1		Friday, 7 June 2024
2	(10	.09 am)
3	LORI	D BRACADALE: Good morning, Professor Meer.
4	A.	Good morning.
5	LORI	D BRACADALE: Ms Grahame.
6	MS (GRAHAME: Thank you. Good morning, Professor.
7		Evidence of Professor Nasar Meer (continued)
8		Examination-in-chief by MS GRAHAME KC
9	MS (GRAHAME: Yesterday we were just about to explore your
10		final slide and I wonder if we could have that put back
11		on the screen and this is slide 22 and it's a table. If
12		we see down the left-hand side there are three names
13		listed, Christopher Alder, 1998; Sean Rigg, 2008 and
14		Olaseni Lewis 2010. And then at the top you have
15		different columns, use of excess force, ignoring signs
16		of crisis, mistreatment of family, and public
17		briefings/misinformation.
18		And I think is this a table where you're seeking to
19		draw out some parallels between these three cases?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	But these are real cases that occurred in those years
22		that you've mentioned?
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	Can I ask you to begin with Christopher Alder?
25	Α.	Yes, of course.

Q. And my understanding is that Mr Alder died in a police
 station in Hull?

3 A. Hm-hmm.

4 The IPCC investigated and looked at race in that case, Q. 5 and concluded that a number of aspects of the behaviour of the police officers suggested unwitting racism, but 6 7 the evidence that was considered by the IPCC in relation to the death of Mr Alder included monkey noises had been 8 9 made as he was dying, although that may have been 10 directed towards another person in the vicinity. Can I summarise it in that way? The Chair will have the 11 12 full details of this in front of me.

13 Yes, and the three cases that I've named and selected Α. 14 here I drew from a longer list of 64, but I could have 15 carried on for at least half with common themes across them and three new cases from last year from Wales 16 17 matched this criteria too, but we only had so much time 18 and so many space. It's just to say that the slide is 19 really a snapshot, I think, of a common series of themes 20 which feature in each case when you look at them 21 closely. They all vary in detail but the consistency 22 across them I think you can identify these themes. Q. So the Chair should not assume that these are the only 23 three examples from --24

A. No, absolutely not.

Thank you. Could we begin by looking at the 1 Q. Christopher Alder themes that you have drawn out here? 2 Yes. So as you say, Christopher Alder died on the floor 3 Α. 4 of Hull's Queen Road police station. He received a head 5 injury in a nightclub and he was taken from Hull Royal Infirmary where he had gone to receive treatment. The 6 7 hospital decided he was troublesome because he wouldn't go home because she was still complaining of an injury 8 9 and that's when he was taken into custody. So he was 10 already taken into custody with a head injury and there's not a dispute of that. 11

12 Between him being taken into custody at the hospital 13 and arriving at the police station in Hull, he was 14 unconscious and so there are obviously clear signs of 15 medical crisis. Despite that, he was still handcuffed, he was pulled into the police station and became naked 16 17 from the waist downwards and we are not sure why. 18 Various reports suggest that this was a consequence of 19 him being pulled into the police station that his 20 trousers fell down and his underwear fell off too. 21 There's dispute over that, but the point is that he was 22 clearly in a sign of distress and he was still handcuffed and restrained. 23

24The signs of his medical crisis and distress were25apparent and there's a CCTV recording which is available

1 and on which you can overhear the police officers 2 discuss and dismiss those signs as being fake and/or that he is trying to -- he's faking, he's trying to 3 4 mislead the police officers. So that goes on for some 5 time. There's 11 minutes of recording on the CCTV, at which point one of the police officers takes a closer 6 7 look and discovers he's not breathing and then initiates a call an ambulance. 8 Q. And then the next column that you highlight here is 9 10 "mistreatment of the family of Mr Alder". Yes, the family were not fully and properly informed as 11 Α. 12 to the circumstances of his death and faced a real 13 battle, a real struggle, to discover the details of what occurred on the night, and subsequently what was 14 15 involved in the process of his death in police custody, but that's the information that wasn't shared with them. 16 What transpired subsequently is that they were put 17 under police surveillance, including on matters which 18 were of legal privilege and so effectively they were 19 20 being monitored in terms of how they were raising 21 concerns about how Christopher died in police custody. 22 Was it explained why they were being monitored? Q. No, there was no -- there was no legal reason for them 23 Α. to be monitored. 24 25 Q. Who was monitoring them?

1	Α.	Hull Police, including Janette Adler being followed by a
2		police officer in an unmarked car for a period of time.
3	Q.	And then you say in your table in 2011 some further
4		information was revealed?
5	Α.	Yes, the family discovered that they had been given the
6		wrong body and that they had buried somebody else and
7		that the police had sent the wrong body to the funeral
8		services and there's again some dispute on behalf of the
9		family as to whether or not the police knew they had
10		done that.
11	Q.	And that's 13 years after he died?
12	Α.	And that wasn't information that was forthcoming, that's
13		information that the family had to ascertain and raise
14		with the funeral service and from there take up through
15		legal means and challenge and, at which point, the
16		police confirmed that they were right.
17	Q.	And then you have another column on the right-hand side,
18		"public briefings/misinformation"?
19	Α.	Yes. So the family from the very outset felt that the
20		narrative established about Christopher's life and death
21		was one which presented him as a problem, as a criminal,
22		as someone prone to engage in violence and one of the
23		means through which that was pursued was the
24		characterisation of him as coming from a troubled family
25		and a broken home, all the children were brought up in

1 care. 2 Christopher, you know, was a paratrooper in the 3 British Army, he had had a very successful career, he 4 was training to be a computer programmer. The fact of 5 the release of this information, the family feel was primarily as a means to discredit their inquiries and 6 7 their concerns. Was it ever discovered who was releasing this 8 Q. 9 information? 10 Α. No, no. And you say here on the table confidential social 11 Q. 12 service records of all the Alder children who were 13 brought up in care were leaked also, but the source of 14 that leak was never discovered? 15 Α. Not as far as I'm aware, no. And no doubt that caused great upset to the family? 16 Q. It did. It did. In addition to the trauma and the 17 Α. 18 bereavement, they were faced with having to answer 19 questions on topics which were of no relevance to the 20 death in police custody. 21 Q. And looking at the next case that you have highlighted 22 here, Sean Rigg, my understanding is that he died in Brixton police office and there was an IPCC 23 investigation. The terms of reference for that 24 specified whether the acts of police officers were 25

1 motivated by ethnicity, but as I understand the position 2 the IPCC failed to address that issue and the issue of 3 race?

4 A. Hm-hmm.

Α.

Yes.

8

Q. And subsequent to that an independent external review
was carried out which recognised that and identified
that there had been that failure to investigate race.

I wonder if you could talk us through your table where 9 Q. 10 you draw out these themes in relation to Mr Rigg? 11 Yes. So Sean Rigg was restrained for approximately Α. 12 eight minutes in a prone position throughout. He died 13 following that restraint in the police station. He was 14 actually in the -- he was actually in -- prior to being 15 brought into the police station, he was left in the police van outside for an extended period of time, which 16 17 wasn't revealed to the family in the first instance. So 18 he had been arrested outside a halfway home effectively, 19 somewhere that he was living and he had a key, but he 20 was clearly having a mental health crisis. And the 21 police arrested him for stealing his own passport and 22 indeed suggested that there was an attempted assault and then took him into custody. 23

He was restrained and he was left in the police van for an extended period of time outside the police

1 station, and then when he was taken to the police 2 station, he was still restrained, he was still in the 3 prone position. Whilst he deteriorated, the signs of 4 medical distress again were seen to be those of one of 5 faking. The police officers failed to grasp that, you 6 know, he was a vulnerable person. He should have been 7 taken to A&E rather than into the police station and locked in the cell. 8

9 The IPCC investigation found a litany of failures, 10 that the restraint lasted a wholly inappropriate period 11 of time, that the police had failed to uphold his basic 12 rights, and that there were grounds for gross misconduct 13 charges to be brought against a number of police 14 officers who attended the scene and took him into 15 custody.

16 Q. You mention in the first column there that during the 17 restraint in the prone position that an officer's body 18 weight was applied. Do you have any recollection of 19 that aspect?

A. Well, yes, he was restrained on the scene outside his
point of arrest and the police officer, whilst they
restrained him, used body weight to put him into the
prone position.

24 When he was taken into the van, he was restrained 25 with his arms in a stacked position behind his back, but

1 also his legs were restrained with leg restraints and so he was face down in the van for an extended period of 2 3 time. This is prior to arriving at the police station. 4 And when they arrived at the police station, there was 5 delay. I think they waited outside the police station I think it's around 8 to 11 minutes and that wasn't 6 7 initially disclosed. Again, that was something which the family learned of through inquiry and through 8 litigation and there was also a claim that a police 9 10 officer had gone and checked on his welfare during that period of time, which turned out not to be true. 11 12 Q. And you speak there of mistreatment of the family. Now, 13 I should say that we are hopeful to hear from 14 Marsha Rigg as part of the evidence of this hearing, but 15 I'm interested in the items that you've drawn out here. So if we could look at your entry regarding mistreatment 16 of the family, can you tell us about that? 17 18 Yes. So Marsha Rigg says that the press release was Α. 19 issued before the family was notified, which seems 20 somewhat shocking. She also says that the autopsy was 21 conducted without notification or a chance to identify 22 Sean Rigg, that the family weren't able to see the body in close proximity, it was behind a screen, and in the 23 context of a sudden bereavement all of those exacerbate 24 25 the trauma.

1 Q. And you say in public briefings/misinformation? 2 Α. Yes. There were some elements that were also drawn out 3 Q. 4 regarding that? 5 Yes. Well, the allegation that he had stolen the Α. 6 passport, which was his own passport. Presumably he was 7 carrying it for self-identification. There was an assertion that he had attempted to assault a police 8 9 officer and that he was arrested on the grounds of 10 public disorder. Again, I think what is omitted is as important as 11 12 what is stated, that he was a vulnerable person in a 13 mental health crisis and he should have been the object 14 and subject of care, but he was presented as a criminal 15 presenting a clear and present threat to the public. 16 And you have said those briefings were anonymous? Q. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. Was there ever any evidence available as to who was 19 making those briefings? 20 Not that I have seen. Α. 21 Q. And again, although we'll hear in the future hopefully 22 from Marsha Rigg herself, was it acknowledged that this caused great upset to the family? 23 24 Α. Yes, immensely, yes. 25 Q. And then looking at Olaseni Lewis from 2010, my

1		understanding is that Mr Lewis died in a psychiatric
2		hospital after police restraint and although the coroner
3		acknowledged race as and this is in quotations "as
4		the elephant in the room", he refused to allow the
5		police officers to be asked during the Inquest about the
6		role that race may have played in the death of Mr Lewis.
7	A.	Hm-hmm.
8	Q.	And, in fact, the jury at the Inquest were not asked to
9		consider any questions regarding race?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	Can you explain the themes that you've brought out in
12		relation to Lewis?
13	A.	So Mr Olaseni Lewis, he was 23, died following a
14		prolonged restraint by Metropolitan Police officers at
15		Bethlem Royal Hospital in 2010, which is a psychiatric
16		hospital.
17		A 2017 inquiry found that excessive force was used,
18		that it was disproportionate and that it contributed to
19		Mr Olaseni's death. He was restrained by 11 police
20		officers at two intervals, I believe, but for
21		significant periods of time and that he was restrained
22		with two sets each of leg restraints and handcuffs and
23		that during the restraint he was also struck by a baton
24		three times.
25		The Inquest, the jury unanimously condemned the

1 actions of the police and healthcare staff who watched 2 on as Olaseni Lewis was restrained in this way and the 3 police officers in their statements to the Inquest 4 talked about how they heard his signs of medical 5 distress as feigning and indeed that they had left the room so that, you know, they could test whether or not 6 7 he was feigning, but also the ways in which -- the longer quote is: 8 9 "The sound and tone didn't suggest he had difficulty 10 breathing." That was a police officer to the Inquest: 11 12 "More something on the inside of him, an aggression and a ferociousness that couldn't be controlled. We 13 14 didn't immediately call a doctor when he became 15 unresponsive because we weren't 100 per cent sure if he was definitely unconscious or breathing. We left the 16 17 room in case he was feigning passing out to escape as a ploy." 18 19 And gross misconduct charges against six police

20 officers were dismissed and no officer was charged for 21 his death, but the family and family supporters were 22 successful in introducing a law, Seni's Law, The Mental 23 Health Units (Use of Force) Act, which received royal 24 assent in 2018.

25 Q. And under the column "mistreatment of the family", what

1		do you highlight here?
2	Α.	Well, Mr Olaseni Lewis, he was voluntarily admitted.
3	Q.	To the hospital?
4	Α.	To the hospital, seeking care with his family, and his
5		family were reassured excuse me and both he and
6		his family were reassured that if he deteriorated or if
7		he needed help, the parents will be contacted and they
8		would come and neither the hospital not the police
9		contacted his parents. The hospital called the police
10		in the first instance and then neither called his
11		parents. And his parents, you know, have had to live
12		and manage that, that a promise was undertaken that they
13		would be there to support and the hospital failed to
14		honour that.
15	Q.	And then you also mention a theme regarding public
16		briefings and misinformation. What have you noted in
17		relation to Mr Lewis?
18	Α.	Yes, a statement from his mother that the full details
19		of the case and the nature of the restraint wasn't
20		revealed to her by the hospital or the police officers,
21		but a journalist who came looking for comments, which
22		she states as "the beginning of a ten-year nightmare
23		which we are still walking." So in the context of
24		bereavement, dealing with the trauma and the loss, to
25		discover that not from a trained professional but from a

1		journalist exacerbates that.
2	Q.	And the circumstances were known to the journalist
3		before the family?
4	Α.	Yes, I mean that's the key point, isn't it?
5	Q.	And was it ever known who had briefed the journalist or
6		provided that information?
7	A.	Not as far as I'm aware, not as far as I'm aware.
8		There's an asymmetry in all of these where the family
9		the power of asymmetry where the family don't have
10		contacts with journalists, they don't know how to
11		communicate their story or their concerns, so from the
12		very outset they're both coping and dealing with the
13		trauma of the loss in the most harrowing of
14		circumstances and a narrative which is being established
15		in the media which they have no way of meaningfully
16		rebutting.
17	Q.	So not only can you discern patterns emerging from those
18		three cases alone, but you have said there are other
19		cases where these patterns can be seen to emerge?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	And these involve deaths of black men in police custody
22		or after police contact?
23	Α.	Very much so. I was looking at cases in Cardiff.
24		Mouayed Bashir, Mouayed Bashir, a 29-year-old man with
25		Sudanese heritage who died following contact with the

1		Gwent police in 2021, very similar features to this.
2		Mohamud Hassan, a 24-year-old with Somali heritage,
3		who died following police restraint in Cardiff in 2021
4		and I had 60 others on a sheet that I was working
5		through.
6	Q.	Right. And in relation to these particular cases and
7		the others, does it appear that these are themes which
8		are appearing again and again and again
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	over a substantial period of a number years?
11	A.	Yes.
12	Q.	And that's even where there have been inquests and
13		reviews and IPCC investigations?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	And at least on some occasions, from what you have been
16		saying, Professor, it has been recognised that there has
17		been a failure to address issues race?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	That race has been "the elephant in the room" and may
20		not have been addressed?
21	A.	Hm-hmm.
22	Q.	And that there has been findings of mistreatment of
23		family members?
24	A.	Hm-hmm.
25	Q.	Would that also include mistreatment of friends and

1		those associated with the deceased?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	Not just restricted to family?
4	A.	No, no.
5	Q.	And that in relation to the involvement of media and
6		information being shared with the family that they may
7		be not given information?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	Have to fight for information?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	With litigation?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	Or they're given incorrect
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	information?
16	A.	Yes, yes.
17	Q.	And from your knowledge of those cases, are those
18		examples of evidence that's been available, which on
19		occasion has been found to have substantiated an
20		inference of racial bias?
21	A.	Yes, very much so.
22	Q.	Or discrimination?
23	A.	Yes, very much so. Sometimes it's obvious. I mean the
24		case of Christopher Alder there was a language reference
25		to him as "coloured", of "Negroid appearance". You

1 described the recording on the CCTV footage of monkey chants being made which the officer claimed was not 2 3 towards Christopher Alder but in relation to another 4 person in the police station, so that's pretty 5 unambiguous, but in other cases the cues are different, the cues are different more, they're more subtle and 6 7 then they go back to this language about superhumanisation of size, of imminent threat, of 8 9 behaving not as somebody in medical distress or crisis 10 but as somebody who may be faking. Now, the Chair, in terms of the terms of reference, will 11 Q. 12 have to consider the evidence that he has heard during 13 the Inquiry and he will be required to consider 14 inferences, possible inferences that should or may not 15 be drawn from that evidence, but if the Chair is looking for examples of other investigations or inquiries where 16 17 inferences of racial discrimination have been drawn and if he wishes to see the type of evidence that those 18 19 coroners or judges or independent reviewers have relied 20 on, are these the types of cases where he could seek 21 though examples and consider those examples? 22 Yes, very much so. In addition to the actual cases, Α. there has been a great deal of work done by the families 23 and campaigns to establish more information that might 24 otherwise be available, so they're very good cases to 25

1		pursue that.
2	Q.	Thank you. And in addition to these three which you
3		have highlighted for us on this slide, I think yesterday
4		you also spoke about Lord Scarman.
5	Α.	Hm-hmm.
6	Q.	And the Chhokar investigations.
7	Α.	Hm-hmm.
8	Q.	And Simon San.
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	And certainly in relation to Chhokar and Simon San,
11		which occurred in Scotland, there were inferences drawn
12		and conclusions drawn that there was an absence and a
13		failure to investigate the racial motivation?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	Thank you. And in relation to those were there also
16		these themes emerging of you've described it as
17		mistreatment of the family?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	Poor treatment of the family?
20	Α.	Hm-hmm.
21	Q.	And public briefings and misinformation?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	Did they also exist in Chhokar and Simon San?
24	Α.	They very much did, they very much did. The
25		Jandoo Inquiry was leaked to the press several days

1 before the Chhokar family had any sight of it and the Chhokar family were doorstepped by national journalists 2 3 to be told of the outcome and to be asked for their 4 response. 5 And are these themes that are also visible from the Q. 6 McPherson Inquiry? 7 Α. Oh, yes, very much so, and Lord McPherson does pick up on that in the Inquiry report. When you look for 8 9 references to public briefings and misinformation, he 10 details several cases. Thank you. I would like to ask you about -- if we can 11 Q. 12 move on from this slide -- and if I ask you about this 13 issue of faking or feigning that you have raised, and it 14 may be that the Chair has some evidence available 15 regarding that perception or that attitude. If the officer or officers denied that they thought 16 he was faking it because he was black and just simply 17 18 say "absolutely not, that was not the reason", does that 19 alter any of the comments that you have made today that 20 this is a theme that appears to emerge and reemerge in 21 these circumstances? 22 No -- no. I'm not sure any of the police officers Α. would, in the three cases I discussed or any other 23 suggest, that they didn't take seriously signs of 24 medical crisis because the person being restrained was 25

1		black. I'm sure all of them would deny that.
2	Q.	So in these cases that you have given us examples of
3		there were denials, but those were perhaps not accepted
4		ultimately
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	by the coroner or the person doing the review?
7	A.	Yes, yes.
8	Q.	Thank you. And I would like to return to some of the
9		evidence that you gave us yesterday about racial
10		stereotypes and perception?
11	Α.	Hm-hmm.
12	Q.	And we spoke at some length about the perception of a
13		black man with a muscular frame and the greater
14		perception of harm, the greater harm that that person
15		could cause and the consequences in terms of the
16		response by police officers. I would like to go back to
17		that please today.
18		If we have a situation of a young black man, 31,
19		with muscles, physically weighing 12 stone 10, 5 foot
20		10 inches tall and a BMI of 25.6, from the evidence you
21		were giving yesterday, in relation to perception, if
22		the Chair has evidence about perception, is the type of
23		situation you were talking about yesterday where that
24		black man could be perceived as taller?
25	Α.	Hm-hmm.

