1 Tuesday, 2 July 2024 2 (10.12 am)3 LORD BRACDALE: Now, Ms Grahame, I understand you want to clarify a 4 matter. 5 MS GRAHAME: Yes, thank you. On Friday when I was asking Sir Iain 6 Livingstone 7 questions about the results of the Police Scotland survey which 8 was PS 18903 9 at page 8, I put to Sir Iain the known figure that 40 per cent of 10 respondents 11 agreed that institutional racism is an issue for Police Scotland 12 and I 13 inferred that 60 per cent disagreed. 14 I'm now told that this overlooked the "don't know" 15 and "neither" categories and the true figure for 16 respondents disagreeing with the statement was 47 per 17 cent and I simply wish for that to be noted on the 18 record today if I may. 19 LORD BRACADALE: Thank you. Can we have the witness back in, please. 20 Good 21 morning, Mr Allen. 22 A. Good morning, sir. 23 LORD BRACADALE: Can you say the words of the affirmation after me. 24 RETIRED DCC STEVE ALLEN (AFFIRMED) 25 LORD BRACADALE: Ms Grahame.

1 MS GRAHAME: Thank you. 2 Examination-in-chief by MS GRAHAME 3 Good morning, Mr Allen. Q. 4 Hello. Α. 5 Are you Steve Allen? Q. 6 A. I am. 7 What age are you? Q. 8 Α. 60. 9 And you have provided a statement to the Inquiry, which Q. 10 I'll come to in a moment, but am I right in saying that 11 you're a former police officer? 12 Α. I am. 13 In 1985 you joined Avon And Somerset Constabulary and Q. 14 you remained there until 2003? 15 Α. Yes. 16 By which time you were an acting ACC? Q. 17 Α. Yes. 18 And between 2003 and 2010 you worked in the Met? Q. 19 Α. I did. 20 And in January 2010 you joined Lothian and Borders Q. 21 Police? 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. And you worked there until 2013 when Police Scotland was 24 formed, we've heard that was on 1 April 2018, and then 25 you continued to work for Police Scotland until

1 December 2015? 2 That's correct. I think technically I joined Α. 3 Police Scotland at the end of 2012. I think the 4 executive team was formed then, so technically that 5 would have been my date but, yes. 6 So you were actually brought in to police with a view to Q. 7 sort of -- Police Scotland was being set up? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Created from 1 April 2013? Q. 10 Α. That's right. 11 And when you were brought in that was envisaged, it was Q. 12 known and you were going to be part of that team? 13 That's right. So the senior executive team of the chief Α. 14 and certainly the four deputies were selected, to the 15 best of my recollection, towards the end of 2012 and 16 started to take up post gradually and incrementally 17 prior to 1 April. 18 Q. Thank you. And so in 2015, when we've heard Mr Bayoh 19 died on 3 May 2015, you were within Police Scotland and 20 working for Police Scotland? 21 Α. I was, yes. At a point I was -- from I think the 22 beginning of 2015 I had been seconded into the 23 Scottish Government so was working on a variety of 24 projects within Scottish Government, but I was still 25 part of Police Scotland and still absolutely take

- 1 responsibility and accountability for my part in shaping
- 2 the organisation it was in 2015.
- 3 Q. Thank you. And as I have said, you retired in
- 4 December 2015 and at that time your rank was DCC?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And you had held that rank since, was it, 2013 or 2012
- 7 when you joined Police Scotland?
- 8 A. So I was the Deputy Chief Constable in Lothian and
- 9 Borders from 2010 and then Deputy Chief Constable in
- 10 Police Scotland from its inception, yes.
- 11 Q. Thank you. But in the time that you were within
- Police Scotland, you did not perform a response-type
- 13 role and you were not present at the events on
- 3 May 2015?
- A. No, I wasn't, no.
- 16 Q. Thank you. And I think you said in your Inquiry
- 17 statement that since your retirement you have not had
- any contact with your former colleagues from
- Police Scotland?
- 20 A. No, and that's really just to make the point that my
- 21 perspectives and my opinions are kind of rooted in
- things as they were in 2015, so I haven't had that
- contact so I have no kind of perspective and knowledge
- 24 about the organisation now.
- 25 Q. Thank you. Let's turn to your involvement with

- the Inquiry. You will be aware, if you've watched any
  of the evidence, that there is a blue folder sitting in
  front of you on the desk and that blue folder, please
  open it up, it's got your documents in it. You should
  have a hard copy of your statement which I'm going to
- 7 A. Yes.

