1 Wednesday, 3 July 2024 2 (10.00 am)3 LORD BRACADALE: Good morning, Ms Deslandes-Clark, would you 4 take the oath, please. SANDRA DESLANDES-CLARK (sworn) 5 Examination-in-chief by MS BARRETT 6 7 MS BARRETT: Good morning. You are Sandra Deslandes-Clark. 8 Α. Yes. You have provided a Rule 8 response to the Inquiry 9 10 regarding the work that you have done as general secretary of SEMPER Scotland. 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. But I understand that this week you commenced a new role 14 as Fair Play Adviser for Police Scotland; is that right? 15 Α. Yes, that's correct. Will you remain involved in SEMPER? 16 Q. For the moment, yes, I will be. 17 Α. And in what capacity will you remain involved in SEMPER? 18 Q. 19 Well, I am until the new general secretary comes along, Α. 20 I will fulfill that role. 21 Q. So you'll be acting general secretary for the time 22 being? A. Yes, only on paper but --23 Q. And do you know when the new general secretary is 24 expected to start? 25

- 1 A. Yes, I think within the next two weeks.
- 2 Q. I see. Before you worked at SEMPER and now in your
- 3 current role you were a senior marketing and
- 4 communications professional; is that right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. So how did the change in roles from marketing to SEMPER
- 7 come about?
- 8 A. Well, it was very, very different because it's a private
- 9 sector and now it's a public sector, but marketing is
- selling a concept, an idea, so they were familiar bits
- 11 so, yes.
- 12 Q. And I understand you have been general secretary of
- 13 SEMPER from January 2005 until this week; is that right?
- 14 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And you are also a vice chair of CRER?
- 16 A. Yes, I am.
- 17 Q. And an executive member of the National Association of
- 18 Black Police Officers?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. I know you have been following the Inquiry so you're
- 21 probably aware that there's a blue folder of documents
- 22 next to you. In a moment I will ask for your Rule 8
- 23 response to be put up on the screen in front of your,
- but if you prefer to look at the hard copies they'll be
- shown to you as well so you'll have both. If you want

1 us to look at a document, just ask and we'll put up on 2 the screen. If it's something we don't have ready just 3 now, we can arrange over a break for anything you would 4 like to be obtained and put up on screen as well, so if 5 there's anything you want to refer to just let me know. 6 Α. Sure. 7 Rule 8 response, please, SBPI 00626 and this is dated Q. 10 June 2024 and you'll see that there are questions in 8 9 black test, which is the Inquiry's questions to you, and 10 your responses are underneath it in blue text; is that 11 right? 12 Α. Sure. 13 Just for convenience, I'm going to refer to this as your Q. 14 statement, but we know that the Inquiry is asking you 15 questions and the responses in blue is your wording? 16 Α. Okay. So it's a 22-page document, could we scroll, please, to 17 Q. 18 the penultimate page and have a look at the last 19 paragraph which is numbered 55 and you can see there 20 that it says: 21 "I believe the facts stated in this witness statement are true. I understand that this statement 22 may form part of the evidence before the Inquiry and be 23 published on the Inquiry's website." 24

And the signature is redacted on the version on

screen, but is it right that you signed this document?

2 Yes, I did. Α. And when you signed it, did you understand that it would 3 Q. 4 form part of your evidence going to the Chair and 5 the Inquiry and also go on to your website? Yes, I did. 6 Α. 7 And when you were filling out your responses to the Q. Inquiry's questions, did you do your best to give full 8 and accurate truthful responses? 9 10 Α. Yes, I did. Thank you very much. 11 Q. 12 Ms Deslandes-Clark, I'm going to start my questions 13 to you this morning by asking you about some meanings of 14 terms that you've referred to in your statement. So can 15 we look, please, at page 1 of your statement and your answers to question 2. So you were asked to introduce 16 the terms you prefer to use in the statement to refer to 17 race and ethnicity and you said: 18 "We as SEMPER Scotland use the term 'black' as in 19 20 political blackness from the antiracist movements across 21 the world. It's traditionally used in politics in the UK to refer to people of African, Asian, Arab and 22 Caribbean descent and generally nonwhites." 23 So could you explain a little bit about the concept 24 of political blackness for us? 25

1	Α.	Yes, we decided to use the term because of all the
2		recent shadings that people come up with to distinguish
3		themselves and we're also very, very aware of the
4		background that people are coming from, that in some
5		countries, some third world countries, you have people
6		likened more because of their lightness or whatever and
7		we don't want to put people through that trauma.
8		Most importantly, we're too small as a minority
9		group within Scotland for us to be broken up by
LO		calorism, racism is racism anyway you put it, and we
L1		just thought that was more divisive than coming together
12		with a strength and unity.
13	Q.	So political blackness is a way of avoiding the
L 4		divisiveness of colourism in your view?
L5	Α.	Yes, it's the shared experience of racism, you know, of
16		not being one of us.
L7	Q.	We've heard evidence earlier in this hearing from
L8		Professor Meer at Glasgow University and he was asked to
L9		define the word "black" and he said, if he were to
20		distill it, he would say that:
21		"Black can be an identity category that people
22		self-define with and they self-define as being
23		potentially people of black African descent, but it can
24		also be a political identity. It can be a vehicle of
25		self-empowerment in the context of historic racism."

1		And I wondered if that latter part of his definition
2		of the political identity aspect, a vehicle of
3		self-empowerment in the context of historic racism, was
4		akin to the concept of political blackness that you're
5		describing here in your statement?
6	Α.	Definitely. It's less about the country you came from
7		and more about you being disadvantaged because you do
8		not look like the dominant group, so it's this thing
9		about assigning us to a continent we just think it's
10		wrong, because people come from everywhere. What do we
11		call people who come from South America? You know what
12		I mean. It's unhelpful.
13	Q.	You go on to say in your next paragraph:
14		"Most importantly, 'black' and the phrase 'black and
15		minority ethnic (BME)' is used as a unifying term, an
16		articulation of solidarity and the building of allies in
17		relation to shared and common experiences of racism.
18		Political black is not about colourism and shades of
19		skin."
20		And does that really just reinforce what you're
21		saying that black and minority ethnic is not about
22		saying people from African, people from Asian, people
23		from this continent, that continent?
24	Α.	Yes, definitely. What does someone from African look
25		like? You know what I mean It's definitely not

1 helpful when we're trying to unify the black voice as 2 one, especially in -- even like in places like policing, 3 you know, where you have all different shades of people 4 and we need them to come together because they do have 5 shared experiences and we just think it's unhelpful. You have also said in your statement that you use the 6 Q. 7 word "employees" as an umbrella term to describe both officers and support staff; is that right? 8 Yes, and we know that police officers are not employees 9 Α. 10 or servants of the crown, but it's just a term to use 11 everybody to come together as one so we just say 12 "employees". 13 And is it right that SEMPER works with both groups? Q. 14 Yes. Α. 15 You are not just for officers. You also work with Q. Police Scotland staff? 16 Yes, definitely. 17 Α. 18 Q. So I am going to go on now to ask you some questions 19 about SEMPER the organisation? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. And I'm going to start with the role of general 22 secretary. So can we look, please, as page 3 of your statement and your answers to question 6. So you were 23 asked to provide a summary of your role with SEMPER. 24

You explain that you started the role in January 2005

after assisting SEMPER Scotland (as a volunteer) 1 initially with their 2003 public launch and a business 2 3 case for funding from the Scottish Government and from the Association of Chief Police Officers in Scotland. 4 5 And you go on to say: "The role of general secretary in SEMPER Scotland is 6 7 a wide-ranging one and involves working across all spheres of policing from recruitment to training to 8 conduct and discipline. It entails working closely with 9 10 members, divisional teams, corporate units, the executive team, other police staff networks and external 11 12 agencies." 13 And then you go on to set out a list of bulletpoints 14 with the key responsibilities of the general secretary 15 role. Would it be fair to say that broadly the activities of SEMPER and the activities of the general 16 17 secretary of SEMPER can be divided into two categories, there are activities you perform supporting individual 18 19 members? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And there are activities you perform as part of more Q. strategic work? 22 23 A. Yes. Q. Could you summarise just briefly for me the type of work 24 you do supporting individual members of SEMPER? 25

- 1 A. Yes. Well, in addition to signposting, just giving
- 2 general advice, I also represent members of staff,
- 3 employees for that matter, in cases of -- in
- 4 discrimination cases and cases of unfair treatment. So
- 5 it's -- like I said, it's broad, because we also do
- 6 things like consultations, reviews of policies. It's
- 7 almost every aspect of policing.
- 8 Q. It's a diverse portfolio of work that you have been
- 9 doing?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Then can I come on to the membership of SEMPER?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And that's at page 5 of your statement you set out some
- details in response to question 8. You have said that
- at the time you completed the Rule 8 response there was
- approximately 250 members --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- on the books. Is that still about?
- 19 A. Yes. Well, just like regular police numbers, they
- 20 fluctuate, people retire, people join, so it would not
- 21 have changed a lot.
- Q. You also say that as at 2015, you had 159 members?
- 23 A. Yes, that's what our records show.
- Q. Your organisation has grown?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And I wondered what would you attribute that growth in 2 member to in the period from 2015 to date?
- I think it has a lot to do with people now becoming more 3 Α. 4 aware that we're there. The -- I think we've now 5 started giving an input to new probationers, which is 6 very, very helpful, so they join at the start, like they 7 would join the Federation, but also word of mouth that people now know there's an organisation here that 8 actively looks out to make sure -- that's there to make 9 10 sure that you are treated with dignity and respect and, most importantly, is about equality of opportunities and 11 12 a lot of people have now become aware of that, so yes, 13 it's grown.
- Q. You've also provided a list of the ethnic origins of current members --
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. -- where that's been provided and I have counted up that's 21 different ethnic origins?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. I understand that's not an exhaustive list; is that right?
- A. Not at all, because some of them who are visible
 minorities have not said what their background is so,
 yes, it's -- we call it the rainbow organisation,
 because if you look at us, you know, the shades as

1 people would say and also it has a lot to do with the 2 mixture of people that are in Scotland as well, you know 3 find a broad mixture, and even the recent census will 4 show that that is the fastest growing group so it's, 5 yes. Q. We've heard from Professor Meer that the minority 6 7 population of Scotland, the nonwhite ethnic minority population of Scotland, had grown from approximately 8 9 4 per cent in the 2011 census to approximately 7 per 10 cent in the 2022 census? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. And have you seen an increase in the diversity and 13 numbers of police officers joining SEMPER reflective of 14 that increase? 15 Definitely, especially younger ones, and in the census Α. 16 same thing about the age group, it's the younger ones that are --17 18 You say that membership is open to all officers and Q. 19 staff from ethnic minority backgrounds? Yes. 20 Α. 21 And is it right that others outside that self-definition Q. 22 can join as an associate member? Yes, they -- just as long as they support our aims and 23 Α. ambitions and we have a lot of them, a lot of members 24 from the dominant groups, who joined SEMPER because they 25

- 1 believe in the work that we do and the concept of
- 2 belonging and they want to do their best to support us.
- 3 Q. Is it open for members over the senior leadership team
- 4 to join SEMPER --
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. -- as an associate member?
- 7 A. Yes. In fact, Steve Allen that was here was a member
- 8 and the retired chief Iain Livingstone was a member.
- 9 Q. So some of the witnesses we've heard from already in
- 10 this hearing are former members?
- 11 A. Yes, associate members.
- 12 Q. I'm going to ask you next about funding for SEMPER and
- it's at page 7 of your statement, if you want to look,
- 14 but I'll just run through some of the details that
- 15 you've given us. Is it right that SEMPER is funded by a
- grant in aid from the Police Division of the Justice
- 17 Department?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And that I understand has not been increased since 2009?
- 20 A. Despite every year asking for an increase it's -- so
- it's just the way it is, sadly.
- Q. You've also mentioned that until 2013 you received a
- 23 yearly grant from ACPOS, the Association of Chief Police
- 24 Officers of Scotland?
- 25 A. Yes, prior to police -- the merger and Police Scotland

forming, we got a fund that was from every single force. 1 2 Q. So each of the eight Scottish police forces prior to the 3 creation of Police Scotland contributed to the funding of SEMPER? 4 5 Α. Yes. But that was discontinued on the establishment of 6 Q. 7 Police Scotland? A. Sadly, yes. 8 9 Is it right that currently no part of your funding comes Q. 10 from Police Scotland? No. 11 Α. 12 Q. And --13 Yes, that's right. No. Α. Q. We understood. You have described SEMPER as woefully 14 15 underfunded. So if we look at page 8 of your statement and your answer to question 13, you were asked: 16 17 "In your view is SEMPER adequately funded to fulfill its functions?" 18 And you have replied that: 19 20 "As an organisation that has brought about 21 significant positive organisational change and budgetary savings, I feel SEMPER Scotland is woefully underfunded. 22 23 Since 2013 the organisation has been surviving mainly on 24 the goodwill of others. We're now unable to do basic things like provide specialist training with external 25

1 contributors, reimburse members for travel expenses, afford ICT software and applications, attend community 2 3 events, host extensive conferences and offer promotional merchandise at most activities." 4 So you've set out there some of the practical 5 limitations that your funding settlement creates for 6 7 you? A. Yes, that's correct. It is -- despite our best efforts, 8 9 everybody has no money, you know, so we have become a 10 bit cynical in that sense to say if it's a priority you should find money and we have saved -- we have saved on 11 12 Police Scotland and Scottish Government in terms of ETs, 13 recruitment, retention. You know what I mean? It's a 14 biggy. And it's very disappointing, but it is what it 15 is. So you've said that in your view if it's a priority the 16 Q. 17 money will be found. We've heard some evidence yesterday from Steve Allen, who you've mentioned, former 18 associate member of SEMPER, former DCC at 19 20 Police Scotland, and he talked about SEMPER and the 21 types of activities that SEMPER was expected to provide, 22 the level of engagement, and he said about funding: "You don't want to set up a relationship where 23 they're dependent on your patronage for all their 24 resources and all their training and support, so it's a 25

way of finding resourcing that independently you give

them the best possible chance of engaging at the level

you want them to engage at and I think the failure to do

that derives from unwitting ignorance or

thoughtlessness."

And he was talking about SEMPER in the -- SEMPER funding in the context that in 2015 he thought this was an indicator of institutional racism. And I just wondered whether you would take the view or whether have any comment on the potential view that the level of funding is to a degree reflective of the level of value and priority accorded to a staff association such as SEMPER?