1	Q.	And heavier
2	Α.	Hm-hmm.
3	Q.	than his actual hindsight or weight.
4	Α.	Hm-hmm.
5	Q.	And overall perceived as bigger or larger than his
6		actual size and certainly bigger than a white man of
7		those dimensions?
8	Α.	Hm-hmm.
9	Q.	And is that on the basis of the US study that you spoke
10		to yesterday?
11	Α.	Yes, but also in terms of the narrative which
12		accompanies many of these inquiries in terms of the
13		perceptions that police officers will say that they held
14		in encountering the person that they restrained and then
15		arrested, that they will often talk about the person
16		being big and bigger and appearing stronger.
17	Q.	And as I understand your evidence yesterday, that's on
18		the basis that that person is black?
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	The colour of their skin
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	is not white?
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	And as you said yesterday and you explained the
25		consequences of that that that would be, and I summarise

1		here, it is a racial stereotype as a big threatening
2		black man?
3	A.	Hm-hmm.
4	Q.	And that informed the methods of policing adopted and I
5		think that is part of your report at paragraph 134,
6		which I won't go to at this stage, but obviously you
7		have a hard copy.
8		Now, you talked yesterday about that stereotype,
9		that perception, of the big black threatening man?
10	A.	Hm-hmm.
11	Q.	That would expedite judgments being made. You I think
12		used the phrase "shorthand". I think we spoke about a
13		shortcut to reaching judgment, and it was reaching
14		judgment to use force against that black man?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	And you explained yesterday that that was to also
17		greater force than perhaps was absolutely necessary?
18	A.	Hm-hmm.
19	Q.	And can I be clear that that when you describe that
20		use of force and expedited judgment or shorthand, would
21		that also include a situation where the election to use
22		force against a black man is made much more quickly,
23		faster, at greater speed than otherwise may have been
24		made if it had been a white man?
25	A.	I think we can make that inference from the research.

1	Q.	Right.
2	Α.	And statements of police officers as the motive for the
3		type and the nature of the restraint that they have
4		used, yes.
5	Q.	Thank you. And you also talked about that perception,
6		that racial stereotype, then causing that force to be
7		used for a longer period than was absolutely necessary?
8	A.	Hm-hmm.
9	Q.	Potentially?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	And you have talked today about a failure to recognise a
12		medical emergency or a medical situation?
13	A.	Hm-hmm.
14	Q.	Where someone is in need of actual treatment?
15	A.	Hm-hmm.
16	Q.	I would like to ask you about someone who has that
17		perception and their hastening to judgment on the
18		grounds of a racial stereotype and reacting in this way.
19		Now, could that be unwitting due to unconscious bias as
20		opposed to a conscious awareness of that?
21	A.	Hm-hmm.
22	Q.	It could?
23	A.	Hm-hmm.
24	Q.	And would it be possible for that person at a subsequent
25		date to simply deny that they had acted on the basis of

1 unconscious bias and to genuinely believe that they did not act in that way? Would that be possible? 2 Yes, I think -- yes -- well, yes, I suppose what work 3 Α. 4 they have done to reflect on the motive for their 5 actions in retrospect would be good to know if they feel very strongly that they didn't act in that way, in that 6 7 impulse way, on the basis of unconscious bias, but the concept and the research which looks at the way in which 8 9 unconscious bias unfolds in interactions suggests that's 10 entirely plausible, yes. Thank you. And I think you touched on this yesterday 11 Q. 12 that even if a white person was present who was say 13 taller than that black man and heavier than that black 14 man, even if we're talking about the black man being 15 5'10" and the other white person being 6'4", so a difference of around six inches, and even if the black 16 17 man was 12 stone 10 and the white man was 25 stone, so 18 not quite but almost double the weight, could that 19 perception be so strong about the black man being bigger 20 and capable of more harm, that perception would override 21 the realities that existed at the scene? 22 Yes, it could and it has on a number of occasions in the Α. cases that we've discussed where police officers are 23 greater in number and also bigger in size, yet they 24 25 still perceive a threat from the person that they're

1		restraining.
2	Q.	So it's not just the individuals perhaps being taller or
3		heavier with equipment and training, but it's also the
4		numbers of the officers?
5	Α.	Yes, very much so. I mean the idea of the threat and
6		the power of the stereotype continues, yes.
7	Q.	So one individual black man against numerous
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	white police officers?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	Some of whom are bigger, taller, heavier?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	The perception remains?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	That the black man is still a threat?
16	Α.	Still a potential threat, absolutely, and that's the
17		case in the ones that I have discussed and the others
18		too, yes.
19	Q.	Thank you. Can I ask you some questions about
20		terrorism?
21	Α.	Please.
22	Q.	Yesterday in relation to slide 9 you talked about threat
23		levels in the UK and you explained the context in about
24		2014, 2015?
25	Α.	Hm-hmm.

1	Q.	Where threat levels in the UK had been raised from
2		"substantial" to "severe"?
3	A.	Hm-hmm.
4	Q.	And you talked about the new legislation, the
5		counterterrorism legislation?
6	Α.	Hm-hmm.
7	Q.	The impact that had on travel in and out of the UK?
8	A.	Hm-hmm.
9	Q.	And you talked about the 2015 YouGov poll?
10	A.	Hm-hmm.
11	Q.	And I think you discuss this in more detail in
12		paragraph 341 of your report.
13	Α.	Hm-hmm.
14	Q.	If a police officer in 2015, during this period, gave a
15		statement and said:
16		"I was also thinking at that point of the Lee Rigby
17		incident in London mainly due to the fact of the
18		coloured male and the potential terrorist connotations".
19		If that statement was to be interpreted by the Chair
20		as potentially making a direct connection, a direct
21		link, between the colour of the man's skin, ie black,
22		and potential terrorist connotations, could that
23		situation, if you take that from me, could that be a
24		description that's indicative of the type of example you
25		were talking about yesterday regarding terrorism?

1	A.	Yes, and that's a clear case of racial profiling of a
2		potential, quote, unquote "terrorist". They're using
3		signs of colour and they're using the prevailing anxiety
4		about the increased threat level.
5	Q.	Thank you. And if other comments were available for the
6		Chair's consideration which do not make perhaps
7		potentially such a direct link or a direct connection,
8		but talk of considering terrorism, talking about the UK
9		threat level, talking about Lee Rigby, considering
10		something called "suicide by cop", are these would
11		that make any difference to your view of racial
12		profiling, that they were not making that direct link,
13		would that alter your evidence on that in any way?
14	A.	No.
15	Q.	No?
16	A.	No.
17	Q.	And if an officer in 2015 had made a comment such as
18		"ISIS staying in the station", ISIS being a reference to
19		a terrorist organisation, potentially, in relation to
20		custody who was not white?
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q.	Would that type of comment be indicative of a racial
23		profiling or racial stereotype of some sort?
24	A.	Racial stereotype, Islamophobia, racial profiling, yes,
25		all of those, very much so.

1	Q.	And if a black man was seen or perceived as a Muslim and
2		believed perhaps to be in possession of a knife, none of
3		that information, can I take from your evidence, would
4		make him appear or the perception of him to be less of a
5		harm or less of a threat or less of a risk?
6	Α.	No.
7	Q.	No. And where the person was viewed perhaps both as
8		black and a Muslim, is that what you were talking about
9		yesterday about intersectionality?
10	A.	Yes, it can be in the context of a heightened
11		generalised anxiety about Islam and Muslims and the
12		perception of a prevailing threat interacting with the
13		established stereotype of black criminality, yes, very
14		much so. You can see the intersection of those two
15		grounds.
16	Q.	And that is partly what you were talking about yesterday
17		with the YouGov poll from 2015?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	Can I ask you about something else you've mentioned in
20		your report, "superhumanisation bias", and I think
21		that's in paragraphs 1.3.4 and 1.3.5 of your report and
22		I think in relation to this you also mention in text box
23		five Olaseni Lewis again.
24	A.	Hm-hmm.
25	Q.	And where there are examples of super humanisation and

1		that can be identified, can this be indicative of the
2		existence of racial bias or potential racial bias?
3	Α.	Yes, absolutely.
4	Q.	So if there was evidence that during a telephone call
5		that an officer described a black man as "he's basically
6		the size of a house", now, would that be
7		superhumanisation or would that be racial stereotyping?
8	A.	Well, I think
9	Q.	Or does it matter?
10	Α.	I don't think it matters. I think we are getting into
11		semantics now. I think that's clearly a highly
12		racialised statement about the size and therefore the
13		threat of a black man.
14	Q.	All right. Thank you. And if a person subsequently was
15		asked about this comment
16	Α.	Hm-hmm.
17	Q.	and explained that he had not been aware of a
18		stereotype that black men were bigger or stronger or
19		more aggressive, but, equally, then describe the black
20		man as "well built", described him as "a unit", in
21		sports terms, that he was muscly, powerful or athletic,
22		would that diminish any of the comments you've made
23		about racial comments?
24	Α.	No. No, not at all, and it's consistent with the study
25		that we talked about yesterday in terms of muscularity

1		and the harm bias, the interaction between those two
2		perceptions.
3	Q.	And I think yesterday you talked about people looking at
4		black athletes and that reinforcing the beliefs that
5		they had?
6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	Can I ask you about the use of the word "coloured".
8		Now, if there was evidence that officers had used that
9		word, either "coloured" or "black coloured male"?
10	Α.	Hm-hmm.
11	Q.	I would be could you assist the Chair in helping him
12		see that in the context of 2015?
13	Α.	Hm-hmm.
14	Q.	Now, I know that yesterday you spoke about how words can
15		change, the meanings and the connotations of words can
16		change. You said in the civil rights movement there was
17		a reclamation of the word "black".
18	Α.	Hm-hmm.
19	Q.	Which may at one time have been offensive or potentially
20		offensive, but became a word that they owned and
21		retrieved?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	Could you help the Chair understand 2015 the use of that
24		word "coloured", whether that would be considered
25		potentially in a negative or an offensive way?

1 Α. Yes, so "coloured" has always been an offensive term in this country, it's never been a term which black or 2 3 ethnic minorities have adopted as a legitimate 4 self-categorisation. I mean the provenance of 5 "coloured" is very much apartheid context and the US, so the term has a US provenance used in the context of 6 7 segregation, segregation of space, but also segregation obviously of resources and services and so on, but it 8 9 was also used in South Africa in terms of, you know, gradations of colour so, you know, Europeans and then 10 there were gradations of black and then brown, but it 11 12 has always carried with it a hierarchy of status.

I can see how it could also be reclaimed in terms of the use of categories, but in this country it's always been a derogatory term, it's never been an appropriate term, it's not been a term that minorities have used to self-define and it's not been a term that the state or public administration has used and adopted to understand population profiles.

20 Q. And so in 2015 that would not have been an acceptable 21 term to use even in that period?

22 A. No.

Q. And if subsequently a person had been asked about that
and said that they had been trying to be polite, they
had made a mistake and used the incorrect term, would

1		that assertion of "they were trying to be polite" alter
2		your evidence in relation to the word?
3	Α.	If people have made a mistake and they have recognised
4		the mistake and tried to correct, I mean but I mean
5		what concerns me is people in public life and people
6		serving the public shouldn't be making these mistakes.
7		There should be an elementary basis of training. How
8		you engage and how you refer to the public would seem
9		like a
10	Q.	And would you be surprised if that person simply
11		couldn't remember any particular police training which
12		specifically covered offensive terms to educate them
13		about that?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	You would?
16	A.	I would be, yes, because it's a requirement, it's an
17		elementary requirement.
18	Q.	Thank you. Can I ask you about another word, and you
19		have not mentioned this word in your report, it's
20		"zombie."?
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q.	And I wonder if you could assist the Chair by providing
23		some context to the use of that word in connection with
24		a black man?
25	A.	Yes, I mean "zombie", when we talk about zombie, we

1 might think of movies and zombie movies and so on. The provenance of "zombie" comes from the Haitian revolution 2 3 and the -- Haiti being a former French colony, which 4 overthrew its colonial and slave overseers, the French 5 state, as it was then, but the narrative of blackness became associated with voodoo, that black people had 6 7 become obsessed, they were neither living nor dead, they were in a zombie state and so that is the 8 9 provenance of the term and it reappears at various 10 points.

It reappears in the American south. It has been an 11 12 important part of white supremacy in the US. The 13 language gets picked up in other contexts too. It can 14 be uncoupled from that when you're talking about, you 15 know, zombie movies and popular culture, but to use it to describe a black man, yes, I mean obviously it 16 17 carries that insinuation, it carries that history, whether or not the intention is to offend, but the 18 provenance of the term, and it's been used historically, 19 20 has been a means to dehumanise a black man. 21 Q. So when used in relation to a black man that is rooted in a discriminatory racial --22

23 A. Oh, yes, very much so.

Q. And again, I would like to ask you about a reference togangsters or a gangster and, not necessarily in relation

to a black man himself, but to suggest that he was "heavy for a local gangster-type chap" and reference to perhaps to having been involved -- the gangster type chap having been involved in a shooting of some description, so gangster-type behaviour.

When that word is used in relation to a black man or 6 7 it's suggested he was associated with someone of that sort, can you help the Chair put that into context? 8 Yes, it's consistent with a long history tropes about 9 Α. 10 black criminality that there's an inherent relationship between blackness, black men and different types of 11 12 crime. This takes us back to Scarman and his 13 description of stereotypes and the association between 14 young black men and black criminality, in his terms. He 15 calls them "black boys and criminality". But there's a popular culture narration of black men as gangsters, 16 17 which comes from, you know, an American tradition of 18 thinking about drug and crimes too and the portrayal of 19 young black men. So the association is saturated with 20 multiple layers and histories of racism, simply put. 21 Q. Can I move away to something different, away from that 22 language, and ask you about data collection and this is something that you do raise in your report and you talk 23 about in some detail and we -- you also mention from 24 25 Lady Angiolini's report on deaths in police custody, and

1 that's at paragraph 135, you've commented on the use of 2 force by officers and instances where the use of force 3 is disproportionate to the risks posed by black men? 4 Α. Hm-hmm. 5 And I would like to ask you about where that use of Q. 6 force is recognised as being disproportionate to the 7 risks or effectively, in a shorthand, that's excessive force, do you know how this is monitored or audited by 8 Police Scotland, for example? 9 10 Α. I have looked at that, and I can't find it. Well, certainly I can't find enough of a dataset to be able to 11 12 do anything meaningful with. I don't know whether or 13 not it exists or if it is publicly available, but it's 14 not something I have been able to engage on the 15 internet. I don't wish to go down that route with you and there 16 Q. 17 may be issues about that, but in terms of you, as a specialist in this field, have you found sufficient 18 19 datasets and information available to you publicly, or 20 from any other source, in relation to use of force and 21 assessments of disproportionality? 22 Not complete datasets, no. I've found things at one Α. point which give me -- tell me something about one year, 23 but a complete dataset would have a full demographic 24 25 profile which would give me the race and ethnicity of

1		the person, the age, gender, the year, the nature and
2		content of the use of force. I haven't found that. I
3		haven't found anything which will tell me longitudinal
4		story.
5	Q.	Now, I have bee ask advised that Police Scotland now
6		have something called "a stop and search dashboard"?
7	A.	Hm-hmm.
8	Q.	Which is an interactive tool designed to provide a
9		breakdown of stop and search figures allowing police
10		officers and the public to view and understand any
11		trends on specific age groups, gender and ethnicity at a
12		local and national level. Is that something that you're
13		familiar with, have you used that?
14	A.	I use the SPA data, so I didn't use that, no.
15	Q.	Right, all right. Thank you.
16	A.	The data in that, I suspect, is no more up to date than
17		the SPA data, because there's normally a year's lag
18		before the data is reported and the SPA data is from
19		2023.
20	Q.	So insofar as there is data on that, you have used the
21		SPA, Scottish Police Authority's data, to rely on?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	Thank you. Now, if there was evidence available to
24		the Chair from officers about how often members of
25		response teams would attend a knife incident, about

1 whether officers in attending those knife incidents had
2 used force at all --

3 A. Hm-hmm.

4 -- when they responded, whether they had used batons, Q. 5 whether they had used sprays, and there may be evidence available to the Chair, it will be a matter for him, 6 7 that rarely on those occasions when officers attended knife incidents was equipment used, so batons, sprays, 8 9 anything of that sort, in terms of that evidence that's 10 available to the Chair, it doesn't form part of a formal dataset as you've been describing and you've relied on, 11 12 but would you see any difficulty with that sort of 13 information being considered by the Chair or do you 14 think that would be -- would you leave that up to the 15 Chair?

16 A. Well, I would like to see it and I think many other 17 people would like to see it too. My understanding is 18 that it's a statutory requirement to have use of force 19 recorded and made available not partially but in whole 20 and I can't find it.

21 Q. Right.

A. So if there's a compilation of evidence which is -- I'm
sure it will be accurate and put to good use by the
Chair, but the bigger question is that this should be
recorded and made publicly available, as is required.

1 Q. And I think in your report you mentioned even an FY request has been unable to obtain this sort of data that 2 3 you would like to see? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Is that correct? Q. A. Very much so. So a number of researchers working with 6 7 bereaved families have really struggled to get the information that they would like and researchers who 8 9 have been working with bereaved families trying to 10 understand the rates of death in custody or following police contact or in control, so it's beyond Police 11 12 Services Scotland, to include mental health services, 13 have pieced this together with -- and I refer to this. 14 It's paragraph 234 where I have give details to this 15 but, you know:

"No breakdown, categorisation, explanation of the 16 17 circumstances is routinely provided, making it difficult to distinguish deaths where the police may have played a 18 19 direct contributing role to those where they did not, 20 for example, a police pursuit in a car or on foot can 21 result in death; police may be called to a report of a 22 person experiencing a crisis who then dies with literal or no interaction, both count as deaths following 23 24 contact."

