, and I also acted on the sergeant's role, whereby you would be supervising a bank of dispatchers in relation -- remembering that information room takes in calls for the whole of London, so you could be deploying to any of the 32 boroughs within London from information room, so it's a very large central -- at that level, but you have then got what -- now we have area control rooms as well which would be responsible for two or three boroughs each.
 - Q. Right. I would like to try and tease out whether there are any similarities between the system that you worked

- in and the system here. It sounds like your particular role would have covered the call-taker, the controller and on occasions, the sergeant even?
- 4 Α. Yes. As I said, certainly, you know, night shifts, over 5 the weekends and things like that, when the resources are reduced, I would sometimes go in and be the sergeant 6 7 sort of controller in relation to a number of desks or 8 a number of positions, so I would supervise to make sure 9 that calls are -- you know, looking at the calls coming 10 in, anything that was being directed to me for my 11 attention, reviewing that, carrying out an assessment of 12 the risk involved in that particular call, ensuring that 13 the relevant resources have been assigned, or if they're 14 not assigned, you know, making sure that they are 15 contacted or assigned as soon as they are available, and also monitoring that particular incident at a distance 16 17 to make sure that it's being dealt with locally and as and when it's either escalated or it needs to be 18 19 supported from other resources, that those resources are
- Q. So you continued to monitor calls which you're dealing with?
- 23 A. Yes.

made available.

- 24 Q. And is this information that's coming up on a screen?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And at the same time, do you have a headset where you can hear Airwaves transmissions from officers?
- Yes, you're listening to all the radios. You have 3 Α. 4 not only -- you have actually got two channels to think 5 about in London. You have the personal radio network for the actual area that it's actually being used on, 6 7 but there's also a separate radio channel which connects 8 all of the main vehicles, and that's how we would 9 normally deploy vehicles, and then revert over to the 10 personal radios once they're on scene and they're out of the vehicles. So you're actually monitoring two systems 11
- 13 Q. And the screen?

basically.

- 14 A. And the screen.
- Q. And we have heard about in Scotland that in addition to
 the work that's going on with the controller, that there
 will be other people supporting around the scenes, maybe
 contacting the Ambulance Service, contacting specialist
 resources. Is that similar to --
- A. Yes, yes. You would be using your dispatchers or you
 would be using your call-takers to be able to say
 "Right, refer that to ..." because in London they're
 linked automatically to the Fire Service, to the
 Ambulance Service, etc, but some of the other services
 you have usually got to contact them directly so you

1 would dedicate somebody to say "Right, I want that done". 2 MS GRAHAME: Thank you. I'm going to move on now to 3 4 a different paragraph. LORD BRACADALE: Is that a convenient point to stop? We 5 will stop for lunch and sit at 2 o'clock. 6 7 (1.03 pm)8 (The luncheon adjournment) 9 (2.01 pm)10 LORD BRACADALE: Yes, Ms Grahame. MS GRAHAME: Thank you. 11 12 I was just about to move on to paragraph 7 of your 13 Inquiry statement, Mr Graves. 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. And we will just get that on the screen and there's two sentences in it I'm particularly interested in. The 16 17 first one says: "Within the Met, when I worked there we didn't have 18 19 area control rooms, we had individual control rooms 20 within each borough." 21 And I wonder if you could help me understand. I know that Scotland Yard is the headquarters of the Met 22 and you're in London. Is the Met the only police force 23 24 in London? A. Yes -- apart from the City of London, of course. 25

- 1 Q. Right, City of London and the Met?
- 2 A. And the Met and then you've got British Transport Police
- 3 as well.
- Q. Right. And just to be clear, when you say the Met, they
- 5 didn't have area control rooms, they had individual
- 6 control rooms, is that -- that's where you worked when
- 7 you were working there?
- 8 A. Yes. They then moved over to area control rooms later
- 9 on when I was still serving, but I didn't actually work
- in one of those. Initially each, what would have been
- a borough, or each station had their own control room
- which just looked after their particular patch.
- 13 Q. So originally there were individual control rooms
- 14 allocated within a borough?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. But then ultimately they moved to a system where there
- was one area control room?
- 18 A. It would be an area control room covering anywhere
- 19 between three or four boroughs depending on the size.
- I can give you an idea, one borough I worked on was
- 21 26 square miles, that was just one station.
- 22 Q. And within that area control room, there may have been
- 23 up to three boroughs and were there different people
- 24 dealing with each borough within that area control room?
- 25 A. Yes, the call could come into any of the call handlers

- or any of the dispatchers, so quite a lot larger sort of
- 2 area control room but I think we had at any one point
- I think it was about six around London that were
- 4 controlling the different areas.
- 5 Q. Right. And what size would the individual control rooms
- 6 cover?
- 7 A. What would be possibly two to three stations, as I said.
- 8 One I did work at at a borough level before they went
- 9 over to area control room covered five stations and in
- 10 excess of nearly 45 square miles.
- 11 Q. Right, thank you. Before your retiral from the service,
- 12 can you tell us if you had any qualifications or
- experience of first aid training?
- 14 A. Yes, I have been first aid trained since before I joined
- 15 the police. I did first aid training when I was
- a member of the Territorial Army. I continued that
- 17 training and then became a first aid instructor within
- the police in I think about 1996 or 1997.
- 19 Q. Right. And did you as part of your role in London, did
- 20 you also train officers in first aid?
- 21 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Did that continue up until your retiral?
- 23 A. It did within the Police Service. I now deliver
- first aid externally. I've got qualifications
- 25 externally to deliver it.

- 1 Q. Thank you. Can I ask you about -- sorry, the final
- 2 sentence in paragraph 7 and you confirm you:
- 3 "... haven't done the inspector role within the
- 4 control room set-up in Scotland, being the person making
- 5 the decisions, but I have made those decisions in
- a smaller control room for a larger area of London as
- 7 the supervisor controller of that control room."
- 8 A. Yes, controllers within the Metropolitan Police would be
- 9 of sergeant level or senior PC.
- 10 Q. Right. So you have done that at the sergeant level?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. During the time that you were a serving officer?
- 13 A. Yes, I have, yes.
- 14 Q. But not the inspector role or the ITFC role?
- 15 A. No, that -- at the areas now or at Scotland Yard that
- inspector would be the sort of the same level, yes.
- Q. And we have heard that in Scotland the inspector role is
- permitted to authorise the deployment of an ARV?
- 19 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Or declare a firearms incident, but officers below that
- 21 rank are not -- do not have that authority.
- 22 A. Yes. As I said, there are differences across different
- 23 services in relation to the deployment requirements so
- 24 yes, I believe it is an inspector level within Scotland.
- 25 Q. And I understand in London the situation is different in

- 1 relation to ARVs; is that correct?
- 2 A. Yes. Armed response vehicles are actually out and about
- 3 patrolling, they're already out, equipped, and they
- 4 don't need to be actually authorised to deploy. They
- 5 will be assigned to calls but they don't need an
- 6 authorisation from a senior officer.
- 7 Q. So they are patrolling areas, kitted up and ready to be
- 8 sent to a call at any time?
- 9 A. That's correct, yes.
- 10 Q. Thank you. So as part of your role when you worked in
- 11 London, would you be able to assign an ARV to attend
- 12 a call, or deploy them to attend a call without
- requiring any special authorisation?
- 14 A. I would, yes. I would be able to assign direct and
- I would also be able to accept or reject them trying to
- 16 attend a call that I didn't think was suitable.
- Q. Did they sometimes volunteer to go to things?
- 18 A. On occasions, yes.
- 19 Q. So you had authority to deploy them as you saw fit?
- 20 A. Yes, as required, based on the risk assessment of the
- 21 call that was being received.
- Q. And that would be your risk assessment?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And is that done in accordance with what they call in
- 25 Scotland the National Decision-Making Model?