6

- 9 perhaps paragraphs of your statement brought up on the
  10 screen in front of you and I'll refer to that, I may
  11 read them out and then I'll ask you questions. But if
  12 you are the sort of person that prefers a hard copy, you
  13 have got the hard copy in front of you.
- 14 A. Okay. Thank you.

turn to.

- Q. Feel free to use that hard copy in any way you wish that
  would assist you and if -- when going through your
  evidence today, if there's anything we don't have that
  you think would be particularly useful, please let me
  know and I'll see if I can get it at the break.
- A. Okay. Yes.
- Q. Let's look at your Inquiry statement, SBPI 00531. And you'll hopefully recognise this. It was taken on 29 January 2024 and if we can look at the final page, I think there's 55 pages, if we look at the final page.

  Now, we will hopefully see an area where there is --

1 thank you. There we are. It says "signature of 2 witness", now, on the screen, this version is redacted, 3 so your signature cannot be seen and we see it was 4 signed on 15 April 2024, but am I right in saying your 5 hard copy and hopefully you recall signing the pages of 6 your statement? 7 I do, yes. Α. 8 Thanks. And if we look at the last paragraph, 174 which Q. 9 is on the screen, it states: 10 "I believe the facts stated in this witness 11 statement are true. I understand that this statement 12 may form part of the evidence before the Inquiry and be 13 published on the Inquiry's website." 14 Now, before I move on and ask you to confirm that, 15 I understand there are some elements of your statement 16 you would like to correct having reflected on it and 17 reread it for today; is that correct? 18 Α. That's correct, yes. 19 Could we look at paragraph 44. I will be coming back to Q. 20 these paragraphs as part of the examination, but if we 21 can look at paragraph 44 this relates to -- 44, please. 22 And you'll see this is where you talk about a case that 23 you recall involving a man called Michael Menson and it 24 involved his death. Now you wish to make a correction 25 to this paragraph?

- 1 Α. I do, please. It doesn't change the context or the 2 reason that I've included it in my statement, but just 3 factually in terms of the last sentence and the outcome 4 what actually happened was two of the perpetrators were 5 arrested and convicted in the United Kingdom, third 6 perpetrator was traced to Cyprus, there was no 7 extradition treaty and UK officers negotiated with 8 Cyprus authorities to have the man charged and 9 prosecuted under local legislation and he was convicted 10 and imprisoned in particular Cyprus, so factually I'm 11 inaccurate in that sentence.
- 12 Q. But subject to that correction, are you content with paragraph 44?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Thank you. And then I believe there's paragraph 94, you 16 would like to say something about this. And this 17 relates to a comment you have made in relation to the 18 opening statement by Police Scotland, here we are, and 19 you mention the opening statement of the Chief Constable 20 who was a core participant at this Inquiry and I believe 21 you wish to make a slight revision to this paragraph; is 22 that right?
- A. Yes, I do and this is simply an error on my part. I

  have made the statement that there was no mention of the

  term "institutional racism" in the opening statement of

- 1 the Chief Constable. I have now reread it and there is
  2 a mention of it so --
- 3 Q. You wish to correct that?
- 4 A. I wish to correct it, yes.