25

So that I find fine-grained information which should

1		be recorded and made publicly available is not at the
2		moment.
3	Q.	And so from someone in your position you have found it
4		very difficult to get that data and to be in a position
5		to analyse these questions and then, from your final
6		slide, I think at a different level of the families
7		concerned
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	directly concerned I think you said that one of the
10		themes during that they have had to litigate or they
11		have had to struggle or take a long time
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	a number of years?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	Before they could get information?
16	Α.	Yes, absolutely, absolutely, yes.
17	Q.	Can I ask you about some evidence we've heard
18		regarding well, first of all, you talked yesterday
19		about, and we've talked again today about, Chhokar and
20		Simon San and that ten-year period between the
21		investigation and the death of Mr San and I think you
22		speak about this at paragraph 156, page 11, of your
23		report and you make reference to slides 2 and 3 covered
24		these topics.
25		And you talked about at that period the

1		Scottish Government had not endorsed the McPherson
2		definition of institutional racism. The police had
3		adopted the definition of a racist incident as any
4		incident which is perceived to be racist by the victim
5		or any other person.
6	A.	Hm-hmm.
7	Q.	But in neither of those cases, and San was some years
8		after the Government and the police adopted the
9		McPherson definition, that in neither death was racial
10		motivation investigated by the police.
11	A.	Hm-hmm.
12	Q.	I think you said that yesterday.
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	Now we've heard that in Scotland in 2013 that a body
15		known as PIRC were set up which allowed, where there
16		were complaints against the police or there were deaths
17		in custody or deaths after the police had come into
18		contact that rather than allowing legacy forces, as they
19		are known, or police officers to investigate there was a
20		separate body called PIRC who were able to be appointed
21		to investigate those deaths.
22		Are you aware of the organisation?
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	Now, we've also there may be evidence available to
25		the Chair that in relation to this particular death of

1 Mr Bayoh that positive lines of investigation into racial motivation were not embarked upon in May 2015 2 3 after his death. At that stage up until late August, 4 early September, so for a number of months in 2015, the 5 PIRC were -- had been described by witnesses as "taking cognisance" if race issues emerged and another witness 6 7 has described that as "a very passive approach" and another said that no positive lines of inquiry or 8 9 investigation were adopted at that stage. They were "taking cognisance". 10 And without expressing any view about that evidence, 11 12 I wonder if you could help the Chair understand the 13 context or see matters where there is that failure to 14 adopt positive lines of investigation into racial 15 motivation? That's a very odd set of words, "taking cognisance". 16 Α.

I mean I didn't realise it was optional, because the requirement is to pursue the line of investigation from the point of it commenting, not --

20 Q. The point of death?

A. Yes. Well, the point of the investigation into the
death commenting, not to commence potentially the
investigation as to the racial motivation sometime later
based upon what else emerges. I don't understand the
rationale for staggering it and/or pivoting back to it

1		later. I suppose I say it's consistent with my view
2		that there is a I mean not a reticence, I called it a
3		recalcitrance a refusal, you know, to take seriously
4		racial motivations from the outset.
5	Q.	When you talk about a reticence or recalcitrance, on
6		whose part are you referring?
7	Α.	On the part of the on the investigating party, be it
8		the police officers, be it Police Scotland, be it PIRC
9		in this case.
10	Q.	Have you seen that in other are there other examples
11		of that in other cases?
12	Α.	Yes, I mean we discussed it yesterday in relation to
13		Chhokar.
14	Q.	Simon San?
15	Α.	And Simon San, and the investigations into Chhokar and
16		the Inquiry into Simon San, yes, in both those
17		instances, a deep and often inarticulated resistance to
18		pursuing race as a motivating factor, yes, very much so.
19	Q.	And if investigators consider that investigating racial
20		motivation, what was in the mind of a person, is a
21		difficult thing to do, are you aware of any examples
22		where that explanation has been considered acceptable
23		or
24	Α.	Difficult thing to do in terms of not having the skill
25		set or having the competence or having the knowledge?

1 Q. I couldn't answer that question, I'm sorry. Well, presumably it might be any number of those things, 2 Α. 3 so the solution is to gain the skill set, generate the 4 competence, acquire the knowledge. 5 And get on with the job? Q. I would think so. 6 Α. 7 Thank you. It's interesting the word you used there, Q. "staggered". We have also heard some evidence that in 8 9 relation to when matters proceeded to the Crown Office, 10 who ultimately went on to consider matters, that there was a -- and it's been variously described not as 11 12 "staggered", but as an incremental, sequential or linear 13 approach where there was a focus initially on 14 criminality, potential criminality of the officers, but 15 the issue of race, racial motivation would be considered at a later stage and perhaps when another step was 16 17 taken. I'll be corrected if I'm wrong, but there may not be any evidence available to this Chair that it was 18 in fact embarked upon at a later stage. 19 20 But in relation to your evidence about recalcitrance or reluctance, if I can put it that way, in terms of 21 22 that linear approach, would you see this as -- can you help us, the Chair understand? 23

A. I can't really. I mean I suppose if there's aconvention that inquiries take the form of a staggered

1 approach, presumably then the practice follows a convention, but I don't understand how you can embark on 2 3 an inquiry into the racial motivation into a death or 4 into an incident without it being there at the outset, 5 because anything else -- if you commence that later, 6 then everything else that you've generated relationally 7 may not make sense or indeed they're out of kilter with one another. Presumably when you commence an inquiry, 8 9 you're already considering a number of different 10 variables at the same time and so why would you uncouple 11 race from those. 12 Q. Thank you. Can I ask you to explain another phrase, the 13 phrase "race card". 14 Α. Yes. 15 Have you heard that phrase before? Q. Oh, yes "race card" is a wholly derogatory term used to 16 Α. 17 describe the view that black and ethnic minorities get a 18 free pass or indeed that they either get a free pass, "a 19 race card", or they overstate their problem of racism to 20 get away with something else and/or needlessly raise a 21 complaint. You know, it's --22 Does it have any meaning other than a negative Q. connotation that you're aware of? 23 24 Α. No, I mean it's a derogatory term. Are you aware of any examples of someone in public 25 Q.

1		service using that phrase?
2	Α.	I hope not.
3	Q.	And why do you say "I hope not"?
4	Α.	It's self-evidently a means of delegitimising concerns
5		about racism.
6	Q.	And would that fall what category would that fall
7		into?
8	Α.	Institutional racism.
9	Q.	And if it's used by an individual, could it be
10		interpersonal racism also?
11	Α.	It could be. I mean it depends on what context it's
12		expressed. If it's said from one person to another, but
13		if it's part of the process of the institution, if the
14		person who is saying it plays a role in the institution
15		that determines courses cause of action, you know,
16		establishes or contributes to prevailing culture, then,
17		yes, it's institutional racism too.
18	Q.	Thank you. Now, there was something I asked you
19		yesterday, I forgot, which we were talking about
20		institutional racism, if I may go back to it.
21		You referred yesterday in your evidence to the
22		statement by the former Chief Constable Iain Livingstone
23		about Police Scotland being institutionally racist and
24		the Chair has the benefit of a witness statement from
25		former Chief Constable Livingstone. I'm interested in

1 whether you can have institutional racism within an 2 organisation without having interpersonal racism or 3 without interpersonal racism being tolerated? 4 Α. So, no, I mean they interact I think. Given that 5 interpersonal racism can also be covert, things said which aren't documented, it's quite easy to have 6 7 interpersonal racism within an organisation, but, typically, all of these different forms of racism, you 8 9 know, necessarily interact so the -- even the language of "race card", you know, is a form of structural 10 racism, that stereotype, that term, the concept how it's 11 12 been used comes from somewhere and if it's being 13 reproduced today within an organisation, that speaks to 14 the role and the function of structural racism within an 15 institution. Presumably if that's said between individuals, then you get to forms of interpersonal 16 17 racism. Thank you. You touched upon, when we were looking at 18 Q. 19 your final slide, about engagement with the media, 20 journalists? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And I would like to ask you -- you've talked about that Q. pattern emerges emerging. If the Chair has evidence 23 24 regarding an article in the -- in a newspaper --25 Α. Hm-hmm.

1 Q. -- which was described by a witness as promoting a version with Mr Bayoh obviously being described as a 2 3 large man who had attacked a petite female officer and 4 the response was "it was reinforcing a stereotypical 5 view" and when the witness was asked "when you say 'stereotypical', what do you mean?" and the answer was 6 "of a black man who was the aggressor" and, again, 7 without expressing any comment on the actual evidence, 8 9 is that narrative of an aggressive black man attacking a 10 petite female officer, could that potentially fall within the descriptions you've described as racially 11 12 stereotypical? 13 Yes, very much so. Α. 14 And even if it is accurate that the black man was taller Q. 15 or heavier and the female was smaller and more petite, does that alter your evidence in any way? 16 No, because that black man will be more than a black 17 Α. man. I mean he will be a father or he'll be a resident 18 19 or he'll be other things, but to use that designation 20 of -- to distill him to that designation for the 21 purposes of promoting a story about a context in which there was threat and danger, yes, it's a deeply racially 22 stereotypical use of the term. 23 And I would like to turn now to a separate question and 24 Q. we did touch on this yesterday, because obviously you'll 25

with aware the terms of reference that the Chair must
 consider. He is required to consider how to prevent
 other deaths in the future.

4 A. Hm-hmm.

5 And you have given evidence about the number of deaths. Q. Today you have talked about 60 examples that you looked 6 7 at, deaths of black men. You have highlighted three on your final slide. And we've heard over the course of 8 9 yesterday and today that these deaths have occurred over decades and continue to occur and I think this morning 10 you spoke about incidences in Wales which occurred last 11 12 year. So they are continuing to occur.

And if we hear evidence from Lady Angiolini, we're hoping that she will give some information about her deaths in custody report which, and I quote:

16 "A disproportionate number of people from black and 17 ethnic minority communities have died following police 18 use of force and a disproportionately high number of 19 deaths of black men in restraint-related deaths."

20 A. Hm-hmm.

Q. So more black men are dying than white and they are
continuing to die, despite these recommendations and
these inquiries and these inquests and if the Chair, as
he must in terms of reference, considers how that could
be prevented in the future, and if in line with what you

1 have explained to us that recommendations have been made by Scarman, McPherson, in relation to many other things, 2 3 I wondered if you had any assistance you could provide 4 the Chair about -- he will obviously require to come up 5 with recommendations -- and I wondered if you wanted to raise anything, any suggestions, that from your 6 7 perspective, as a professor in this field, may assist him in contemplating these issues? 8 Yes, my suggestions and my recommendations would all 9 Α. 10 fall around accountability and corporate accountability, ensuring that senior police officers are deeming the 11 12 necessity of taking an antiracist approach as being 13 integral to the performance of the service as a whole, 14 rather than an after-the-event concern, but that's 15 mandated in terms of, you know, their role in terms of vicarious liability, we've talked about, which is one of 16 17 the things which the McPherson Inquiry insisted on or 18 suggested or recommended and actually was adopted by the Scottish Executive. I'm not sure how it panned out in 19 20 terms of the adoption of that recommendation by 21 Police Scotland.

22 But in addition to accountability within police 23 services and the police force also having an adjacent 24 accountability oversight commission, which is 25 specifically focusing upon race and policing. Race gets

1 mixed in with a whole serious of other concerns and I 2 think that given the nature of the problem, it needs to have a specific oversight role, some kind of a 3 4 commission or a body with oversight role, which is 5 independent of PIRC and that has teeth, you know, that can sanction, and isn't populated by personnel who carry 6 7 across the culture of policing, because this is one of the criticisms of independent oversight bodies, 8 investigatory bodies, be it IPCC, or IOPC and PIRC, that 9 10 there's a cultural transaction in terms of knowledge of policing, which is obviously a benefit in terms of 11 12 understanding the process, but it also carries with it 13 many of the stereotypes we might call structural racism, 14 those cues, that informal knowledge from one body to 15 another. I think we need an independent oversight commission which is independent of policing culture and 16 17 policing practice, but that has teeth to enforce and monitor policing activity on the question of race. 18 19 LORD BRACADALE: And in relation to that, when you use the 20 word "sanction", what sort of sanctions do you have in 21 mind? I don't know. I would like to think about that. 22 Α. I would like to think about that. By "sanction", I mean 23 having power which is beyond advisory. 24

25 LORD BRACADALE: Thank you.

1	MS GRAHAME: I'm conscious that it's nearly half past 11 and
2	I wonder if I could have a few moments.
3	LORD BRACADALE: We'll take the break now. We'll take a
4	20-minute break now.
5	(11.27 am)
6	(A short break)
7	(11.59 am)
8	LORD BRACADALE: Professor Meer, you talked about
9	accountability, as I understood it, in terms of internal
10	accountability within the police force and corporate
11	external accountability. Did I understand you correctly
12	in that regard?
13	Q. Could you just explain to me in a little more detail
14	what you mean by the "internal accountability".
15	A. One of the recommendations which came from the
16	Stephen Lawrence Inquiry was that senior police officers
17	would be held accountable. What was it? It was
18	corporate liability. It was tied to their role as
19	effectively, as team leader, as senior police officer
20	and what was it? "Vicarious liability" is the term that
21	Lord Macpherson used. And I'm struck that I've never
22	seen how that has been operationalised in Scotland,
23	whether or no that recommendation was taken on board in
24	the way in which it has been taken on board with police
25	forces in England.

1 LORD BRACADALE: Can you explain what you mean by "vicarious liability" in this context? 2 Well, in this context that senior police officers would 3 Α. 4 be held responsible for failing to not just adopt 5 recommendations, but to see their implementation, their monitoring, and their assessment of impact. 6 7 LORD BRACADALE: And responsible to whom? Oh, well, responsible I guess to the crown. 8 Α. 9 LORD BRACADALE: If you're talking about holding senior 10 police officers responsible, then somebody would have to be responsible for judging that. 11 12 A. Yes. 13 LORD BRACADALE: And who would you suggest that might be? 14 Well, I mean the Macpherson Inquiry doesn't stipulate Α. 15 that so tightly. I think it allows latitude to be attentive to the governing structures within the context 16 17 in which your recommendations is adopted and I could speculate, but I would prefer to maybe think a little 18 19 bit more about that. 20 LORD BRACADALE: Perhaps we could revisit that in due 21 course. 22 In terms of the external body that you mentioned, there already exists of course the HM Inspectorate of 23 Constabulary, which covers a ride range of policing, but 24 does not have the power of a regulator body to impose 25

sanctions. 1 2 A. Yes. LORD BRACADALE: Now, when you're talking about a body 3 4 focusing on race --5 A. Yes. LORD BRACADALE: -- are you referring to a body that might 6 7 be focusing on race purely in relation to the police? 8 A. Yes, I am, yes. 9 LORD BRACADALE: And how would you envisage that working in 10 terms of the corporate accountability to such a body? I haven't elaborated that in this report and so they're 11 Α. 12 additional questions that I would be happy to go away 13 and give consideration to. They are questions that I 14 have answered today on the basis of the material that I 15 have generated, but the focus of the report wasn't those areas, but I would be happy to elaborate further. 16 17 LORD BRACADALE: So you would be willing to provide a 18 supplementary report if I was interested in exploring 19 these matters. 20 A. Very much so, but that was beyond the purview of what I 21 was asked to prepare. LORD BRACADALE: I appreciate that and I don't want to do 22 23 this on the hoof with you. A. Thank you. 24 LORD BRACADALE: Thank you. Ms Grahame. 25

1 MS GRAHAME: Thank you. I have only one last issue to raise 2 with you, and we may hear evidence from other witnesses 3 that are due to attend the Inquiry, but I understand 4 that since 2018 there has been what is known as an 5 "ethnicity field" which can be populated in use of force forms and that ethnicity field was not present in the 6 7 forms prior to 2018 and I don't need to concern you with the reasons for that. And since 2021, so three years 8 9 after that ethnicity field was created in the forms, 10 Police Scotland have been publishing that data and that's since September 2021 and produced public 11 12 quarterly reports which include data on the ethnicity of 13 the subject and the use of force as appears recorded in 14 the use of force forms. 15 Α. Hm-hmm. 16 And I simply wanted to ask you: Were you aware of this Q. 17 data available? No, I wasn't. 18 Α. 19 Right. Q. 20 And that's publicly available on the Police Scotland Α. 21 website? 22 That's what I'm advised, yes. Q. Okay. I'll go and check that. 23 Α. Thank you very much. 24 Q. Thank you very much. And that has the full 25 Α.

1 demographic -- because lots of these things on paper have category boxes which you can populate with 2 3 information, but just with a great deal of data they're 4 not populated, so you can't do anything with them, but 5 that will have -- if I go to it, that will have a full record of the use of force according to ethnicity for a 6 7 period of time; that's what I'm being told? Q. I personally do not want to provide you with that 8 9 assurance, but my understanding is that we will hear 10 further evidence from another witness, Mr Coventry, in relation to this aspect, so it may be that the position 11 12 becomes clearer to the Chair after his evidence has been 13 taken. That would be very helpful. Thank you. 14 Α. 15 Q. Thank you very much. I have no further questions, thank 16 you. 17 LORD BRACADALE: Are there any Rule 9 applications? Professor Meer, would you withdraw to the witness 18 19 room, please. 20 (Witness withdrew) 21 LORD BRACADALE: I'll start with Ms Mitchell. Submissions by MS MITCHELL KC 22 MS MITCHELL: I'm obliged to my learned friend. There's 23 only one issue following on her examination that I would 24 like to raise and it's in relation to a word that I 25

would like the view of this expert on. 1 2 The witness said: 3 "What concerns me is people in public life and people serving the public shouldn't be making these 4 5 mistakes. There should be an element of basic training in how you engage." 6 7 And against that background, my question is simply would I be appropriate in ordinary course to call to or 8 9 refer to an adult black man as "boy" and if not, why 10 not? LORD BRACADALE: Thank you, if you can withdraw and, Dean of 11 12 Faculty, if you could come forward. Submissions by MR DUNLOP KC 13 14 MR DUNLOP: My Lord, likewise there's only one issue that I 15 seek to raise. There is no advance Rule 9 for those that I represent, but it arises solely from an answer 16 17 given right at the end of what the witness was saying in response to my learned friend's questions about the 18 19 newspaper article and the question of stereotyping and 20 I would welcome the opportunity to explore what 21 "stereotyping" means independent context of that newspaper article, given that it has some impact on 22 23 those for whom I act. LORD BRACADALE: Thank you. Mr Byrne. 24

25

1 Submissions by MR BYRNE KC 2 MR BYRNE: Good afternoon, my Lord. The issue I wish to 3 explore with the witness is in accordance with the Rule 4 9 that was produced in advance of today and it bears on a talk that Professor Meer delivered in March 2017 in 5 which it's recorded in the transcript he said a number 6 7 of things which may demonstrate he has allied himself with a particular view of the surrounding circumstances 8 9 of the events on Hayfield Road. 10 Now, he was asked about this in evidence and he disassociated himself with those views and what I would 11 12 like to ask him is what did he say about Sheku Bayoh in 13 2017 and also to probe his explanation, which was that 14 the transcriber had taken down material from slides and 15 attributed it to him, whereas superficially that seems inconsistent, because the material put in the statement 16

17 is material that seems to be comparing his physique with 18 that of Sheku Bayoh and, superficially, that doesn't 19 seem plausible.