A. Well, I would actually, might be controversial, but
I would, and this not only goes to Police Scotland, but
to the Policing Division of the Justice Department. If
you think that it's important as a government to see
that we -- that was -- we were set up in there as their
response to the Stephen Lawrence -- the Lord
Macpherson Inquiry. If that's a priority, you should be
aware that you've not increased the funding for a long
time and so they can't operate in this landscape that's
continuously changing, and especially based on some of
the cases, some of the, you know, cases all over the
media, what people have been saying about racism and

1 policing, and nothing has changed. 2 Even since the George Floyd thing, I thought they 3 would have upped their funding, but, sadly, that's not true. And to be fair, I must mention that 4 5 Police Scotland has said they would give us things in -how can I put it now -- they would try their best to 6 7 give us things in kind. So nonfinancial support? 8 Q. Yes, nonfinancial, but I think there's perhaps a case 9 Α. 10 again to say that perhaps they should give some financial thing at the moment. The big thing again that 11 12 SEMPER Scotland wanted to highlight was about 13 independence, so for us it was more about the 14 Scottish Government, because we sat within the 15 Police Division because we didn't want to be controlled 16 by a force and that was -- that -- that's relevant 17 because we've seen it happen down south where if you challenge chief constables, they would shut them down 18 and that's not the case in Police Scotland, but we 19 20 didn't want to get to that stage. 21 So it was terribly important that our funding came 22 from the same fund that Police Scotland gets, which is from the Police Division, but it sits within the 23 Police Division. So I must admit that I am particularly 24 disappointed with the Police Division that they did not 25

- realise -- that they still haven't realised how

 important it is that we are seen as a valuable part of

 the police family.
- Q. And could you tell us what you think SEMPER could
 achieve, which it perhaps cannot currently achieve, if
 funding were increased to a level that you considered
 was appropriate?
- Well, for a start, we would have very, very trained 8 Α. 9 members of our executive. Because they support people, 10 because they need to do research, because they need to work on their personal development, we would be able to 11 12 train people and that is terribly important, because we 13 need to give them the confidence that it requires to be 14 a representative or an advocate of someone who is broken. You need to know your stuff. So that alone is 15 16 a biggy.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other one was about interaction with the communities out there, the BME communities. It's, and I know this might seem glib to some people, but for us to interact with people out there, there is a monetary amount put on it, you know, for you to participate in events and stuff there needs to be -- we used to have cricket competitions with young men or young people, football. We used to let them to go in and engage with that so they see police officers as humans rather than

1 rock up to have a recruitment event. We want them to 2 see the police as the people in their communities so 3 that is challenging as well, you know what I mean? 4 Officers driving or taking trains to go to their --5 to meetings to represent SEMPER, that has limited again. You know, so it's -- there are loads of things that we 6 7 could have done. There's a lot more that SEMPER would want to do --8 Q. Yes, definitely. 9 Α. 10 Q. -- if it had the funding to do it? Yes, just even workshops, network events where minority 11 Α. 12 ethnic people see each other, because we're all over the 13 country. It's -- for someone to come down from Aberdeen 14 for an event in Glasgow, there is a cost connected to 15 it, you know, and these are the little things that seem small to someone, but they add up. But they're 16 17 important that they see each other. You know, they're 18 officers who will tell you they didn't even know that 19 there are other minority ethnic officers, because they 20 just don't see them because they are either in satellite 21 offices up north or in Dumfries and Galloway or 22 something like that. They are important. Accessing that community within SEMPER is important? 23 Q. 24 Α. Exactly, yes, so.

Another aspect of resourcing that you have mentioned

25

Q.

- separately from financial funding is time off for officers involved in SEMPER to carry out SEMPER
- 3 activities?
- 4 A. Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- We've heard evidence from Paul Castledine at the 5 Q. beginning of the race hearing, who was a former chair of 6 7 SEMPER, and his evidence was that he was given a full-time secondment for that role, but after his 8 9 secondment came to an end there was no equivalent arrangement after that for future officers involved in 10 SEMPER and is that your understanding as well that that 11 12 protected secondment time hasn't been replicated?
 - A. That's right. But to be fair to Police Scotland and it's a real -- it's a real imperative is that there just aren't enough officers going around and that is real so it's a bother, but it's less of a bother because we understand we don't even have enough response cops out there. So for me having a full-time general secretary and a full-time chair, even though it would be in an ideal world great, we understand now in 2024 that that could be a stretch, but it would be nice if they look at that but I understand that in policing terms it would be a stretch and we're alive to what is happening on the ground in policing.
 - Q. If a full-time protected secondment for the Chair is a

1

stretch --

2 Α. Yes. -- is there something less than that that would make a 3 Q. 4 difference to SEMPER in terms of protected time for SEMPER activities for members? 5 Well, we do have a protective hours agreement with the 6 Α. 7 chief constable, a signed protective agreement. And how does that work in practice? 8 Q. Yes, that is kind of difficult to work in practice, I'm 9 10 afraid. Again, it's a resources issue and one of the big things is about most of our members are cops and 11 12 that is just a matter of fact so they're not in control 13 of their diaries and it's a needs must. So we do have issues with that, big issues with fulfilling the 14 15 protected hours agreement. Does the same challenge in relation to time off for 16 Q. 17 SEMPER activities apply to members who are Police Scotland staff, rather than officers? 18 19 Oh, yes. Α. 20 So it's both sides? Q. 21 Definitely, sometimes it's even worse. Α. 22 Why would it be worse for staff than officers? Q. Because there's a staffing issue as well. While I think 23 Α. police officers can, you know, roster things 24 25 differently, they can use it as a day off because of

shift patterns and stuff, while a 9 to 5 for you to be
absent for a whole day to come to a SEMPER Scotland
executive committee meeting, which covers everything
that happens during the month and the projects that
you're working on, that's very challenging.

- Q. Presumably there would be a practical benefit for SEMPER if its members could take the time afforded under the protected hours agreement to do the activities you want to encourage them to do?
- 10 A. Definitely, and it's also about educating yourself, it
 11 takes time, and you need to take hours to focus on being
 12 an advocate, focus and learning about laws and best
 13 practice and those kinds of things. So it's not just
 14 coming and being there for the moment, it's much bigger
 15 than that.
 - Q. And as well as the practical benefit that you've outlined, would observation of the protected hours agreement on the ground signal that the work of SEMPER was seen as valued and prioritised by Police Scotland?
 - A. Well, I think the senior management team actually did put that in place for it to show that it's valued.

 However, on the ground that's where the difference comes in. You know, invariably, I have had to go to the deputy and say we need that and a call is put through, but it's always challenging.

- 1 Q. The Inquiry heard some evidence from former Chief
 2 Constable Iain Livingstone?
- 3 A. Yes.

that?

11

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And he described -- he used an analogy to a sandwich,
 that you have the senior staff who are supportive of
 equality initiatives and you have the very junior staff,
 perhaps younger members of staff, who have similar
 priorities or want to prioritise it and there's a
 difficulty in the middle layers of ranks or management
 and I wondered if you had any comment or observation on
- A. That's spot on actually. He was so right. Sometimes

 when I am having great difficulty I would describe it as

 ice at the heart, is that it is not filtering down a lot

 of times and I don't know if they're not just not

 thinking strategically or it is just not alive to the

 needs of these various groups. I'm not quite sure what

 it is. But he is spot on and I like that analogy.
 - Q. You've addressed in your statement SEMPER's working relationship with other bodies, you've got positive words for Police Scotland and HMICS and positive words for the SPF, but I just wanted to ask you a little bit more about the latter, so it's at page 19 of your statement in paragraph 47.
- 25 You say:

"In relation to the question what is SEMPER's 1 working relationship with the Scottish Police 2 3 Federation, we have a strong working relationship with the executive team of the Scottish Police Federation. 4 5 The general secretaries from both organisations liaise regularly to discuss conduct cases and mutual assistance 6 7 and to exchange ideas. Dissonance can occur with individual Federation reps and our members at the local 8 9 level, particularly in instances involving racial 10 discrimination and incivility." 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Are members of SEMPER often or usually also members of 13 SPF? Yes, that's correct. 14 Α. 15 They pay membership dues to SPF and are members of SPF? Q. 16 Α. Yes. Is there a role for SPF in supporting officers who are 17 Q. 18 experiencing issues of discrimination? 19 Definitely. That's one of the reasons I always object Α. 20 to being called a diversity staff association, because 21 we're not absolving the Federation of looking at 22 diversity issues so we prefer the term "nonstatutory". However, as a working relationship I get on with 23 everybody in the executive team of the Federation, it's 24 not an issue at all, we attend stuff. In fact, when 25

1 SEMPER Scotland was being created.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It was -- we got assistance from the Federation and that's why we have the same set up as in chair and general secretary, it's the same kind of ideas and they actually helped.

The real problem comes on the local level when the Federation rep within the divisions don't see racism, don't see discrimination and then we have that battle going on, which is again my usual term, totally unhelpful because minority ethnic officers -- officers in particular with the Federation, they don't need validation, that's their story, that's their lived experience, and if you're paid to support my case, I think you should do it with the rigour that you do everything else, but, again, in my opinion, I actually do think that they need training, the Federation reps. It's terribly important if you are going to have this -all these different groups within policing and you don't have the necessary empathy, the necessary understanding and knowledge to go out and advocate for particular groups, I think that's a big challenge that the Federation has.

Q. Does the Federation or do the Federation reps also support officers who are being investigated for conduct suspected of being discriminatory?

- 1 A. Yes, yes.
- 2 Q. And is there ever a tension between the functions of
- 3 supporting the Federation's members who are making
- 4 allegations of discrimination and need support in that
- 5 regard, maybe for grievances, and the support provided
- to officers who are on the other side of the coin?
- 7 A. Yes, a lot of our members find that and that's why they
- 8 come to SEMPER, because they're like you're supporting
- 9 both sides and what's the probability of you taking one
- side over the other? So they come -- they come to
- 11 SEMPER, because we have the necessary knowledge and
- 12 understanding about racial discrimination that the
- 13 Federation rep perhaps doesn't have and the impartiality
- that they perhaps don't have.
- 15 Q. So if I can summarise, is it fair to say SPF must
- support both sides, they have got duties to all of their
- members, but your members are telling you there's a
- 18 perception that they do supporting --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- BME or black and minority ethnic officers cases less
- 21 well than they do the other side? Is that a perception,
- is that fair or unfair?
- 23 A. That is a perception. I don't know how they're going to
- handle that, but it is a perception and I think they're
- very aware of it. Having said that, the Federation have

1		often brought cases to me to say, do you know there's a
2		racial element to this, you could have input and stuff.
3		So we do discuss conduct in particular conduct cases,
4		so, yes, we do have there are some reps who will seek
5		us out because they don't think they have the necessary
6		knowledge.
7	Q.	So there's a channel whereby the Federation can come to
8		SEMPER for support?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	Providing that input?
11	Α.	Definitely.
12	Q.	Sir Iain Livingstone gave some evidence about the
13		Scottish Police Federation and he said that:
14		"It can entirely be part of that movement for
15		change. The potential they have is enormous, their
16		support to identify officers who conduct themselves
17		contrary to our values and who act in a racist manner,
18		I would expect that, I think that's right."
19		He also went on to say that:
20		"They need to look at themselves, they need to look
21		at how representative they are, they need to look at how
22		they are mobilising and, again, I would encourage them
23		to do what I think they were doing, they're beginning to
24		move forward."
25		And I just wondered in relation to that just latter

1 part, are you seeing a change in Federation reps? Is there a progress or is there a change or is it static 2 3 from your experience? 4 Α. It's very, very slow, but it is getting better. I know 5 that the executive team want to work closer with us. They want to hear our experiences, because they too want 6 7 to learn and so I think the will is there. They are willing to come on board. It will take time and, again, 8 9 they change personnel within divisions and, you know, 10 it's just about training and choosing your reps very carefully, because a lot of them are out of touch and if 11 12 you are from the dominant group, you know, there is this 13 understanding that you would never experience racism and 14 a lot of them don't get it, you know. "I don't see 15 race, or I have known Johnny for years and he's a nice guy", and I'm like "Why would he be racist to you?" You 16 17 know, that's the kind of thing I think it's missing that necessary empathy that, yes, he would not be racist to 18 19 me. So let me hear this story. 20 So there's a lot of that going around and I am 21 willing to work with the Federation, where they're made arrangements to come through to your executive committee 22 meetings, to hear from everybody just some of the things 23 they experience. So the willingness is there. I am 24 25 hopeful.

- 1 Q. I am going to -- you mentioned training.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. I'm going to go on to ask you about one particular
- 4 project --
- 5 A. Good.
- 6 Q. -- in relation to training that SEMPER has been involved
- 7 in. So could we go to page 6 of the statement, please,
- 8 and look at the answer to question 10. So at question
- 9 10, we've just scrolled through on the screen, you see
- 10 that's a very long list of activities and projects that
- 11 SEMPER has achieved on your current budget and the Chair
- has the statement and the whole list to take into
- 13 account.
- 14 For reasons of timing, I'm not going to be able to
- 15 cover all of them today, but I did want to ask you about
- the project which is at subparagraph H where you talk
- 17 about:
- "The creation of the effective Truth to Power
- 19 Sessions that involve members of historically
- 20 marginalised groups speaking their truth/lived
- 21 experience to officials who have the power to change
- 22 their working environment. Those sessions led to the
- 23 introduction of Police Scotland's action plans for
- 24 individual EDI categories and helped to inform some of
- 25 the bold moves implemented by the executive team."

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And I have got a few questions I want to ask you about
- 3 the Truth to Power sessions. So, first of all, how did
- 4 the Truth to Power sessions come about? What was the
- 5 inception of this project?
- A. Yes. Well, I worked very closely with DCC Fiona Taylor,
- 7 and she was a EDI lead and at the point of
- 8 George Floyd's murder, we -- I sent a list of
- 9 recommendations to Police Scotland about how we can
- 10 bring that scrutiny to police policies and procedures to
- 11 bring about public trust and confidence and in that we
- spoke about things like hearing what minority ethnic
- people within the service have to say. And I am very,
- 14 very aware that the chief sits eight ranks above the
- officers that are mainly BME and by the time things
- reach them, they're sanitised within an inch of their
- lives, that's just what it is, totally varnished, so
- they never get a chance to hear all the policies that
- 19 they have approved, supported and agreed on, how they
- are implemented on the ground. So I came up with this
- 21 thing about why don't we have people speak their truth
- 22 so the powerful people who can actually make a
- 23 difference to their working careers.
- Q. The idea at its inception was people can speak their
- 25 truth to the people with power to make a difference. So

1	how doe	s that	work?	What	does	а	Speak	Truth	to	Power
2	session	invol	ve?							

A. Yes. So it's -- it's kind of intimate, because not a lot of people want to open up that file and go over it in a room of others and it involved the Deputy Chief Constable sitting down with someone who take notes and if officers do not want to -- her to take it any further, she wouldn't, but they just want to talk about their experience of policing so she did that.

There are maximum five people there, because we do want to give them time. So she had like two-hour windows out of her day where she just focuses on those officers and they told her about how they felt the system was in terms of procedural justice, in terms of the subcultures, in terms of retention, promotion and would they have their families come in and just their general feel of policing.

And Fiona Taylor was genuinely shocked, you know, because she thought she had policies that protect these people and based on that, all these sessions, she actually had an action plan to say how I need to address these. And the good thing that she did was that she called them back months later to say what she has done with that and it was so successful that she did it for -- they did it for the LGBTI and stuff for others,

1 because it was important that those people upstairs know what the rank and file are thinking, their experiences. 2 3 And it's terribly emotional and I know it affected the 4 people who were listening and, yes. And we've had one 5 with the new chief already and even she has been 6 affected by some of the things she has heard so, yes, 7 it's terribly powerful. Q. We've heard evidence from former DCC Fiona Taylor about 8 9 how impactful she found listening to officers from black 10 and minority ethnic backgrounds talk about their experiences. The inquiry has also in a previous hearing 11 12 heard evidence from a Chief Superintendent 13 Conrad Trickett, who also said he personally found the sessions very powerful. 14 15 And I just wanted to ask, moving from the impact on the people listening to the effect, you said there was 16 17 an action plan. Can you tell us a little bit more about what the effect of the Speak Truth to Power sessions has 18 been in terms of translating to changes? 19 Yes. Well, for the first time -- I'm just telling you 20 Α. 21 this from the officer's point of view -- was for the 22 first time someone from the strategic team actually

cared about how they felt, that was their view, and

actually thought it was important to come back and say,

I heard you, I listened, and I'm be doing this and I'm $\,$

23

24

doing that.

And for me we can't have enough of that, because one of the things, you know, that I have always struggled with was about not treating people as individuals, making blanket statement, making blanket policies without thinking about the effect it has and, most importantly, was is your policy working? Can it be manipulated to fit someone's narrative or someone's outcome? Do you need to have more robust policies to look after the most vulnerable? And that for me was one of the successes of the Truth to Power is that the people upstairs are like, let's check with and see if this is happening on the ground.