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 5 Q. Thank you. And then finally paragraph 51, if we can move to that.
- 7 Yes, thank you, and this is not an error, but I think Α. 8 I can improve understanding of what I have written so I 9 was asked the question about the percentage of 10 Scotland's total population from ethnic minority groups. 11 I quoted here the figure something less than 1 per cent. 12 The less than 1 per cent refers to census data relating 13 to black African and black British respondents, people 14 who self-identified that way. The actual number of --15 the actual percentage of minority ethnic respondents was 16 in the region of 4 per cent, I think, so when I compare 17 it with 60 per cent of the population in the Borough of 18 Newham, the real comparison is with the 4 per cent not 19 with the something less than 1 per cent.
  - Q. Thank you. So again, subject to that revisal, can we go back to paragraph 174 which is the final paragraph of your statement, and I read this a moment ago, that you believed the facts stated in the witness statement were true and you understand this statement may form part of the evidence before the Inquiry and be published on the

1		Inquiry's website.
2		So subject to those three revisals, are you now
3		content that the facts stated by you in the witness
4		statement are true and that they're correct?
5	Α.	I am.
6	Q.	Thank you. And you did understand when you signed it
7		that this would form part of the evidence available to
8		the Chair and it will be published on the Inquiry's
9		website after you have completed your evidence?
10	Α.	I did.
11	Q.	Thank you. I would like to begin, first of all, with
12		looking at your experience with the discipline system
13		and misconduct system in Police Scotland. Could we look
14		at two paragraphs, please, of your Inquiry statement, 12
15		and 13. Let's look at 12 first. Here we are:
16		"The entire time I worked with Lothian and Borders
17		Police I was in the role of DCC. My work with Lothian
18		and Borders Police included leading the response to
19		issues raised by the family following the racist murder
20		of Simon San. As a direct consequence of this work, I
21		commissioned a project to develop a critical incident
22		training programme for police across Scotland and the
23		work continued through to the formation of
24		Police Scotland."
25		And if we can look at 13:

1		"The statutory role for the deputy is running the
2		discipline system. I was responsible for conduct of the
3		force. The start of every day for me was a briefing
4		from my professional standards team on current cases,
5		new cases, and I was required to chair panels,
6		particularly about poorly performing probationary
7		officers, deciding whether they stayed with the service
8		or whether they didn't. At that level, you have a high
9		degree of discretionary time. I chose to invest a lot
10		of mine into the equality and diversity work and to
11		engaging with various groups and opinion formers out in
12		the community."
13		We have heard evidence about the disproportionate
14		impact of the discipline system or the conduct system on
15		black and minority ethnic officers and I am interested
16		in whether you had any views on whether you considered
17		those officers to be over-disciplined?
18	A.	Well, it's from my experience in the Metropolitan Police
19		Service, I would say an unequivocal, yes, and whilst
20		I don't have it at my fingertips, the data would have
21		supported that too. I'm afraid that I can't say from
22		data that he was available to me, because I don't
23		remember any being available to me, whether or not that
24		was the case in Lothian and Borders.
25	Q.	Right.

1	Α.	Sorry, just to add, my response to that based on
2		anecdotal evidence, ie conversations with officers and
3		particularly officers from minority communities, is that
4		they certainly had a perception that that was the case
5		and I think I think there's a sense in which I am not
6		the best person to ask because much of the much of
7		the differential treatment I think occurred outside of
8		the formal discipline process. So within the grievance
9		process, within informal processes that didn't get to
10		grievance, my experience and my recollection of the
11		accounts of others is that those were the places where
12		things were most keenly felt in terms of differential
13		treatment, if that makes sense.

- Q. Thank you, yes. We've heard evidence from a

  Paul Castledine who has given evidence to the Inquiry

  and at one time he was the Chair of SEMPER.
- A. Right.