20 LORD BRACADALE: Thank you. Mr Moir.

21

Submissions by MR MOIR KC

22 MR MOIR: Sir, I have one question or one area, which does 23 follow on the Rule 9 request, but also follows upon what 24 was asked about stop recording of race and, latterly, 25 the last question I think the counsel to the Inquiry

1

2

asked was the use of police forms and then the recording of race.

3 If I can refer your Lordship to the report at 3.25 by Professor Meer, you will see that there is concern 4 5 raised in that that following a freedom of information request by academics in respect of the report, which is 6 7 WIT0083, nothing to see here, and this is a report published in February of 2024 produced in 2023, so it's 8 9 not a historical report, the police indicated there that 10 in response to the freedom of information, they are not required to collect or report ethnicity data and the 11 12 report "nothing to see here" indicates this strikes us as a significant lapse, especially in light of the 13 14 current inquiry charged to consider whether race played 15 a role in the death of Sheku Bayoh during police 16 restraint.

17 Professor Meer also spoke about the disconnect between what organisations say they will do and what 18 they actually do and the loss of institutional 19 20 knowledge. And assuming that the freedom of information 21 request was correctly answered, that the police are not required to collect the ethnicity data and did not 22 produce it, does he consider the failure to collect such 23 data as a fundamental failing by Police Scotland to 24 enable independent scrutiny or accountability? 25

1	LORD BRACADALE: Thank you. I shall allow all of these
2	lines of questioning to be pursued, so we'll do it in
3	the same order, starting with Ms Mitchell.
4	If we could have Professor Meer back, please.
5	(Witness returned)
6	LORD BRACADALE: Professor Meer, Ms Mitchell KC who
7	represents the families of Sheku Bayoh has some
8	questions for you.
9	A. Thank you.
10	Cross-examination by MS MITCHELL KC
11	MS MITCHELL: Reflecting on what you said earlier in
12	relation to some words that you were being asked about
13	by my learned friend you said:
14	"What concerns me is people in public life and
15	people serving the public shouldn't be making these
16	mistakes. There should be an elementary basis of
17	training in how you engage."
18	Yes.
19	Q. What I want to ask you against that background was,
20	would it be appropriate in ordinary course to call or to
21	refer to an adult black man as "boy" and if not, why
22	not?
23	A. So my immediate reply is, no. This comes back to this
24	discussion of dehumanisation. So there's a long
25	tradition of referring to black men as "boy" which comes

1 from North America, but through popular culture too, not 2 to treat them as an equivalent equal human adult, you 3 know, "boy".

I don't know if there's parlance where in some 4 5 contexts people refer to people who are friends and so 6 on in affectionate language which may be, you know, but 7 I don't understand how you can get to that stage in your professional life where it hasn't been drawn to your 8 attention to use that language is offensive and --9 10 Q. And what is the offence about it, can you explain? Yes, it's to do historically with -- to do historically 11 Α. 12 with -- well, it comes from slavery and then the 13 aftermath of that and segregation and the treatment of 14 black men not as equal citizens, especially in the 15 American South, but more broadly, and not to give equal 16 worth.

Just like "zombie" dehumanises, there's another repertoire of terms which treat black men as not as equal worth and "boy" is that, you know, to infantilise them, child like, denigrate in them and so on. I'm sure presumably that was not the intention of the use of this term, but the fact that it's used without awareness of its provenance or of its impact is concerning.

24 Q. And what is that concern?

25 A. Concern that shows -- firstly, it signals a lack of

1 awareness on the part of the impact that words can have 2 in professional use, but it may reflect a deeper sense that young black men aren't of equal worth. 3 4 LORD BRACADALE: Professor Meer, there is a usage in 5 Scotland of the word "boy" referring to an adult male. For example, if you listen to a football commentary, you 6 7 would often hear a football pundit referring to a good move by "the boy", so I don't think that usage would 8 have any -- certainly any overt racist aspect. 9 10 Now, I recognise what you're saying about the history of the word in relation to black men. And I'm 11 12 just wondering, if I'm confronted with that kind of --13 Α. Yes. LORD BRACADALE: -- dichotomy, how can I judge? 14 15 So both can be true at the same time. So the point here Α. 16 is about being aware of the context in which you use it. If you use the term "boy" in the context of a football 17 18 game, you're being appreciative how somebody passed the ball or "that's my boy". That's different I think to 19 20 using it to describe a black man, you know, somebody who 21 you're perhaps serving as a public official or whatever 22 else. I think that the -- there needs to be an 23 24 understanding and a reflection on the use and the impact

of language and if that's not there, it suggests that

1	there's a gap in training that would make you aware
2	and/or that it speaks to something deeper in terms of
3	your approach to some parts of the population. Yes,
4	that's all I have to say on that.
5	LORD BRACADALE: Thank you. Is that you completed,
6	Ms Mitchell?
7	MS MITCHELL: It is.
8	LORD BRACADALE: Very well. If the Dean of Faculty came
9	over.
10	Professor Meer, the Dean of Faculty represents the
11	Scottish Police Federation and certain of the attending
12	police officers. He has some questions for you
13	Cross-examination to MR DUNLOP KC
14	MR DUNLOP: Professor, can I just ask you about
15	stereotyping, please. I think a decent definition of
16	the notion of stereotyping is found in the
17	Cambridge English Dictionary as:
18	"A set idea that people have about what someone is
19	like, especially an idea which is wrong."
20	Do you agree with that?
21	A. That could be one definition. I mean a general
22	definition in the Cambridge English Dictionary isn't
23	sufficient to give me a definition that I can use in a
24	thematic fashion. The Cambridge Dictionary isn't going
25	to give me a definition of society I can use in the

1 study of society. 2 No, but do you agree with time with me that this really Q. 3 embodies the problem with stereotyping, because 4 stereotyping tends to cause false perceptions and embeds 5 prejudices? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. Whether or not a particular description, in any given context, involves stereotyping depends on the precise 8 facts; do you agree with that? 9 10 Α. No, I don't actually, because stereotyping can be used independent of the facts. It routinely is. 11 12 Q. Well, if a description is accurate, it doesn't involve 13 stereotyping, does it? 14 No, it can do. Α. 15 Well, for example, you describe the word "unit", you Q. said that would be -- that would involve stereotyping. 16 17 Now, perhaps somewhat unfairly, I have been referred to as "a unit". That's not stereotyping, is it, it is 18 19 because of body size? 20 In addition to other criteria, it could be. Α. 21 Q. Yes. 22 Yes. Α. And if in the given facts of a particular case an 23 Q. 24 individual is acting aggressively, it's not stereotyping to describe him as "acting aggressively"? 25

A. I think that the language that you're trying to suggest
can be uncoupled from the context in which it's used
can't. I mean language functions to describe, but
language also has meaning which registers and resonates
with historical contexts, with the communities that it's
directed to, with the perceptions of those who hear it
in the aftermath.

So I think that if you're trying to say that there's 8 a one-to-one relationship between words and reality, yes 9 10 there is, but there's also a wider reception of those words which echo beyond that particular context is what 11 12 I would say. So I would be cautious about saying that 13 because in one case a term is used to describe somebody 14 and they have properties or characteristics which maybe 15 resonate with that term, then it's not stereotyping, no, I wouldn't take that view. I think you need to be 16 17 attentive to the wider context in which language is 18 used.

Q. Acknowledging the need to be careful to avoid
 stereotyping, you can't be advocating language which is
 not consistent with fact?

22 A. No, I'm not saying that.

Q. And you can't be suggesting that the need to avoid
stereotyping means that people cannot use language which
is consistent with fact?

1 A. No, I'm not saying that either.

2	Q.	No. So if one had a situation in which an individual
3		had taken illicit drugs, got into a fight, armed himself
4		with a knife, attacked several cars, when confronted
5		with the police failed to obey lawful commands, pursued
6		and struck a female officer at the back of the head
7		knocking her to the ground, to describe that as
8		"aggressive" doesn't involve stereotyping, does it?
9	Α.	That requires a great many clauses to be agreed upon,
10		but if all of the things that you say are true and
11		undisputed, then, yes.
12	Q.	It doesn't involve stereotyping, you agree?
13	Α.	Well, if all the things that you said are true and
14		undisputed.
15	Q.	Yes. If that were established
16	Α.	Yes?
17	Q.	in any given scenario?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	Thank, you professor.
20	LOF	D BRACADALE: Thank you. Now Mr Byrne.
21		Professor Meer, Mr Byrne KC represents three of the
22		attending officers and he has a question for you.
23		Cross-examination by MR BYRNE KC
24	MR	BYRNE: Good afternoon, Professor Meer.
25	Α.	Good afternoon.

1 Q. I want to ask you a little bit more about a topic that you addressed yesterday morning and it concerns a talk 2 3 that you delivered in Winchester in March 2017. And if 4 I recall your evidence correctly yesterday, a passage 5 was read out from an extract and that passage started with the words "and these kind of findings occur at a 6 7 time in Scotland where there is a salience of race", so on and so forth, and ended with "so race does matter in 8 9 Scotland so it is misleading to suggest that everything 10 is okay on that issue."

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And you're given the opportunity to comment on that 13 extract and if I recall your evidence correctly, you 14 said that you hadn't used those words and that rather an 15 error had occurred because the person who had made up the transcript had in actual fact attributed the words 16 17 of a BBC article and a Guardian article taken from a 18 slide you had produced and attributed that to you; is 19 that correct?

20 A. Yes, that's my recollection, yes.

Q. So the question really is: Why was the BBC and why were The Guardian drawing a comparison between the size and build of Sheku Bayoh, drawing a comparison between his size and build and your size and build?

A. They weren't doing that. No, they weren't doing that.

1		So we were talking through the race and salience of
2		race. I was just looking through the printed copy of my
3		corrected proof to see if there's any other reference
4		actually in here.
5	Q.	Would it be helpful to have that on screen, because it's
6		been produced I think in the last hour. So I have just
7		had an opportunity to review it very briefly and I think
8		it has a reference WIT-00102.
9	A.	That's correct.
10	Q.	And I think if we drop down perhaps two pages, we'll get
11		to the particular passage which we're addressing. So I
12		think it's just there. We have just gone past it. If
13		we can pause.
14	Α.	Yes, so this is the corrected so this is what I was
15		sent and then I made my corrections and sent it back.
16		Yes, so this is what we're looking at.
17	Q.	Yes. So what we have here is:
18		"This young man about my size and build was
19		suffocated at a bus stop."
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	So my question again is if this derives in truth from a
22		BBC article a Guardian article
23	Α.	No, it doesn't.
24	Q.	why does it refer to your size and build?
25	Α.	No, no, it doesn't. It doesn't refer to a BBC article

1 or The Guardian. The BBC article and The Guardian 2 article behind me had a picture of Mr Bayoh and a 3 discussion, I think, of positional asphyxiation and this 4 transcript is made up of partly my slides which I sent 5 down and also the panel discussion and conversation on the day and the notetaker clearly has tried to 6 7 narrativise that in a way which is more engaging than an academic with slides and data points and so they've 8 9 presented something for me to sign off and I have 10 checked it, seen that that's in it amongst other things, 11 so if you go to the top there's a whole joke about --12 We can come to the top in a moment, but if we can just Q. 13 stick with this for the moment, please. So I suppose if 14 that's your explanation, is your position to the Inquiry 15 that you did not say "This young man about my size and build was suffocated at a bus stop"? 16 No, I didn't say those words. I could well say, you 17 Α. know -- I don't know actually. It's a long time ago, 18 it's 2017. There could have been discussion about --19 20 there could have been discussion about the case, but 21 clearly I didn't say those words, because I didn't --22 when I saw them, I didn't recognise them and I thought that's not accurate. 23 Q. Can we perhaps just try and get some clarity. What did 24

25

you say about Sheku Bayoh and did you say anything about

1		comparing your size and build with his size and build?
2	Α.	No, no, I don't recall saying that. I don't recall
3		saying any of this actually.
4	Q.	Okay. So if we do go back to the top of the document?
5	A.	Hm-hmm.
6	Q.	It perhaps reads rather than you deleting things that
7		you didn't say, rather it would appear that you're
8		simply editing it for public consumption?
9	A.	No, because it was a public event, so it's not as though
10		these things were said in private. It was a public talk
11		and I certainly was editing it, because other things in
12		this wasn't true. I mean I didn't wake up to
13		I didn't recognise that first section at all. I think
14		that's been attributed to me from the other speaker.
15	Q.	So why would there be a discussion about your size and
16		build at this talk?
17	A.	It must have been a feature of the conversation.
18		Somebody must have mentioned it and it may have been
19		included by the notetaker appropriately.
20	Q.	But you didn't say anything about comparing your size
21		and build, notwithstanding what we see here, with the
22		size and build of Sheku Bayoh?
23	A.	Yes, I mean I can say that again if it's helpful.
24	Q.	So one final opportunity, what did you say about
25		Sheku Bayoh in 2017?

1	A.	I that's quite tricky, because I mean it's 2017.
2		I didn't know that this was written up and available.
3		Had I done so, I would have tried to reflect a little
4		bit more before the question was raised. I can well
5		image a conversation was had about the case, but beyond
6		that I think it's quite hard to go back and populate it
7		with
8	Q.	Well, your explanation is that you put a slide up on the
9		board and the slide had an article from the BBC and
10		The Guardian.
11	A.	Yes.
12	Q.	Is that correct?
13	A.	And I have shared the slides.
14	Q.	Right. So are we to understand that you
15		notwithstanding you put the slide up, you didn't say
16		anything about the incidents with Sheku Bayoh?
17	A.	No, I can imagine there was a conversation about the
18		event and there was possibly a conversation about
19		Stephen Lawrence too, but it wasn't in those terms and
20		distilled into those sentences.
21	Q.	And you can't recall what it was?
22	A.	Beyond what I have said, no.
23	Q.	But you are absolutely sure it wasn't what was recorded
24		to be what you said?
25	Α.	Well, I have corrected it at the time, so I don't think

1		so, no.
2	Q.	Okay, thank you very much.
3	Α.	Thank you.
4	LOR	D BRACADALE: Mr Moir.
5		Professor Meer, Mr Moir KC acts for the Coalition
6		for Racial Equality and Rights. Mr Moir.
7		Cross-examination by MR MOIR KC
8	MR I	MOIR: Professor Meer, I want to ask you about
9		paragraph 3.2.5 of your report.
10	A.	Hm-hmm.
11	Q.	Now, you touched on paragraph 3.2.4 with counsel to
12		the Inquiry, and I specifically want to deal with
13		something you raised at 3.2.5. Do you have that?
14	A.	Yes, I do, yes.
15	Q.	Now, during your evidence yesterday you spoke about
16		there being sometimes disconnects about between what
17		organisations say they will do and what they actually do
18		and a loss of institutional knowledge
19	Α.	Hm-hmm.
20	Q.	et cetera. You also today you didn't speak about
21		it, but you were asked about forms being filled in which
22		now record the ethnic data and stop recording. We
23		understand that now also records ethnic data.
24	A.	Hm-hmm.
25	Q.	But from your paragraph 3.2.5 you'll see you refer to a

1 document, which we have as WIT0083, and that's "Nothing to see here. Deaths in custody" and FAIs in Scotland 2 3 and that's a recent publication from -- it's published 4 in February of 2024, I think the data was from 2023, and 5 under the heading which is at page 4 initially, "Police custody and contact," if we could then go on to a page 5 6 7 of that. I'll just read out what is said there: "Police Scotland noted in its FOI response that it 8 9 is not required to collect or report ethnicity data. 10 This strikes one as a significant lapse, especially in light of the current inquiry charged to consider whether 11 12 race played a role in the death of Sheku Bayoh during 13 police restraint." 14 Hm-hmm. Α. 15 Now, Police Scotland have said that they are an Q. antiracist and a proactively antiracist organisation. 16 17 If this is collect that they don't keep any data and they're not required to keep data, do you consider the 18 failure to collect such data as a fundamental failing by 19 20 Police Scotland to enable independent scrutiny and 21 accountability? I do, and I don't understand the reason for it. 22 Α. Thank you. 23 Q. LORD BRACADALE: Professor Meer, thank you very much for 24 coming to give evidence to the Inquiry. I'm very 25

1 grateful for the work you have put into this report and 2 your evidence. 3 I'm going to rise in order that the room may be 4 prepared for the next witness and you will then be free 5 to go. 6 A. Thank you. 7 LORD BRACADALE: So we'll adjourn briefly. (12.31 pm) 8 9 (A short break) 10 (12.44 pm) 11 LORD BRACADALE: Good morning, Mr Castledine, would you 12 raise your hand and say the words of the oath after me, 13 please. 14 Evidence of PAUL CASTLEDINE (sworn) 15 LORD BRACADALE: Ms Thomson. 16 Examination-in-chief MS THOMSON 17 MS THOMSON: You are Paul Castledine? A. That's correct. 18 How old are you Mr Castledine? 19 Q. 20 A. I'm 59. 21 Q. And I understand that you are a retired police officer? A. That's correct. 22 Q. Before I ask you any questions, can I invite you to open 23 24 up the blue folder that's just to your left and in it you should find a copy of a statement that you gave to 25

1 the Inquiry. You'll see that there's also a screen in front of you, Mr Castledine, so we'll bring it up on the 2 3 screen. The reference is SBPI 00594. The system seems 4 to be having some difficulty loading the statement, but 5 you should have a hard copy in the folder in front of you; is that right? 6 7 Α. Correct. Perhaps we can rely on the hard copy in the absence of 8 Q. 9 the technology and can I invite you to perhaps go to the 10 end of that statement and confirm for me that you signed it on 16 May of 2024? 11 12 Α. Yes, that's right, yes. 13 Lovely. And can I ask you, please, to look at the --Q. 14 what I think is the final paragraph of that report, that 15 statement sorry, I think it should be paragraph 31. I think it will begin with the words "I believe". 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. And although I don't have the copy in front of me -- I 19 do now. If we can scroll down to the final paragraph so 20 we all have it on the screen in front of us, please. 21 That's not going to work. I'm going to have to ask you 22 to read out that paragraph rather than me, please. It's paragraph 31. 23 "I believe the facts stated in this witness statement 24 Α. 25 are true. I understand that this statement may form

1		part of the evidence before the Inquiry and be published
2		on the Inquiry's website."
3	Q.	Lovely, thank you. So you prepared that statement in
4		the knowledge that it would become evidence before
5		the Inquiry?
6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	When you answered the questions that were put to you,
8		did you do your best to be truthful and accurate in the
9		responses that you gave?
10	A.	I did, yes.
11	Q.	You explain in your statement at paragraph 2 that you
12		joined the police in 1993 having served with the
13		Royal Marines for eight years before that?
14	A.	That's correct, yes.
15	Q.	And that as a probationer you spent your time in
16		Cowdenheath and three years post probation that time was
17		also spent in Cowdenheath?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	You've explained too that thereafter you worked in
20		Dunfermline, Dalgety Bay and Glenrothes?
21	Α.	Yes.1
22	Q.	So these are all towns within what was historically
23		Fife Constabulary. Did you spend all of your police
24		career within the Fife area, even after it became
25		Police Scotland?