And that's been happening more now than ever, you know, when people upstairs are actually thinking, yes, I want to -- let me hear this is affecting LGBT officers or whatever, so that led to increased meetings with minority ethnic officers and staff about how they feel. So for instance it led to what we call open forums with minority ethnic officers and staff with the deputy and the chief in a room of everybody comes from all over, from Aberdeen, picking on Aberdeen, Inverness, and talk to senior leaders about training, about development, mainly about promotions, but you know what I mean, that kind of thing and they hear it out in public. We have

- had officers cry in these BME forums outwith Truth to

 Power, because they're so overcomed by their own stories

 and opening those files that they have totally shut off

 and put to the back of their heads so they can get up

 the next day and go to work.
- Q. We will touch on the issues of promotions that you have raised. I hear that's a priority. I just wanted to ask a little bit about the impact then on the officers, because you said it's things that people have tucked away in the back of their heads --
- 11 A. Yes.

- Q. -- so they can get up in the morning and go to work. Is
 there a burden on individual officers coming and
 recounting things that could be traumatic to senior
 officers and I wondered whether that's acknowledged or
 how it might be handled?
 - A. Yes. Well, that's the premise of the Truth to Power is that they would do something about it, so people don't mind opening file 13 and taking these horror stories out if it will make life better for someone coming after them and they have said that out in public to say I am doing this because. I have locked it away, because it is not healthy for me to keep dragging it up. And so we don't have the same officers going through Truth to Power to talk about it a lot. There are some people who

1 are very happy to speak about it, because they have dealt with the trauma and there are others who have not. 2 3 We have occasionally brought in people who left the 4 service because they couldn't handle it. They need to 5 know why. They need to know the impact you had on this family. So the trauma is mitigated by the fact that 6 7 something is going to be done about it. And in terms of something being done, in your paragraph 8 Q. 9 H, you have said that: 10 "The sessions helped to inform some of the bold moves implemented by the executive team." 11 12 Α. Yes. We've heard a lot of evidence over the course of this 13 Q. 14 hearing about things that have been done, but I wondered 15 what you were referring to when you say they were "bold moves" implemented by the executive team? 16 Yes. Well, one of them is realising that we do have 17 Α. issues in certain elite bits of policing and "elite" 18 19 meaning that they're probably specialists and it's a 20 policing culture that you think you're in a specialist 21 team you have -- it's just a kind of clique thing. So 22 Police Scotland wants to get to that, so they're actually doing things about the bullying and the 23 harassment and those things that are involved there. 24 25 In particular, we're talking about now PSD, which

1 is, for the people who don't know, Professional Standards who actually look into cases so 2 3 we're doing -- Police Scotland has acknowledged that 4 people do need more training in those particular units 5 that are -- that look at discrimination. So they have 6 kind of opened themselves up for scrutiny and that's a 7 big thing. So they've taken on things like communication, 8 communicating with officers. It's a big thing because 9 10 those departments were always seen as closed and --And when you say --11 Q. 12 -- scary. Α. 13 -- these are bold moves is this because this hasn't been Q. 14 done before? 15 Oh, no, definitely. They were always closed, so they Α. have opened those up. Those are big things. They have 16 17 listened to our recommendations about things like 18 anonymous applications for internal positions. 19 And that's now in place, anonymising applications for Q. 20 internal positions? 21 Α. Yes, because people used to look at people's names, don't want him here, you know what I mean. Those big 22 things. Things about normalisation of spaces. We have 23 had big things like secondment roles where minority 24 25 ethnic people would not normally be seen in those roles,

- about normalising those spaces for regular officers.
- 2 Q. Could you just explaining for everyone what you mean by
- 3 "normalisation of spaces"?
- 4 A. Okay. They are roles that traditionally minority ethnic
- 5 officers, staff, are not in.
- 6 Q. Such as?
- 7 A. Such as probational training. I'm not picking on
- 8 probation, but I'm just giving one. Professional
- 9 Standards, you don't normally see minority ethnic people
- 10 there. They have welcome secondment to that of minority
- 11 ethnic officers to not only let their colleagues within
- 12 that department see minority ethnic people in there, but
- also to kind of reverse mentor, to talk to them about
- some things that are -- things that are cultural, just
- educating them by being there.
- It's something that for me, as someone who
- introduced that, it came to me from affirmative action
- in America. Even though I disagree with affirmative
- 19 action, it's the big thing about affirmative action was
- 20 that people who would never have worked beside someone
- from a minority group now have them in their regular
- 22 space all the time and they have the benefit of
- 23 recognising that we're more alike than unalike, and that
- for me is a biggy, is that the things that divide us are
- 25 so few that it's not worthy of you going around

- stereotyping people. And a lot of people will tell you
- 2 that they recognise that based on just having these
- 3 people in their spaces and being allowed to treat them
- 4 as their equal.
- 5 Q. We've spoken about the project work that SEMPER does --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- with a focus on the speak Truth to Power project
- 8 I would like to come on now and ask you a little bit
- 9 about the other side of SEMPER's work --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- in supporting individual officers. So is it right
- 12 that SEMPER supports members who have concerns that they
- may have experienced discrimination?
- 14 A. Yes, that's right.
- 15 Q. Or have experienced discrimination. Can you explain how
- 16 SEMPER would come to be involved in such a case of
- 17 alleged discrimination, what would happen?
- 18 A. Yes. Well, officers come to us -- officers and staff
- 19 come to us sometimes from the beginning, from the
- 20 outset. Sometimes it's because they think they're not
- 21 being adequately represented by the trade union or the
- 22 Federation, and sometimes it's their boss that comes
- 23 through us and say there is an issue here. So they
- 24 would come to us and we get their --
- 25 Q. And when they come to you is that via a SEMPER member

that they know in the force or do they call you or what's the avenue?

A. They generally email or call to say I have an issue and I would like to come and speak to you. And a lot of them would like to do it outwith the environs of the police, of their station or whatever, because it's -- it's a big thing to challenge bad behaviour. So a lot of them will ask to meet you outwith the service.

And we have them give us authorisation to represent them, that's the first thing, and invariably, I would ask them for a timeline, but I would let them tell us their stories. In particular, I support most minority ethnic officers who come to me. There are times when they have -- what they have done is wrong and I'm very alive to that and I would tell them that it's not necessarily your race, just in case somebody is thinking every minority ethnic officer who comes in front of us, you know, has not done anything wrong. Sometimes I will tell them it's not a race issue, but I will support them because on a welfare basis and I will be there to make sure that the punishment fits the crime, so to speak, that they are not unjustly punished for what they have done.

So it's not just we will blindly support you, but -- and that doesn't happen very often, but it does. So I

1		just need to put that on record that we do have, you
2		know, minority ethnic officers who have fall foul of the
3		system. They're allowed to just like everybody else,
4		but it's to make sure that they're not unjustly treated
5		because of who they are or their identity.
6	Q.	I'm interested you just said in "they're allowed to
7		like anybody else", do you feel like there's a higher
8		standard that your members are being held to in some
9		way?
LO	Α.	Well, some of them feel that way. Yes, some of them
L1		feel that their counterparts from the dominant groups do
L2		not get the same scrutiny or do not get the same level
L3		of conduct or discipline procedures that they do.
L 4		Sometimes they're taken one side and said "don't do it
15		again" while a lot of minority ethnic officers think
16		they're put through the grind for whatever reason.
L7	Q.	I might come back to that topic, but before I do, I just
L8		want to ask you to look at page 7 of your statement and
19		your answer to question 11. Question 11 you were asked:
20		"Has SEMPER developed institutional knowledge
21		regarding the experiences of black and minority ethnic
22		officers, special constables and police staff in
23		Police Scotland? If so, how has that knowledge base
24		developed over time."
25		And you reply:

1		"Yes, we have in terms of analysis of case
2		histories. This demonstrates continued patterns of
3		behaviour in relation to perpetrator behaviour,
4		investigative processes and attitudes and organisational
5		outcomes."
6		And you have explained how case histories occur, the
7		process by which people come to you, you take a
8		timeline, you represent people. What I'm interested in
9		is then how is that institutional knowledge that you
LO		have built up of case histories held by SEMPER?
L1	Α.	We do have them filed and we do have a thing about
12		trends and this has happened to X amount of officers and
13		it forms a part of my discussions with senior management
L 4		team about this happening, in particular in terms of
L5		grievances because you I'm not sure if you are aware
L 6		that we have two different systems, conduct and
L7		grievance.
L8	Q.	Could you just outline briefly what they are so that we
L9		can follow?
20	Α.	Yes. Well, grievance is held by HR, human resources,
21		and it's not about punishment. Conduct and discipline
22		is held with Professional Standards, which can lead to
23		misconduct or gross misconduct, which is a very
24		different thing. So in terms of what you think you're
25		wronged might just be a grievance and it's a different

process than conduct and discipline. 1 2 So in organisational outcomes in terms of grievances 3 that would -- that would be an HR issue and we would be 4 discussing the trend with HR and the outcomes of the 5 discipline would be like mainly with like the deputy chief constable, that kind of thing, to talk about these 6 7 are the trends that's going on now, what is happening. In particular, I am guilty of going to the deputy 8 9 for a lot of cases where I think are not giving the 10 gravitas they deserve. And is that the DCC with responsibility for 11 Q. 12 professionalism? 13 Yes, yes. Because invariably I can see where an officer Α. 14 is not going to drop it, it will result in an ET and 15 there's something called early intervention and I'm very passionate about early interventions, because I think it 16 17 serves both parties. It serves the service and, most 18 importantly, it ends the trauma for the individuals and 19 sometimes it doesn't happen. My next question was going to be whether there were 20 Q. 21 structures in place to protect SEMPER's institutional 22 knowledge of case histories as you move into your new role, but you have told me these are kept in files, you 23 24 analyse trends.

Is there anything else you might want to say about

25

1		how institutional knowledge will be protected?
2	Α.	Well, it's a joke, that I'm corporate history. However,
3		I am hoping that SEMPER Scotland doesn't lose all the
4		case histories when I go. I am genuinely aware that
5		that could be lost, but I don't I have some faith
6		that that will continue. You know, all the cases are
7		written down, and I've personally represented so many
8		people and their cases are there etched in stone, and
9		I am hoping that it's not lost when I now, that I'm
10		gone actually.
11	Q.	In question 11 you talk about continued patterns of
12		behaviour
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	in relation to perpetrator behaviour. What would you
15		say are the most common concerns or frustrations about
16		perpetrator behaviour that your members come to you
17		with?
18	Α.	Invariably the people who perpetrate incivility.
19		Bullying and harassment are normally the ones who
20		everybody else is afraid of in the shift, and the ones
21		with the most influence and the most power and nobody
22		wants to speak up against them.
23		It's a biggy for me in policing, but what do we do
24		with the perpetrators? How do we send that message? Do
25		we move them? Sometimes it's best that we move the

victim, because the bad behaviour is entrenched, so moving the perpetrator isn't going to happen -- isn't going to change when the perpetrator leaves, because we're still in small areas. You know, I know it sounds 20-odd thousand people that it sounds particularly big, but because you stay in policing for 30-odd years, sometimes it's difficult to get away from the influence of particular people.

The behaviours of perpetrators that for me is troubling in policing is do we actually just take the perpetrator's word for it, "I didn't say that, I didn't do that," or do we go and dig into their patterns of behaviour? Do we dig into how they treat other people in grievances over the years? I am not sure that those things are taken into consideration when we look at perpetrator behaviours.

It's always this case stands on its own and because of how racism is and how subtle racism is at times, we can't rely on, let's just look at this case in silo(?). It's something that I'm thinking Police Scotland is ready to explore and that is our -- that's -- that's what they have related to me that they are willing to look at the broader behaviours of people, because perpetrators -- when we put things in isolation, it just never works. We're missing a trick.

- 1 Q. I do want to come back and ask you some more about the 2 themes of patterns of behaviour.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. So I will ask you something else about that, but before
- 5 I do, you've talked about the types of concerns or
- frustrations members bring you being incivility,
- 7 bullying, harassment by a perhaps more powerful or
- 8 dominant person on a shift or in a group?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Would that have been a similar picture in 2015 or has
- 11 there been a change over time in relation to the types
- of conduct and concerns that are being brought to
- 13 SEMPER?
- 14 A. No, I think they remain largely the same actually.
- I think racism is racism and it hasn't changed. It has
- gone a bit covert, but people who have issues with
- 17 people's identity, they never change, and when the
- spotlight is taken away, when this Inquiry is gone, and
- 19 the spotlight on race has changed, those people who have
- issued will revert to their default position, so I don't
- think it has changed. I'm sorry.
- 22 Q. Is there a range? So you say racism is racism, gone
- 23 covert perhaps, but is there a range of conduct from
- less serious to more serious that's being brought to
- 25 SEMPER?

Yes, I think a lot of young officers are now more willing to come forward. It's amazing. In particular, since the chief constable said they're institutional racist, is it's that feeling of they have acknowledged it so I will be less pressed to validate my story. So that for me was awesome that the retired chief constable said, because it is as if you're acknowledging that it's not in the person's head, it's real.

And Sir Tain has no idea how -- the relief that he brought to so many officers. At least to me, it was a very emotional day for me when he said that because it's as if, finally, we can begin to heel the wound, you know we have lanced the boil and now the wound can heal, because we are not sitting down saying you're making it up or you're exaggerating or anything of the sort. It is acknowledged that the system is not geared up for -- to look at your issues.

- Q. So in terms of that range, you said young officers are now more willing to come forward?
- 20 A. Yes.

Q. Could you give me perhaps one example of less serious or towards the bottom of the range conduct and one example of something that might be more at the top of the range, so we can get a sense of the types of behaviour that SEMPER is encountering?

- 1 A. We deal with issues of putting probationers in a corner
- with dunce cap and those kinds of really demeaning
- 3 behaviour.
- Q. Let me stop you there, putting probationers in a corner
- 5 wearing a dunce cap?
- A. A dunce cap, you know what I mean.
- 7 Q. A black or minority ethnic officer?
- 8 A. Yes. Well, the officers I deal with are minority
- 9 ethnic.
- 10 Q. Where a white officer would not be treated that way?
- 11 A. Not that I know of, but it's sad that anybody would in
- 12 2023 as it happened would do that.
- Q. So that's the more serious end of the range?
- 14 A. No, I am talking about just on the spectrum.
- 15 Q. Yes.
- 16 A. You know, so some people might think that's just a one
- 17 off.
- Q. You're talking this is the less serious end of the
- range?
- 20 A. You know what. I mean it's just banter.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. And those nonsense, rather than demeaning employees at
- 23 work. To people lying about officers, lying about their
- 24 performance at work, woefully lying on paper about why
- 25 they shouldn't be supported for promotion, lying to

- senior officers about instances, you know, incidences,
- 2 those are serious, very, very serious.
- 3 Q. Lying about black and minority officers in order to get
- 4 them into trouble?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Or to deny them a promotion?
- 7 A. Very, very serious. Denying them opportunities that
- 8 others in the same situation are afforded. There are
- 9 loads of instances where they damage the careers of
- 10 minority ethnic officers.
- 11 Q. So that's something that will have a long-term impact on
- 12 their careers?
- 13 A. Yes, definitely. And that has a lot to do with the
- retention of minority ethnic officers as well. There's
- some people who would just get up and go, and there are
- others who will say, you know, I want to clear my name,
- this didn't happen, and I just -- I just want to see
- 18 justice.
- 19 Q. So some people will stay and fight but others --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- will think I need to protect myself and leave?
- 22 A. Yes, definitely.
- 23 Q. We have heard some evidence earlier in the hearing about
- the term "micro-aggressions"?
- 25 A. Yes.