- 1 A. It would be, yes.
- Q. And is that used -- that model used nationally?
- 3 A. It is, yes.
- Q. And so could you explain to us an example, say, of the
- 5 circumstances in which you felt that an armed response
- 6 vehicle was appropriate?
- 7 A. Certainly where there's a risk to the public, where the
- 8 information or the intelligence that's been received
- 9 indicates that a subject involved may be armed with
- 10 a weapon. That could be anything from a sharp
- implement, a knife, to an actual firearm, where an
- 12 actual firearm has been seen or where officers or
- members of the public may be placed in danger if that
- 14 type of specialist support was not provided.
- 15 Q. We have heard that in Scotland there's a particular
- 16 firearm protocol which does not mean that there has to
- be a gun or a firearm, but it could also be a bladed
- 18 weapon or a knife, something that could cause death or
- injury to --
- 20 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Is that the same in London?
- 22 A. It's the same in the Met, yes.
- 23 Q. Thank you. Can I ask you to look at paragraph 8,
- 24 please, and you say that -- decisions to deploy armed
- 25 response units -- is that what we have just been talking

- 1 about, the slightly different set up in London compared
- 2 to Scotland?
- 3 A. Yes, that sort of over-arcing authorisation is not
- 4 required within the MPS because of them being out
- 5 already deployed with firearms.
- 6 Q. That's lovely, thank you. I would like to move on to
- 7 focus for a moment on your experience as an expert, or
- 8 a skilled witness in courts and Inquiries such as this.
- 9 Could we look at paragraph 5 of your Inquiry statement.
- 10 You have said that you have provided in excess of 200
- 11 statements and actual expert testimony in excess of 100
- 12 cases in your role as an expert.
- 13 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. And that remains correct today?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And you have commented on a number of organisations who
- have been involved in cases, or litigation where you
- have acted: MPS?
- 19 A. Yes, Met Police, yes.
- Q. The Met Police. IOPC?
- 21 A. Independent Office for Police Conduct, formally the
- 22 IPCC.
- 23 Q. And that's in relation to misconduct allegations by
- 24 officers?
- 25 A. Yes, everything from, as I say, deaths in police contact

25

down to excessive force. 1 2 CPS? Q. 3 Crown Prosecution Service in England. Α. 4 Q. The equivalent of Crown Office here? 5 Equivalent, yes. Α. And the Police Federation of England and Wales? 6 Q. Yes, sometimes the Federation will instruct or fund 7 Α. 8 officers, solicitors and barristers and I will be called 9 by -- or instructed by the Federation to give opinion on 10 the case. And that's the equivalent of the Scottish Police 11 Q. 12 Federation here? 13 Yes, that's it. Α. Together with a number of other forces, including 14 Q. 15 South Wales, Scottish forces, Dorset, Bedfordshire, Merseyside. GMP? 16 17 Greater Manchester Police. Α. And Cambridgeshire? 18 Q. 19 Yes, among others, yes. Α. 20 Could you help the Chair understand to what extent you Q. 21 act for police officers, either in defence or in 22 relation to inquiries, or that type of thing? Irrespective of my instruction, my over-arcing 23 24 responsibility is to provide impartial opinion on the

information that's given to me. As I said, it was from

the Police Federation I would be instructed on behalf of 1 2 probably an officer who is being prosecuted or is facing 3 misconduct hearing. In relation to the IOPC it's where 4 they're investigating possibly a death in contact, or 5 an allegation of misconduct by an officer, and again, professional standards, Department of Professional 6 7 Standards within the police services across England and 8 Wales, a number of times they will look for independent 9 expert opinion in relation to cases that they're 10 investigating around excessive use of force or misconduct, or again, deaths in police contact. 11 12 MS GRAHAME: So you have mentioned that you understand you 13 have obligations as an expert and --14 NEW SPEAKER: (Mic turned off). 15 MS GRAHAME: Sorry? Sorry, it was an accident, sorry. 16 So you understand the need to be objective and 17 impartial and, as I understand it in England, they have a book, the White Book which says there's a Part 35 18 19 which sets out and helps experts understand the 20 importance of impartiality? That's correct, yes. 21 Α. 22 Regardless of who is instructing them? Q. Yes, so I mean to give an example, I have regularly been 23 instructed by one party and then actually been called by 24 the other party in relation to the information or the 25

- 1 evidence opinion that I have provided so ...
- 2 Q. Thank you. So when you have said here you have acted
- 3 and given testimony and one of the bodies was the Crown
- 4 Prosecution Service --
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. -- so were you called as a witness in relation to
- 7 a prosecution of a police officer?
- 8 A. Yes, I have been called to a number of cases,
- 9 manslaughter cases, cases where the CPS have decided to
- 10 prosecute officers in relation to excessive force, or
- 11 malfeasance in a public office.
- 12 Q. Right. And so you have been called by the Crown?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Or what we would call the Crown, the Crown Prosecution
- 15 Service?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And in relation to any of the others you have mentioned,
- say Police Federation of England and Wales, are you
- 19 there acting on behalf of an individual officer, or a --
- 20 A. It may be an individual officer or a group of officers
- 21 that are facing discipline or criminal findings, yes.
- 22 Q. And your role will be as an expert witness in relation
- 23 to those allegations of misconduct or discipline?
- A. That's correct, yes.
- 25 Q. Right. And are you able to help us understand maybe the

- 1 percentage of times that you act on behalf of
- an officer, or the Federation, or a police force, and
- 3 times where you have acted and been called as a witness
- 4 by the Crown?
- 5 A. I would say probably more -- I have given more testimony
- on behalf of the Crown, or what you might class as the
- 7 prosecuting unit, whether that be the Professional
- 8 Standards or the actual service involved, probably about
- 9 70/30.
- 10 Q. 70/30, thank you. You have said that you have acted for
- 11 various Scottish forces. Are you able to give us an
- indication of any of those?
- 13 A. Before the formation of Police Scotland, obviously, and
- 14 excluding this case, I have previously given advice
- 15 to -- in Glasgow, and also to a case that took place in
- Aberdeen.
- 17 Q. Thank you. We touched on this earlier. You have looked
- 18 at the evidence of a number of witnesses in advance of
- 19 coming here today.
- 20 A. I have, yes.
- 21 Q. And can I just check with you that was PC Walker?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Retired PC Paton?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. PC Short?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. PC Tomlinson?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And Inspector Stewart?
- 5 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Now, we had Inspector Stewart back yesterday. I don't
- 7 know have you watched one session or two?
- 8 A. I only saw his first session.
- 9 Q. First session, thank you. Apart from that, have you
- 10 watched the evidence of anyone else?
- 11 A. I have dipped into some of the evidence, yes, of
- 12 different individuals.
- Q. Thank you. Do you remember who they were?
- 14 A. I remember looking at some of the doctor's evidence in
- 15 relation to the injuries sustained to the officers and
- I think that was about it. I think that was the only
- one. I did get 39 hours of original footage to go
- through so I had quite a lot to look at anyway.
- 19 Q. Yes, we have had a lot of evidence so far.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And can I ask you to look at a use of force standard
- 22 operating procedure, PS10939. Sorry, PS10933, sorry.
- I might have the number wrong with that.
- 24 A. I don't think that's in this bundle, is it, over here?
- Q. I just wanted to check with you -- you will see this on

- 1 the screen, use of force, and I understand that was
- 2 recently sent to you?
- 3 A. Yes, I was originally given an earlier --
- 4 Q. Version?
- 5 A. -- version of it and I received this on -- the day
- 6 before yesterday.
- 7 Q. Right. So this was a different -- slightly different
- 8 version sent to you in advance of today?
- 9 A. Yes, it's an update -- it appears to be an updated
- 10 version.
- 11 Q. And have you had a chance to look at that?
- 12 A. I have had a quick look over it, specifically looking
- for any major changes. I will be happy to stand
- 14 corrected, but I couldn't see any major changes other
- than what I would expect with regards to changes that
- have taken place in relation to use of force.
- 17 Q. I agree, but I didn't want to influence you in any way.
- 18 That's good. Had you had sight of this or a use of
- force SOP from the Crown when you first instructed?
- 20 A. No, I wasn't. I wasn't given any training materials.
- Q. No training materials?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. And this is the standard operating procedure?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Rather than a manual or --

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Did you have that?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. And then can we have a look briefly at the OST course
- 5 manual from 2013, which is PS11538A. Now, this is
- a large document. We will see the first page. It is
- 7 split into modules for officers. We have heard this is
- 8 a training manual which came into force, if you like, in
- 9 2013 and was in force by 2015 --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- at that stage. And you will see that it's got
- a number of sections regarding a wide variety of topics.
- 13 Have you had a chance to see that manual?
- 14 A. I have, yes.
- 15 Q. Yes. And did you have sight of that when you did your
- report for the Crown?
- 17 A. I hadn't, no, but I was aware of the training at the
- 18 time within Police Scotland.
- 19 Q. And were you aware of the training manual at the time?
- 20 A. I was that led -- I have seen a very similar version to
- 21 this in one of my visits.
- 22 Q. When you were sent your instruction by the Crown were
- you sent a manual to look at --
- 24 A. I wasn't, no.
- Q. -- or to consider?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. No. Could we look at a PowerPoint please, OST
- 3 PowerPoint, PS17208, I think that is.
- Now, we have looked at this before, it's a number of
- 5 slides on a PowerPoint. Have you had sight of that --
- 6 A. I have, yes.
- 7 Q. -- before today. When you were instructed by the Crown
- 8 did they give you access to anything like this?
- 9 A. No, not until I was instructed by the Inquiry.
- 10 Q. This is part of a document used for training, as we
- 11 understand it.
- 12 A. Yes, it would be the standard PowerPoint that would
- support the training. Obviously it's open to
- 14 interpretation as to who delivers it in relation to how
- much information is given on each slide.
- Q. We have heard that the manual is a bulky document but
- some key points from the manual would be part of this
- 18 slide because there's only 30 slides or so.
- 19 A. Yes, what they would consider to be the main bullet
- points, yes.
- 21 Q. Can I ask you now to look at a report by Joanne Caffrey,
- 22 SBPI00181. You will see the first page of this, it says
- 23 "expert witness report". If we go down slightly you can
- 24 see it relates to the incident on 3 May 2015 and the
- 25 report is from October 2022 and again, were you provided

- a copy of this by the Inquiry in advance of today?