1	A.	No, I spent a year in working in Glasgow at
2		Bishopbriggs.
3	Q.	With the exception of that one year, did you spend all
4		of your time in Fife?
5	Α.	I did. I was still attached to Fife, yes.
6	Q.	I understand that you retired from the police in 2017
7		after 24 years.
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	Having spent 23 of those years within the Fife policing
10		area?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	What was your rank at the point that you retired?
13	A.	Police Constable.
14	Q.	You also explain in your statement that you were public
15		order trained and firearms trained.
16	A.	That's correct.
17	Q.	And latterly and prior to your retirement, you were a
18		productions officer.
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	I want to begin about asking you about your involvement
21		with SEMPER. If the system will permit us, I wonder if
22		we can bring up your statement. You have a hard copy in
23		front of you, Mr Castledine, so do I, we're going to
24		have to work with hard copy, but what I would like to do
25		is look at paragraph 3 of your statement. I should have

1 said the purpose of the hard copy is not just in case of 2 there being a tech crisis, but also so that you have it 3 available to you. If there's anything you want to refer 4 to in your statement at any point, please do that and, 5 technology permission, if there's anything you would 6 like us to bring up on the screen, we can do that for 7 you too.

A. Okay.

8

9 Q. So I'm just to read out paragraph 3 and we will take it 10 from there. You say:

II "In my last 15 years I became involved in SEMPER Scotland which is basically the equivalent of the English Black Police Association. Our remit was to address issues minority ethnic police officers and staff face and try to overcome them and also advise different police forces and authorities of any wrongdoings, just try to help them do their job so we can do our job."

And you explain elsewhere in your statement that you were involved with SEMPER from 2002 all the way through until your retirement in 2017, so that's a period of 15 years, and that laterally you were chairman of the association.

23 A. That's correct, yes.

Q. So you explain in paragraph 3 that the remit of SEMPER
is to address issues minority ethnic police officers and

1 staff face and try to overcome them. 2 Now, the SEMPER website explains that the acronym 3 stands for "supporting ethnic minority police for equality in race" and it goes on to say that: 4 "SEMPER Scotland is the primary staff association 5 that exists to support and represent all minority ethnic 6 7 employees on issues of equality in race and to ensure that the Scottish Police Authority and the Police 8 9 Service of Scotland uphold the principles and practices of racial equality." 10 That's your understanding of the remit of the 11 12 organisation? 13 It is, yes. When it says "police officers", it includes Α. 14 police staff as well. 15 Q. Officers and staff? 16 Α. Yes. Q. Okay. I think in due course we may hear evidence from 17 the current chair of SEMPER, but you're the first 18 witness from whom we've taken evidence who has an 19 20 association with SEMPER, so it might be helpful just to 21 set the scene as it were. 22 A. Okay. The website also explains that there are five key 23 Q. 24 functions of the organisation and the first is "support", that you: 25

1		"Provide confidential information, advice and
2		support to minority ethnic police officers and police
3		staff on issues affecting them."
4		Would you agree with that?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	And was that part of the remit of SEMPER during the
7		period of time that you were involved with the
8		association?
9	Α.	It was, yes. The aims and objectives haven't changed
10		much.
11	Q.	They haven't changed.
12		The second objective is to be an "advisory body" to
13		the SPA, Police Scotland and the Scottish Government on
14		issues of race equality. Again, was that part of the
15		remit when you were involved with SEMPER?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	The third aim or objective is "Policy Development":
18		"Recommend, review and support the development of
19		policies and procedures to enhance recruitment,
20		retention and development of minority ethnic employees."
21		Again, is that familiar to you as being one of the
22		aims and objectives from your time within the
23		organisation?
24	A.	It is, yes.
25	Q.	Fourthly, recruitment:

1		"Assist in recruitment initiatives to promote
2		policing as a career of first choice and the police
3		service as employers who are committed to diversity and
4		social justice."
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	Again, is that familiar to you?
7	Α.	It is, yes.
8	Q.	And finally:
9		"Community relation. Work with young men and women
10		from minority ethnic communities to strengthen relations
11		between them and the police service."
12		Again, was that one of the aims and objectives
13		during the time that you worked with SEMPER?
14	Α.	Yes, it was.
15	Q.	Returning to your statement, paragraph 4 it's, a short
16		paragraph, again, I'll just read it out:
17		"There was always a problem of numbers. The
18		government and the police were looking to raise the
19		profile of police officers from minority background to
20		recruit them and retain them."
21		So you allude there to there being a problem. What
22		steps were taken by SEMPER during the time that you were
23		involved with that organisation to improve recruitment
24		and retention of officers from minority backgrounds?
25	Α.	Yes, I mean we had been approached by various

1 organisations say within the police and that with the 2 police looking for help and the idea was to set up an 3 organisation which we named back then SEMPER Scotland and our sort of job, as I say, was to help recruit and 4 5 retain police officers and staff and so we looked at ways we could do this by encouraging people to make the 6 7 police service a job of choice and often for, whatever reasons, it was looked upon as not a career of choice 8 9 for people from minority backgrounds and we were just 10 there to sort of encourage them and show that there are people of colour within the police service and that 11 12 nothing to be afraid of, and, yes, we were just looking 13 to hopefully recruit more people and just give them more 14 opportunities.

15 So we held open days to invite people along from different communities to attend the open days. We 16 17 visited various schools, various communities, to show 18 face and speak to them and reach out to them, and it was reasonably successful in my time. I'm not sure what's 19 20 happened since, but certainly in my time we were able to 21 encourage people to apply and the people who were in who 22 were struggling, for whatever reason, we would offer them support with the hope that they would stay in. 23 Thank you. You explain in your statement that you were 24 Q. laterally the Chair of SEMPER. During what period of 25

1 time are you the Chair, do you recall? 2 Good question, no. Α. Or was it up to the point of your retirement? 3 Q. 4 No, no, no, so I stepped back. So I don't recall Α. 5 exactly so I would probably be making it up to be honest but, yes, there was a period -- 2003, 2004, possibly, 6 7 something like that, where I was heavily involved. I was the vice chair for some time and then I became the 8 9 Chair and then I had to take a step back really just to 10 sort of allow some new ideas to come in, somebody else to take over and, yes, I just came back to the force, 11 12 but I was still involved. 13 Do you recall how long you held the position of chair to Q. 14 SEMPER? 15 It was under two years so certainly -- I was seconded Α. 16 for a year, so it might have been about sort of just 17 about two years. 18 How long were you vice chair before that? Q. 19 I would have been maybe a year as well before that, yes. Α. 20 Q. Thank you. Can we look at paragraph 12 of your 21 statement, please. And again, I'm simply going to read 22 this out and then ask you in questions about it: "I've been asked what else I worked on during my 23 time as chairperson of SEMPER. It was recruitment and 24 25 retention and addressing any issues that came up. The

1 police would come to us as a body and say, look, we have 2 got this, can you help us? And we were able to 3 intervene and to assist. I think that the statistic 4 came out some years before I started was that a minority 5 ethnic police officer was three times more likely to be interviewed by their colleagues than a white officer in 6 7 connection with professional standards. That was sometimes just purely because they looked at it as it's 8 9 really important that we do this right, because this 10 person is from a minority background and it was quite shocking to me." 11

12 So can I perhaps begin by clarifying what you're 13 talking about here. In what circumstances might an 14 officer find themselves being interviewed by colleagues 15 in connection with personal -- professional standards? So it's is interviewed or investigated really, but if 16 Α. 17 something was reported, for instance, by a member of the public of some wrongdoing, we certainly found that if --18 back then, if somebody from a minority background was 19 20 reported, they would tend to be more likely to be 21 interviewed or investigated by professional standards 22 purely because of the fact that they thought they need to do it properly, rather than just taking them into the 23 office and saying, look, you have done wrong here, we'll 24 25 forget about it this time and nothing was put on paper.

1 I certainly found that once it goes on paper and the paper trail starts, it would then have no way back. So 2 3 I found certainly in my time that we would be 4 investigated more. 5 I'm going to ask you some more detailed questions about Q. your experience of being investigated, probably after 6 7 lunch, but in the few moments that remain, just so I'm absolutely clear in my mind, you're describing here 8 9 processes and procedures, interviews, investigations, 10 that would follow on the making of a complaint to professional standards; is that right? 11 12 Α. Nine times out of ten, yes, not always. 13 And the other one time out of ten? Q. It would be sort of kept within the police station and 14 Α. 15 there would be something would happen within the police station where -- or the force where it could be dealt 16 17 with within force, as opposed to going out and having 18 outsiders coming in and have a look at it. 19 Okay. These processes of interview and/or investigation Q. 20 would be in response to a complaint having been made 21 about the conduct of an officer; is that correct? 22 Yes, or it could be something that the officer has done Α. possibly as a procedural thing within the police and so 23 it's not necessarily a member of the public, so 24 paperwork done incorrectly, something being mislayed or 25

1		something like that.
2	Q.	It could be a complaint from a member of the public?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	Or equally, it could be, for example, an error in
5		recordkeeping that has been identified perhaps by a
6		superior officer?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	Okay. Something that is then required to be addressed
9		in some way.
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	And as a result of these things, these issues being
12		brought to the attention of professional standards, the
13		complaints process could result in an interview; is that
14		correct?
15	Α.	Yes, normally when it gets to that stage, or used to
16		certainly, you would then have a visit from them and be
17		interviewed regarding the incident and they would then
18		decide whether or not it was worthy of taking it
19		further.
20	Q.	Okay. And you also made reference to the possibility of
21		an investigation; would that be in terms of the 2014
22		conduct regulations?
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	Okay.
25		I'm conscious of the time. I wonder if this might

1 be --2 LORD BRACADALE: We'll stop for lunch now and sit at 3 2 o'clock. 4 (1.00 pm) 5 (Luncheon adjournment) 6 (2.05 pm) 7 LORD BRACADALE: Ms Thomson. MS THOMSON: Thank you. 8 9 Before lunch, Mr Castledine, we were looking at your 10 statement and paragraph 12. I believe the system is working again so let's bring your statement up and can 11 12 we move to paragraph 12, please. 13 Now, I read this out before lunchtime, I'm not going 14 to repeat it, but it might be helpful just to have it on 15 the screen in front of us and you refer in the course of that paragraph to a statistic. You say: 16 17 "I think the statistic came out some years before I started was that a minority ethnic police officer was 18 three times more likely to be interviewed by their 19 20 colleagues than a white officer in connection with 21 professional standards." Before lunch we explored the circumstances in which 22 an officer might find themselves being subject to an 23 interview. You explained it was part of the complaints 24 process or potentially if they were under investigation 25

1

in terms of the conduct regulations.

But before we go any further, I would like to ask you a couple of questions about the statistic that you refer to here. Can you tell me anything more about the statistic, where did it come from, who did it come from, who published the statistic?

7 Yes, it was -- we had a meeting with our colleagues of Α. the Black Police Association down from London and whilst 8 9 on a conference with them it was a statistic that they 10 managed to give us, something they had looked into, whether it was more towards England than Scotland, but 11 12 it was a statistic that certainly rang a lot of alarm 13 bells and we certainly found that looking into 14 statistics within our force itself, it was pretty much 15 spot on.

Q. That's helpful because to the best of my knowledge, and I will be corrected if I'm wrong, Police Scotland don't keep those statistics. And what I would like to do is read you a paragraph from Lady Angiolini's report on the complaints procedure that was published in 2020.

For those sitting behind me the reference is paragraphs 9.64, 9.65. We don't need to bring this up. What she said in her report was that:

24 "Police Scotland have confirmed that neither the25 ethnicity of the member of the public making the

1 complaint nor the ethnicity of the police officer involved is recorded on the Centurion Complaints and 2 3 Contact Database within Professional Standards. 4 Police Scotland have advised the review that they do 5 recognise the need for meaningful data on complaints and misconduct in order that they can better understand any 6 7 disproportionality in disciplinary and misconduct outcomes for black, Asian and minority ethnic officers 8 9 and staff and they're liaising with the IOPC and the 10 NPCC in an effort to understand the position in England and Wales and build on any learning from there." 11

12 The Chair also has before him a statement from 13 DCC Alan Spears, which I understand was signed if not 14 this week perhaps last week, in the course of which he 15 advises:

16 "With regards to the discipline of black and 17 minority ethnic officers, PSD systems do not currently 18 have the functionality to record this personal data. 19 Therefore, I'm unable to provide accurate information."

20 So it would appear that there's information before 21 the Chair that both historically and contemporaneously 22 that that information isn't recorded by Police Scotland, 23 which is why I was curious to understand where the 24 statistic had come from, but you've explained that it 25 came from the SEMPER counterpart, as I understand it,

1 south of the border.

2 Yes, I mean going back to that, statistics may not have Α. 3 been held. However, before it got to that stage where 4 they could be held, it was too late, so what I was 5 suggesting was that it was more likely to go that far and once it's got that far, regardless of race, creed, 6 7 colour, whatever, it wouldn't be recorded necessarily. So what I'm saying is you're more likely to or you were 8 more likely to be disciplined at the lower level and 9 10 then once it got to that level, it was just out of our hands, if that makes any sense at all. 11 12 Q. I think so, but certainly without statistics --Yes, okay. 13 Α. It's difficult to get a clear picture of any disparity 14 Q. 15 or disproportionality in terms of the disciplinary and 16 complaints process and it would appear to be the case, 17 based on the evidence that you've given today, read with 18 Lady Angiolini's report and DCC Spears' statements that 19 the data are not collected in Scotland, but perhaps they are in England and Wales. 20

21 A. Okay, yes.

Q. Can we move on to paragraph 13 of your statement,
please. So we'll turn to consider your experience, both
your personal experience and your experience through
SEMPER, in relation to this issue that you have

1 identified. You say here:

"Having been on that side and having been 2 3 investigated myself, I know what it's like. I can see 4 why that was done, but we need to address that and point 5 that out to the police authority that sometimes where a white police officer would talk, look, don't do that 6 7 again, that would be the comment from the police. If it was the minority officer, it becomes, right, we need to 8 9 do this by the book and we can't just slap his wrist and 10 tell him to get on with it. We need to address this properly. Once it was put on paper, there was nothing 11 12 else that could be done. Often we were certainly 13 wrongly investigated. I'm not saying that every person 14 really should be investigated, but when it could be 15 dealt with without an investigation, we weren't given that happen option so we had to address that." 16

17 So I want to ask you a number of questions based on 18 this paragraph. I understand that what you're saying 19 here is that you're experience is that in a situation 20 where a white officer might get a slap on the wrist and 21 told don't do that again, that would be the end of the 22 matter with no paper trail, but if the officer was from a minority background, in the same set sort of 23 circumstances, it would be dealt with by the book? 24 A. In a large number of cases, yes. 25

1 Q. Okay. What do you mean when you say "by the book"? So I guess if a complaint comes in or there's need for 2 Α. 3 some disciplinary matter to be addressed, if a person 4 was to then go by the book, it would be exactly as 5 should be done. You weren't given the benefit of the 6 doubt, which I think often we lose sight of and I really 7 think that, you know, often it's -- you'll have somebody just say for instance doing a night shift, working very 8 9 late, they have had no food, they have had nothing to 10 eat and they come across an incident and they deal with it the way that they deal with it and then next morning 11 12 people come in fresh faced, will look at it, and go, 13 ooh, that's wrong. Fair enough it might have been 14 wrong, but the circumstances, so what can -- has 15 happened in the past is that look at this and this quy has done this and blah, blah, blah et cetera. 16

17 So they'll look at all the circumstances and often I 18 found in my time that if it was a white officer, they 19 would tend to be more likely to not be gone by the book, 20 possibly a telling off, don't do that again; whereas I 21 found minority ethnic officers were more likely, in my 22 experience, to get done and we compared some cases of white officers and minority ethnic officers and we found 23 some wrongdoing, shall we say. 24

25 Q. Okay. It's quite a lot in your answer, so I'll just go

1 through some of that with you again. I hear you describing when you say "by the book", so the 2 3 instigation of the formal processes, whether it be an 4 audit trial, potentially a complaint, potentially a 5 disciplinary process and a paper trail around all of that. Have I understood that correctly? That's what 6 7 you mean by "by the book"? It's the initiation of those procedures rather than perhaps just a quiet word? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. Okay. From what you have said should we understand that 11 when a complaint is made or when -- using the example 12 that you have just described -- someone comes on after 13 the night shift and identifies what that what an officer 14 did overnight, albeit when tired and hungry, was perhaps 15 less than exemplary, the person to whose attention that is brought has a measure of discretion. They could take 16 17 a sympathetic approach and recognise that this person 18 was potentially tired and hungry and what was needed was corrective advice or they could exercise their 19 20 discretion the other way and instigate these more formal 21 processes. Have I understood that correctly that 22 there's a degree of discretion built into the system, certainly at an early stage? 23 Yes, I mean there's I would say recommendations to say, 24 Α. look, can you have a look at this and find out exactly 25

1 what went on and then things will get, if you like, sorted out within the shift or the station. 2 And I think what you have described is when it came to 3 Q. 4 the exercise of that discretion, that discretion being 5 exercised less sympathetically when a person was from a minority background and things being done by the book 6 7 and the formal procedures being instigated from the get-go, rather than someone having a quiet word and 8 9 perhaps exploring why performance had been suboptimal, looking at support or corrective advice or taking a more 10 formal approach to handling want issue? 11 12 Yes. I'm not sure about the sympathy side of it. Α. 13 Certainly that if a senior officer thought that somebody 14 from a minority was -- had done something wrong, rather 15 than not do it by the book, they would do it by the book, if that makes sense. So in as much as we had 16 17 better get this right, we can't afford just to you know. So yes and no, but it wasn't really any -- there wasn't 18 19 much sympathy I don't think. Perhaps you misheard me. I don't have the transcript in 20 Q. 21 front of me or perhaps the sentence was perhaps poorly 22 put, but what I was suggesting that you had seen was evidence of a less sympathetic approach towards people 23 from an ethnic minority background, whereby due process 24

would be followed, rather than a quiet word, a slap on

25

1 the wrist don't, do it again? Yes, to a certain extent, yes. 2 Α. A less sympathetic approach. 3 Q. 4 You mentioned too that you, and I think you mean 5 SEMPER, looked at some case studies and you noticed disparities. Can you tell us more about that? Was that 6 7 a formal piece of research? How did you arrive at the conclusion that the statistic that you had heard of from 8 9 your counterpart in England and Wales held true in Scotland? 10 It was just sort of comparisons. If somebody had been 11 Α. 12 investigated for a certain misdemeanour and then you 13 look at other people possibly in the same station and 14 other stations and nothing happened to them, it's 15 difficult not to draw a sort of comparison and say, well, you know, you know, for instance he forgot to do 16 17 this and the next thing he forgot to do it, but why did 18 he or she or they get this punishment. Can you explain how you went about that study or piece 19 Q. 20 of research, because if it was only in the case of 21 minority officers that there was a paper trail at all 22 and if white officers, who have perhaps had committed the same misdemeanour, for want of a better word, 23 weren't being disciplined in any way, shape or form and 24 there was no a paper trail, how were you in a position 25

to compare? What was you dataset, if you like, if not all of this information from recorded in the first place, because the white officers were simply getting a slap on the wrist?