1	Q.	Professor Meer was asked about the term, he said:
2		"Micro-aggressions come from trying to grasp how
3		people in particular settings, they could be
4		institutions, express racialised hostility without using
5		racist terms."
6		And he was asked by senior counsel:
7		"So the addition of 'micro' to aggressions should
8		not diminish the significance and the harm that these
9		can cause to the person who is on the receiving end."
10		And his reply was:
11		"No, they're part of what you might characterise as
12		bullying."
13		We also heard evidence from former DCC Fiona Taylor
14		and she said that micro-aggressions can span anything
15		from not sitting beside someone in the canteen to
16		colleagues saying "Where are you from? Well, I'm from
17		Scotland. Yeah, but where are you really from because
18		you're black".
19		And she said anything more significant than those
20		examples, racially abusive language, you would be in the
21		disciplinary space, but she gave those examples of
22		micro-aggressions.
23		Do you have any observations on the concept of a
24		micro-aggression?
25	A.	Oh, we get that all the time. You know, these little

things like tropes, like, you know, angry black woman, she's aggressive and scary. You know, it's happened to me all the time. Dehumanising the black woman in particular.

It's sad because people think it's banter and funny, but it affects people all the time when someone from the dominant group would behave the same way when they're frustrated or say the same things, but don't get called out for being scary or aggressive. Something that you just have to live with, but those micro-aggressions, nothing micro about them. I keep joking and think they worked be called macro-aggressions, but little things like, you know, you'll have officers that they -- their supervisor would never, say, come in and say hello, they would address -- they would go via someone to address them. You know, these little things. It happens on a daily basis.

Assuming that an accent means -- that your accent means that you are less competent than the person who's speaking broad Scots. It's even often time seen as a level of intelligence. Ah, you -- we -- "we don't understand. Do you have that word in your...?" You know what I mean. Things that are designed to belittle you, and there are a lot of micro-aggressions that even go unchecked by minority ethnic people as well. You

know, things that are designed to put you back in your
box, you know, like you're all excited "Oh, but tell me

Jamaicans do ... " Just to remember you're not one of
us, just go back, just put yourself back in your box

where you are. It's something that is -- that you have

to deal with and you have to psych yourself up.

- Q. We've heard quite a lot evidence about racial stereotyping?
- 9 A. Yes.

6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And you have referred to racial tropes and the trope of
 an angry black woman. I wondered if there was anything
 specific about the policing space and how racial
 stereotyping of black and minority ethnic police
 officers might arise within the policing context? Is it
 the same tropes or do they present differently in the
 police?
 - A. I think they're the same actually, but we even -- we even have minority ethnic officers who are considered bigger and stronger than somebody who is bigger, taller in stature and everything else than themselves. It's amazing. You would have -- even female officers saying, of, intimidated by him. He's just a wee thing that even the person she's talking to is more intimating than him, but the bring -- sometimes they bring their stereotypes to work. It's sad but it is -- it's true.

1 Q. It's the same stereotypes and you have talked about being perceived as bigger, stronger. You were 2 3 previously talking about being seen as less competent or 4 less intelligent? 5 Α. Yes. Those are things that you see outside of policing, but 6 Q. 7 they also present within the policing context between colleagues that you've heard? 8 A. Yes, they do, sadly, they do. And the police recruit 9 10 from society so why should we think the minute they put on a black uniform their mindset is changed. Sadly, 11 12 that isn't real. They sometimes need to be trained to 13 not bring their stereotypes into their professional 14 lives. 15 Q. Just give me a moment. Sir, I'm about to go into a new 16 line of questioning, so I wondered if that might be 17 appropriate moment. 18 LORD BRACADALE: We'll take a 20-minute break at this point. 19 (11.28 am)20 (A short break) 21 (11.54 am)LORD BRACADALE: Ms Barrett. 22 MS BARRETT: Thank you. Before the break, we were 23 discussing some examples of experiences that your 24 25 members had had and before we move on, I wondered if,

- 1 having had time to reflect, there was anything else you
- 2 wanted to share.
- 3 A. Yes. One of the things when you said micro-aggression,
- 4 something just as I walked out I remember something like
- 5 your name, pronunciation of your name. You have this
- 6 thing about not being able to pronounce your name, even
- 7 when your name is phonetically spelt exactly as how it's
- 8 pronounced, so you just come up with "Let's call you
- 9 that instead", you know.
- 10 Q. Let's call you a nickname --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- instead of your actual name?
- 13 A. Yes, rather than trying to pronounce my name. And I for
- one find that kind of disrespectful and I always wonder
- how people who can't pronounce your name can pronounce
- 16 Tchaikovsky and Chopin, because they don't want to, you
- 17 know what I mean. If you can pronounce "Tchaikovsky",
- 18 you can pronounce my name. It is something to
- 19 constantly make you know your place. "We will just call
- you Ben", you know, that kind of thing and it's seen as
- 21 banter. But if you can't take the time out to find out
- 22 my name, how to pronounce my name, it's just another
- form of the disrespect, the level of respect you want to
- show me.
- 25 Q. You said it's seen as banter and you have referred to

- that in your statement as mockery and canteen culture of
 name calling being viewed as banter because the intent
 was not to harm?
- 4 A. Yes.

- Q. And just as a follow up I wondered what you thought
 about the distinction where the intention in calling
 someone by a nickname might not be to dehumanise them
 and put them in their place, but that might be the
 effect; do you think that has an impact on the severity
 of the behaviour?
 - A. Well, for instance with the name calling if you could be -- if you're nice enough to ask me how to pronounce my name and to call me by my name occasionally until we know each other and then you have a nickname for me, maybe that might be taken a different way from you are just looking at my name and like, "ah."

I have probationers -- I'll never forget this

probationer who came to me and told me her story and the

first day they all come in and you have all these people

sharing and you look at your name and the person in

front of her says, "oh, no, I'm with one of them, look

at the person's name", and she's right behind and say

"of one of..." you know.

- Q. So this was a probationer who was --
- 25 A. Yes, when you're coming into the college.

- 1 Q. -- looking at name on a group list and someone else in
 2 her group?
- 3 A. Yes, someone she's going to share with say.

- Q. Looked at her name and said "Oh, no, I'm with one of them."
- A. Say quite loudly and she's right behind her "oh, one of them is in my..." You know it's that your name is a signal that you are so different and you must always remember that so we're going to call you something else, because I can't call you "Sandra", it's too difficult to pronounce or whatever, you know.

So it might seem to some people as we are now pals, but if I don't call myself that and it's you who come up with that name because you can't be bothered to learn my name, it's the intent is -- does not mitigate the fact that you've done that so. And the whole concept of intent is for me something that I think Police Scotland perhaps should look into to research the whole thing about intentions, because whether it's witting or unwitting, the hurt, the damage is still done.

And so we have this thing in relation to

Professional Standards in cases of discrimination that

we are obsessed with the motivation of why this person

did that to this other person and I know we want to put

a name to it, but it's inappropriate behaviour, whatever

it is, it's inappropriate behaviour that should not be allowed in the office or in the working environment.

And so you will have somebody does something that is inappropriate or bullying or harassing and I can't see the racial motivation. I don't care frankly. I think that person should go through -- that person should be admonished whatever the motivation, so the intent for me is by the way.

- Q. We've heard evidence from Steve Allen yesterday about the word "unwitting", which you just used in your answer and he said you can only be unwitting once and once someone has told you you're witting. And I wonder what you thought about that whether there's a role for once somebody is trained and told the intent is perhaps less relevant; I don't know, what do you think?
- A. Yes, I agree with him. You can only do it once. The minute you're told, you can't say, oh, I didn't know, you know, and that's one of the big things about probationer training and those kind of things, about letting probationers take time out to focus on what is witting and what is unwitting. Because invariably when you're doing your job as response officers out there, you will respond to the situation and if you're not in that mindset that I must act professional at all times, I must not do my job based on my stereotype, you lose

that. So every now and then we should take time out to
let people focus on the things that are important
professionally that you will need to make decisions on
in no time and that for me goes a long way about witting
and unwitting.

If you have been told about reacting to your stereotypes and that you sometimes have this thing in the back of your head that says, hey, let's not assume that this person walking through the Airport is a drug dealer or a terrorist. Just for a second, she might just be a regular black person walking and going on holidays, you know what I mean. So those things lead to racial profiling and all those dreadful things that minority ethnic people go through.

Q. The next area of questioning that I want to move on to is about potential barriers to raising complaints of discrimination and what happens when a complaint is raised. You spoke before the break in one of your answers about the difficulty some members of SEMPER had speaking up where the perpetrator was powerful or a dominant person on their shift or in a group.

And I wanted just to look at a document, it's document PS 18903, and it will appear in front of you. So you'll see here that what we have is a slide pack and it's a presentation and we can see on the first slide

1		that it's dated November 2023 entitled:
2		"Attitudes towards and perceptions of institutional
3		discrimination within Police Scotland among
4		Police Scotland colleagues."
5		And the context of this presentation is that
6		following the Chief Constable's statement on
7		institutional racism last year, an internal survey was
8		undertaken into colleague's views and responses.
9		I don't know if you're aware of the survey.
10	Α.	Yes, I am.
11	Q.	And this is a summary presentation giving headlines of
12		some of the results and observations based on those
13		results.
14		Could we turn, please, to page 5 of the document.
15		If we look at the second box on the page, second from
16		the left, it says:
17		"Those occupying a minoritised status or statuses
18		often perceive institutional discrimination as an issue
19		for the service. This perception is not seen across all
20		colleagues."
21		So bearing that in mind, can we also now look at
22		slide 9, page 9 of the document, and we have here some
23		further bulletpoints with observations and I'm going to
24		read the second bulletpoint on the slide. It says:
25		"Agreement that institutional discrimination is an

issue for Police Scotland is reported highest among 1 minority ethnic, excluding white minority respondents." 2 3 So the figures aren't provided in the document, but 4 we are told that the overarching impression to the 5 person who drafted this presentation given from the data was that there was a difference in view between black 6 7 and minority ethnic officers and staff and other respondents to the survey. And I wondered would that be 8 9 consistent with your experience that there's a 10 difference of view about whether there is a problem where the conduct is wrong but others don't see it as 11 12 such a problem? Definitely. It's widespread. If you are not -- there 13 Α. 14 are some people who find it very difficult to put 15 themselves in somebody else's shoe and I often use this in relation to misogyny. How many senior members of the 16 17 service would acknowledge misogyny, female would acknowledge misogyny, but find it incredulous that there 18 19 is racism, maybe because it doesn't happen to them. 20 This is my view and it doesn't have to happen to you 21 for it to be real and sometimes I am like how much 22 training have they got to know that if it doesn't happen to you, it doesn't mean that it's not -- it's not real. 23 And sometimes it's beyond training even. It's that you 24 just lack the necessary empathy and understanding and 25

- 1 knowledge of other people's issues.
- 2 Q. And when you say it's beyond training --
- 3 A. Yes.

18

19

20

21

- 4 Q. -- do you mean it can't be fixed by training or it's --
- 5 A. Sometimes -- I am going to be honest and I am giving you 6 Sandra's perspective is that.
- Q. We're interested in your perspective. That's why we're asking you to give evidence so please do.
- Yes, sometimes you just lack that empathy and there are 9 Α. 10 some roles that perhaps you should not be in, because they require empathy. For you to excel at it, it 11 12 requires empathy and, whether we like it or not, we do 13 live in a touchy-feely world and a lot people think this does not make you -- you lacking empathy doesn't exclude 14 15 you from being in that service role. I think it does, 16 me, Sandra, think you have to able to put yourself in that person's shoe. 17
 - Often times the role of a police officer, you -- it dehumanises you sometimes, because you see the excesses of human nature, so you have to put your emotions to one side to get the job done.
- 22 Q. And that's right and proper and you have to do that?
- A. Right and proper. However, there are times when you calling on that human side is going to get the job done and they're not mutually exclusive at all and some

1 people just don't get that. So things like EDI are seen to some people as soft, the softer side of policing, and 2 3 I call that 21st century policing. You know, that's how 4 you gather intelligence, that's how you deescalate 5 situations. You need to tap into that emotive side of 6 your personality. 7 And I remember Dame Elish, or Lady Angiolini as she's now called, talking about, you know, people being 8 9 fit for a role, and they're doing that in other 10 countries where they have people with particular lived experiences being suited for particular jobs, because 11 12 being alive to emotions make you do that job better. 13 Can we look at your witness statement, please, page 14, Q. 14 which will be question 30 towards the bottom of the page 15 when we get there. So at question 30 you were asked if there were any aspects of the practice or culture of 16 17 Police Scotland that had a directly or indirectly 18 discriminatory impact on black and minority ethnic 19 officers. 20 And if we go down to your responses, you talk first 21 about banter, name calling and we've discussed those 22 things before the break and just after the break, but you go on and this is what I'm looking at now, at 23 24 subparagraph (b):

"Challenging inappropriate behaviour.

25

"The fear of isolation, reprisals and career 1 stagnation is so deep-seated that very few people 2 3 will challenge their peers or line managers when they've been victims/witnesses of racism." 4 We've heard evidence from Professor Meer in relation 5 to a dataset from Survation that he included in his 6 7 report that about 60 per cent of the people who reported having experienced discrimination said that they hadn't 8 9 done anything about it and here I'm quoting from what Professor Meer said in evidence. He said: 10 "So if it happened in the workplace, they didn't 11 12 report it to a line manager. If it happened in an 13 educational setting, they didn't report it to a tutor. 14 If it happened in the street, they didn't report it to 15 the police." And he was asked by senior counsel whether that was 16 17 equivalent to doing nothing about it and Professor Meer's evidence was that: 18 "It was making sure it didn't intrude on their life 19 20 in a way which it becomes everything. I don't think 21 people are laying down and not speaking about these things, but I think they're also choosing their battles, 22 if I'm honest." 23 24 That passage of evidence was put to Paul Castledine and he said: 25

1 "I think, you know, looking back when you're doing a 2 job that you love, you have a family to support, you 3 will do anything not to ruin that so it's easier 4 certainly to do nothing about it." 5 And I wondered if there was any resonance between those excerpts from evidence and the experience of 6 7 SEMPER members to your knowledge? Yes, they are. It's about having a quiet life, it's 8 Α. 9 about not being seen as a troublemaker, "ah, playing the race card"; "ah, chip on your shoulders." It's always 10 turned on the victim as if you are going out looking for 11 12 racism. 13 You know, people come and said "I don't want to 14 sound like I'm looking for it" and I'm like "Who gets up 15 in the morning looking for racism?" you know. It's also the biggest part of it is about exclusion, because the 16 17 minute you report bad behaviour or inappropriate behaviour directed at you, you are immediately isolated. 18 19 Nobody wants what's happening to you to happen to them, 20 nobody wants to be seen as your friend, so you somehow 21 agree with that person. And especially if the person is 22 a higher rank than yourself, it is just not seen as the thing to do, because there will be reprisals. 23 Nobody wants -- and even just witnesses, they'll 24 25 know, but they have seen what speaking up has done to

you, so they're reluctant to have the same treatment.

So, you know, I have officers who will talk about nobody speaking to them the whole shift, the whole ten hours or whatever, not a single person speaks to them and how they feel, the stress that has on you, because you have reported bad behaviour. It's a decision that people -- a lot of BME officers are very reluctant to take.

- Q. And you mentioned witnesses, so would that be people who weren't direct recipients of racist behaviour but who could corroborate that it had occurred? Just tell us a little bit more about their position.
- A. Yes, that's a big problem, corroborating the evidence, massive, because nobody wants to say it's written down to say it was you, your statement was that you saw that, and nobody wants to be that person, you are a snitch, you are a this, you know, it's rife. And everybody just wants to belong at the end of the day.