 A. I was, last week. It's a substantial document so yes,
- I have read the relevant sections that I think may come
- 4 up in questioning, but I haven't done the whole
- 5 document.
- Q. That's fine. I will be asking you different questionsanyway.
- Can I move on to your instruction with the Crown in

 2018. I don't want to look at the report in any detail,

 but can I just confirm with you that -- we can take that

 off the screen, thank you -- were you asked by the Crown

 to consider the overall management of the incident on
- 3 May 2015?
- A. Not initially. I was then called to Scotland for
 a one-to-one interview by one of the investigating staff
 and during that deposition I was asked questions in
 relation to the post-incident management because I had
 actually commented on it anyway within my original
 report.
- Q. Right. So you were asked about the post-incident management, so things happening afterwards.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. But in relation to the way the incident was managed from
 the time when calls started coming in, were you asked
 any questions about that?

- 1 A. From recollection, no, it didn't form part of the
 2 original instruction or questions that were posed to me.
- Q. There's nothing about it in your original report from 2018, but I just wanted to ask if they had asked you maybe at consultation, or by email, or anything along
- 6 those lines?
- A. I don't remember anything regarding the actual handling
 of the incident. It was more around the post-incident
 management.
- Q. So the first time that you were asked to consider that, or look at the whole circumstances, was that when the Inquiry were in touch with you?
- 13 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Thank you. If we look at paragraph 20 of your Inquiry statement, I think towards the bottom of that paragraph you say:
- "... the initial assessment of risk across the board to Mr Bayoh, the officers, and the public has to ... be high by the inspector ..."
- Now, we have heard a lot of evidence where officers
 have said they thought it was a high risk incident. Is
 it fair to say you agree with that assessment?
- 23 A. I would, yes.
- Q. And we have heard considerable evidence where officers
 have talked about risks to the subject, the police, and

- 1 potentially to the public?
- 2 A. Yes, correct.

12

- 3 Q. Would you agree with that?
- 4 A. Yes, I would say it was high across the board for all
- 5 parties concerned.

high.

- Q. Thank you. And is that primarily because of the allegations in relation to a knife?
- A. Yes. Certainly in relation to the public and the

 officers, but then obviously as I commented in relation

 to Mr Bayoh that the relevant level of force that may be

 required to meet that risk would likely to be quite
- Q. Thank you. In relation to -- if you look, please, at paragraphs -- it might be easier in your hard copy -- 10, 11 and 12. I'm going to ask you some questions about these paragraphs, so if we start with 10. You were asked about command and control of the incident, including the role of the area control room, and in terms of the initial reports there were six emergency
- calls, 999 calls, 101 calls, from five people. One
 gentleman, we have heard his name, Harry Kolberg, phoned
- twice. So you're aware of all of that?
- 23 A. Yes, I was from the original investigation, yes.
- Q. Right. And the reports were generally of a well built or muscular man in the street carrying a knife and you

1 say: "I understand one individual reported the subject 2 3 took a flying kick at his car." 4 Α. I believe so, yes. Now, I wanted to just check, that's not in the STORM 5 Q. records that went into ACR, it's not in the transcripts 6 7 of the telephone calls made by members of the public, so 8 was that information maybe from some evidence that you 9 heard? 10 Α. It probably was if I would have used that particular terminology because I have lifted it out of some of the 11 12 evidence that was provided to me. 13 Well, that's fine. I just wanted to make it clear to Q. 14 you that in terms of the calls that were coming in from 15 the public and the records, the STORM records, it wasn't noted on any of those. 16 Okay, thank you. 17 Α. And then you said: 18 Q. "I think with that information and intelligence 19 20 available the requirement for specially trained and equipped staff was a necessity, certainly to consider." 21 22 And you mention that Maxwell requested the ARV and 23 the dog unit. I believe if you look at the timeline he was the first 24 Α. one to mention the ARV. It may well have been being 25

1 considered by Inspector Stewart but the first comment of consideration of ARVs and dog unit appears to be coming 2 from the sergeant who is in charge on the ground. 3 4 Q. Yes. In fact, if we look at the spreadsheet in front of 5 you and you look at page 2, you will see that Acting Police Sergeant Maxwell, at 7.17.23, makes a request for 6 7 all units to attend, an ARV and a dog? 8 That's correct and I don't think it's until about 7.19 Α. 9 that we have a response from the control room confirming 10 that ARVs are being considered and a dog unit has been 11 assigned. 12 Q. And you will see at 7.19.12, Maxwell asks for an update, 13 and then at 7.19.17, the controller, we have heard from 14 says "I believe a dog unit is en route", and 15 controller 2, "Be aware, organising an ARV as well." And we have heard that behind the scenes in the 16 17 [ACR] other members of staff were contacting the dog 18 unit and assessing the situation with the ARV. 19 Yes. Α. So you are quite right, the call from Maxwell at 7.17.23 20 Q. 21 is the first request on the Airwaves transmission for 22 specialist resources? 23 (Nods). Α.

And I just want to ask you about that point. Can we

begin by looking at the STORM record first, so that

24

25

Q.

I can explain something to you, PS00231. So this is the 1 STORM records. There's PS00231 which starts at 7.14.16 2 3 on 3 May 2015. This was created at 7.14.17 in response to the first call, so the call came in from 4 5 Harry Kolberg at 7.10 and this record was created by a call-taker and marked up as 7.14 and then we hear 6 7 Sergeant Maxwell's Airwaves transmission at 7.17, and 8 I would like to ask you at what point -- knowing what 9 you do about the calls that have come in and the 10 information that was available to the police at that time, at what point would you think a reasonable 11 12 controller in the ACR would start considering specialist 13 resources? A. I think the consideration for specialist resources would 14 15 have been immediate once the number of calls and the actual information that was being received arrived in 16 17 front of them. 18 The other thing to consider is the -- I think is the 19 travelling time, knowing the resources that are 20 available to you as the controller, what the possible 21 travelling time would be to that particular incident in 22 that area, and I have commented on when I was asked in my opinion if I was the supervisor making that decision 23 24 at the time I would have rolled the ARV immediately 25 based on the information and intelligence that I had,

especially knowing that they were 20 to 25 minutes 1 travelling time away from the incident. 2 Q. So on the basis of the information that's in the STORM 3 4 log -- I have shown you this one which is 7.14 and it 5 says: "African looking male was chasing complainer's car 6 7 and he thinks he may be carrying a knife." 8 And then if we look at 232 we see another STORM log 9 that was created at 7.15.42, and on page 2 of this we 10 see the first entry here -- these eventually were combined, if I can say. The first entry here is: 11 12 "Male in possession of a large knife, a black male 13 wearing white T-shirt, no jacket, walking along the 14 street with a large knife in right-hand about 9-inch 15 blade walking in the direction of the hospital." And that's at 7.15. 16 Yes. 17 Α. Q. So the first one is 7.14, the second one is 7.15, but 18 eventually they are joined together, and I can tell you 19 20 we have heard evidence about this one that was on the 21 screen now that this was immediately graded a grade 1 call. Now, you have already mentioned to us this 22 morning about a grade 1 call. 23 24 Yes. Α. Q. You will understand in Scotland that's immediate threat 25

- 1 to life and we have heard that for grade 1 calls, they
- 2 come up with a flashing red thing on the screen.
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. And we have heard that a filter can be applied by the
- 5 inspector or a sergeant which only filters the grade 1
- and grade 2 calls.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So the controller, the sergeant and the inspector have
- 9 this on their computer screen and they're listening to
- the transmissions. So the calls come in, 7.14, 7.15.
- I think we have heard evidence that the controller very
- 12 quickly thought they were the same incident, and then
- you can see from your spreadsheet that at 7.16, on
- 14 page 2, you will see that controller 1 at 7.16.32 sends
- a call-out to what was Response Team 4 and said:
- "I need you to divert to Hendry Road, a disturbance
- ongoing, male armed with a knife, African looking male,
- chasing someone, may be carrying a knife, described as
- big with muscles, about 6 feet tall, wearing a white
- 20 T-shirt and dark coloured jeans. There's another job
- coming in about it, stand by."
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. So at that stage you would expect the controller to be
- 24 considering specialist resources?
- 25 A. I think with -- as I said, with PS231 and 232, by the

1 time we get to 7.16, so we're two minutes in, we've got 2 more calls coming in, then yes, I personally would 3 have -- I think there's sufficient intelligence there, 4 sufficient information that, you know, a number of 5 members of the public have -- are so concerned to ring in, we've got eye-witness accounts of the individual 6 7 being in possession of a knife, high grade -- grade 1 8 call, I would have ruled -- authorised ARV deployment at that point. 9 10 Q. So in paragraph 10 in your Inquiry statement you have talked about: 11 12 "With the information intelligence available the 13 requirement for specially trained and equipped staff was 14 a necessity, certainly to consider." 15 You are actually saying that in those circumstances you think it's more than consideration that should be 16 given, you would have --17 18 Α. I would have considered it and I would have deployed. 19 I would have authorised and deployed at that point. Now, we have heard that if we think about 20 Q. 21 a hypothetical, reasonable officer, there may be more 22 than one option available, and you personally may choose 23 one and someone else may choose the other and they could both be equally reasonable options, and so I would like 24 to ask you questions on the basis of what a -- what the 25

1 range of reasonable options might be to an officer who 2 is acting in accordance with his legal requirements, 3 his -- acting in accordance with the standard operating 4 procedures, guidance, his ethical obligations. 5 Α. Yes. LORD BRACADALE: Ms Grahame, just before you go on to that, 6 7 I'm going to take a very short break. 8 MS GRAHAME: Yes. (2.34 pm)9 10 (Short Break) (2.41 pm) 11 12 LORD BRACADALE: Yes, Ms Grahame. 13 MS GRAHAME: Thank you. The break has given me 14 an opportunity to look at something, Mr Graves. 15 I understand that in fact you were sent training materials in your instruction from Crown Office in 2018. 16 I have certainly listed them in my original report from 17 Α. 2018, but I haven't detailed them, but I do have access 18 19 to the detailed list which I can check and tell you 20 exactly what documents were provided. 21 Q. I don't think you were given the PowerPoint, you can 22 perhaps confirm that, but I think you may have been given at least a manual? 23 I think I was given a very heavily redacted manual which 24 Α. 25 had basically very little information in it.