Yes. I guess it is impossible for us or it was for us 5 Α. to get any proper information from the system, so it was 6 7 a case of speaking to people, finding out what had actually happened and then the people who we were 8 9 helping representing would then say to us, there's 10 somebody blah, blah, blah has done this and we would -not necessarily take their word for it, we would have to 11 12 do some sort of investigation into it, but our hands 13 were tied. There's only so much we could do to get any information at all. 14

Q. So was this a formal investigation or piece of research that you carried out with a view to identifying whether or not there were disparities in the way that white and minority ethnic officers were treated or is this just your overall impression as a result of you having been made aware anecdotally perhaps of these instances over the time that you were with the police?

A. Yes, I think it happened certainly more than once and
 when it sort of keeps happening, you start saying, well,
 should we compare and find out whether or not something
 similar happened and what happened to those officers and

25

1 a number of times we found that it was disproportionate. I'm just wondering how it was that you were able to 2 Q. 3 carry out that comparison at all if there was an audit 4 trail for the minority ethnic officer, but no paper 5 trail for the white officer, because the white officer 6 got a slap on the wrist? 7 Α. Yes. Can you help me to understand what was the basis of this 8 Q. 9 comparison? How did you go about this task? 10 Α. Okay. So for instance if you're working on a shift in a police station and something happens, people tend to get 11 12 to know about it. If nothing happens about it then so 13 and so did this and nothing happened and then you'll 14 hear another story, someone will come to us and this 15 person did this from a minority background, well, it's happened before, why is it suddenly. So I guess there 16 17 won't be a paper trail for the people who didn't get put by the book, but certainly on ground level, which we 18 tend to work with or on, we would certainly hear the 19 20 murmurs that things weren't equal. 21 Q. Okay. So it's a question of you hearing murmurs. Were 22 you making a record somewhere of the murmurs that you heard? Were you keeping your own audit trail when these 23 instances of disparity or discrimination were brought to 24 your attention? Were you keeping a record?

A. Well, there were some records kept I'm sure but, you
know, we would then approach the correct authority,
whoever it might be, and say, you know, we believe this
might be discriminatory and we would like to compare it
to something else and we're just trying to help the
people who we are representing.

7 So within your role in SEMPER individuals might come to Q. you and say, I'm now subject the complaint's process or 8 9 there's disciplinary action being taken against me and 10 they might perhaps advise you or you might otherwise know perhaps of a white officer who wasn't formally 11 12 disciplined in similar circumstances and you would do 13 your best to represent the minority officer. Am 14 I understanding correctly the sort of thing you're doing 15 and how these situations came to your attention? 16 Yes, I mean, you know, we advertised in every single Α. 17 police station what we were all about, what we were 18 trying to achieve, and the bigger picture was just 19 trying to make sure we could help recruit and retain 20 police officers, so by giving them support it was often, 21 you know.

And on the other side of it if what they had done and other people had been investigated for it, you know, we can explain to them, well, you know, it's not one off and if you're guilty, you're guilty.

1 Q. Okay. So just to be clear, when you say that if a white officer and an officer from a minority background were 2 both accused of the same misdemeanour, the white officer 3 4 would be more likely to get a slap on the wrist, whereas 5 the minority officer would likely be the subject of due process. You say that on the basis of your experience 6 7 of working with SEMPER and your own experience of being a police officer, rather than on the basis of you 8 9 carrying carried out a research study or an 10 investigation or something of that sort? Yes, we're unable to do anything like that. We're just 11 Α. 12 there on the ground level. Okay. You say in this paragraph -- I wonder if we can 13 Q. 14 scroll up a little bit, please -- at the very top: 15 "Having been on that side and having been investigated myself, I know what it's like. I can see 16 17 why that was done, but we need to address that and point 18 that out to the police authority." Why do you say "I can see why that was done"? What 19 do you mean by that? 20 21 Α. So by saying "I can see why it's done", they're suddenly 22 looking and saying we've got a possibly hot potato here, somebody who is from a minority background and we need 23 to deal with this properly, so I can see why they're 24 doing it. I don't agree with it or didn't agree with 25

1		it. So that's my seeing how it's done, and then, yes,
2		and then I go on to say:
3		"We need to address that and point out to the police
4		authorities."
5		So we can explain to them, say, look, we know why
6		you're doing it, but, you know, we need to address this.
7	Q.	Okay. And how did you address it?
8	A.	By pointing out to the different authorities that these
9		things were going on, given examples, and just trying to
10		help the officers and the support staff with our
11		support.
12	Q.	Okay. You say a little further on in your statement at
13		paragraph 14 that you don't really think it's got any
14		better. Do you have any insight as to why things
15		haven't improved?
16	A.	That's just my thoughts. I don't think I've been
17		outside for seven years now.
18	Q.	Okay.
19	A.	I have certainly not seen an increase recruiting or
20		retention so, but there again that's just my thoughts.
21	Q.	So I think this is in relation to the disparity and
22		investigation, rather than recruitment and retention.
23	A.	Okay.
24	Q.	I think I may have misread your statement, but paragraph
25		14 follows on from paragraph 13 and in paragraph 13

1 you've addressed the issue that we discussed at some 2 length about the complaints and disciplinary process and 3 then at paragraph 14 you're asked if you feel the issue 4 has improved at all and you say: 5 "I don't really think it got any better to be 6 honest." 7 Maybe that we're at cross-purposes and that you were speaking about another issue, but I certainly read that 8 9 as following on from the discussion in the paragraph 10 above about the complaints process. No, that's -- that's correct. That means, or was not to 11 Α. 12 mean, that I don't think it got any better to be honest 13 in my time in the police. Okay. 14 Q. 15 Not to date. Α. And do you have any insight as to why things didn't 16 Q. 17 improve, in spite of the work that SEMPER were doing and 18 going to the police authority and going to different 19 constabularies to point out the disparities in 20 treatment? 21 Α. I don't know why. You know it's -- It might have got 22 better in some avenues, it might have been highlighted more, but I certainly wasn't aware of things improving, 23 hence the reason why we've still got SEMPER Scotland in 24 25 place at the moment.

1 Q. You say elsewhere in your statement that when you were the Chair of SEMPER it was a full-time position, but 2 3 that may no longer be the case and that you don't think 4 there was another full-time officer after yourself and I 5 wondered if you felt there was a benefit to the Chair of SEMPER having a full-time role? 6 7 Α. I think at the beginning certainly there needed people in place just to make sure that they could attend 8 meetings. You know, we had somebody who worked in the 9 10 office and they were full-time and they were taking on emails, police related, and would then often have to 11 12 contact the Chair to discuss things. So by having 13 somebody full-time, certainly it was a benefit to the 14 organisation and it meant we could then, you know, reach 15 out to more organisations, without having to do it in our own time or leave a shift short of people. 16 Okay. Thank you. Can we look at paragraph 20, please. 17 Q. 18 Here you were asked: 19 "Did I experience racism within the police? 20 "Yes, I'm pretty sure I did. I've got pretty thick 21 skin, so a lot of it was just like water off a duck's 22 back and really didn't affect me. I can't sit here and say that I wasn't discriminated against, you know. Some 23 people thought that I got certain jobs because of my 24 25 colour, so it was never a case for me getting a job and

1		being accepted like most white officers. I got it
2		because I passed all the tests. It would be thought by
3		some that I got it just because I was black."
4		And I wanted to ask you some questions about this.
5		Can you give the Inquiry an example of you getting a job
6		and people thinking that you got the job because of the
7		colour of your skin?
8	Α.	I'll give you a number, but I guess there was a small
9		inquiry team was set up in Dunfermline and I got the job
10		through my investigation skills and then once I got it,
11		it was a very sought off job, there were maybe six or
12		eight in the Inquiry team, and it was a good job
13		compared to doing normal shift work and everybody wanted
14		to get that job and it was, yes, mooted that he only got
15		that because of his colour.
16	Q.	Okay. Is that something that people said to your face
17		or behind your back?
18	Α.	Yes, both.
19	Q.	Both?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	How did that make you feel?
22	Α.	It didn't bother me at all to be honest. I have had a
23		lot worse.
24	Q.	We have heard evidence over the course of yesterday and
25		today from Professor Meer, who's a professor in

sociology at Glasgow University, and in the course of a PowerPoint presentation yesterday, he gave the Inquiry a quotation from an HMICS training and development study in which a respondent to the study or sorry this was a summary of what was said by a number of respondents to the study:

7 "Many white officers tell stories of how black 8 minority ethnic candidates get through recruitment 9 easier and are of a poorer quality and this leads to 10 widespread bullying and people making you feel 11 unwelcome."

12 Does that chime with your own experience? It doesn't, no. And, you know, I can point to a lot of 13 Α. 14 really good officers from the BME background, but, you 15 know, there was talk, as you're more than likely aware of, changing the entrance criteria to help minority 16 17 ethnics get in. That never materialised as far as I'm 18 aware in Scotland, but if it had, then there would have 19 been, you know, some difficulty. However, the fact that 20 that was ever mentioned certainly stuck with a lot of 21 people from the white community, if you like, and then 22 they obviously were thinking that something has happened and it was just one of these rumour control things, but 23 no, I've never been aware of that. 24

25

Q.

Okay. Because I think what Professor Meer was telling

us was that the perception of many white officers was
that black and minority ethnic officers got through
recruitment more easily and were therefore of a poorer
quality. It would seem to me at least that that was
much the same thing as suggesting that a person got the
job only because of the colour of their skin, rather
than their credentials.

8 So I wondered if that perhaps chimed with you, but 9 you say the quotation that I read doesn't chime with 10 you?

No, not particularly. You know, as I say, if they have 11 Α. 12 taken that on the fact that officers from minority were 13 getting disciplined, were getting in trouble, then 14 possibly they may be looking at those statistics or --15 but, no, it doesn't really sort of chime with me. 16 Okay. On the occasion or occasions when you got a job Q. 17 and people suggested to you that it was because of the

colour of your skin, did you report that?

19 A. No.

18

20 Q. Why not?

A. Well, I guess it was my shout whether or not I reported it or not. It didn't bother me. Could it have made things worse? Possibly, and I didn't want to make any waves or anything, so I'm not the sort of person who would run around doing that.

1 Q. When you gave the example of getting the job within the Inquiry team and people suggesting to you that you 2 3 only got the job because of the colour of your skin, I 4 asked how you made that feel and you said it didn't 5 bother you and that you had an awful lot worse. Can you tell us about what experiences you had that were worse? 6 7 Yes, I once had a resignation letter put into my Α. pigeonhole and it was just somebody and that was quite 8 9 horrible to have that. So basically it was just telling 10 me that I should be resigning and then as I say it's -yes, I mean there's a number of incidents, but something 11 12 like that is just I think people may be slightly 13 ignorant where they come outwith something like "you only got it because you're black". 14 15 Q. Let me ask questions about the resignation letter. You 16 said it was a resignation letter in your pigeonhole, 17 someone telling you to resign, was it written in the first person "I, Paul Castledine, hereby tender my 18 19 resignation" or was it a letter from someone to you 20 suggesting that you should resign? 21 So there are letters of resignation or there were back Α. 22 in the nineties amongst all the different drawers of paperwork that you could a form out of and that was one 23 of them. It was taken out and put it into my --24 And had it been completed? 25 Q.

1	Α.	No.
2	Q.	It was a blank, if you like, proforma resignation
3		letter?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	And that was put in your pigeonhole?
6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	But there was no writing on it?
8	Α.	No.
9	Q.	Are there other examples that you might wish to share?
10	Α.	I guess on the whole my experience was good but
11		there's there was stuff that did happen and, yes,
12		there was stuff that did happen.
13	Q.	What sort of stuff?
14	Α.	There was incidents where I would be asking for possibly
15		back-up and it didn't arrive and whether or not I was
16		just taking that as a personal thing but and I guess
17		this was in the early days, more like the early
18		nineties, but, yes, and I took that personally.
19	Q.	Okay. Why did you take that personally?
20	Α.	Just because I would have expected everyone to be
21		working together. I came from a military background
22		where if somebody was in trouble, you wouldn't question
23		anybody asking you for help and the police was a
24		different job.
25	Q.	Did that happen once or more than once?

1	A.	It would it happened a few times for me.
2	Q.	Did you ever query why you hadn't been provided with
3		back-up?
4	A.	No.
5	Q.	And to your mind did it have anything to do with the
6		colour of your skin or was it unrelated?
7	Α.	I don't know. I'm just surmising that's all.
8	Q.	And returning to the resignation letter that you found
9		in your pigeonhole, did you know who sent it or who put
10		it there?
11	A.	I've got quite a good idea, yes.
12	Q.	Were you able to confirm who it was or is it simply the
13		case that you have got a good idea who it was?
14	A.	Well, there was only about six or eight of us on the
15		shift and I could have ruled out quite a few of them.
16	Q.	Sorry. I didn't catch what you said there.
17	A.	I could rule out a few of them.
18	Q.	Okay. And did you in your mind make an association
19		between that letter and the colour of your skin?
20	A.	There was nothing obvious about it but, you know, for me
21		it was quite personal.
22	Q.	Why do you say that?
23	A.	I think it's just because having experienced what I have
24		experienced in the past and getting something like that
25		and feeling the way I did was sort of quite hurtful, so

1		they knew what they were doing and how it would affect
2		me.
3	Q.	Okay. You said there were six or eight I think of you
4		on the shift?
5	A.	Probably about eight or so.
6	Q.	Were you the only person who was black or ethnic
7		minority?
8	A.	I was the only one in the station.
9	Q.	Okay. So everyone else in the shift was white?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	Did anyone else in your shift get one of these letters
12		in their pigeonhole?
13	A.	No.
14	Q.	Can we move on to paragraph 21 of your statement,
15		please. Here you say:
16		"There was that sort of side of it and some
17		resentment as well, but I do believe that the
18		Fife Police, and laterally Police Scotland, did try to
19		do their best to eradicate any racism within the police
20		force. When I mentioned earlier about being complained
21		about and then being investigated, they did think or
22		feel it was really important that somebody from a
23		minority would have to go done down on paper and once it
24		was reported, as opposed to the slap on the wrist, that
25		was a problem. I found myself on the other side of that

1		quite often unfortunately, but looking back that's the
2		way it went. Yes, I do think that there were problems,
3		but that was something we were trying to address."
4		So are you saying here that you yourself were
5		investigated by professional standards in circumstances
6		where you think a white person wouldn't have been
7		investigated?
8	Α.	So when I say "investigated" it's just going that stage
9		to get to the investigation.
10	Q.	Hm-hmm.
11	Α.	So, yes.
12	Q.	Okay. Can you give us an example of that?
13	Α.	Yes. So I was working on a back shift and we got a call
14		to a domestic and this particular person was a serious
15		domestic, he was brought into custody and he was
16		searched and locked up and if towards the end of our
17		shift, rather than us staying on, we would then hand it
18		on to the night shift and this particular this was
19		before CCTV was brought in.
20		Anyway, this individual suggested that he had been
21		struck by the black officer and it wasn't difficult to
22		find out who that person was and the time was given as a
23		quarter to ten and I had left the station well before
24		then. My defence was that I wasn't in the station at
25		the time and that could have been verified. I think it

1		was about a year it was a year later that I
2		eventually got told we are taking no action and that was
3		investigated thoroughly. They even went down south to
4		speak to the person who had moved to get some
5		information, but that was a year of not knowing what was
6		going on and I hadn't done it. I wasn't even on duty at
7		the time, and that's yes, that's one example.
8	Q.	Okay. So that's an allegation of assault?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	Which is a more serious allegation. Were there other
11		perhaps allegations that might fall into the category of
12		misdemeanours, the sort of things where you say there
13		might be a disparity between whether due process would
14		be followed or whether someone might simply get a slap
15		on the wrists and told not to do it again?
16		I assume, and you'll correct me if I'm wrong, that
17		if a person makes an allegation of assault against an
18		officer, whether that complaint is well founded or not,
19		it's the sort of thing that would be investigated rather
20		than the officer simply being given a slap on the wrists
21		and told not to do it again.
22	Α.	If it could be proven that there was no substance at
23		all, then it's a complete waste of time, for instance
24		that officer wasn't on duty then, so he didn't do it.
25		Nobody else was investigated. So that particular one it

25

1 could have been got rid of easily. 2 But, sorry, what was the question again? 3 Q. I just wondered if there were other examples that you 4 could give us of cases where you were investigated where 5 your belief is that a white person wouldn't have been investigated had they found themselves in the same 6 7 situation as you? Yes, so there's quite a number of -- I think often it's 8 Α. 9 easy to pick out somebody who stands out and certainly 10 minority ethnic we do stand out. I do understand that they have to investigate and another example is moving 11 12 children on who were drinking near to come houses and 13 causing a disturbance. I turned up in a police car, I 14 spoke to them. I'm quite sort of level headed and I'm 15 sensible enough to realise that once you tell them to go 16 away, they are going to go somewhere else so my advice 17 was, look, if you are going to do it, just keep away 18 from the houses and don't disturb the people. 19 I was in the car, single crewed, I went back to the 20 station. I was then having my meal. I was doing 21 overtime from a back shift from ten o'clock until 3 and 22 then I got called in by the inspector and told that there had been a complaint that I had turned up in a 23 van, I had thrown somebody in the back of a van, I had 24

assaulted them and they had almost dislocated their

1		shoulder. Firstly, I was in a car, I was on my own and
2		the person had said that it was myself that had done it.
3	Q.	Okay. And again, that's quite a serious allegation that
4		was made against you?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	And perhaps the sort of allegation that the police would
7		require to investigate and the fact that it was an
8		unfounded allegation might only become apparent after
9		some form of investigation had taken place. Would that
10		be fair or do you feel this should have been dismissed
11		from the get-go?
12	A.	I guess it just sort of depends, you know, but, yes,
13		I mean it could have quite easily been pointed out,
14		well, that person wasn't in the van, he was driving a
15		car and he was having his peace break at the time so,
16		you know.
17	Q.	Okay. So you have given two examples of cases where you
18		feel you were treated differently from how a white
19		person might have been treated in the same situation.
20		On either occasion, did you report that you thought that
21		this was an example of discrimination, that you were
22		being discriminated against?
23	A.	No.
24	Q.	Why not?
25	Α.	As I said before, you know, you really have to sort of

1 go along with the flow and I didn't fancy any sort of 2 consequences, but I'm saying my experience, 98 per cent 3 of it has been really good with the police, so there's 4 just this small per cent which, you know, you're asking 5 me about and fair enough. I'm talking about three calls 6 out of about 3 million calls, so generally it's been a 7 really good experience.