14

15

16

18

Q. And his evidence was:

19 "I think that the statistic came out some years
20 before I started was that a minority ethnic police
21 officer was three times more likely to be interviewed by
22 their colleagues than in a white officer in connection
23 with professional standards. That was sometimes just
24 purely because they looked at it as it's really
25 important that we do this right, because this person is

1 from a minority background and it was quite shocking to 2 me." 3 In terms of that evidence from Mr Castledine, would 4 that accord with your own experiences? 5 Yes, it would. I think there was a -- we may come on Α. 6 to. I did some work -- I was tasked whilst in the Met 7 by Commissioner to have a look at something in the 8 region of 2023 ongoing grievances and employment 9 tribunals and to try and resolve them. They had been 10 ongoing for I think the criteria was 18 months or longer 11 and having the opportunity to sit down with that many 12 officers kind of within a very compressed period of time 13 and listen to the stories, what -- sorry, the accounts, 14 one of the things that became -- became very clear was 15 that it was a common experience and it was often to do 16 with the management of performance, so the individual 17 officer's performance, and the accounts were very 18 consistent in that rather than where they felt a white 19 colleague would be maybe taken to one side, had a word 20 with, given a kind of personal target for the next month 21 or so, because -- because they were minority ethnic 22 officers there was a trepidation from frontline 23 supervisors about engaging them in that way, feeling 24 that they needed to revert to formal process from the 25 outset and that had -- it had a way of just snowballing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So a sergeant would fail to deal with a performance issue from an individual, an individual would then potentially go to a formal process, the individual would ask why formal process when others not and we're already at the stage where that officer is difficult so  $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$  and I characterise this oversimply, but what would happen then is the sergeant would say, this could be tricky, I had better refer this to the inspector. So the inspector would get involved and then quite often in that range of cases that I was dealing with, the subject officer is now feeling even more kind of differentially treated because why is the inspector involved in this and so the thing -- the tension and the thing would escalate so that the inspector would then go to the chief inspector. And then because the chief inspector was involved the chief inspector would go and speak to the organisation's lawyers just to make sure they were doing at the right thing and once you have got a lawyer -- forgive me -once you have got a lawyer on one side, then the person on the other side feels they need to engage with professional advice and you suddenly find yourself in a position where two years later you're stuck in grievance process or an employment tribunal process where there seems no way through.

And when you deconstruct it and take it back to

- 1 where it started, you think how on earth did we ever get 2 here and I saw that -- I saw that, as I say, it was 3 either everyone I spoke to had got into a room together 4 and agreed that that was their story or they were 5 telling the truth, because it came from so many 6 different individuals as part of the account of how the 7 organisation seemed -- just seemed incapable of adopting 8 a kind of person-centred approach to resolving issues in
  - Q. That's helpful, thank you. When was this that you were asked to deal with 20 or 23 employment tribunal issues?

the workplace. So I think that's a long answer but --

- A. So this would have been in I think 2004.
- Q. Right.

9

10

11

12

14 And the reason -- well, I can locate it, because I had Α. 15 not long gone to the Met and it was at the time of the 16 Morris Inquiry. So there was a public inquiry being led 17 by I think it was Sir Bill Morris at the time into the 18 internal equality and diversity issues in the Met, 19 particularly around employment. And so that inquiry was 20 running and, at the risk of sounding cynical, I think 21 the Met identified a need to clear its books as best it 22 could, because these were all issues and many of these 23 staff and officers were potentially giving evidence to 24 the Morris Inquiry, so it was around that time, 2004, 25 and I think the whole process -- the whole process

- probably occupied significant amounts of my time for the

  best part of a year.
- Q. Right. And you talked about the sergeant and trepidation. Do you -- did you understand what was the cause of that trepidation? If an officer had a performance issue, why there was trepidation about a line manager dealing with that?
- 8 A. If it was a black or minority ethnic officer?
- 9 Q. Yes.
- 10 Α. I suspect that's the answer. I mean I think -- I think 11 that there would have been a perception, certainly at 12 that time, that if they got the process wrong or if they 13 said the wrong thing or they were perceived as being 14 overbearing that the officer would go and speak to a 15 staff support association, they would get involved, and 16 then it would be the supervisor then who kind of ends up 17 taking the rap for --
- 18 Q. Right.
- A. -- that whole set of circumstances. So I think it just
  without -- it sounds like I'm oversimplifying it and
  kind of exaggerating it, but I think there was a
  perceived and, obviously, it's not everyone, but in
  generic terms a perceived heightened level of personal
  risk about engaging in a contrary process with a black
  or minority ethnic officer and probably women and