- 1 Q. All right, thank you. And I think in addition you were
- 2 given a use of force standard operating procedure?
- A. Yes, which I believe was identical to the original one that I was given.
- 5 Q. Thank you. So just to go back, we were talking about
- 6 how there could be a range of options open to
- 7 a reasonable officer. Two officers could both act in
- 8 accordance with the legislation and their SOPs and
- 9 ethical obligations, but maybe take different courses of
- 10 action?
- 11 A. That's correct, yes.
- 12 Q. And so when we're going through some aspects where you
- have expressed a view, I would ask maybe if we can talk
- 14 about the possible ranges of options that would be open.
- 15 A. Yes, yes.
- Q. And we were looking at this aspect in relation to the
- 17 STORM cards information and the Airwaves transmission,
- and this is information that's available to the
- 19 controller in the ACR at the same time, and so the first
- call card in the STORM log is 7.14. The controller, as
- 21 we have looked at, at 7.16 diverts a unit to
- 22 Hendry Road --
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. -- in relation to response to these messages. So we
- 25 have the call 7.14, 7.15, and then the controller going

- on to the Airwaves at 7.16 and sending a unit to the
- 2 area.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And we have also looked at Maxwell's request for
- 5 specialist resources, ARV and dog, and requesting for
- all units at 7.16.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So we see 7.14, 7.15, 7.16, 7.17, and you have indicated
- 9 that a controller aware of all these logs and
- 10 transmissions would be considering specialist resources?
- 11 A. Certainly by the time we get to 7.16. Probably not with
- the original 7.14 because there's insufficient -- in my
- opinion there's insufficient information on there to
- 14 confirm that a knife or an edged weapon is involved.
- The 7.15 indicates that there is and then from memory
- I believe the 7.16 call, the additional calls that come
- in again confirm that the individual was in possession
- of a knife.
- 19 Q. So is it the -- if we look at 7.14 there was mention of
- a knife.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. There's more detail in the 7.16 -- 7.15 STORM log.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. But you think from the 7.15 STORM log that would be --
- 25 plus the additional calls coming in, you think that

- would be the moment where the controller would be
 considering --
- A. Yes, yes, definitely. I would say by sort of 7.16 -
 we've got there 7.17, additional calls, so yes, I would

 say around about that 16, 17 benchmark would be when

 I would be considering the --
- Q. And that would be happening even before
 Sergeant Maxwell's request for an ARV or a dog unit?
- 9 A. If I was that controller then yes, I would already be
 10 considering that prior to Sergeant Maxwell requesting
 11 one anyway.
- Q. Right. But for a reasonable controller, would it be reasonable for that person to start thinking about specialist resources after Maxwell's call?
- 15 A. I would have hoped -- as I said, I would have thought
 16 that that consideration would already be in place prior
 17 to that. It's unusual where somebody on the ground has
 18 to make that request without it already have been either
 19 considered or instigated by the control staff.
- Q. And you know that in Scotland -- and we agreed earlier
 that to deploy an ARV in Scotland, that has to be
 something within the authority of the inspector?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. But the lower ranks do not have that authority?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And so when you said the reasonable controller would consider these things, what would that consideration
- 3 look like?
- A. You're looking at the risk factors, taking into
- 5 consideration the number of calls, the quality of the
- information that's being contained in those messages.
- 7 You may well speak to the call handler who has received
- 8 the call to establish how realistic the information may
- 9 be from the informant, how did they sound, but I think
- just with the sheer number -- I mean, it's a Sunday
- 11 morning, it's usually very quiet on that time on
- 12 a Sunday morning. When you start getting six or seven
- calls to one location, to one incident and to one
- 14 individual, you sit up quite quickly and start taking
- 15 notice of it.
- Q. Thank you. And we have heard that on page 2, as you
- will see, by 7.19 the controller is saying "I believe
- a dog unit is en route", and we have heard that behind
- the scenes, a member of the staff in the ACR has been in
- 20 contact with a dog unit and they are attending from
- 21 Edinburgh?
- 22 A. Yes. I would have expected that to be happening, yes.
- 23 Q. And we can see from the -- if we go back to STORM log
- 24 PS232, we have heard today that at 7.21 there is SD18
- 25 which related to specialist dog units, they're coming

24

25

1 from Edinburgh, but prior to that at 7.18.18, SD10 was 2 supposed to be attending? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. Right. But I think we heard this morning from the dog 5 handler that the initial dog handler was travelling a further distance and then he was brought in to come 6 7 from Edinburgh. 8 Yes. Α. So by the time Maxwell seeks an update at 7.19, two dog 9 Q. 10 handlers have been contacted and one is coming -- sorry, that's not correct -- one dog handler has been contacted 11 12 and is attending and then shortly after, at 7.21, 13 a second closer dog handler has been contacted. 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. And in relation to the ARV element, 7.19.23 on page 2, we see Con 2, Controller 2 saying: 16 17 "Be aware organising an ARV as well." Now, we have not heard from that particular witness, 18 19 but we understand that the inspector wasn't actually in 20 the overview room until 7.18 approximately. 21 Α. (Nods). And he did not authorise the deployment of an ARV and 22 Q. I'm interested in your view about this particular 23

evidence. So his name is Inspector Stewart and we heard

from him yesterday.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And he took the view that he would not deploy the ARV --
- 3 although contact had been made with them, they were in
- 4 Edinburgh, but until he received feedback as to what was
- 5 happening on the ground, that it was not appropriate for
- 6 him to deploy the ARV.
- 7 If you take those facts as circumstances, would you
- 8 say that that was within the range of a reasonable
- 9 approach for --
- 10 A. I -- it is an approach that could be used. The -- my
- 11 caveat with that is the time delay in getting that type
- of resource to the scene. If you know the ARV is 20,
- 25 minutes away, and, as I said, this is my opinion
- 14 based on similar situations where I have had to wait for
- back up, I would have had that authorisation in place
- and had that unit travelling to the scene as a safety
- 17 precaution for the officers and the members of the
- 18 public that may be at risk should they come across the
- 19 subject.
- Q. Right. In terms of the speed at which you would expect
- 21 the ACR department to have that deployment in place, we
- 22 know in Scotland it has to be the inspector --
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. -- who has the authority. Do you have any views about
- 25 how quickly that should have been deployed?

A. If he wasn't in the room at 7.18 I would expect him to
be taking a couple of minutes to review the various
messages, review the intelligence and the information
that's come in, before making that decision.

The decision that was made I think was to some degree influenced by the fact that officers had already been deployed and were reasonably close to the scene, so taking the decision not to authorise, I can understand it. I personally don't agree with it but, as you said, that's my opinion based on my years of experience in doing that role.

I can understand that and, as I said, awaiting -and he does actually, you know, request an update from
the attending officers which appears to take place
literally as the officers come into contact with
Mr Bayoh, so it's -- it's an understandable decision but
I still stick with my opinion that I would have had that
unit running but in reality it wouldn't have made any
difference because they would never have reached the
scene before the incident, you know, developed.

- Q. So ultimately, we're talking about small periods of time and it wouldn't have actually made any difference, even if that decision had been made earlier?
- A. No, no. You know, in hindsight, with the development of the situation being so rapid, that decision was moot,

- really, in relation to the ARVs attending or not attending.
- Q. What importance would you place on officers on the ground knowing that an ARV had been deployed?
- A. I think the deployment is -- you know, it's something
 that they would probably expect. As I said,

 Sergeant Maxwell certainly expected it and requested it.

 I think officers faced with that type of call or that

 number of calls would expect an armed response to be
 assigned and it would give them some sort of support in

 relation to what might happen if -- you know, if they

come across an individual armed with a knife.