8 Q. Okay. Let's look at paragraph 23, please:

9 "I have been asked if senior officers dealt 10 appropriately with any racist behaviour or culture that they were aware of. Yes, I think there were two sides 11 12 of it. I think even in my probation, about 1995, where 13 I knew there was some sort of plot afoot the get me out 14 of the force, it was the then Assistant Chief Constable, 15 Mr Matheson, he called me into the office and he said, look, I know what's been going on here and he didn't 16 17 actually spell it out, but he knew that I had been 18 picked on and it was just due to race just by a few 19 officers. In your probation you do two years and you 20 become confirmed as an officer. I was confirmed six 21 weeks earlier than everybody else just to make sure I 22 got through and that was from him realising and being informed that there were people trying to get me out of 23 the job and it wasn't for the right reasons." 24

25

Tell us more about this plot to get you out of the

1 police?

So the resignation letter was part of that and there 2 Α. 3 were certain jobs that would get an officer into or 4 could get an officer into trouble if it was tricky. We 5 had timelines to get reports done. I -- having left school at 16 and gained very few qualifications, 6 7 I wasn't the best on paper, so I tended to be a bit behind with the paperwork, getting paperwork in and that 8 9 was before the computers came out and if you had a number of reports to do, you would get time to do the 10 reports or you should get time to do the reports. 11

12 Certainly I found, even when I flagged it up, that I 13 had loads of reports and I wasn't doing very well with 14 getting reports in. I would be told, no, you need to go 15 out, you need to go out and it just piled up to the 16 point where I think they got enough evidence to say 17 "this person can't cope" and I do feel that was part of 18 that.

19 Q. Okay. And why did you think that people wanted to get20 you out of the police?

A. I don't really know hundred per cent. I can't put my -if I said they were being racist, then, you know, it's a
possibility, but, you know, there's an element of racism
in every sort of organisation anyway, but it was maybe a
coincidence that was the colour I am and that I was

1 getting picked on at that time.

- Q. Okay. Certainly you suggest in this paragraph that
 Mr Matheson knew about it and knew that it was to do
 with race?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. What did Mr Matheson do about it?
- 7 He asked me into his office and, at that time, I thought Α. that was my getting told that I was being removed from 8 9 the force, so obviously I was quite anxious and he said 10 "In you come, Paul, shut the door", which I did, and then he came out with this statement "I know what's been 11 12 going on". And he then pulled out the confirmation form 13 and just wrote it out, confirmed it straight in front of me and then said you're now confirmed. 14
- Q. What did he do with respect to the behaviour of those
 who were trying to get you out of the force, the people
 who you describe as picking on you?
- 18 A. I don't know directly. I mean these are people who were
 19 more senior to me, not just in years but in -- or
 20 service, but also in rank as well. There were a few
 21 people moved.
- 22 Q. Were there subject to disciplinary proceedings?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Did you report them?

25 A. No.

1 Q. Did you make a complaint about this at all?

- 2 A. No.
- Q. And to your knowledge, did Mr Matheson do anything aboutit to address their behaviour?
- 5 A. I don't know.

I mentioned a little while ago that we have heard 6 Q. 7 evidence this week from Professor Meer and in the course of his PowerPoint presentation he took us to the results 8 9 of a survey that he himself had carried out where people 10 from a black or ethnic minority background were asked whether they had experienced discrimination in Scotland 11 12 in the last two years. And in the context of discussing 13 the results of that survey, Professor Meer said to us:

14 "The other thing that's noticeable in this dataset 15 is that about 60 per cent of the people who reported it said they had done nothing about it. So if it happened 16 17 in a workplace they didn't report it to a line manager, 18 if it happened in an educational setting they didn't 19 report it to a tutor, if it happened in the street they 20 didn't report it to the police, which tends to suggest 21 that there's normalisation of relatively low levels of 22 reporting of experiences or perceptions of racial discrimination in Scotland which is guite striking." 23 24 And he was asked by senior counsel to the Inquiry: "When you say 'normalisation' what do you mean?" 25

1		He said:
2		"I mean managing it, coping with it."
3		He was asked:
4		"Accepting it?"
5		And he replied:
6		"Navigating it."
7		He was asked:
8		"Doing nothing about it?"
9		And he replied:
10		"Making sure it doesn't intrude on their life in a
11		way in which it becomes everything. I don't think
12		people are laying down and not speaking up about these
13		things, but I think that they're also choosing their
14		battles, if I'm honest."
15		Is this the sort of thing that you have been
16		describing when you said in your evidence earlier that
17		it's your shout whether or not to report what you
18		perceive to be an instance of racism, racial
19		discrimination, that sometimes it didn't bother you,
20		could make things worse if you reported it, that you
21		didn't want to you didn't want to make waves? Is
22		that much the same thing as saying that you were
23		choosing your battles?
24	A.	I think, you know, looking back when you are doing a job
25		that you love, you have a family to support, you'll do

1

2

anything not to ruin that, so it is easier certainly to do nothing about it.

Q. Okay. We've already discussed Lady Angiolini's review of complaints handling in 2020 and in her report she recorded that:

"Some officers and staff experienced discriminatory 6 7 conduct, attitudes and behaviours and micro-aggressions, both internally and externally, in the course of their 8 9 duties. We heard that many of these incidents go unreported, even though some of these behaviours 10 constitute misconduct and that there was a reluctance in 11 12 those black, Asian and minority ethnic officers to 13 report for a fear of being characterised as playing the 14 race card."

15 Is that something that you can relate to? I have to say that it's certainly my experience that it 16 Α. 17 wouldn't necessarily be the victim or the alleged victim 18 who would make the complaint. It was often the colleagues would say that's out of order and it would be 19 20 reported. So, you know, I guess if people were to make 21 a comment, it would need to be out of earshot of other 22 people, because often, certainly police officers who maybe wanted to go places, it sometimes looks better if 23 they're then sticking up for -- and rightly so -- for 24 officers who are being bullied so it's something -- as 25

1		you say there, I don't think it's the individual
2		necessarily always reports. It sometimes encouragement
3		from others to make to report it, certainly in this
4		day and age anyway.
5	Q.	Okay. Can we look at paragraph 26, please. Before we
6		do that, you've described the colleagues of a person who
7		was perhaps on the receiving end of racism or race
8		discrimination being encouraged by colleagues to report
9		the matter or perhaps their colleagues even reporting
10		the matter on their behalf?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	Did you yourself ever receive that encouragement from
13		colleagues or did they ever report an instance of racism
14		in which you were the victim, you made no complaint but
15		they did on your behalf?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	Can you tell us about that, perhaps provide an example?
18	Α.	As I say it's to pin down an actual example would be
19		I think difficult, because often when I was then
20		approached and asked about the situation it was almost
21		like myself reporting it and then putting my head above
22		the parapet and saying, you know, I want to make
23		something about this, you know, so I don't really have
24		examples I think I could give you off the top of my head
25		exactly. But certainly there were people who would take

1 umbrage to something that happened certainly in -outwith the police station. That would be a bigger 2 3 issue where they did certainly make sure that it was 4 reported that something had happened. 5 Within the police station, they would maybe mention it and I would be pulled in and spoken to about it, and 6 7 asked if I wanted to make anything off it, which was almost the same as me reporting it myself so it was 8 difficult. 9 10 Q. Okay. Let's look at paragraphs 26 and 27: "I have been asked how I would describe the culture 11 12 within Fife Police around 2015 and if the 'phrase 13 canteen' culture has any meaning for me. It certainly 14 changed a lot by 2015. I used to shy away from any of 15 that stuff. I do like a laugh and a joke, but when it's at the expense of somebody else, then it's not really 16 17 funny. People have got different tolerances to these 18 sorts of things. The canteen was a place where basically you were able to relax and you'd be able to 19 20 let off steam a little bit and if comments were made, we 21 were actively encouraged to report it. There was lot of 22 reporting going on.

"If somebody was making comments and it offended
anybody, they were chancing their luck, because there
was always a chance, certainly back then, that somebody

1 would report you and that would be the end of it. There might have been a small culture of it, but I don't 2 3 really think that it was wise for anybody to be involved 4 in that. I don't think it really happened and if it did 5 happen, then there was people who were looking to further their careers who would happily go and tell one 6 7 of the senior officers 'This is what I heard'." So you say that the canteen was a place to relax and 8 9 let off steam and that if anybody said anything 10 offensive, they were chancing their luck. When you refer to "offensive comments" would they include things 11 12 like racist comments, racist jokes, tropes, things of 13 that sort? Yes, racist, sexist, whatever, yes. I mean it was --14 Α. 15 yes. 16 And was that type of language in the canteen was it Q. tolerated, was it condemned? 17 18 Α. Condemned towards the end of my time. Right at the 19 beginning, you know, when I -- in the 80s, when I was in 20 His Majesty's Royal Marines and then when I first joined 21 the police it was -- well, everyone smoked, people, you 22 know, used interesting language and that sort of faded out towards the end of my time. Certainly the language 23 wasn't -- they didn't smoke. The language wasn't used 24 and certainly the canteen culture was out the window as 25

1 far as I'm aware. Was out the window? 2 Q. 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. You say the offensive comments would be condemned. You 5 describe a culture of reporting. Beyond reporting, how 6 was the language condemned? Would people call it out? 7 Α. Oh, yes, yes. Q. You said that there was encouragement to report and a 8 9 lot of reporting going on and that people who were 10 looking to further their careers would there a senior officer "This is what I heard." 11 12 Are you suggesting that people who reported what 13 they heard in the canteen did so in order to further 14 their careers? 15 I think it made them think they were gaining favour for Α. doing these things and they were certainly in my 16 17 experience ambitious to climb the ladder and certainly that sort of thing, being made out to be not tolerant of 18 any bad jokes that offended people, that certainly was a 19 20 tick in the box for them. 21 Q. Are you suggesting that their motive was self-interest, 22 if you like, rather than because they were offended by what they heard and they wanted to see this offensive 23 24 behaviour addressed by senior management? A bit of both, but often it was just to further their 25 Α.

1 careers.

2 Okay. You say in paragraph 27: Q. 3 "There might have been a small culture of it. 4 I don't think it really happened." 5 And you also said in your evidence that the canteen culture was out of the window as far as you were 6 7 concerned. What do you understand to mean by "canteen culture"? 8 When I first joined, there was a culture of just if you 9 Α. 10 were newest recruit, for instance, you would come in and you would make the tea, you would make the coffee, and 11 12 you would wash the cars, and it was almost like an 13 induction, but also you would then get all the bad jobs, 14 and you would often get bullied. That's certainly out 15 the window. There's no such thing and it doesn't happen anymore, because people are more likely to react to it 16 17 and say, well, you're picking on me, et cetera, whereas 18 that didn't happen before. 19 Calling people names for instance was a thing that 20 happened back then, nicknames, names, and that doesn't 21 happen. 22 Q. Okay. Bear with me just a moment, please. Sir, I'm mindful that it's now 3 o'clock. 23 LORD BRACADALE: We'll take a 15-minute break. 24 (3.01 pm) 25

1 (A short break) 2 (3.25 pm) 3 LORD BRACADALE: You'll see that the assessors are not 4 present. Because of expected traffic congestion on the 5 way to have the airport, they have left early to catch their flight to London, but they will be able to catch 6 7 up by watching a video recording, so please don't take any offence, Mr Castledine, that they're not here. 8 9 Ms Thomson. 10 MS THOMSON: Thank you. Mr Castledine, can we now look at paragraph 28 of 11 12 your statement, please. In this statement, in this 13 paragraph, you were asked about the former Chief 14 Constable's statement on institutional racism: 15 "I have been asked about the statement made by the then Chief Constable, Sir Iain Livingstone, who talked 16 17 about institutional racism within Police Scotland. I thought it was a stupid comment to make, to be honest 18 with you. I don't know whether he made it under duress 19 20 or whether he thought it was a good idea or it would get 21 him out of some sort of trouble. I really don't think 22 that's something that anybody should have said and I don't think it's a true fact. It's a massive 23 statement to make, and I think he was just on his way 24 out. He left shortly after that and why he made it, 25

I 've got no idea. It was maybe his opinion and he was told to say it. That's only my thoughts. We need to build things and I think Police Scotland have built. Certainly over the years it's got better, but institutional, I don't know. I don't agree with that particularly."

7 Now, Mr Castledine, you have given evidence today before the Inquiry of your lived experience as an 8 9 officer within Fife Constabulary and more recently 10 Police Scotland and you have told the Inquiry that even as a probationer there was a plot to oust you from the 11 12 service, that a resignation letter was put in your 13 pigeonhole, nobody else received a copy of that proforma resignation form. Your boss dealt with the issue 14 15 insofar as he signed you off six weeks prematurely, but to your knowledge the actual behaviour wasn't addressed. 16

17 You have given evidence that on a number of 18 occasions, and you gave us two examples, you have been subject to the complaints or disciplinary process in 19 20 circumstances where you feel a white officer wouldn't 21 have been and on one occasion the matter wasn't resolved 22 for a year. And you have also given evidence before the Inquiry that on a number of occasions you found 23 yourself in a single patrol vehicle without back-up and 24 you contrasted that experience with your experience in 25

1 the Marines. In fact, I understand that the motto of the Marines is "no man left behind" and you expressed 2 surprise at being treated that way as a police officer. 3 4 So that is your lived experience condensed into an 5 hour or so of evidence this afternoon. Members of the public who have been following your 6 7 evidence and have heard your lived experience might find it difficult to understand why you take the position 8 that you do in relation to the Chief Constable's 9 10 statement on institutional racism. Can you help me to understand, in light of your lived experience, why you 11 12 have taken the position that you have taken paragraph in 13 28? Certainly, I think from the beginning, in the nineties 14 Α. 15 when I joined, things weren't great for females, for BME 16 officers, and now certainly when that statement was 17 made, in my opinion, I think that was maybe a statement that could have been made in the nineties and certainly 18 shouldn't have been made in this 2000 and whatever it 19 was, 2020 whatever. 20 21 Okay. So do you feel that the statement that was made Q. 22 about a year ago, last spring, as I remember well, could perhaps have been made back in the nineties? 23 I think it was possibly or probably true back then. 24 Α.

25

I don't have any evidence to say it was, but certainly

1		I would say now that in my opinion I don't think there's
2		serious issues within the police and certainly
3		Police Scotland.
4	Q.	Okay. So do you feel that this statement perhaps would
5		have had more validity 30 years ago than it does now?
6	Α.	Yes, but I don't believe anybody would have said that.
7	Q.	Okay. No one would have said it back then?
8	A.	I don't think so, no.
9	Q.	Okay.
10		Mr Castledine, the issues that we have been
11		discussing today, your lived experience of race
12		discrimination with the police, are these issues that
13		you have ever spoken to your family about?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	To your friends about?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	Are there issues that you never easy or difficult to
18		discuss?
19	Α.	Very difficult.
20	Q.	Have you found giving evidence an easy process?
21	Α.	No.
22	Q.	I am going to move on to something else. I want to ask
23		you questions about Alan Paton. Let's start by your
24		statement again and then I will ask you some follow-up
25		questions. Can we look at paragraph 16, please:

1 "I have been asked how long I have known PC Paton for and how we met. I would say from 2002, 2003 at 2 3 a guess. Around that time, I moved to Glenrothes and we 4 were on the same shift just about straightaway and we 5 worked together for a good few years in Glenrothes. I then went off to do other stuff, he went off to do other 6 7 stuff, we worked together again later on. I think he diversified, CID or something like that. I think we 8 9 were about four or five on and off working together." 10 If we can scroll down now to paragraph 17 now, 11 please: 12 "I have been asked what I would want to tell 13 the Inquiry about PC Paton. He is a very likable chap 14 and we worked together as a team. Alan is the same sort 15 of stature as myself, over 6 feet, and we made quite a team. We would find certainly on a night shift that we 16 17 were partnered off together. We'd smile at each other and say, right, okay, that's a van team, we're the A 18 19 team, and we look forward to going out and working 20 together. Alan and I worked together as a really good 21 team. He was very knowledgeable with the police methods 22 and all the law and stuff like that, I was more streetwise. He dealt with everybody fairly and there 23 24 was never any sort of suggestion that he was discriminating against anybody. Everybody was treated 25

1 exactly the same. We became really good friends. I haven't seen him recently. I can't really remember the 2 3 last time I saw him. Maybe it was about six or seven 4 years ago or may be slightly less. I haven't got a bad 5 word to say about him to be honest." So I want to ask you some questions based on these 6 7 paragraphs. You explain that you worked together on and off for 8 9 a period of four or five years and that you were on the same shift at Glenrothes just about straightaway and 10 that was back in 2002, 2003? 11 12 Α. Yes. You say that you were often partnered together. You 13 Q. 14 each then went off and did other things, but you worked 15 again later on. Do you recall when it was that you worked together later on? 16 When I went to SEMPER Scotland for the year, I then came 17 Α. back and was put straight back into Glenrothes, so years 18 wise I don't know, but we certainly were -- I think he 19 20 was on a different shift. Our shifts would overlap, so 21 either I would work with him on overtime or he would work with me on overtime. 22 Q. Okay. So in any event, you had four or five years on 23 and off working together in total. When did you last 24 work together do you recall? 25

1	A.	I left in 2017, so 2012, '13, something like that,
2		something like that. I really don't remember hundred
3		per cent.
4	Q.	It may not be important. I'm just trying to get a
5		picture of how often you worked together and when you
6		last worked together.
7		You say that you were often partnered together and
8		that would be as response officers; is that right?
9	A.	That's correct, yes.
10	Q.	In Fife and you say in paragraph 18 that you made a
11		really good team. What made you a really good team?
12	Α.	I think it was just the combination of he was a very
13		knowledgeable, as I say, and I think just the
14		combination just worked together. If you got two people
15		who both think they know everything about the law, then
16		you have a problem, whereas I would you know, I would
17		be the one that would sort of take the lead from him and
18		I would be able to do I was more streetwise I guess
19		and so, you know, he would tell me what I could and
20		couldn't do I guess, but we just gelled well together
21		and there were certain people you did like to work with
22		or you could and some people you couldn't.
23	Q.	Okay. And what sort of calls were you sent to go to
24		together as response officers?
25	Α.	So response and certainly in the van it was normally

1 if the van was called up, it was something reasonably 2 serious. The van's job used to be as back-up, so if a 3 car was sent to a call, we would then follow up and say 4 we're just as back-up in case things got a bit --5 because if there's, for instance, somebody requiring transport back to the police station, it was always 6 7 safer to be in a van than it was a car, so we would 8 provide that transport. So more serious allegations of crime? 9 Q. 10 Α. Yes, and also some of the more violent crime would be easier for us to deal with. 11 12 Q. Okay. Did you ever have to go to knife calls together? Did you say "knife calls"? 13 Α. By which I mean a report say from a member of the public 14 Q. 15 that someone is in possession of a knife, potentially in 16 a public place, did you ever have to go to that sort of 17 call? 18 A. Yes, I can't remember whether Alan was with me. I think 19 he was on the shift at the time, but, yes, there was 20 knife calls and I attended one. He was on the same 21 shift, but I can't remember him actually being at that 22 particular call, but that sort of call, yes, yes. Q. We have certainly heard evidence from other officers 23 24 from Fife that such calls were not unusual and you 25 perhaps got one on every shift in fact?