25

1 probably gay officers. 2 Thank you. And you said either everyone got together to Q. 3 tell a story, but presumably there was no suggestion 4 that all of the individual subjects were doing that? 5 No, no, no. Α. 6 We've also heard evidence from -- sorry, we also have an Q. 7 Inquiry statement from a Sandra Delandes-Clark and we 8 hope to hear evidence from her in the future in this 9 hearing and her Inquiry statement talks -- well, she was 10 asked about whether black and minority ethnic officers 11 and staff faced being over-disciplined when they were 12 the subject of a complaint as compared to a white 13 colleague in a similar or equivalent position. And her 14 answer was: 15 "There is a widespread belief that like BME officers 16 and staff in England and Wales, BME employees in 17 Scotland are over-disciplined. However, as race and 18 ethnicity of the subjects of complaints is not recorded 19 by the Professional Standards Department, we cannot 20 validate or refute that claim." 21 Would that be consistent with your own impression 22 that there is a lack of data or there was a lack of data 23 at that time? 24 Α. Yes.

Q. But also that there was this belief that officers were

1 over-disciplined if they were black or ethnic minority? 2 Yes, I would say from my time at Lothian and Borders and Α. 3 probably Police Scotland that that was certainly true. 4 I mean I think another factor, if I may, that bears on 5 it is on the visibility of this as an issue is there are 6 simply so few officers from minority ethnic communities 7 in policing or there were in policing in Scotland, but 8 it wasn't like you could physically see people coming 9 through the process so there could easily be 10 overrepresented, but I think the numbers were less than 11 1 per cent of the service in certainly in 12 Police Scotland, I can't imagine it was any different in 13 Lothian and Borders, and so whereas -- again, forgive me 14 for keep going back to the Met -- whereas in the Met the 15 actual physical number of officers who would come and 16 speak to you or who would talk about the issue was much 17 greater than it would be in a force like Lothian and 18 Borders, which had 2,700 officers as opposed to the 19 30-something thousand that were in the Met. So it's 20 just not in your consciousness in quite the same way, 21 does that --22 Because of the total numbers? Q. 23 Because the absolute numbers are so much smaller. Α. 24 Yes. And in the absence of data, it's difficult to Q. 25 assess percentages or disproportionality?

23

24

25

1 Α. Yes, I mean -- yes, the absence of data is, when you 2 look back on it, astonishing but, yes. 3 And in her Inquiry statement Ms Deslandes-Clark also Q. 4 says: 5 "BME officers and staff also believe that their 6 ethnic difference does attract more scrutiny from 7 supervises and colleagues. That could be due to 8 stereotyping, unfamiliarity or ignorance. It's been 9 likened to the proverbial O living in an X world, where 10 O is always under the spotlight and everything he or she 11 does is over-analysed. This can often lead to them 12 being reprimanded more frequently than their white 13 colleagues." 14 Again, would you have any comments to make about 15 that? 16 I -- I would take Sandra's evidence on that above mine. Α. 17 I don't have the lived experience of being a minority 18 ethnic officer. I can report to you that officers would 19 relay that to me at times, but I mean I would -- I have 20 worked with Sandra in the past and I would take Sandra's 21 evidence on that. 22 Q. Would that be akin to your own experiences in your work

with Lothian and Borders, that black and ethnic minority

officers believe that their ethnic difference does

attract more scrutiny?