- Q. And where a request has been made from a sergeant on the ground, what importance do you think a reasonable controller would give to that specific request from the officer on the ground?
- A. I think you have to give some credence to that. It may well be that the local sergeant has -- is in possession of more information or more intelligence that may sway that decision, that the controller may not be in possession of, but I think you have to take it -- overall the decision lies with the inspector to authorise that deployment. The sergeant can ask as many times as they like, but it's down to the inspector based on the information that's in front of them to make that

- decision.
- 2 Q. Would it be reasonable for a controller to have regard
- 3 to a sergeant who may know his team and the particular
- 4 experience and skills and make up of the team that he
- 5 has working under him?
- 6 A. It could certainly be with an impacting factor, yes.
- 7 Q. And that's not necessarily something that a controller
- 8 would have any information about?
- 9 A. Probably not. Again, I commented elsewhere in my
- interview that with regional -- you know, area control
- 11 rooms that lack of local knowledge is one of the down --
- 12 the sort of downturns of having that type of system in
- 13 place because you lose that local information, that
- 14 local intelligence which can only come from the officers
- on the ground.
- Q. We have also heard that local information is not
- 17 necessarily always accurate or available to controllers
- in the ACR?
- 19 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. So personally they may not have experience of particular
- 21 areas and also we have heard that the mapping system on
- 22 their computers may not always be accurate?
- 23 A. Yes, I can give examples. When I have been in the
- 24 central control at Scotland Yard whereby, you know,
- a big call would come in and it may be that somebody on

1 another desk sees it, or hears it and says "Oh, that's my old ground, I know that", and it's actually passed to 2 them to sort of help control it because they've got that 3 4 local knowledge. It's not always the case in a lot of 5 control rooms that I have been in or sat and watched. So where the controller also have has some local 6 Q. 7 knowledge, is that -- can that be of assistance --It can be yes, definitely. 8 Α. -- in carrying out this risk assessment? 9 Q. 10 Α. Yes, definitely. Can I just ask you to reflect for a moment on 11 Q. 12 paragraphs 23 to 25. I think we have covered most of 13 this. You have been asked to consider the grade 1 call and the immediate response to that and the questions 14 15 that a controller would be asking themselves about deployment of resources, and in paragraph 24 you 16 mention: 17 18 "The speed at which this developed obviously negates 19 an awful lot of [the] decision-making because the 20 decision, to some degree, was taken out of the 21 inspector's hands because it was dealt with very quickly 22 on the ground. The officers had obviously made the 23 decision, whether they came across it by accident or, saw him and approached as they did, getting guite close. 24 The response officers having made that decision to 25

- 1 approach the male and assess the male, I think from
- 2 there it developed so quickly. There's little or no
- 3 additional information going back to the control room
- 4 until such time as Nicole Short is assaulted."
- 5 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Can we talk about that. We have heard that the
- 7 inspector was seeking feedback.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Information. And his expectation was that officers who
- 10 arrived at the scene would provide that feedback via
- 11 their radios?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you have commented there that there's little or no
- 14 additional information being fed back to the ACR.
- 15 A. Yes, I mean --
- Q. Can you explain the importance of that information?
- 17 A. I think with Inspector Stewart having made the decision
- that he was going to depend upon an update from the
- 19 scene before he made the decision to deploy ARVs, it was
- 20 very relevant, very important for him to get that
- 21 information as soon as possible. His transmission in
- 22 relation to requesting that information virtually
- 23 crosses with the first officers arriving on scene and
- 24 engaging with the subject, so I think it's -- you know,
- from that time forward, he doesn't really get that

- information that he requires to make that tactical decision in relation to the ARVs.
- Q. We see on the transcript that Inspector Stewart came on to the Airwaves at 7.20.13.
- 5 A. Mm-hm.

13

14

15

16

17

18

Q. And we have called this variously a stay safe message:

"Inspector Stewart area control room to the set

attending, I'm monitoring this obviously from an ARV

perspective. If you get sightings of the male you need

to make an initial assessment yourself and feed back

through straight away and I will listen out on

the channel."

And we have heard that there was an expectation from the inspector that the officers on the ground would carry out an initial risk assessment, a dynamic risk assessment, and then feed back, go on their radios and give information to ACR.

- A. That would be the standard practice, yes.
- Q. And we have heard that he expected that before he made any decisions about an armed response vehicle.
- A. Yes. I mean having made his decision along those lines,
 yes, he would have to wait for that type of information
 to come in before he made a further judgment.
- Q. Would you be able to help us with what type of information would an officer on the ground be expected

- 1 to feed back?
- 2 A. I think the first bit of information is actual contact
- 3 with the subject, so the fact that you have found the
- 4 person that you're looking for. That, again, would then
- 5 increase the risk because now we're not just talking
- about officers driving round looking for somebody, we're
- 7 talking about officers actually engaging and actually
- 8 coming across the individual who they believe they're
- 9 looking for, so that would have been the first bit of
- information I would have been waiting for, you know,
- some sort of contact message, some sort of "We've got
- 12 him at the bus stop", or something like that, just
- something to indicate that the subject has been located.
- Q. And does "located" mean actually seen visibly?
- 15 A. Yes, visibly seen, not so much contact because then the
- decision by the officers then has to be whether they're
- going to observe, whether they're going to approach,
- whether they're going to engage.
- 19 Q. So it could be that there's some sort of contact with
- 20 ACR via the radio from the vehicle?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. As they approach the area?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And that's the sort of thing you would expect an officer
- 25 to provide?

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I would have hoped for that. What I would say though is in those sorts of situations, bearing in mind the level of risk the officers perceived, that was probably one of the first things that goes out the window because they become focused on the incident rather than the impact on others and the bigger picture. They will become very focused on what's in front of them.
 - Q. So how common is it for officers who are sent to an incident not to provide that type of feedback to ACR?
- 10 Α. It's very common unfortunately. What you tend to find is that the original officers you won't hear from 11 12 because they're dealing with the situation. What you 13 then hope for is for the second unit or third unit to 14 arrive, because they've got that slight bit of distance, 15 will give that information to the control room based on "We have just arrived on scene, officers are engaging, 16 17 officers are talking, whatever, we're at such and such 18 a location".
 - Q. So officers who maybe aren't first on the scene but who arrive shortly after, there's not an end point to feed back, they can continue to provide that feedback?
 - A. Yes, yes. You would hope that somebody down the line -you know, it might be the case that if officers are
 engaged in a struggle, the next officers arrive and will
 go to that as the primary concern and then the third

- 1 unit arrive and will be the ones that give the update
 2 because the restraint has taken place, if that makes
- 3 sense.
- 4 Q. Yes. But at some point you would expect that feedback?
- 5 A. When it has been requested but even without it being
- 6 requested it's just common practice and best practice to
- 7 get that information out to other units arriving.
- 8 Q. We did hear from Inspector Stewart that he said this is
- 9 really effectively a reminder to staff who are trained
- 10 to provide the feedback?
- 11 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 12 Q. You would agree with that?
- 13 A. Yes, I would.
- 14 Q. And we have talked about officers maybe observing,
- I think was the word that you used, as they approach the
- area and can provide feedback then, or when they leave
- 17 their vehicles they can provide feedback?
- 18 A. Yes, they can provide it over their personal radios.
- 19 Q. And is that something that's very simple to do?
- 20 A. Yes, I mean it's press a button and talk. With this
- 21 particular incident, we also have two activations of
- 22 emergency buttons on the radios. I'm sure you have
- 23 heard evidence, but that basically opens up the channel
- 24 to that particular radio and gives that radio priority
- over other signals, so even then, just pressing that

1 button you can then shout instructions, you can shout locations, it gives you that opportunity to be basically 2 3 hands-free but still communicating with the control 4 room. 5 So they don't need to touch anything on their radios at Q. 6 all? 7 No, once that's activated, it opens the channel and it Α. 8 opens it for between 10 and 15 seconds depending on the radio set. 9 10 Q. Looking at the spreadsheet again -- you have mentioned 11 the events after the message from Inspector Stewart, so 12 that's at 7.20.13. We heard some evidence yesterday 13 that effectively although there was no feedback in terms 14 of a conversation, or verbal comments from officers, 15 that at 7.20.42 -- you will see that at the bottom of 16 page 3 -- there was an emergency status turned on. That was PC Alan Paton. 17 18 Α. Mm-hm.19 And by -- that was acknowledged by Inspector Kay at Q. 20 7.20.56, and then we see 7.21.02, this is on to page 4, 21 "Officer injured, PC Short, male". 22 And so although there's no verbal or communication 23 of feedback, we have heard that turning on an emergency button and then "Officer injured" being transmitted, 24 that is in itself feedback --25

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- of some description. And at that point can you
- 3 explain for an inspector who is in the ACR with
- 4 authority to deploy an ARV, would it be reasonable at
- 5 that stage to say it's too late, things have moved on by
- 6 then?
- 7 A. I wouldn't use the terminology "Too late". What you've
- 8 got to consider is if this was the incident that they
- 9 thought it might be, you know, the ARV may still be
- 10 required because if this individual then escapes the
- 11 custody of the officers and officers have been injured,
- 12 you're now doing a search for an armed suspect so the
- 13 ARV would be required for that. Around it's too late,
- 14 I think by the time you get the comment "Male
- 15 restrained" or "Male secure", then you're realising that
- 16 at that point then the ARV is irrelevant, the ARV is not
- 17 required, but I think at that point where you're
- stipulating in relation to the emergency button and the
- officer assaulted, at that point you don't know the
- degree of the injury, whether the knife has been used or
- 21 seen, and whether the ARV is still required.
- 22 Q. So at that point -- moment in time it's still a matter
- for consideration?
- A. Yes, definitely. Yes. And, as I say, the mere pushing
- of the emergency button, or the activation of the

- 1 emergency button indicates that that particular officer
- 2 that's pressed it now requires, in terminology, urgent
- 3 assistance from other officers.
- Q. And then the second emergency status button is 7.21.
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. So 19 seconds after "Officer injured" is transmitted.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And "Male secure on the ground" is also 7.21. This is
- 9 the top of page 5.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. 7.21.38, but that's the point I think at which you say
- it would be reasonable to no longer consider an ARV
- 13 appropriate.
- 14 A. Yes. I would still probably want an update just to make
- sure that everything was okay and the ARV wasn't
- required, but at that point in my considerations that's
- 17 when I would be thinking "It's too late to deploy, or
- 18 consider deployment of an ARV".
- 19 Q. So a reasonable officer in the ACR would not necessarily
- 20 consider it appropriate at that stage?
- 21 A. Not at that late stage, no.
- 22 Q. I would like to ask you about the situation -- I took
- you a moment ago to your comments about the speed at
- 24 which things developed and what impact can that have on
- officers who are attempting to do risk assessments,

1 attempting to prepare plans?