You know we have been talking about how we go about addressing -- protecting people who speak up and it's something we're working on with Police Scotland and, in particular, I was working with DCC Taylor on that about how we go about making sure that there are no reprisals and everybody knows that we'll be watching the treatment of people who come forward to challenge inappropriate behaviour.

- On that theme there was one more document I wanted to 1 Q. show to you. Could we please have SBPI 00643 on screen. 2 3 You'll see here a report to the Scottish Police 4 Authority and it's a report by the Equality, Diversity, 5 Inclusion and Human Rights Independent Review Group that we have heard during the hearing is often referred to as 6 7 the "IRG"; and are you aware of the IRG? Yes, they have been to our meetings to talk to our 8 Α. 9 members. 10 Q. If we go to the next page -- and sorry, before we do, we can see the date of the report is 25 May 2023. And 11 12 we'll see on the next page that this is the first 13 imprisonment report and it is a group established by 14 Police Scotland in 2021 whose work is ongoing?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. You said that the IRG spoke to SEMPER members?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Did SEMPER have some input into this report? Were you consulted on it?
- 20 A. No, it's an independent report, but they have come and
 21 listened to our members and ask me a number of things
 22 about training and cases of discrimination and stuff so,
 23 yes, they have.
- Q. You're consulted in the sense that they asked your input, but not on what the report should say?

1 A. Yes.

- 2 Q. It was my clumsy.
- A. No, I'm not aware of the bits they have taken for their full report, but they have spoken to us.
 - Q. Can we go within the report then, please, to page 9 and
 I want to look at paragraphs 5.8 and 5.9. So this is in
 a section about complaints and grievances and the report
 authors say:

"We encountered a degree of scepticism and even outright fear about raising concerns at all, either informally or formally, because it can just lead to the person being moved and the issue being avoided. We've heard of people being punished for raising issues or concerns, for example being sidelined within teams or moved to a less convenient location. We also heard of poor behavior being known and seen in plain sight with no action being taken; a viscous circle of the personnel affected not having the confidence to report concerns, peers not speaking up and managers not taking action, exacerbated where the concern relates to a manager."

And is that consistent with the evidence that you've just been giving to the Chair and the Inquiry?

A. Definitely, it's spot on. It's something that is endemic and we need to sit down and we need to do some bold moves to protect people, to let people think that

1 speaking up is not the wrong thing to do.

And it's amazing because, you know, I find this very, very weird and the role as somebody who's an officer, an officer of the law, somebody who challenges bad behaviour every day of the week out in the public, that they will turn a blind eye to something happening right beside them and I often say to them, you've taken an oath to serve — to serve your communities. There is an internal community and the person beside you is a member of your community. Why would you — you would arrest somebody for behaving like that, but you wouldn't say anything to that. That is how deep-rooted that fear of reprisal is.

So you have people who are -- who some would say are good people, but I'm one of them who say maybe you're not so good, because your role is to challenge bad behaviour in all communities, to all publics that you serve, the internal public, the external public, and you would have somebody being harassed and abused everyday and you say nothing. So I'm a bit straight on that one.

- Q. So you've mentioned that you serve or the officers serve the internal public, the external public?
- 23 A. Yes.

Q. You've talked about people being afraid of reprisals and witnessing harassment and abuse and not speaking up?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And you've given examples in relation to where you might
- 3 have witnessed a colleague having a bad experience but
- 4 not coming forward to corroborate. I'm just interested
- 5 in the last part of your last answer in relation to
- 6 external as well. In your view is there an issue with
- 7 witnessing behaviour towards members of the public and
- 8 being afraid to complain about that or report it?
- 9 A. Is that for police officers you are saying?
- 10 Q. Yes. So I wondered what you were talking about when you
- 11 talked about external communities, are you talking about
- 12 police officers who see their colleagues treating
- members of the public badly and being afraid to speak up
- or report it?
- 15 A. Well, that is a part of it as well, but I was talking
- about witnessing it outside, meaning one member of the
- public doing it to the next. So breach of the peace,
- swearing and abusing somebody because of their identity
- 19 or their difference, they would be arrested, but they
- 20 don't do that internally and I am like you're not
- 21 fulfilling your role, you're not going according to the
- 22 oath that you have taken, because that person is -- is a
- 23 member of your community, of a community.
- 24 Q. My apologies. I had misconstrued your earlier answer.
- 25 So you were comparing the way that police officers will

- 1 maintain safety and standards when they're policing the 2 public --3 Α. Externally, yes. 4 -- externally and they ought to do the same internally Q. 5 in your view; is that correct? Definitely, and that has always been something that I 6 Α. have put out there very, very often. Everybody in 7 policing know that's how I feel about people challenging 8 bad behaviour is that that is your job, you are to 9 10 protect people, that's why you joined the service and invariably they always say that when you ask them why 11 12 have you decided to join the service, it's to keep 13 communities safe and protect people from harm. 14 In fact, it used to be a joke amongst us about hate 15 crime that we often say sometimes you should just leave the office, go through the front bar, ring the bell and 16 17 say I would like to report a hate crime, because it is 18 not taken as seriously internally as it is externally. 19 And that is something that I'm hoping to work on with 20 Police Scotland and I know that they are, you know, 21 willing to work with me on this one. In terms of avenues for reporting, you have spoken about 22 Q. how SEMPER itself provides an avenue and people come to 23 24 you?

Α.

Yes.

25

- Q. And you talk in your statement about third party
 reporting meaning is it SEMPER is the third party in
 that sort of triangular relationship?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. We understand from the evidence of Sir Iain Livingstone,
 amongst others, that Police Scotland also has an online
 whistleblowing mechanism called "Your Integrity

 Matters"; is that something you're aware of?
- 9 A. Yes, I'm aware of it. However, we haven't got any
 10 figures around that and how many of them are based on
 11 racial discrimination. I have asked for it and I was
 12 told that I would be getting something, but we're not -13 I don't know anyone personally who has done that.
 - Q. So anecdotally from the experience of your members who are speaking to you, and I suppose they're members of SEMPER so they know they can come to SEMPER --
- 17 A. Yes.

14

15

16

- Q. -- so it's not an unbiased sample, but you haven't had
 people telling you that Your Integrity Matters is the
 way they would raise discrimination?
- A. Well, I've not had a single officer come to me and told
 me they have raised it via that and I suspect it would
 go straight to Professional Standards and it would be
 dealt with via that method, but I am not -- I wouldn't
 know of the outcomes or anything of the sort, but I have

- raised that -- that we should perhaps be -- be given

 data around that, so we're seeing the big picture rather

 than just the other ones so ...
- Q. We've heard evidence from former DCC Fiona Taylor, as you know, and she talked about reporting mechanisms.
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. She talked about the whistleblowing process and
 procedures, which we have just touched on, and she also
 talked about staff associations such as SEMPER and had
 words of praise for the staff associations.
- 11 A. Good.
- 12 Q. And she said:
- "Those lines of reporting [meaning third-party
 reporting via a staff association] have been firmed up
 over the last couple of years to ensure that nobody has
 to suffer in silence."
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And I just wondered how we reconcile that you've told us
 about the great difficulty people have coming forward,
 are things now at the point where nobody has to suffer
 in silence or is there still a problem?
- A. Well, that -- the subcultures have not changed. I mean
 Police Scotland, we talk about bold moves, they have
 taken that bold move about looking at culture, big
 review of culture, and I am going to be involved in a

lot of that work. We talk about the subcultures, the

canteen culture, that hasn't changed on the ground

really, sadly. That will take time. It's something

that will not happen overnight and we need to be alive

to that, but it's -- it's less about talking about the

subculture and what do we do when people are victims of

that subculture. That is -- that is where I sit.

What happens to the perpetrators all the time? Do we just give them a tongue lashing? Do we just or do we do something bold? That is where I sit at the moment.

If we want culture change, we have to do bold things.

- Q. That leads me quite nicely to the next set of questions that I want to ask you, which are about once somebody has overcome the barriers and made a complaint, whether via SEMPER or to their line manager direct to the organisation, what happens next, so I'm going to ask you some questions about that.
- 18 A. Yes, okay.

Q. And I would like to look at your witness statement again, please. It's page 10 of your statement, and we'll look at your answers to question 19. So what you're talking about here is barriers to retention of police officers and reasons why police officers from black and minority ethnic backgrounds might be leaving the service earlier than they otherwise would. And I'm

1	particularly interested in subparagraphs B and C, which
2	I'll read, so B is:
3	"Perceived lack of procedural justice.
4	"The response for reprisals and distress associated
5	with challenging discrimination and unfair treatment has
6	negatively impacted the career outlook for many BME
7	officers and staff, resulting in them resigning. The
8	failure to effectively address discrimination when
9	flagged is a common cause."
10	And then you go on at C to say in relation to
11	canteen subculture:
12	"The frequency of discriminatory acts being
13	dismissed as banter has broken the spirit, confidence
14	and expectations of some of our members."
15	And before I ask you a question, I would also just
16	like to pick up on page 12 of your statement, your
17	answer to question 22. At question 22 you were asked if
18	there were common themes or trends in the experiences of
19	black and minority ethnic officers and staff interacting
20	with the Professional Standards Department and I'm
21	interested in your you give a list of common themes,
22	but at subparagraph E you say that:
23	"The proof of burden in cases of racial
24	discrimination is far greater than that for other
25	conduct issues."

1	Α.	Yes.

- Q. Starting there, in what way is the burden of proof held

 out as higher in cases of race discrimination than in

 other conduct issues in your experience?
 - A. Because it's more difficult to prove. It's always more difficult to prove, and especially now that it's -- it's so subtle, overt, and it's totally gone underground. Everybody knows that, you know, to say something, you know regular name calling, and I have put that in my statement as well, you are going to be disciplined for racism or racial discrimination so it's underground.

We -- they use -- a lot of people who have issues with people from a different identity, race, or otherwise, they use -- they manipulate systems to get the outcomes they want.

So for instance, burden of proof, the thing for me and PSD and I often talk to them about it is it's so insidious, racism or discrimination for that matter, that you have to be clever, you need to box clever as well, so you need to have the skills to box clever. I used to find people who are discriminated against they would ask -- this is the investigation would be asking the victim what happened, asking the perpetrator what happened, and that's it, and -- and I'm like we need to dig a little deeper.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My thing right now is we need to box clever. We need to look at past behaviours, we need to look at communication systems, we need to look at your -- your attitudes. Do you ever speak to that person? If you don't -- you don't even say good morning to that person. Chances are, you don't value them, you don't see them as belonging in that shift, you don't see them. You need to go wider in my thing and, sadly, sometimes it's just a "he said, she said" and I'm like, we can't do that in issues relating to identity, so not just for race but for LGBTI or anything else. We have to put some resources behind it, we have to do proper in -- proper investigative process to actually find out what we're dealing with. And that will not only get the facts of the case, but it will -- it will give confidence to more people to come up and challenge that kind of behaviour, and also increase the sense of procedural justice. You can't just ask the perpetrator and, you know. So it's a thing that we're working on and I know

So it's a thing that we're working on and I know that there are -- there are regulations about some of the things that can be done in conduct and discipline, but I think there's scope for us to do a wee bit more and in that new role we are going to explore those things and I now have regular meetings with Professional Standards about how can we firm up these processes that

- 1 we miss nothing.
- Q. When you say "regulations" do you mean the 2014 Conduct
- 3 Regulations?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And in what way are they a barrier to making
- 6 improvements you want to make or in what way do you feel
- you need to work around them to make the improvements
- 8 you want to make?
- 9 A. Because there are a lot of things that they can't do
- 10 that the police won't do unless it's criminal and
- 11 sometimes I think we perhaps need to look at how close
- we could come to doing those things that are -- just
- even WhatsApp things. You know, they don't do that
- 14 unless it's criminal, but I think there are some cases
- that should be judged on its merits and it would go a
- lot to improving the confidence that minority ethnic
- officers have in the -- in the discipline and conduct
- 18 arena.
- 19 Q. I'm going to ask for another document to be put on
- screen, please. It's SBPI 00501. You have referred to
- 21 Lady Angiolini already.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And I know that SEMPER contributed to her 2020
- 24 Independent Review of Complaints Handling Investigations
- 25 and Misconduct Issues in Relation to Policing, which has

just come up on screen for us now.

2 Could we please look at page 145 of the PDF, it's

3 page 144 of the report, and paragraph 9.49. So

4 Lady Elish, as I understand it, had spoken to minority

ethnic officers, including some from SEMPER; is that

6 right?

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes.

Q. She said:

"It was felt by minority ethnic officers that they could face complaints from the public or internally, but those complaints would be investigated by people who had little understanding of racial matters and that when they complain of internal racism, the finding is not found. Worryingly, the review was told by officers that a bit of name calling was expected. In the more serious misconduct cases, often the racial element was dropped by PSD on the basis that it's just part of how we talk and because people don't want the extra work involved. The review was also told that frequently the organisational response was to move people rather than deal with the issuing. The review was subsequently given a categorical assurance by Police Scotland that pursuing an allegation of racist behaviour was nonnegotiable and would only be dropped where there was no evidence."

- 1 So this is a snapshot from 2020. First of all, do
- 2 you recognise that snapshot of the PSD and the approach
- 3 taken by the PSD at that time?
- 4 A. At that time, that might well be true. I know now that
- if I have a case of racism, it will not be dropped and
- 6 it's --
- 7 Q. So there has been an improvement in?
- 8 A. Definitely, and I think Police Scotland or the people
- 9 within the senior leaders in Police Scotland would be
- 10 very reluctant to drop something about racism right now.
- Back then, that was the norm, and this -- this happened
- from supervisor level, you know, what I mean, and "ah,
- can you two not just go in a corner and work it out" or
- something like that, rather than we will bring that to
- the powers or the people who have the power to do
- something about that. That was the case. It's getting
- much, much better.
- 18 Q. And if I was to ask you to look further back in time?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. As at 2015, would it have been more like the description
- 21 that Lady Angiolini has given here?
- 22 A. Yes, I definitely think so, yes.
- 23 Q. Thinking about things now, given the improvement that
- you've described, if someone were to report the more
- 25 serious type of racist behaviour you've described to the

- Inquiry, so if someone was to complain that a colleague or senior officer had lied about them in order to get them into trouble or deny them a promotion because of their race, if that were to be reported now, would that be taken seriously?
- 6 A. Definitely.

25

- 7 Q. And what would happen?
- A. There would be an investigation for sure and, you know,
 the outcome would depend on the facts that were
 garnered, so the outcome is never assured, but it would
 be taken seriously, I am very sure of that.