1	A.	Maybe more recently. Certainly in my time, a knife
2		incident would maybe occur once a week or something like
3		that, you know, but I wouldn't say every day. I wouldn't
4		say every day.
5	Q.	Do you remember ever going to a knife call with
6		Mr Paton?
7	Α.	I do remember the incident. I wasn't on with him, but
8		it was yes, there was somebody was going to was
9		sat at the top of the stairs basically in a chair and he
10		held a knife to his neck and he said "if you come any
11		closer, I'm going to" so I think Alan had arrived and
12		I we had to go and deal with it before he got there,
13		but I'm pretty sure he was there for that.
14	Q.	Sorry. Was that a call that you went to together?
15	A.	No, not together, no. I can't really recall a knife
16		incident off the top of my head.
17	Q.	So I'm interested in the example that you have just
18		given. That was a call that you went to?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	Did you go alone or with another officer?
21	A.	I went with another officer who I remember was actually
22		on the same shift as Alan, so that's why I know and
23		we we were in a car, Alan was I think on the van with
24		somebody else, and they were away dealing with something
25		else so we had to deal with it initially, then he turned

1		up.
2	Q.	So he turned up?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	Tell me how that situation played out? Were you and
5		your colleague first to arrive and there was a man top
6		of a night of stairs with a knife to his neck.
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	Okay. What did you do?
9	A.	We just crept up the stairs and keep talking to him and
10		eventually managed to disarm him and then he needed help
11		and so he was initially taken back to the police station
12		and then we got the medical doctor out to come and
13		assess him, but nobody was hurt.
14	Q.	You said you crept up the stairs and keep talking to
15		him.
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	How did you establish a dialogue with him?
18	Α.	You are normally trying to find something a little bit
19		in common. So, for instance, if you see a football flag
20		or scarf or something and you realise that's their team,
21		you will maybe make some mention of a team or if there
22		is a picture of maybe a family member or something, you
23		will just try and get some sort of bond with them or if
24		something happened that day in the news or whatever, you
25		just sort of just keep chatting while you're creeping up

1 and often they don't realise you're getting closer and 2 it's just a case of -- you know, if you don't do 3 anything, then it's very difficult to know what's going 4 to happen at the end of it. 5 And do you remember on this particular occasion how you Q. 6 established that bond, was it a football flag, was it a 7 family photograph? I think that particular one there had been an Old Firm 8 Α. 9 game and I was aware that his team hadn't won and it was 10 just trying to have a bit of banter, a bit of rapport 11 with him, you know, because he was upset and this had 12 just tipped him over the edge I think. 13 So this was about establishing you called it a bond and Q. 14 you also used the word "rapport", you kept him talking, 15 and you said you crept up the stairs. Why did you creep 16 you want stairs? When I say "crept", it was just sort of like one step at 17 Α. 18 a time, so it didn't look like -- if you run at them --19 anybody then it's going to -- you could end up them 20 doing something quite rapidly, so it was just trying to 21 get as close as you can so you can actually get hands 22 on. Can you describe the way that you were talking to this 23 Q. 24 man? What sort of tone of voice you were using? It has to be pretty calm. I'm not easily flustered so, 25 Α.

1 you know, it was just getting that just nice easy tone, 2 going with them and just trying to find out exactly 3 what's going on and try and get more and more in. The 4 more you talk, the closer you get and then eventually 5 we're able to get the knife off him. What do you mean when you say try to find out exactly 6 Q. 7 what's going on? There's always a reason for somebody doing that, 8 Α. 9 obviously, and, you know, there's always a -- they're 10 always or tend to think of the worst sort of case scenario, whereas, you know, you can always water it 11 12 down a little bit, you know. For instance, it's only a 13 game of football, don't be silly sort of thing or whatever has, you know, happened and try to reassure 14 15 them that things can be sorted out. 16 And you said you managed to disarm this man. How did you Q. achieve that? 17 18 Just we got to the stop of the stairs and said, "look, Α. 19 we're here now" and I said "we need to get the knife off 20 you" and then it was a case of just grabbing hold of his 21 arm where the knife was and then just disarmed him. 22 Okay. And then you said that you did get him help. Was Q. he taken back to the police station or did you call 23 24 an ambulance? How did the help fit into the picture? 25 Α. Things changed in the police where as before we would

1 tend to not give them a chance and arrest them for a 2 breach of the peace, which wasn't a good idea for 3 anybody, didn't help anybody, didn't help them, so we 4 would take them back and detain them and then speak to 5 the duty sergeant and explain what's happened. And the first thing they would need is some medical attention 6 7 and then the medical side can decide whether or not this person needs medical help. 8

9 So he was taken back to the police station and 10 I don't know what happened right at the end, but I think 11 it came out where you cannot lock somebody up for that 12 because it's obviously ridiculous.

- Q. Okay. You said this man needed medical attention, wasthat obvious to you?
- A. His mental health wasn't right no, so whether it had
 been a drink or drugs I'm not sure or -- but certainly
 he needed some help, yes.
- 18 Q. And what were the red flags that you were picking up on 19 that were telling you that there was an issue with his 20 mental health?

A. Well, obviously the fact that he had this knife to his
neck and then his speech was quite slurred. He became
aggressive and then would then stop being aggressive and
would calm down and so he was just fluctuating his
demeanour. That gave us a bit of time to sort of

1		approach him and then, so it was obviously something was
2		wrong.
3	Q.	Okay. Now you mentioned you got this first, but that
4		Alan Paton also attended this call. Do you remember at
5		what point he arrived?
6	Α.	Just to take the person away so
7	Q.	Okay.
8	Α.	we got him down the bottom of the stairs and
9		everything was actually quite calm after that. It would
10		seem just sort of as if, you know, and then he was
11		placed in the back of the van and taken back.
12	Q.	Okay. So Mr Paton arrived at the point that you had
13		disarmed this man and had you already got him down the
14		stairs?
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	Okay. So very much at the tail-end of the incident, so
17		what part did Alan Paton play in this incident? What
18		involvement did he have after he arrived?
19	Α.	Just taken him back to the station and depending on
20		whether we had to get statements, I don't think we did,
21		I think we went straight back and we dealt with them, so
22		the van would drop the person off in the backyard. Once
23		the reporting officer turned up, we would then get out
24		of the car and escort them into the and then the van
25		would go off and do

1	Q.	So did this man was he taken back to the station in
2		Mr Paton's car or in your van?
3	A.	So I think I can't remember who was in the van,
4		whether Alan was in the van or not, sometime ago, but
5		I'm pretty sure Alan was in the van with somebody else
6		and I was in the car. I'm not hundred per cent sure
7		but, yes.
8	Q.	And where was the man who had had the knife at his neck,
9		was he in the car or in the van?
10	A.	He was taken back so the van is used for transport,
11		so I can't remember, I'm pretty sure Alan was going to
12		be the vanman with somebody else and he had arrived for
13		the transport and then took the person back and then we
14		arrived back in the backyard with our car and then dealt
15		with the custody.
16	Q.	Okay. Do you remember who got this man into the van?
17	A.	He was really, really compliant and so he basically got
18		himself. We just had one arm each just to make sure he
19		didn't, you know, and we got him and sat him down.
20	Q.	You say "one arm each" was that yourself and your
21		colleague or yourself and Alan Paton?
22	A.	Myself and my colleague.
23	Q.	Okay. So did Mr Paton play any part in this beyond
24		maybe driving or being a passenger in the van?
25	A.	Just driving, just the transport.

1	Q.	Okay. Was the man white or
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	Okay. Did you ever go to a call with Mr Paton where the
4		subject was black?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	Tell us about that.
7	A.	There had been some shoplifting in an area called
8		Newcastle of Glenrothes and it was a shop owned by some
9		Asian an Asian family and we arrived and, you know,
10		it was just basically dealing with the shoplifting and
11		we had realised that they were getting hammered by
12		people going in all the time and this has come to the
13		end of their tether and they were reporting eventually
14		and we couldn't believe they had put up with so much,
15		but they had been.
16	Q.	Okay. So the person who had made the complaint on that
17		occasion was Asian?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	The people who were doing the shoplifting, what was
20		their race or ethnicity?
21	A.	They were white, yes, white Scottish, yes.
22	Q.	All right. I'm wondering if you have ever been called
23		out to an incident where the subject, if you like, the
24		person who's potentially committed a crime, rather than
25		the complainer, was black or ethnic minority?

1 A. I don't recall it, no.

2 Okay. You said that you and Mr Paton would often go out Q. 3 in the van. Because you had the van, you were typically 4 sent to the most serious calls. 5 Α. Yes. 6 Can you help us to understand what you mean by the more Q. 7 sort of serious calls, would that include, for example, assaults, domestics, things of that type? 8 Yes. 9 Α. 10 Q. Okay. Anything where there could possibly be a custody 11 Α. 12 somebody required to be taken back to the police station 13 and it was a treble nine or a grade one call, the van 14 would arrive and it would save other people getting 15 involved in the incident if there didn't need to be. And that's because the preference would be to take a 16 Q. 17 custody in the van, rather than the back of a police 18 car? 19 It's safer for in the custody and also the police Α. 20 officers as well. 21 Q. Okay. So you were called to the grade one calls. 22 Α. Yes. The more serious incidents. Did you go to many violent 23 Q. 24 incidents together? 25 Α. Yes.

1	Q.	Okay. Going to these incidents together, did you ever
2		know Mr Paton to be concerned that he was going to an
3		incident that was related to terrorism?
4	A.	No.
5	Q.	No. Okay. Did you ever go to a call with Mr Paton
6		where the subject was armed with a knife or potentially
7		armed with a knife where that was a known risk?
8	A.	I don't recall. I don't, no.
9	Q.	Did you ever go to a call with Mr Paton where the
10		subject appeared to be in a mental health crisis?
11	A.	That was quite common, yes.
12	Q.	Certainly Mr Paton said that he came across such cases
13		every other day of his working life?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	Can you bring to mind a particular occasion when you and
16		he attended a call together and the subject of the call
17		was in a mental health crisis?
18	A.	I don't think I could really sort of pinpoint, unless
19		something really major happened. If it's an everyday
20		call which tended to be, I don't recall anything, an
21		individual case, no.
22	Q.	Okay. Do you recall ever seeing Mr Paton use his baton?
23	A.	No.
24	Q.	Using his spray?
25	A.	No.

25

1 Q. Did you ever see him use the sort of techniques that you 2 were describing a few moments ago when you talked about 3 the man at the top of the stairs with the knife to his 4 throat? 5 Α. Yes. We've heard some evidence in course of this Inquiry of 6 Q. 7 what might be called "deescalation skills", calm voice, talking softly, establishing a rapport and so on; did 8 you ever see Mr Paton use that skill set? 9 10 Α. Yes, I mean he's quietly spoken and he's got the patter to speak to people, he's got a way, you know, so and he 11 12 didn't really need to raise his voice at all. I'm 13 generally loud, but he was certainly quite sort of calm 14 and collective and he knew, you know, what the procedure 15 was and he was capable of talking to people. Okay. You say he didn't need to raise his voice, did 16 Q. 17 you ever hear him raise his voice? 18 No, I don't recall him at all. He is very softly spoken Α. 19 so, no, didn't really need to, but I think because of 20 his size as well and his appearance, certainly people 21 would think twice about, you know, but sometimes a calm 22 voice and a bit of direction is better than shouting at somebody. 23 So you didn't hear him ever raise his voice. Did you 24 Q.

ever hear him shout strong verbal commands to a subject,

1 "Get down on the ground" in a loud voice, anything of 2 that sort, no? 3 Α. No. 4 Did you ever hear him swear at a subject? Q. 5 Α. No. Okay. Did you ever attend a call with Mr Paton where 6 Q. 7 you elected to make observations and feedback to control rather than going straight into the subject? 8 I mean that was the idea of a lot of the calls. You 9 Α. 10 couldn't make a -- you know, needed guidance certainly from the office so they make the judgment of what we're 11 12 to do and so I would have, but I don't as a particular 13 incidence I couldn't tell you about. Q. What about going to a rendezvous point? Do you recall 14 15 ever being on a call with Mr Paton where you perhaps 16 went to a rendezvous point, stood back, you mustered and 17 perhaps waited for specialist resources to arrive? Is 18 that something you ever did? 19 Yes, we used to do it often if there was a major Α. 20 incident, a lot of people fighting, if you think about 21 maybe just ten of us on a shift and you go to an 22 incident where there's 20, 30 people fueled with drink, et cetera, and they're fighting, then we would then we 23 would get held outwith until all the units were there 24 25 and then we would then go in to make sure that people

1		weren't left alone, so there wasn't just one unit went
2		in and then, you know, so we would stay on the
3		periphery, yes.
4	Q.	Do you know that Mr Paton has a sister, Karen Swan?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	Okay. She has provided a statement to the Inquiry and
7		in that statement she says that when Mr Paton was a
8		teenager he wanted to join the BNP. Do you know
9		anything about that?
10	Α.	No.
11	Q.	She also says that he used to make racist remarks to the
12		family who lived around the corner when they were
13		growing up. Do you know anything about that?
14	Α.	No.
15	Q.	And she also says that Mr Paton has used racist
16		language. Do you know anything about that?
17	A.	No.
18	Q.	Okay, bear with me a moment, please. Thank you,
19		Mr Castledine. I have no more questions.
20	LOR	D BRACADALE: Are there any Rule 9 applications.
21		Ms Mitchell.
22		Mr Castledine, I wonder if you withdraw to the
23		witness room while I hear a submission.
24		
25		

1

Submission by MS MITCHELL

2 My Lord, one brief issue arising from what my 3 learned friend elicited in conversation with the 4 witness, the Inquiry might recall that earlier in 5 evidence there were questions asked about Mr Paton and Mr Walker being paired up together before being put on 6 7 the van and there were questions asked about that, including their stature, the fact that they were both 8 9 tall and their size. This witness said that "Alan is 10 the same sort of stature as myself, over 6 foot, and we 11 made quite a good van team". He then went on to explain 12 that they were called to more serious events and the van 13 was safer to transport people back in. And the only 14 thing I would like to ask this witness is, what is the 15 issue about their stature and their height in relation 16 to them being the "people in the van" team? Why does he 17 draw that out as a reason for being good to be paired up together in the van team? There's nothing else. 18 LORD BRACADALE: I'll allow it. Bring the witness back, 19 20 please. 21 (Witness returns) LORD BRACADALE: Mr Castledine, Ms Mitchell KC who 22 23 represents the families of Sheku Bayoh has a matter for 24 you.

25

1 Cross-examination by MS MITCHELL KC 2 MS MITCHELL: Just one issue that I want to ask you about 3 and it's about the van that you've made some comments 4 about in your evidence. You explain to us that the van 5 was called for more serious events and that it was a 6 safer mode of transport to transport people back to the 7 station --8 Α. Yes. -- if you had arrested them. What you said in your 9 Q. 10 evidence and what you said in your statement was that "Alan is the same sort of stature as myself, over 11 12 6 foot, and we made quite a good van team." 13 What I would like to explore with you is why is it 14 that you're referencing your hindsight and your stature 15 in relation to the van, what is it that you've made that connection? 16 Certainly when I first joined the job, the van crew was 17 Α. 18 given to the two biggest people on the shift. 19 And why was that? Q. Because we were to deal with major incidents so if there 20 Α. 21 had been a fight in a pub, the van crew would have to go 22 and deal with the fight in the pub, and I guess it was more of a deterrent -- things have changed, moved on 23 since then -- and I was of the old school opinion that 24 if they had a big van crew and they turned up at an 25

incident and they stepped out of the van, people are going to think twice about doing anything so it was more of a deterrent than anything else and generally we were more able to deal with the incident just through sort of size.

6 Q. Okay and --

7 A. Stature.

8 Q. -- that might be obvious why that is but can you explain 9 to us why if there might be an incident which is of a 10 serious nature your stature would assist you in that 11 regard, your size and your shape, other than a 12 deterrent?

A. Yes, I think really we were able to, as I say, be a
deterrent and tended to be stronger than the smaller
people in the shift and they had their strengths and
weaknesses and I think the strength of the van crew was
just having the size and the physical ability.
Q. And you say that that was you paired up, you were

19 usually paired up, did that pairing continue as a van 20 team throughout your career?

21 A. No.

22 Q. What changed in that regard?

A. Just society changed, just why should the two biggest
 people be on the van and it actually transpired that it
 wasn't necessarily the right thing, I think it was just

old school in the nineties where it was and then I think 1 2 there was a time where females weren't allowed in the 3 van and I think they realised over the years that actually females can be a good calming influence in an 4 5 incident so then they decided that it was just old school and it wasn't worth doing so. It was just one of 6 7 those things as I say every single van crew in every village in every station in Fife had the two of the 8 9 biggest people in there. MS MITCHELL: Whilst I would like to make follow-up 10 11 questions, I don't think they're relevant, my Lord. 12 LORD BRACADALE: Very well, that's you finished? MS MITCHELL: Yes. 13 14 LORD BRACADALE: Thank you. Well, Mr Castledine, thank you 15 very much for coming to give evidence to the Inquiry. I'm very grateful for your time. I'm going to adjourn 16 17 now and then you'll be free to go. A. Thank you. 18 LORD BRACADALE: The Inquiry will now adjourn. 19 20 (The hearing was adjourned to Wednesday, 19 June 2024) 21 22 23 24 25

1	INDEX
2	1Evidence of Professor Nasar Meer
3	(continued)
4	Examination-in-chief by MS1
5	GRAHAME KC
6	Submissions by MS55
7	MITCHELL KC
8	56Submissions by MR DUNLOP KC
9	57Submissions by MR BYRNE KC
10	57Submissions by MR MOIR KC
11	59Cross-examination by MS MITCHELL KC
12	62Cross-examination to MR DUNLOP KC
13	65Cross-examination by MR BYRNE KC
14	71Cross-examination by MR MOIR KC
15	73Evidence of PAUL CASTLEDINE (sworn)
16	16:33:209Examination-in-chief MSMS
17	THOMSON
18	10144Submission by MS MITCHELL
19	145Cross-examination by MS MITCHELL KC
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- -