A. The -- the speed at which an incident develops greatly impacts on any human being's ability to rationally process information that's coming in. We become more responsive and more reactive than considering the actual actions that we're doing. This is all part and parcel of the training that officers receive around the effects of stress on the body and a heightened heart rate, heightened breathing, etc, and how that can impact on not just physical reactions but also psychological and your thought processes in relation to that sort of incident.

So we become more reactive and the way I try to explain it sometimes is you're driving your car down the motorway and the car in front brakes heavily. You still have that ability to react to that stimulus. The stimulus is the car slowing down. It takes your brain approximately three-tenths of a second to process that information and to instigate a physical action to deal with that problem, ie slam your brakes on. For those of us that remember the Highway Code, if you think about the back page of the Highway Code you have the speed stopping distances for the vehicle. The one thing that doesn't change is the thinking distance because that's the speed at which our normal cognitive brains, in

a live situation, can process that information, that
visual cue.

Unfortunately, as adrenaline is released into the body, as the heart rate rises, that time -- thinking time basically expands and we need longer to process information. Therefore, it's more difficult for officers to process rapid changes and come up with accurate tactical responses to those and it becomes more reactive and instinctive than it does actually a thought process, for want of a better terminology.

- Q. Right. I would like to ask you about -- we touched earlier on risk assessments and considering risk to the officers, the subject and members of the public if they're in the vicinity, and I would like to have your views on what control measures could be in place to protect officers and the public from a subject who is alleged to have a knife.
- A. The simple control measures for the officers are not to engage, or not to become close enough to the individual for them to become at risk, so with a knife obviously you have to be in close proximity for that individual to injure you so the simplest control measure is to keep a distance from that individual, you know, a substantial distance, if possible, engage in conversation if necessary, if you deem that is appropriate, or observe

1 that individual from a distance.

The problem then you have -- that keeps the officer safe, but what it then does or can do is if a member of the public then comes into close proximity with the individual who is allegedly armed, that member of the public now becomes at risk and obviously as officers we have a duty to respond and a duty to keep the public safe. Therefore, that control measure is not always suitable or appropriate to the situation that you find yourself.

In relation to, again, Mr Bayoh, you think that if officers don't approach and don't engage what is going to -- what could happen, what could he do, where could he go, etc. So there's that balancing act between the sort of risk to the three -- those three areas, but the predominant overview is that the police are responsible for the safety of the public, therefore, they may have to act and may have to put themselves in a position of risk to mitigate that risk to the public.

Q. If we have heard evidence that there were no public in the vicinity, although it was a residential area, early hours of Sunday, there were people in cars but not out on the street, so where there are no public visible in the vicinity, does that have an impact, does it permit more time to be spent?

- 1 A. I think it certainly could do, yes. You know, options
- 2 such as putting a cordon in, or putting a block in on
- 3 the road to stop any vehicles coming down would be an
- 4 option.
- 5 Q. How easy would that be to create?
- 6 A. Thinking of the location that the incident took place it
- 7 would have been quite easy to block the roundabout on
- 8 one side. Obviously I'm not aware of what was down the
- 9 other side of the road, but again, you know, if that
- whole process had gone through somebody's mind they may
- 11 have directed a unit to the other side of the street,
- again to stop anybody trying to get to where the subject
- was, so they could have stood back and seen what
- 14 happened. The officers made the decision to engage.
- 15 Q. How easy is it to create a cordon?
- 16 A. Very difficult in an open area. You've got to
- 17 consider --
- 18 Q. What do you mean by an open area?
- 19 A. Well, various escape routes, there were various
- footpaths, there was an open grass area, there was
- 21 trees, so it's quite -- it's very difficult, virtually
- 22 impossible to put what they call a floating containment
- in place for somebody who is wandering around the
- 24 streets. It is far more easy if you've got them
- 25 contained in a building or, you know, down an alleyway

25

1 or something like that, it's easier to control. But 2 it's very difficult and you would literally be 3 responding to whatever direction the subject has walked 4 in, so if they walk one direction, you walk with them, 5 they walk back towards you, you walk away from them, so 6 it's a nigh impossible task to accomplish in an open 7 space area. 8 If there are no members of the public in the area, but Q. 9 obviously in that area that may change, does that permit 10 the officers a longer period of time -- more opportunity to consider their tactics? 11 12 Α. I think it probably would if that was their initial 13 assessment of the tactical option to use should they 14 find the individual. 15 What you're remembering is that this -- the National Decision Model is being run through in the officers' 16 17 heads on an ongoing basis, so as the different calls 18 come in, as the different bits of information come in, 19 they're constantly reviewing their options and their 20 processes as to how they're going to deal with this 21 individual should they come across them and officers will make a decision based on that assessment. 22 So in this instance, the decision was made to 23 engage -- you know, if they found him was to engage. 24

The fact that there were no members of the public

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 around, if the decision had been different, if they had made the decision is "We're going to try and locate but 2 3 not contact or not engage with the individual, we're 4 going to just try and locate them", then yes that -- the 5 fact that there was no members of the public there would have changed or altered the assessment of risk to the 6 public based on that bit of information, but, as you 7 8 said, that could have changed very quickly by somebody 9 coming out of their door, a car passing, you know, 10 something like that.

> I would like to put some different scenarios to you and Q. ask for your views. The first would involve non-engagement by the officers and by that I mean that they would move to a rendezvous point, so a remote area, for example, in this location such as Gallaghers pub car park, and the officers could park in the car park, wait there, same position, same location, keep their eyes on the subject. If he walked off, they could try and -they could try to contain him. They would have to be fluid. There would be a potential risk to members of the public if they appeared. It would have to be closely monitored, but they could take a point of view from Gallaghers car park and see the roundabout and the streets in that area and while they were there waiting, feed back to ACR.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Certainly if it had been declared as a firearms incident or the ARVs had been deployed, then that would be what I would have expected to happen, that officers would have been sent to a forward rendezvous point ready for deployment, or ready to support the armed vehicle when they arrived.

Somebody had to have a view of the subject. The safest place to do that, to be honest, is inside the vehicle because short of the person then smashing their way into the vehicle -- you know, you're inside the car, you lock the car doors, they can't really do you a lot of damage with a knife, so somebody would have probably just pulled up on the road and observed the individual, so yes, it was an option. I don't think it was a requirement because of the decision to deploy to the scene, that decision had already been made. So I think then -- I think sensible deployment of the units arriving is how they got there and where they went to was probably more beneficial than everybody turning up to one place, but that, again, would have been better if that initial contact information had been given by the first officers spotting the subject and then basically saying "We've got sight of him in such and such an area, next to the bus stop", etc.