12 There are instances and I look in this where people 13 talk about moving the victim rather than the 14 perpetrator, it's not as black and white as that sound; 15 no pun intended. It is sometimes you have to move the victim, because it's -- the behaviours are so insidious 16 17 in that little group, but also for that person's peace of mind and mental health. I would never say we should 18 sacrifice an officer's mental health to prove a point. 19 20 We will leave him there and I would never do that. If 21 it is causing that much stress, my responsibility to that officer is about his mental wellbeing as versus 22 proving a point. So I would never sacrifice an officer 23 for that. 24

So to put it in the -- you know some people think

1 you're always moving me, it's not my -- but it is something that we must be alive to and be aware of that 2 3 there's no within one size fits all. They're officer 4 who are deeply, deeply bothered. I have officers who 5 are afraid to put their uniform on, who have said I can't put that uniform back on. Do you know what I 6 7 mean? Because they associate it with being in a stressful 8 Q. 9 situation? 10 Α. Yes, there are officers who can't drive by stations. I have two officers right now who can't drive by the 11 12 station, because they get anxious, the anxiety, because of what happened to them in that station, so --13 And without going into details of people's personal 14 Q. 15 cases, is that people who are traumatised by instances of racist conduct towards them? 16 Yes, and their treatments at that particular locus so 17 Α. 18 it's -- it's sacrificing people because we don't want to 19 move the victim is not -- is not for me. It's a case by 20 case. 21 That's helpful to understand, thank you. So you've Q. 22 explained that the more serious example you gave earlier would now be taken seriously. 23 To take another example you gave, if someone made a 24 complaint that colleague in their -- on their shift had 25

- said they couldn't pronounce their name and decided to
- 2 give them a nickname instead and they were unhappy about
- 3 this, and complained to Professional Standards, would
- 4 that be taken seriously?
- 5 A. I don't know if they would go as far as take it to
- 6 Professional Standards.
- 7 Q. Okay. So what would happen?
- 8 A. They would probably take it to their line manager or
- 9 something.
- 10 Q. Okay. So they would take it to their line manager and
- 11 then what would happen?
- 12 A. And they would probably treat it as a grievance, rather
- than a conduct issue. I don't know if that would be
- 14 tolerated frankly. I can't see it in 2024 being
- tolerated that somebody is calling you a name. I had an
- incident where probationers were calling each other
- names at the college, which is shocking.
- Q. At Tulliallan where they're training is this?
- 19 A. Yes, within their three weeks -- three months, sorry,
- 20 12-week stay and, you know -- well, I know I for one
- 21 would have fired them, but hey ho.
- Q. What did happen to them?
- 23 A. I think they were eventually disciplined, because the
- 24 probationer came to us, and I brought it to the highest
- 25 level, because I thought that at the very least they

were too immature to be police officers, and I wouldn't

want to put them out on the streets with members of the

public for them to call them names based on their

identities, but I'm almost sure they were subject to the

conduct regs. I haven't checked in to see how far they

are at the moment, but these things happen.

- 7 Again, I don't want to go too far into the details of Q. people's personal cases, but I just want to check that 8 9 we're all understanding the level of severity that's 10 being discussed. There's an example where I can't pronounce your name "Sandra", so I'll call you "Sam" or 11 12 something like that, just to give an example, which 13 you've explained very clearly why that is an othering 14 thing to do to something?
- 15 A. Yes, it is.

- Q. Is this example you're talking about that where I can't pronounce your name so I'm calling another name or is it calling someone by an offensive name?
- 19 A. By an offensive name, oh, God, yes.
- Q. The example you're giving where there was in the end a
 disciplinary outcome is for calling someone an offensive
 name related to their identity rather than just
 mispronouncing a name?
- A. Oh, no. I'm not talking -- that's just an aside.

 That's just a bit of a micro-aggression, but this -- the

- one I'm talking about, I'll give you the example

 earlier, is a racial name. It's a name because of who

 you are that relates to his colour and his visible

 minority ethnic and just totally inappropriate for no
- And that was a sad thing is that they didn't even know the officer and it's a laugh, it's a joke and you would do that for 12 weeks and you want to talk about treating people with dignity and respect, so they were put through the conduct system.
- 11 Q. And was that a recent example?

reason.

5

19

20

21

- 12 A. Yes, recent enough. I know now for a fact that people
 13 have been disciplined in the service as probationers
 14 right now for name calling outwith that one case that I
 15 was talking about. I know that just based on the case
 16 that I brought to senior management is they have another
 17 one has happened and they have taken that person out, so
 18 I know it's taken seriously.
 - Q. And in your view are the disciplinary sanctions applied in these sorts of cases sufficient to, first, stop the perpetrator doing it again and, second, send a message that it wouldn't be accepted?
- A. Yes, it's definitely sent a message or the other one wouldn't have come about. People would just laugh about it without reporting it and so I think, yes, we need to

1 send the right message, but we need to also 2 appropriately admonish that kind of behaviour so 3 everybody gets the message. 4 Q. So somebody making a very serious allegation about 5 career limiting lies, that will be taken seriously? 6 Well, I would hope so. Α. 7 In your experience or in your view? Q. Not all the time, I'm afraid. 8 Α. Not all the time. Okay. Tell me about that? 9 Q. 10 Α. No, but I do have cases of people lying, openly lying, about people who they have wittingly discriminated 11 12 against that nothing has been done about them because --13 And I why is nothing done in that sort of case? Q. 14 I have no idea to be fair. I am still wrestling with Α. 15 some of it, but we do have cases like that where there perhaps isn't sufficient proof, but there is enough 16 17 proof in my eyes for them to take some action. So those 18 cases are never closed. 19 And does that come down to the difficulty you alluded to Q. 20 earlier in cases of racism of proving motivation or is 21 it some other issue of proof? 22 Yes, yes, yes. So, yes. I, you know, there -- there Α. are a lot of variables, so it's about who is 23

investigating the case, how serious they think it is at

the time, and that's why this role about this thing

24

1 about looking at cases and the fairness and do you know the message it is sending to everybody, all the other 2 3 employees were sitting watching it. That's why that --4 this role is so important. That somebody who has looked 5 at with different perspective can say to Police Scotland, I actually don't think this was managed 6 7 properly or this sent the message about the values of policing and stuff, so I'm quite excited about doing 8 that. 9 10 Q. Is that something you can do in your new role that you couldn't have done as general secretary of SEMPER? 11 12 Α. Yes. I just want to go back for a moment to the example where 13 Q. 14 someone is mispronouncing someone's name and sort of 15 deliberately giving them an easier name to say and that is causing hurt and upset to the recipient. You said 16 17 that would be dealt with through the grievance process. 18 Say the grievance is upheld and the HR officer or HR 19 Department managing the grievance person says, yes, you 20 have hurt their feelings, you shouldn't continuously 21 mispronounce this person's name, you should treat them 22 with respect. Would that result in any disciplinary sanction against the perpetrator? 23 First, let me just tell you, micro-aggressions don't 24 Α. normal lead to there, so I have never known about 25

- somebody calling somebody a name that would take. I was

 just giving that as an example of a micro-aggression

 that would say, "ah, I can't be bothered to pronounce

 your name."
 - Q. It's a hypothetical example?

5

21

22

23

24

- Yes, but in terms of calling you a name that is -- that 6 Α. 7 has a link racially, that would go straight to PSD, but we're talking about you undermining the person then or 8 harassing the person all the time or doing, you know, 9 10 discriminating against them for whatever reason and the person is thinking I have an issue with Richard 11 12 constantly undermining me, constantly saying things to 13 put me down or racial stereotypes or whatever, that 14 would go to grievance and the outcome of the grievance 15 might have issues in there that the investigating officer would think would lead to conduct, would be a 16 17 breach of conduct, and then that would go up to PSD. So 18 a grievance is not just sits with HR all the time. 19 There are instances during that grievance that has come out it would go on to Professional Standards. 20
 - Q. I'm just interested in the line where that would happen.

 So I mentioned earlier former DCC Fiona Taylor's

 evidence that you might have -- she was discussing what

 might classify as a micro-aggression. You might have

 not sitting next to someone in the canteen, you might

- have asking them where they're really from, because they're black and not seen as Scottish and she then said:
- 4 "Above that, where you get to explicit racial language, that would go to the discipline space."
- 6 A. Definitely.
- Q. How about the kind of top of her micro-aggression

 category, asking someone "But where are you really

 from?" is that something that you see as part of the

 discipline space or not?
- 11 A. I wouldn't necessarily think that is, unless it comes
 12 with a lot of other things.
- Q. Because it's about the context?
- If you know what I mean. There are naturally people who 14 Α. 15 are just curious about you and there's a big difference between levels of curiosity about you and this kind of 16 17 making you feel like you're not one of them. It's 18 something you can't explain. I often say to people, you 19 know, I would go into a room and I could immediately 20 look at the people who are glaring at me as versus the 21 people who are staring at me and it's something that 22 people from a minority group know. You know when people are curious and when they are unwelcoming. 23
- Q. When you have that kind of conduct, which could be more or less troubling depending on the context and the

circumstances, that's not looked at by PSD?

1

2 Α. Yes. And sounds like probably not even the subject of a 3 Q. 4 grievance? 5 No, it's -- it's the officer's prerogative to take out a Α. 6 grievance. There are some instances where you would --7 it's just a discussion with line managers and both parties, to say, you know, "blah, doesn't appreciate 8 9 that" or whatever and some people all they want is for 10 that to happen. Remember, going into get a grievance it's always 11 12 dependent on the victim. Do you want to make it formal? 13 However, there are some instances where if it -- there 14 are things that during a discussion that they'll find 15 out that the supervisor will take the action that this is too important to let go and then the supervisor 16 17 escalates it into Professional Standards. 18 Q. So you have spoken about the importance of pattern 19 recognition? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. And digging a bit deeper and not taking cases in isolation. So what I am interested in is is there a 22 means or mechanism by which persistent low-level type of 23 24 behaviour that wouldn't find its way into the contact space can be monitored so that you know when you have an 25

- instance of potential misconduct that this is someone 1 2 who has been continuously harassing or misnaming or 3 upsetting ethnic minority officers in the past; is there 4 a way of knowing? That's one of the things we have spoken to 5 Α. Professional Standards about now and just about even a 6 7 month ago that we spoke about that gap, because it might start off as this is not -- this is just a one off and 8 I don't necessarily want to make a big thing out of it 9 10 and so nothing is formalised. So the next person coming, the next supervisor would not have known that it 11 12 had happened, so it's about capturing those. 13 We spoke to head of PSD about that gap and I have done it in the past, speaking about we need to have a 14 15 system there. And I know that the Federation we perhaps have different views on that, because it is -- it's 16 17 about do you hold information about things that are resolved or by the way, or things -- is that fair to the 18 19 officer? You can see how it might be prejudicial if these things 20 Q. 21 haven't been formally investigated. 22 Precisely. Α. That you're holding information that might be adverse to 23 Q.
- 25 A. Yes, but there is a way -- there should be way that we

24

them.

1 can capture that and so that's one of the things we have put to Professional Standards is about working with the 2 3 staff association about putting a mechanism that would 4 be fair to both parties, because we need to capture 5 persistent perpetrators, we need to. Q. On the topic of disciplinary systems, 6 7 Sir Iain Livingstone gave evidence that he said and I 8 quote: 9 "On the issue around micro-aggressions, the 10 assessment that was made what the Professional Standards would also do clearly would be to look to see a pattern 11 12 so it might not just be one. If there was more than one 13 instance perhaps of reporting, even if those were in 14 relative terms -- and I use it simply as shorthand -- a 15 low-level issue, but actually if there was a series of apparently low level other issues, well, that would 16 cause a level of further inquiry." 17 And I just wondered if that approach that he's 18 describing of aggregating lower level issues is 19 20 something -- this is the piece of work that you're 21 working on with Professional Standards at the moment? Yes, these are the kinds of things that we have been 22 Α. calling for for a long time and we are now getting to 23 look at that kind of behaviour and putting something in 24 place to capture that. 25

1	Q.	And just to be clear, this is something that you're
2		working on for the future that's not quite in place at
3		the present time?
4	A.	Yes, it's not quite in place just yet. So there is
5		something that say, yes, they should be dealt with,
6		instances should be dealt with in their own right, but
7		there are also this space, especially around people's
8		identity, and how you treat people who you think are
9		different according to your stereotypes and your issues
10		that you have dealing with especially minority groups
11		that we should investigate.
12		And for me that takes an it's not just
13		Police Scotland internally, that takes things like
14		racial profiling in service delivery and those things.
15		You know, we need to somebody says "this officer
16		racially profiled me", that needs to be taken seriously
17		and look at everything that that officer has done in the
18		past. That's the only way you'll find out if that's a
19		pattern of behaviour and if he's fit to be representing
20		the service.
21	Q.	If you could just give me a moment. I note the time.
22	LOR	D BRACADALE: We'll stop for lunch now and sit again at
23		2 o'clock.
24	(12	.59 pm)
25		(Luncheon adiournment)

1 (2.04 pm)2 LORD BRACADALE: Ms Barrett. 3 MS BARRETT: Thank you. Before the break we were discussing 4 the disciplinary system in Police Scotland. I have got 5 just two more questions on that topic before I move on to another topic. So the first is in relation to an 6 7 example you gave in your evidence this morning to do with stereotyping and you talked about a person walking 8 9 through an airport being judged as a possible drug 10 dealer or a terrorist, when in fact it's just a black woman walking through the airport. 11 12 My question is, where stereotypical assumptions like that translate into conduct, so for example a police 13 14 officer stops that black woman walking through the 15 airport based on a stereotypical assumption rather than real events or suspicion, is there scope for using the 16 17 2014 Conduct Regulations to address that conduct based 18 on stereotyping? A. I don't know if it has ever been used and had ever 19 20 been -- would be considered, because the assumption now 21 is that it's not random, it's intelligence led. I don't 22 know how many people have been forced to say what intelligence you have on this person. There are no 23 figures to say that and unless a member of the public 24 comes along and says that, you will -- I wouldn't be 25

Τ		made aware of that.
2	Q.	And the second question is do you think there would be
3		merit in moving responsibility for the disciplinary
4		processes as a whole away from Police Scotland and to an
5		independent body sitting outside Police Scotland?
6	Α.	I think there is a place for that for sure. There will
7		be the worry that an independent person might not have
8		the technical knowledge to know what to look for about
9		police systems and stuff, but I actually do think there
10		should be an independent oversight of some of the cases
11		involving people from different races. I think it's
12		terribly important that some of it look outwith
13		Police Scotland, terribly important.
14	Q.	Thank you. So I'm going to move on to the topic of
15		recruitment, retention and promotion. And can we look
16		back at your witness statement, please, which is SBPI
17		you have it.
18		Can we go to page 9 and look at question 18. So at
19		question 18 you were asked:
20		"How does race impact recruitment of officers and
21		staff to Police Scotland?"
22		And just over the top of page 10 you gave a
23		bulletpoint list of the factors affecting members of the
24		public from BME communities when they're thinking about
25		joining the service. And you list:

1		"Historical perceptions.
2		"Lived experiences of negative interactions where
3		the police.
4		"Local, national and international media reports on
5		police injustice.
6		"The experiences of family and friends from other
7		countries where police misconduct, corruption and
8		brutality are widespread.
9		"A perception of bullying and harassment of black
10		and minority ethnic communities by the police and fear
11		of being ostracised by family and friends."
12		And what I wanted to ask you about is is there a
13		link between the perception that black and minority
14		ethnic communities in Scotland have about the way they
15		are policed and Police Scotland's ability to recruit
16		from those communities?
17	A.	Yes, they're directly linked. And it's not it's not
18		a surprise and especially as a social media, everything
19		else, we're now being filmed and they see how people
20		respond to innocent black people. It is it is
21		something that has affected recruitment and one of the
22		major things about recruitment that I have been trying
23		to explain for many a year is the people that are in the
24		service are your ambassadors, you treat them right and
25		it will organically grow. You don't need to have teams

1 going out to look at minority ethnic -- to get minority ethnic recruits. Their kids will join, their cousins 2 3 and aunties and uncles will join. 4 So it's about treating the people right, the people 5 who are there, because it's well-documented that, 6 particularly in policing, there is a direct link between 7 people having people in their families who are from the service and that have inspired them to join the service 8 and stuff so if you have -- if the people who are 9 10 currently there are not -- don't think that they have the same experiences or that their careers are awesome, 11 12 they will not inspire anybody in their communities to 13 join. Q. You have described a positive cycle where police 14 15 officers from black and minority ethnic communities have a good experience at work, talk to their family and 16 17 friends about that and their family and friends from the 18 same community are more likely to sign up to be police 19 officers? Definitely, just like in the dominant groups. 20 Α. 21 Q. Is that then the reverse is that true? 22 Definitely. Α. So if you have police officers from black and minority 23 Q. 24 ethnic communities who have a poor or discriminatory 25 experience at work go home tell their family and

1		friends, does that make it less likely that
2		Police Scotland will be able to recruit from those
3		communities?
4	Α.	Sadly, that is true and we have officers amongst us who
5		have openly said that they would not, because it is
6		because of the racism they have suffered. They don't
7		think they want to put their kids through that. So
8		it's, you know, it's directly linked and that's why it's
9		imperative that we treat the officers that we have with
LO		the respect and give them the sense of belonging that
L1		that they would want to go out there and be ambassadors
L2		for the service.
13	Q.	At page 5 of your statement, looking at question 9, you
L 4		give some details about statistics in Police Scotland.
L5		You say:
L 6		"Unfortunately, Police Scotland has changed the
L7		classification of race/ethnic groups, so a real
L8		comparison is problematic. However, in 2015 the total
L9		number of BME officers, support staff and special
20		constables was 1 per cent of the total workforce. Up
21		until 2018, we were only provided with percentages or
22		ratios and not actual numbers. The latest published
23		percentages show the number of black and minority ethnic
24		personnel is 1.57 per cent of the total workforce and
25		white minority ethnic personnel is 2.38 per cent."

So we talked this lunchtime about the latest census 1 figures that Professor Meer had given evidence about, 2 3 which was that the black and minority population of 4 Scotland, so not including white and minority ethnic 5 population of Scotland, is around about 7 per cent. It follows really, but do you agree, that there is some --6 7 still some underrepresentation of minority ethnic personnel in Police Scotland? 8 Oh, definitely, yes. I am not necessarily one for 9 Α. 10 numbers. So, you know, to me, I might have a different view from a lot of the people. So what happens when you 11 12 reach 7 per cent, you stop recruiting. You know what I 13 mean. It's about -- it's bigger than numbers, and so 14 I'm not hung up on it's 1.57 instead of 7. For me it's 15 about valuing people and everybody having the same experience of policing, so, yes, there is an 16 17 underrepresentation and it's -- I know I go against the 18 grain when I talk about representation at different 19 levels, because I am never sure that representation has 20 ever solved anything. 21 I think we need to go and treat everybody as 22 individuals rather than putting up one person to stand there. That's too much pressure on that one person for 23 a start and we as a minority group have too much to lose 24 to have the wrong people represent us, so I don't -- I'm 25

- not as hung up as many people, and I know it's a bit
- 2 controversial, that we get hung up on representation.
- Q. In your view it doesn't solve the problem --
- 5 A. It doesn't.
- 6 Q. -- to have ethnic minority people in the higher ranks?
- 7 Nonetheless, can I ask the question is the
- 8 underrepresentation of black and minority ethnic people
- 9 different at different levels of the organisation?
- 10 A. Definitely, definitely. I -- I also want to say that
- 11 we've never joined policing -- in Scotland we've never
- joined policing. We've never had a critical mass of
- ethnic minority people joining policing, so if it takes
- 14 a white officer, what, 15 years to become a sergeant and
- we have minority ethnic officers as chief
- superintendent, I don't think that's such a bad state of
- 17 affairs at the moment.
- 18 It's -- the big thing is are we giving everybody the
- 19 same opportunity to become a chief superintendent, if
- 20 you get what I mean? So that's -- that for me is -- is
- a big thing is that we've never had this critical mass
- 22 of people joining and people never started joining the
- 23 service in any great numbers until around in the 1990,
- if you know what I mean so.
- 25 Q. I promised you I would come back to the topic of

1 development opportunities and promotion, so now is that time. Can we look, please, at page 9 of the statement 2 3 and your answer to question 14 at the top of the page. 4 So question 14 is: "What, if any, challenges does SEMPER face?" 5 6 And then if we could look at the top of page 9, you 7 say: "The lack of data on the development of BME officers 8 and staff is a particularly concerning area for us. 9 10 monitoring and dissemination of data on the training and developmental opportunities of minority groups should be 11 12 a performance indicator for the service. In addition, 13 priority ought to be given to the full adoption, 14 analysis and reporting of exit interviews to better 15 inform management of the service of the predominant issues that are persuading BME officers and police staff 16 17 to leave." 18 So is it correct to say you're flagging an issue 19 here with unequal access to development opportunities? Well, I can only -- because there's no data around that, 20 Α. 21 I have to rely on the anecdotal evidence that I have 22 got. And what does that anecdotal evidence tell you? 23 Q. It is saying that they don't think they're getting the 24 Α. 25 same level of exposure to development as their white

1		counterparts. I am particularly worried about no data
2		surrounding that. We should be able to get how many
3		minority ethnic people were supported to go for
4		promotion, because you have to be supported, you can't
5		just see you can't just say I'm ready.
6	Q.	But I support you mean you need a line manager to sign
7		off your application?
8	Α.	A line manager to say you are ready, so it's something
9		that is unique to policing and so how many people
LO		were rejected, how many were supported, how many people
L1		do get trained in specialisms, at what stage of the
L2		promotion process do they fail, the ones that are
L3		supported? You know, we don't have that that data
L 4		that is that would identify the problem so we could
L5		deal with it. So I know I'm not a big fan of the lack
L6		of data that were published so that's another bit of
L7		concern for me.
L8	Q.	If we look on that issue in your statement at page 16,
L9		please, and your answer to question 36. You're asked:
20		"What are the metrics you consider relevant to data
21		monitoring of race and policing?"
22		And you have just explained that you have a concern
23		about data gathering in general and you say here:
24		"The useful metrics we would recommend be
25		disaggregated by race or ethnicity include:

1		"(a) subjects/victims of complaints and conduct and
2		discipline procedures;
3		"(b) subjects/victims of grievance;
4		"(c) training received;
5		"(d) hate crime and incidents against BME officers
6		and staff, contextual data versus graphs, raw data
7		showing locus, frequency and outcomes;
8		"(e) employees off work due to stress and mental
9		health issues;
10		"(f) officers supported to apply for promotion; and
11		"(g) grievances involving discrimination."
12		So all these things that SEMPER currently is not
13		able to access statistics or data relating to?
14	Α.	Yes, and if we do want to talk about equality of
15		opportunities we do need to have that kind of
16		information on hand. For instance, if we're talking
17		about promotion and development, if you have I'm
18		going to give you an instance, if you have courses that
19		you haven't been put on, it affects the positions you
20		can go for.
21		So for instance, we would sit around our table at
22		the executive meetings and you would have, how many
23		people have had a driving course? You would hear "oh,
24		my driving course was taken away three times from me."
25		Now, that might seem to someone as, ah, it's just a

1 However, if you don't have your driving course, you can't go for specialist positions, so you can't into 2 3 firearms, you can't be -- you know what I mean? So it's 4 those little things that makes people say they're not 5 developed and it makes sense, because you need to get those competencies before you can apply for certain 6 7 positions within the service. So if we -- if we had monitored training and 8 9 development, we would notice, um, you have a high number 10 of BME officers who haven't got their driving course. You see what I mean so ... 11 12 Q. If you were to say that there was an underrepresentation 13 of officers from black and minority ethnic backgrounds 14 at this particular rank, but you look at the 15 applications and you say, well, the reason why the white officer got that job and the black officer didn't was 16 17 because the white officer had the driving qualification and the black officer did not, you could look at that 18 19 and say, well, there's a concrete reason that has 20 nothing to do with race. Is what you're saying you have 21 to look a step earlier in order to identify the 22 patterns? A. Yes, you need to talk about the equality of 23 opportunities. Does everybody get a chance to go for 24 that course knowing full well that it would bar you from 25

applying for other things? So these are the little 1 2 things that you don't get if the data isn't 3 disaggregated correctly. You know what I mean? 4 So you see, oh, this month we had 200 officers 5 getting their driving course, you know. From this region we have X amount, and then no one in there was 6 7 BME officers. Then you know that you were going to have a problem when it comes to specialist units and so those 8 9 specialist units will not get the diversity they 10 deserve, if you know what I mean. It's those little things that if you don't have specific data, you cannot 11 12 analyse where -- why people -- why we're losing them, 13 why they don't feel developed, why they don't feel that 14 they're treated the same as everybody else. 15 And why at (f) is the metric you want officers supported Q. to apply for promotion, rather than officers succeeding 16 17 in getting a promotion; explain that distinction? It has to be, because many officers will tell you that, 18 Α. 19 oh, my supervisor hasn't supported me for whatever 20 reason they can't come up with, some of them will even 21 go as far as to say it's because of their race, and 22 about the affinity bias. I have spoken about that as well is that we're all programmed to align with people 23 who look like us, who are -- who have the same -- come 24 from the same background and everything else, unless you 25

1		are trained not to. So a lot of people think the
2		support system, the system of you need support from your
3		line manager to progress it's real.
4	Q.	We heard evidence from a former police officer who went
5		into psychology, Dr Pete Jones, and he talked about
6		affinity bias. He said:
7		"It's an underpinning bias and it is that if you
8		look like me, sound lie like me, share my background,
9		share my skin colour, I'm more likely to see you as one
L 0		of those groups positively, which conversely means that
L1		people who are not like you tend to be seen less
L2		positively and it underpins a lot of other biases, so
L3		other biases which then come in are driven by affinity
L 4		bias and the fact that we like people who look like us."
L5		And is that what you're referring to when you say
L 6		affinity bias?
L7	A.	Yes, that is real and a lot of people aren't even aware
L8		of it, if you know what I mean. And it's the same
L9		thing it's that very same thing that comes into
20		representation is that when you pick a female, we need
21		to put her there because we need to have representation,
22		and I'm using female, because it's normally the case.
23		She mirrors the men who are there because she needs to
24		become one of them to get on, so you see why the whole
25		concept of representation sometimes doesn't work. So

1		there are females who will tell you that. Some of the
2		worst bosses they have had are females because they need
3		to mirror the behaviours of the men so they fit in and
4		they can get on. So you know, it's a fine balance
5		about in dealing with affinity bias and a lot of
6		these things are not conscious.
7	Q.	I want to move on to recommendations so I make sure I
8		have time to cover with you recommendations for future
9		progress. We have already touched on data and that's up
10		on the screen at the moment. We've spoken about
11		Lady Angiolini's review in 2020. Lady Angiolini gave
12		evidence to this Inquiry as well, touching on her
13		recommendation in relation to data collection in
14		Police Scotland. She told us that:
15		"Proper data collection allows hotspots to be
16		identified, patterns to be spotted and allows analysis
17		to take place and then that analysis can lead into
18		learning which can result in fewer people dying."
19		And I wondered if you would agree with that as a
20		statement of the importance of good data collection?
21	A.	Definitely, and especially when you are in an
22		organisation as big as the police. You need to rely on
23		that because you have no personal knowledge of
24		everything that happens, so you need to talk about some
25		of the trends and look out for the people who are

1 marginalised.

And that was one of my recommendations to

Police Scotland in 2020 about if we are so concerned

about the effects of racism on policing, maybe it's time

we talk about -- we look at race in every aspect of

policing, not forever, but for a short time to say, do

we have a problem? We can't -- for instance, I know we

have spoken about Professional Standards Department for

instance, that we don't -- they don't have -- they don't

collect data on ethnicity. If we want to see that

police officers from a different minority background is

not disproportionately disciplined or they're not in the

system more than the white counterparts proportionately,

we need to track that.

I was very disappointed that we haven't -- still -we still are not able to do that and I am just like, if
this is important, we will do that. So I think every
part of policing should have that for a while to see
where we should put our efforts and our energies, the
things that are important. I think it's time that we
started using our data to improve services for the most
marginalised people in policing.

Q. You mentioned you made the recommendations in 2020 and I just want to put the document on screen, so it's WIT 0089. And it's a document entitled:

"A fairer, more inclusive police service." 1 And it's written -- it says at the top: 2 3 "In response to the tragic events of May 25, 2020 4 and the death of the George Floyd." And it is a set of proposals for Police Scotland and 5 could you just explain the circumstances in which this 6 7 document was put together? Yes. Well, it came about because of the scrutiny of 8 Α. 9 policing around the world. So it was not just in the 10 United Kingdom or in America, it was all over the world about how we police our minority ethnic communities and 11 12 about people having less confidence and trust in their 13 police service and so we thought it was important that 14 we bring Police Scotland their processes under review 15 and think this is a time for us to show Police Scotland how they could respond to that challenge, the challenge 16 17 of letting their communities, their minority ethnic communities, believe that they're being policed fairly. 18 So I sent this to Police Scotland and we did sit down 19 20 with the deputy chief and the chief. 21 So is that both Fiona Taylor and Iain Livingstone? Q. 22 Yes, Fiona Taylor and Iain Livingstone. Α. And can we, please, take a look at page 3 of the 23 Q. document and look at paragraph 6 and this was really to 24 25 check -- you mentioned that you made a recommendation in

1		2020 and it says at paragraph 6:
2		"In order to address the apparent lack of equal
3		opportunity and disproportionate development of minority
4		ethnic employees, all functions within
5		SPA/Police Scotland to record ethnicity. This will
6		enable the service to monitor, assess and take steps to
7		resolve instances of inequity when they occur."
8		And was this the recommendation that you were
9		referring to?
10	Α.	Yes, and this is even within the promotion system, the
11		assessment system, you know, assessing you for
12		promotion, within everything, if you're going to say
13		we're not biased, where's the proof, you know? So for
14		me it was a no-brainer.
15	Q.	And we've heard that Lady Angiolini also made a
16		recommendation about data collection in her 2020 report?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	And so you have expressed, is it fair to say, some
19		disappointment that data collection is not where you
20		want it to be at this point?
21	Α.	Yes, but also in terms of collecting data and analysing
22		it and disseminating it, this is a big thing, it's if we
23		are a part of the police family, for instance, SEMPER
24		Scotland, and we are a part of the police family and
25		we're working in partnership to be fairer, you need to

1 give me those data. That is number 1 to say how can we best collaborate and resolve these -- this 2 3 disproportionality all the time. 4 And that's something that I have been talking about 5 for a while and I think the time is coming and that Police Scotland has recognised that it's important, 6 7 because we're partners, and we need to sit around the table to have things like a retention strategy for 8 9 minority ethnic officers, because the latest data shows 10 that the attrition amongst BME officers is the highest, 11 you know what I mean. So why are they coming in through 12 one door and leaving through the next? Let's all sit 13 down and talk about that. So you see what I mean, it's 14 talking to the people we do not might be able to give 15 you a clue. 16 And is that something you will be pushing for in your Q. new role as well? 17 18 Yes, I think for this one I'm pushing on an open door. Α. 19 I think they have recognised that -- the management 20 there at the moment have recognised that this is 21 important and it will be done. We are also aware of the 22 limitations of the systems, of the ICT systems. But for me they're not that many minority ethnic people in the 23 24 service, so we could all fit in in the lecture theater, 25 frankly. It's not that -- it's not big an issue to

- 1 overcome.
- 2 Q. I want to pick up on a --
- 3 A. Barrier sorry.
- 4 Q. Sorry, I didn't mean to speak across you. I would like
- 5 to ask you about a couple of other recommendations in
- 6 this document.
- 7 A. Sure.
- 8 Q. Could we scroll back to page 2, please, and have a look
- 9 at paragraph 1. So paragraph 1 is an internal -- what
- 10 does "VT" stand for?
- 11 A. That's just a video.
- 12 Q. I see.
- 13 A. I was -- it was about reaching out, engaging with the
- 14 population of the police service.
- 15 Q. I particularly wanted to ask you about -- so you're
- 16 talking about communicating various things?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And I wanted to particularly focus on the third
- 19 bulletpoint on the page, which is "communicating to all
- 20 employees at Police Scotland the consequences for
- 21 offenders and bystanders who breech the values of fair
- and equal practices" that you have been referring to.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, we have spoken a great deal about offenders in
- 25 terms of perpetrators of discriminatory behaviour today