Q. Let's just go over that maybe in a little more detail.

1 So in terms of a rendezvous point, if we can call this scenario "rendezvous point", would that instruction have 2 come from the ACR? 3 4 Α. Certainly if they had declared -- assigned ARVs or 5 declared it as a firearms incident, I would have expected that decision and that instruction to come from 6 7 the control room inspector. 8 It's also a decision that could have been made by the sergeant. He could have made that decision on the 9 10 ground, taking into the same consideration information that the inspector was taking into consideration. 11 12 It's unusual to have a rendezvous point unless 13 you've got somebody there to then assign or control that rendezvous point and then assign units from there. 14 15 Q. If you have officers arriving on a scene at different times, quite quickly, but at different times, does that 16 make it harder to organise a rendezvous point? 17 18 Yes, very much so. Α. 19 So is that decision to direct officers to a rendezvous Q. 20 point made easier by making that decision in advance of 21 them actually arriving at the scene? 22 It is. One of the other considering points is also how Α. many units have you actually got because if you have 23 only got four units, which is not unusual on an early 24 Sunday morning, if your four units is all you've got and 25

19

20

21

22

23

24

- you think it's going to take four units to deal with

 that particular incident, the four units go to the scene

 to deal with that particular incident, you haven't got

 the luxury of then deploying to a rendezvous point

 unless you've got the resources to actually operate that

 type of system.
- 7 Q. What resources do you need to operate a rendezvous 8 point?
- You're certainly going to need a marshaling officer to 9 Α. 10 sort of bring them in and hold them, somebody there to make the decisions around deployment or send --11 12 obviously deploying them from that scene and then 13 obviously the resources that you actually need to 14 deploy. You know, you can run a rendezvous point with 15 two or three units, but if your total shift is four units and you send three to the rendezvous point, you 16 17 have only got the one unit that's actually dealing with 18 the incident.
 - Q. If all units have been called to a rendezvous point, does that permit that mechanism to be implemented?
 - A. Yes, certainly as I said from a firearms point of view that would be the standard practice. All units would go to a rendezvous point, await the arrival of the armed unit, the armed unit would then go to the call, or go to the individual, deal with it and then they would

- 1 probably have an unarmed unit in support of them for
- 2 possibly arrest and detention purposes, or bringing that
- 3 person out of the location.
- Q. So having all the officers arrive at a rendezvous point
- 5 allows more coordination about how they're going to
- 6 handle the incident?
- 7 A. Yes, it gives them time to consider the approaches, the
- 8 requirements -- you know, I talked about blocking roads
- 9 and things like that. It gives you a little bit more
- 10 time to think of that plan which hopefully would be
- 11 coming down from the inspector at the control room, and
- 12 then the sergeant on scene acting as the bronze and
- 13 putting those tactical decisions into practice.
- Q. And you have talked about if there was a firearms
- incident declared, that that's the type of arrangement
- that you would expect to be in place, a rendezvous
- 17 point?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What if there's no firearms incident declared?
- 20 A. Certainly --
- 21 Q. Is it still an option?
- 22 A. It's still an option, yes, it is still an option but, as
- I said, that's sort of mitigated by the number of units
- that you've got and the number of units that are likely
- 25 to be needed to deal with the particular incident. As

- I say, I think four or five units on the day turned up.
- 2 Q. We've got a large number of cars?
- A. And then there's a lot more turning up afterwards, so
- 4 you might dictate that three or four go to the scene and
- 5 then make the decision that any remainder pooled at
- a RVP pending contact with the individual, pending more
- 7 information.
- 8 Q. So just to be clear about when that type of decision can
- 9 be made in relation to a rendezvous point, you can have
- it before any officer arrives at the scene?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Or once officers have arrived at the scene?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Or once a number of units have arrived at the scene?
- 15 A. Yes, could be. You know, that decision has to be made
- as to how many units are going to be required to deal
- with the initial threat or the initial risk, and then
- you regularly see it where you have got sort of 10 or 15
- 19 vehicles parked down the road and you don't need 10 or
- 20 15, they're just blocking the road, so you may as well
- 21 say "Please gather at the car park" or "Please go to
- this location and stand by".
- 23 Q. Right, and if officers are in a rendezvous point, parked
- and located there, you said you would expect a marshal.
- Is that someone in charge?

- 1 Α. It's somebody who is basically just bringing them in, 2 you know, indicating who you've got, so that when the 3 bronze arrived, whether it be the sergeant or whatever, 4 he can say "You've got five units here, these are the 5 units you've got, where do you want them, what do you want them to do?" and then the sergeant can make that 6 7 decision and then the marshaling officer would send them out to do whatever the particular job is that needs 8 doing. 9 10
 - Q. You said earlier that it's possible for officers to take that decision, not simply an inspector in the ACR?
- 12 A. Yes, could be.

11

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. If it's officers, individual officers who take that decision, would they have to nominate someone as a marshal?
 - A. If it's -- like they would probably be the first unit on scene to the rendezvous point really, that's what normally would happen. They would turn up and somebody would then start, you know, marshaling and actually coordinating what's happening.
- Q. Could we look at paragraph 77 of your Inquiry statement
 please. We will see the start of this on the screen:

 "I'm asked about the evidence of PC Paton in which

he addresses the possibility of an alternative course of action, namely parking in Gallaghers pub car park and

- 1 waiting for the arrival of an ARV, and just observing and monitoring Mr Bayoh and providing feedback to the 2 3 ACR and whether this would be an appropriate course of 4 action. Yes, I think it was certainly a course of 5 action that, if they've considered it, was appropriate, the issue being, as I said earlier, the fact that there 6 7 is still a danger to members of the public. So they may 8 have decided that's what they wanted to do. However, if 9 they had, either the control room or the supervisor 10 could have directed that they search the area for the subject." 11
- 12 A. That's correct, yes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And just to look at that paragraph, are you envisaging in this situation that it would have been the officers who took the decision to gather in the car park?
 - A. Based on that evidence from PC Paton, yes, they could have made that decision initially to try and locate Mr Bayoh. Once they had located him, stand back, observe, and coordinate with the control room so yes, it was a viable option, yes, it was a decision that could have been made but it wasn't made. Their decision was to, you know, engage.
 - Q. So thinking about options that are open to reasonable officers, then that is an option that would have been open to reasonable officers?

1 A. Yes, certainly.

- Q. Yes. Are you envisaging in this paragraph that when
 they're in the car park there would be feedback with the
 ACR and the other officers who are on the radios?
 - A. If that decision had been made and that tactical choice had been their go-to, then yes, I would have expected them to be immediately on locating Mr Bayoh, stating that they have found him, the location and what he is doing and most importantly, is he in possession of a knife or can they see the knife.
 - Q. Right. And in circumstances where, for example, officers have decided to gather in a rendezvous point and that is fed back to the control room, to the controller, to the sergeant/inspector, to what extent would that set of circumstances and that feedback be inputted by those officers in ACR into their assessment of risk?
 - A. I think it would indicate to the control room inspector that the officers on the ground have major concerns around going to and engaging with a subject believed armed with a knife, and it would show them that their tactical choice, or their decision-making and their risk assessment on the ground has indicated that the risk is too great for them to actually approach the individual and that they want to handle it in a slightly different

1 way.

- Q. So that in itself would be indicative of maybe an elevated perception of risk?
- 4 A. Yes.

- Q. And that would equally go into their own risk assessment in terms of their NDM?
- A. Yes, I mean each individual officer would be assessing
 the risk to them personally and, as we have said, they
 could all be coming up with slightly different decisions
 and slightly different versions of the level of risk
 that they're facing in that circumstance.
 - Q. Thank you. Then I would like to move on to another scenario and ask you about this. This, again, would be a non-engagement with the officers on the ground with the subject, but in this case they wouldn't move to a remote rendezvous point, but perhaps a park nearby in the street, at the end of the street or in the vicinity, so non-engagement, observe, wait and feed back. So you can imagine parked, remaining within the vehicle, but observing, waiting and feeding back to ACR, stop the car perhaps a short distance away and say to themselves

 "I can see him, this is what's happening to him", so observing what the subject looks like, what he is doing, what's happening at that moment in time and the location and then creating some space and distance there, so not

- bringing themselves out of the vehicle into potential
 harm's way.
- A. Yes, certainly a viable tactical option and quite

 a sound one really. Even better would have been if

 there was an unmarked vehicle as part of the team,

 you know, maybe driving past, observing from a distance

 and calling an unmarked vehicle in to take over that

 observation, so ...
- 9 Q. So initial officers at the scene, if they saw the
 10 subject they could park a short distance away and
 11 communicate equally with other officers who were -- all
 12 units due to attend -- other officers who may be in
 13 a different type of vehicle?
- A. Yes, yes, it's certainly an option, yes. That's got to
 be balanced against, as I say, their perception of risk
 to the public and, as I say, at that point there isn't
 anybody there, but that could change very quickly and
 that could instantly change what they've got to do, but
 they need to be in a position to react to that but
 without escalating the situation.
 - Q. So maybe being a little closer physically in terms of where the vehicle is parked would allow a quicker reaction perhaps?
- 24 A. Yes.

21

22

23

25 Q. And in terms of the observation, what would you expect

- officers in this situation to be feeding back to ACR?
- 2 A. As I said: location, demeanour, what they're doing,
- 3 behaviour, and whether they're in possession of the
- 4 weapon, the alleged weapon.
- 5 Q. And what would be the benefits of waiting at a close
- 6 distance to the subject?
- 7 A. There's a number of benefits to it. One could be
- 8 waiting for sufficient officers to more safely deal with
- 9 that individual, depending on the length of time waiting
- 10 for the armed support, if it had been deployed, the
- 11 armed support to arrive and make that initial
- 12 engagement, but it all just gives you thinking time,
- gives you more time to consider the options and the
- 14 what ifs based on, you know, the decisions.
- Q. Or you could be waiting for other specialist resources,
- a dog unit or ARV?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And that thinking time, what's the benefit of that
- thinking time?
- 20 A. As I said, I think the fact that you can mull over the
- 21 decisions that you have made, you can think about is
- 22 that really the best choice of option, but also be
- 23 prepared then from that point that if it escalates and
- 24 escalates quickly, how and what you're going to do in
- 25 that set of circumstances.