1 and we have touched on bystanders, the difficulties that witnesses have in speaking out about what they observe. 2 3 But I wondered if you could just speak a little bit more 4 about the word "bystander", because is there some 5 implications to the term bystander? Why have you chosen 6 that word? 7 Α. Because they're not -- it's just as in any incident that you're not involved but you have seen it or you 8 9 overheard it and for me, that you are complicit in my 10 eyes if you do nothing about it. And I mentioned that before about not challenging the perpetrator. 11 12 And I remember years ago, you know, Stephen House 13 used to be the Chief Constable, used to say that to new 14 recruits is that you will be in trouble if you stand 15 there and not say anything. And I just thought this is the time to remind people that it's your duty to step in 16 and protect that person. 17 18 And what should the consequences be? Are you talking Q. 19 about disciplinary consequences for standing back and 20 not protecting the person, the victim of discrimination? 21 Α. I have always said it's not about putting someone 22 through the disciplinary and conduct procedures. It's about admonishing that behaviour. So if it means just 23 calling them and saying you did that and it's not 24 25 appropriate. It's just admonishing it, so it is not

1 about putting people through the system, because that's 2 not always appropriate. Sometimes it's not the normal 3 behaviour of the person, so to say that we have zero 4 tolerance, that would mean every single incident is put 5 through the conduct procedures. That's -- that is 6 unhelpful. 7 Q. So you think zero tolerance is a misnomer? I think so. Every case must be judged on its own merit. 8 Α. 9 But people must be alive to the consequences of 10 breaching the values and Police Scotland has it all over, these are our values, and for me it's terribly 11 12 important there must be some comeback for breaching 13 that. And does that include comeback for breaching the values 14 Q. 15 when you're out and about policing as well as colleague to colleague? 16 Yes. 17 Α. 18 Q. If you have officer A and officer B and officer A sees 19 officer B committing a discriminatory act towards a 20 member of the public --21 Α. Yes. -- what should officer A do about that? 22 Q. Well, I would expect officer A to report it, definitely, 23 Α. 24 because that's his role as a police officer. So you

should -- I know it's seen -- it's frowned upon that

- 1 people don't corroborate with what they call them 2 neighbors, what your neighbors -- your neighbours say 3 happen, but there are a number of officers who are, 4 like, "I'm not going to let that go without pointing it 5 out." And if officer A reports officer B's discriminatory 6 Q. 7 conduct towards the member of the public, what would you expect Police Scotland to do about that? 8
- A. Well, I would expect them to investigate it and apply
 the necessary admonishment in my eyes, so be it going
 down the disciplinary route, having more training, do
 you know what I mean? I expect that, because the role
 of constable is too important, it's just too important
 for people to go below the standards that's expect and
 it just disappear, it's just too important.
 - Q. Explain why you say that. Why is the role of constable too important to allow a police officer to fall blow the expected standard?

16

17

- A. Because a police officer -- the powers that a police
 officer has they're wide ranging. A police officer can
 take away my human right of liberty and so it sets you
 aside from a social worker, you know, someone in
 education. The police service sets the tone for
 society.
- 25 Every time you are rebuilding a country, the first

thing they do is rebuild law and order. You don't see
them saying, okay, let's gather up social services, and
I'm not being glib about it. It's because you set the
tone for society and what you permit is what you
promote. So if we're going to see everybody is policed
with dignity and respect and by consent and that we give
the same service to everybody, when you have rogue cops
go out there and don't live your values, what you're
saying is they're not really values, they're things that
we call upon when we feel like. You see what I mean.
So it's a message that sends that the office of

So it's a message that sends that the office of constable is special. You are the person who we rely on in our worst moments. I have nobody to call but you, so I need you to serve me. So it's, you know, sometimes we miss the whole thing about serving and why we're there and so for me being involved in policing, I thought it was a privilege about we are setting the tone for society about morals and ethics and we should never forget that.

Q. You've spoken about the risks for people who report discrimination either as witnesses or as victims of discrimination that they might be isolated or have other negative consequences.

Now we're talking about recommendations, I wondered if you had any suggestions as to what Police Scotland

1		could do as an organisation who protect those who speak
2		up about discrimination?
3	Α.	Well, I fully intend to send my recommendations across,
4		as we mentioned, new recommendations, a new set of
5		recommendations. But there are little things that we
6		need to Police Scotland need to sit down and review.
7		One of them is the use of the control and command
8		approach to leadership. It is right for operational
9		reasons, but in a human resource, HR setting, in an
LO		employment setting, that should be relaxed, because it
L1		stifles challenges, it stifles freedom of thought, and
L2		it makes people not challenge bad behaviour because this
L3		person is a higher rank and from you step into policing,
L 4		you are told that this person is, you know, it's "yes,
L5		sir", "no, ma'am", that kind of thing and this person is
L 6		who you look up to, you obey, without without dissent
L7		and it is something that I think is necessary
L8		operationally, but it does have something to do with the
L9		fear of challenging someone who is in a higher rank than
20		yourself.
21	Q.	And then just staying in the same document if we could
22		and looking at page 4, your recommendation at paragraph
23		13 is:
24		"The introduction of psychometric testing for
25		potential recruits to better evaluate the predominant

1 attitudes and behaviours."

And I wondered if you could set out for us how psychometric testing for applicant to the police service might work and what the advantages would be in your view?

A. Yes, this is how serious I think the office of constable is and the powers that go with a warrant card is that you must have the necessary moral and ethical thoughts and actions, because the minute you take that oath you're an exemplar of standards and I know that there are private sector organisations, and even some public organisations, that do psychometric tests, because you need the right people in in terms of working in a team, you know what I mean.

And Police Scotland, before it became

Police Scotland, I know we -- I was a part of it -
ACPOS, the chief police officers, they've teamed up with psychiatrists and psychologist from the universities and they did a psychometric test for new recruits called the Critical Thinking Tool. That was -- it was piloted by the east forces, that was Lothian and Borders, Fife,

Central, and Dundee, Tayside. And it was about giving people tests that would flag up behaviours that might not be conducive to being a police officer, so behaviours like giving you more information and see if

you change your mind about a situation, which is terribly important when we talk about police officers, because you must be able to change your mind with more information, and they would just give a little flag to that recruit.

It's not to say that that person can't be a good police officer, but it's just the usual term "red flag" that perhaps this person might need a little more training and that kind of thing. And I thought that was absolutely fabulous, because of the interaction and at the times of interaction, because nobody calls the police when they're having a good time, is about the necessary attributes and decision-making scenarios that make you a good officer. So I think that should be brought back and I, you know, I think it's too important.

And most importantly -- again, I'm using the word "important", because everything about this whole -- the role of the police is important -- is the premise that you are different, the premise that we rely on you, and you're one failure could be detrimental to a lot of people. So I actually do think it's important that we look at introducing that.

And also with culture change and the people coming into a setting like shifts and groups, which is a big

- part of response policing, is are you team players, are
 you -- you know what I mean, is your mindset, and the
 only way we'll have widespread culture change is with
 new recruits coming along that are suited for the
- 5 service and what we want the vision of policing.
- Q. And is psychometric testing apt to select out candidates
 who hold racially discriminatory views or is that not
 what it's looking for?
- 9 A. It's not necessarily looking at that, because people are
 10 way too clever to do that in an interview, but it's -11 are the markers that you are -- that you are hard and
 12 fast about your views on things.
- Q. People who are kind of closed minded and entrenched in their views might be identified in a psychometric test?
 - A. So the test that they had called the Critical Thinking

 Tool was very clever in bringing that out and I would

 hope that they would revive that and look at possibly

 trialing it again.
- Q. So I would like to ask you some questions -- my last line of questions is about your new role. So you're fair play adviser?
- 22 A. Yes.

15

16

17

- Q. What does "fair play" mean?
- A. It's about fairness and impartiality in the processes
 within policing. Because of where we are right now and

1 the landscape right now, we're going to look at discrimination in terms of your identity, your race, so 2 3 it's about do you think that you were fairly treated 4 when you brought a -- inappropriate behaviour to the 5 service? Do you think the promotion system, do you think want development system is fair? Where does it 6 7 let down minority groups. So it's wide-ranging. And I know we are not going to go in the initial two 8 years we might be so caught up with cases, with 9 10 discrimination cases, that we are not looking externally, but my vision is about things like racial 11 12 profiling, because I am particularly passionate about 13 that, and I can see where I'm not sure it is -- it is 14 giving the gravitas it deserves, because with racial 15 profiling in service delivery it sets the tone of how 16 you see policing. You know what I mean? So you envisage the new role as allowing you to 17 Q. 18 interrogate fairness in policing as well as fairness for 19 the police officers who you would have represented in 20 SEMPER? 21 Yes, so I will not be sitting down doing the cases, as Α. that's a thing for SEMPER and the Federation. I'll be 22 looking at the outcomes of cases and of the current 23 procedures. 24 Q. Is it a full-time role? 25

1 A. Yes, it is. Q. You have sent the Inquiry a list of your key functions 2 3 and key responsibilities, effectively your job 4 description, and are you happy for those to be disclosed? 5 A. Yes, there's no secret. 6 7 Q. Thank you. So looking at the remit of the role that you have sent us, you'll be: 8 9 "Leading on ensuring that Police Scotland 10 demonstrates a full commitment to upholding the principles of fair play and the broader theme of 11 12 equalities." 13 Now, is this role just about race or is it about all 14 protected characteristics? 15 Well, the role itself is about all protected Α. characteristics, because I keep saying the landscape 16 17 right now there is a -- the house of race is on fire, so 18 to speak. We might be concentrating on that as it needs 19 attention right this very minute. However, everybody 20 will be encouraged to put forward their cases, and I 21 have always been someone who looks out for social 22 justice, so I have gone in for disability and LGBTI and those kinds things. However, for the moment I think for 23

the first year or so we'll be concentrating a lot on

issues of race that are considered unfair or by the

24

- 1 people who have brought them.
- 2 Q. Do you have any concerns that your ability to focus on
- 3 the issues of race that are considered unfair that are
- 4 brought to you might be diluted or you might be pulled
- 5 in different directions as your remit has expanded to
- 6 cover other protected characteristics as well?
- 7 A. Well, I'm hoping we'll get the resources so it is not --
- 8 it's not -- I'm not being pulled in all directions. So
- 9 it's just time will tell, frankly. But for the moment
- I am excited about it, because I think it's something
- 11 that policing has needed for a long time, a person who
- 12 will come and look at -- look at some of these practices
- and procedures from a different perspective.
- 14 Q. You say you're excited about the role. Tell us a little
- 15 bit about what you're hoping to achieve, what are your
- 16 aims and ambitions for your new position?
- 17 A. Well, I'm hoping that we could redress some of the
- balance that was not there in my eyes and in the eyes of
- 19 the minority ethnic officers who think perhaps their
- 20 case wasn't given the gravitas it deserves or was not
- 21 scrutinised in the way it should be so I'm hoping that I
- 22 would bring something to it. And because of the
- 23 reporting procedures, and for instance my reports go to
- 24 the deputy chief constable --
- 25 Q. Is that the equivalent to your level of access through

1		SEMPER or above or below?
2	Α.	It will be available for the senior management team to
3		consider. I I think it will give a lot of confidence
4		to the minority ethnic officers and staff to see that
5		these reports are recorded and are viewed, read and
6		actioned by the Deputy Chief Constable who is the
7		arbiter of everything that is in the complaints,
8		discipline, grievance portfolio.
9	Q.	And do you think there's any risk of you being less able
10		to provide constructive challenge from within the
11		organisation compared to what you're able to do from
12		outside in SEMPER?
13	Α.	I am hoping there are no risk, but I actually think
14		I think right now I am getting the support that I think
15		is necessary to make this a success, but, as you know,
16		policing is transient. The minute this person moves,
17		it's you know what I mean. So I'm hoping it doesn't
18		affect it, but it's just a wait and see.
19	Q.	Just give me a moment, please. Thank you, I have no
20		further questions thank you?
21	LORI	D BRACADALE: Could I pick up with you about conduct
22		driven by stereotypes and you give the example of a
23		black woman at the airport who might come under
24		suspicion and then you explain that such activity at the

airport might be driven by intelligence and so forth.

1 Can I leave aside that kind of example and look at the 2 matter as a generality. 3 If it can be demonstrated that conduct is driven by 4 a stereotype, I'm wondering what Police Scotland could 5 do to deal with that and prevent it from happening again and whether the Conduct Regulations, for example, would 6 7 have an input into that or are there more general issues? 8 Well, I think if it's not acknowledged that people act 9 10 on their stereotypes, we all do, every last one of us, that's what keeps us safe at night. However, in our 11 12 professional lives we need to have that voice that 13 reminds us it's just your stereotype, some people 14 possess it, some people don't. However, if 15 Police Scotland gives officers, in particular response officers and leaders who make policies and decisions, if 16 17 we give them that training, we give them those skills and that knowledge to understand that their reactions 18 19 might be based on their stereotypes, we'll never ever 20 get there and that's why I keep talking about 21 unconscious bias training or as some people call it 22 unchallenged or implicit bias. If we're not aware of it, we go on doing what we've 23 always done before. And so I think the role of 24 25 Police Scotland can play in making people aware of these

1 biases that we have, that we're born with, and most importantly if you live in a developed first world 2 3 country where you're always -- you've only known 4 minority ethnic people through television or people 5 begging or whatever or -- you know what I mean -- those little stereotypes in your head will never go away. 6 7 You'll have things like, you know, minority ethnic people are always unfairly treated in the justice system 8 9 or play a big role in the justice system, most of them 10 are disproportionately incarcerated and those things. There is an unconscious thing about that, so you don't 11 12 know what you don't know. 13 And I think it's a responsibility of the service to 14 educate people about that, to give them time to sit down 15 and process those thoughts and to give them the tools to identify when they're doing it, give them the tools to 16 17 address it, and that's the role that they can play, because it's involved in almost every interaction that 18 19 you have with the public. LORD BRACADALE: If having done that the conduct persists 20 21 based in stereotypes, how do you deal with that? 22 Well, they call me affectionately "Sack them Sandra", Α. I am thinking that maybe this -- the police service 23 isn't for you. There are some people who are too 24 25 focused, too -- there are some people who are

untrainable in other words and lack the necessary understanding of why the police is so important, the office of constable is so important. So there are some people who I think are just not fit for certain roles and I know that might sound harsh, but in reality it's for every job.

Every job there are some people who are not best suited for it and I think in 21t century policing there are a set of values, there are a set of -- there are a set of characteristics that are necessary to be -- to do your job. And if you cannot distinguish behaviours based on individuals, rather than your interpretation of a group of people, maybe this isn't the role for you and Police Scotland should look at that.

And I know one of the things that I often talk about is the attraction of policing to some people and I often tell senior leaders that we need to be alive to the fact that some people join policing because of the access to power that it gives them. Just like, you know, there are some people who want to hang around kids for less than virtuous reasons, it's the same thing with policing. So you'll find some people who like the power and the access that police gives them to power and we must be aware of that. And I just think that it's time that people recognise that there are some people who are

1	not fit for that role.
2	LORD BRACADALE: Thank you. Are there any rule 9
3	applications? No.
4	Well, that brings your evidence to an end,
5	Ms Deslandes-Clark. And I'm very grateful to you for
6	coming to give evidence to the Inquiry, thank you very
7	much, and for all the preparation that you've put in
8	order to give evidence.
9	We're about to adjourn for the day and you'll then
10	be free to go. Adjourn until tomorrow at 10 o'clock.
11	(The hearing was adjourned to 10.00 am on Thursday, 4 July
12	2024)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX
2	1SANDRA DESLANDES-CLARK (sworn)
3	1Examination-in-chief by MS BARRETT
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	