- Q. And would one of the benefits also be that other units can join so you have more support from other officers?
- A. Yes, as I said, if you're the first unit there, I might
 look at a situation and say: well, I'm going to need at
 least three units here, so I want six officers on scene
 before I consider placing myself in a position of risk.
 You know, maybe eight, maybe 10, maybe 12, but that's
 a decision that that officer would make, so it would be
 that position -- that thought process of: yes, I'm happy
- to deal with this as we are, or: no, I want X, X and X
 in place before we go and deal with this.
- 12 Q. And so that type of option would be one that would be open to a reasonable officer?
- 14 A. Yes, definitely.
- Q. Thank you. And then I should perhaps say that in that
 scenario what impact would that decision by the initial
 officers have on ACR? So if ACR are getting feedback
 and officers are sitting in the van, how would that
 impact on the risk assessment that the control room
 staff are performing?
- 21 A. Well, certainly the initial bit of additional
 22 intelligence or additional information is the fact that
 23 the subject has now been found and located. Then you're
 24 looking for, as I said, what are they doing, are they
 25 presenting a risk, are they in possession of a weapon,

1 but we must remember that just the fact that we can't 2 see the weapon doesn't mean that they're not in 3 possession of one, but the major fact here is that we 4 have actually located the individual. 5 You know, the number of calls that officers go to and they search the area for 20 minutes or 15 minutes 6 7 looking for the person and they're never ever found, so, 8 you know, that sort of situation as against "Well, 9 actually we have arrived, they're there, they fit the 10 description of the individual allegedly concerned in this call, they're allegedly in possession of a knife", 11 12 that raises that level of risk straight away. 13 And does that period of time of observation, for Q. 14 a reasonable officer, would that also permit them time 15 to look at the demeanour of the subject and see how they 16 appeared as well? Certainly from a distance, yes. I mean you're not going 17 Α. 18 to get that much information from just the observations. You would get far more from interaction with the 19 20 individual but yes, it would certainly give you 21 an opportunity to observe, you know, look at their --22 whether they're staggering, whether they're acting bizarrely or unusually, you know, wandering in and out 23 of the streets, so just what do they look like, what are 24 25 they presenting to you.

back to ACR?

from a vehicle.

- Q. And if that person was -- if an officer was able to see
 that the person had eyes bulging out of their head, is
 that the type of thing that you might be able to feed
 - A. It would, but what I would suggest is that the distance you would probably be away from them it would be quite difficult to identify that at that sort of distance.

 You know, you only see that sort of physical evidence when you're in reasonable proximity to an individual, so yes, it would be difficult from a distance observing
- Q. But if you were able to tell that the person appeared
 perhaps to be in a mental health crisis, or to be
 intoxicated in some way, again, would that period of
 observation and waiting time permit that information to
 be fed back to ACR?
 - A. It would allow you to gather more information and certainly then pass that on to the ACR and also to the other units arriving.
- Q. We heard evidence yesterday that if ACR are told certain information about a person that indicates they may be having a mental health crisis or be intoxicated, that they would contact ambulance services immediately for -- because it was perhaps a medical emergency?
- 25 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

- 1 Q. You agree that that's the type of thing that --
- 2 A. If you've got that sort of information available to you
- 3 it would be standard practice to call for medical
- 4 assistance, yes.
- 5 Q. I would like to move on to a third scenario and ask you
- 6 about this. This is the first scenario where there
- 7 would actually be engagement with the officers,
- 8 de-escalation.
- 9 So this has been described as "engage and negotiate
- 10 and de-escalate", so unarmed officers attend a location,
- 11 they are trying to understand what is going on, which
- would then allow them to inform the decision-making
- about the process, that they would provide additional
- 14 updates to ACR and their other officers, it would
- provide them with various options such as the
- opportunity to communicate, it would it be key to
- building rapport, and they would attempt to de-escalate,
- 18 engage and negotiate. So that type of situation where
- 19 there is engagement with the subject, so this is
- 20 envisaged, they're not sitting in a vehicle at any
- 21 position, they're actually approaching the subject, and
- in relation to that type of scenario, again, is that the
- 23 sort of option that's open to reasonable officers who
- 24 are approaching a subject?
- 25 A. It's certainly another option for officers to consider.

- Even within that there's ways of still keeping yourself
 safer, such as things as pulling alongside, opening the
 window a little bit, talking to the individual through
 the window, keeping the doors locked, things like that.
 You know, there's other ways of protecting yourself from
- 7 Q. We have heard about a process called "CUT".

the possibility of the knife attack.

8 A. Yes.

- 9 Q. Which would permit an officer to engage with someone but to retain that distance.
 - A. Yes, it's -- CUT's majority basically based on reaction time and best practice around incidents involving edged weapons or sharp implements. So, as I said before, the primary protection against an edged weapon is the distance you can maintain from the individual, so the further away you are from them, the less opportunity that individual has to injure you.

The second one is use cover, so again, that's why

I emphasise about using the vehicle, even if it was the

case of pulling up and the passenger -- you know, if

Mr Bayoh was on the passenger side, the passenger side

not getting out, the driver getting out and engaging

over the top of the car so you've got the car between

you and the subject, so you have always got that

barrier, but the T is exactly what we have been talking

- 1 about for the ACR, it's transmitting where you are, what
- 2 they're doing, what's going on, getting that additional
- 3 information into the other units that are attending, so
- 4 that they know exactly what they're coming into.
- 5 Q. So again, the "using cover" could be keeping the vehicle
- 6 between you and the subject, or it could be rolling down
- 7 the window and speaking --
- 8 A. Yes, just keeping some sort of physical barrier between
- 9 you and the individual. That could be a set of
- 10 railings, a fence, a vehicle, a bit of street furniture,
- a bus shelter, giving you some sort of protection from
- that individual getting direct contact with you.
- Q. And again "transmit", is that the sort of feedback that
- 14 you're giving on the radio to ACR and to other
- 15 colleagues?
- A. Yes, it's sold as transmit for help, that's basically
- how it's sold for. If you're dealing with an edged
- 18 weapon incident, you want help, you want specialist
- 19 support, you want more officers to come and help you, so
- yes, but that's the sort of information, you know, as
- I have said before "We have found him, think we've got
- 22 the subject here", bang, "Haven't seen a knife yet
- however ..." bang.
- Q. And again, does that permit an officer more time, more
- opportunity to observe and see what's happening?

- 1 Α. To some degree, yes, but you're now in the situation whereby that risk can go very, very quickly. It can 2 3 develop very, very quickly. It doesn't take a lot of 4 time for an individual to draw a weapon and attempt to 5 use it on you and people can travel over a large distance in a very, very short period of time to get to 6 7 where you are to cause you that injury with the knife, 8 so, you know, we may be thinking sort of 6, 8, 10 feet. 9 That distance can be closed down in a fraction of
- Q. Would a reasonable officer then be in a position to

 observe the subject and have a clearer picture of their

 demeanour and their body language and how they appeared?

a second and you're then at risk to that attack.

14 A. Yes, definitely, yes.

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So again, at that point, this engagement would permit

 a clearer assessment of whether the person is under the

 influence of drugs or alcohol, or perhaps suffering

 a mental health crisis?
 - A. Well, even to the extent of, as I said, from a distance you're not going to get that much feedback from what they are, but the fact that you're trying to engage verbally and whether you're -- depending on the type of response you get, you may get a nil response whereby the person is ignoring you, you then have to factor in: why is this person ignoring me, is it because of some sort

1 of medical condition, drug or alcohol intoxication, or is it the fact they just don't like us and they don't 2 3 want to talk to us. So it's assessing that situation 4 because of now the verbal, or the lack of verbal 5 response is now added to the mix of what you have been viewing in the non-verbal communication world, now 6 7 you're getting some verbal communication to add to it. Q. So we have heard a number of officers talk about the 8 9 National Decision-Making Model permits always additional 10 information, and when you get that additional 11 information, whatever it may be, it goes back into the 12 process and the cycle and you're constantly reviewing 13 and evolving your risk assessment. Yes, it's an ongoing process. As more information comes 14 Α. 15 in, you go back to the beginning of the model, you 16 basically reassess, add that to the mix, reassess the 17 risk, reassess the threat to yourself, consider, you 18 know, what you might now be dealing with, what powers 19 and policies have I got to deal with this, and then what 20 basically tactical options -- what options have I got to 21 deal with this person in this situation at this time. 22 MS GRAHAME: Thank you. I wonder if we could perhaps adjourn now before 23 I move on to the fourth and final --24 LORD BRACADALE: Yes, I think for administrative reasons 25

```
I wanted to stop about 3.45, so it is now 3.45. So we
 1
 2
             will resume again on Monday at 10.00 am.
 3
         (3.46 pm)
              (The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am on Monday,
 4
 5
                               28 November 2022)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	
2	INDEX
3	
4	CONSTABLE GARY WOOD (sworn)1
5	Questions from MS GRAHAME1
6	Questions from LORD BRACADALE81
7	Application by THE DEAN OF FACULTY82
8	Ruling83
9	CONSTABLE GARY WOOD (continued)83
10	Questions from THE DEAN OF FACULTY83
11	MR MARTIN GRAVES (affirmed)87
12	Questions from MS GRAHAME87
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	