18

25

1 A. It was a conversation I had on a number of occasions 2 with black officers in the force, yes. 3 Q. Thank you. I would like to move on to your role in the 4 Met, if I may. And we said earlier that you were there 5 between 2003 and 2010? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Could we look at paragraph 7, please, of your Inquiry 8 statement. Here we are: 9 "My roles with the Met included the following: 10 Commander Diversity Directorate responsible for racial 11 and violent crime task force; hate crime; domestic 12 violence, honour violence and forced marriage (national 13 responsibility); policy on rape and sexual offences; 14 recruitment and retention initiatives for minority 15 communities; strategic independent advice; family 16 liaison policy and training; strategic engagement with 17 staff support associations." 18 I would like to look through the different elements. 19 First of all, staff support associations, you have 20 mentioned staff support associations. Who were these 21 staff support associations in the Met? 22 Α. Gosh. 23 Q. Were they the equivalent of SEMPER? 24 A. I won't remember them all. There were around 20

different ones I think by the time I left. The largest

1 and most established and most active would have been the 2 Metropolitan Police Black Police Association, which was 3 a branch of the National Black Police Association and we 4 had -- so the Met had its own branches of the 5 Gay Police Association, we had a 6 Turkish Police Association, we had the British Association of Women Police. There were around 20 7 8 different associations, so I think --9 Muslim Police Association -- and I think it's right to 10 say that SEMPER -- SEMPER represents a slightly wider 11 group of officers than each of those did in its own 12 right. 13 All right. And would they cover what we would now refer Q. 14 to as protected characteristics? 15 Α. Yes. 16 When you were in the Metropolitan Police Service, you Q. 17 have said you were the commander of the Diversity 18 Directorate and you talk about a number of aspects to do 19 with -- obviously, we're interested in race. 20 Yes. Α. 21 Q. Could you help the Chair understand what your role 22 involved? 23 A. Yes, so the Diversity Directorate -- I have to get my 24 history right here. The Diversity Directorate grew of 25 the Met's response primarily to the Macpherson Inquiry,

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 so that was happening I think I'm right in 2008 --2 sorry -- 1998, 1999. 3 We have heard the report came out in 1999? Q. 4 Right. So during that Inquiry, and based on some of the Α. 5 issues that were coming out of it, the Metropolitan 6 Police Commander John Grieve others established what 7 became known as the Racial and Violent Crime Task Force 8 and the Racial and Violent Crime Task Force is 9 referenced in Macpherson's report and is cited as -- is 10 cited as a positive, one of the very few in there, for 11 the Met emerging from the discussions that were taking 12 place at the time. 13 The Racial and Violent Crime Task Force was 14 essentially -- essentially highly competent detectives 15 16 17

essentially -- essentially highly competent detectives who began I think initially to reinvestigate some of the signal hate crimes that had occurred so obviously racist murder of Stephen Lawrence, murder of Michael Menson, death of Roger Sylvester and I think the death of Ricky Reel, I think they were the cases. And what they did was try to take innovative approaches to these reinvestigations, so very much based on a kind of proactive approach to intelligence and intelligence gathering, very much based on seeking external views and challenge to the way in which inquiries were being undertaken. Crucially, and I can go back into the

1 history slightly if you need me to, but crucially picked 2 up the idea of family liaison officers from Avon and 3 Somerset, where I think it had kind of originated and 4 took as one of their mottos the learning that says: 5 "An issue for the family is an issue for us." 6 So started to talk about families as being experts 7 as part of the investigation, because they are expert --8 who better knows -- so if you're talking about homicide, 9 who better knows the victim's, who better knows the 10 victim's life circumstances, loves, fears, hates, all 11 the rest of it, so actually bringing families in to 12 these inquiries as an expert part of the investigation. 13 And this is where a lot of the critical incident 14 management thinking came from, which, again, we can talk 15 about later. 16 So the Racial and Violent Crime Task Force 17 established itself during the period of the 18 Macpherson Inquiry and because of the nature -- because 19 it tried to take this kind of inclusive view and 20 innovative view about how it investigated things, it 21 inevitably kind of attracted other responsibilities, so, 22 for example, I list hate crime. So the unit kind of 23 took on policy responsibility for the Met's response to 24 hate crime. Allied with that, these other things that 25 we see here, domestic violence.

So over a period of time and by the time I got to the Met in 2003, so we're now four, five years later, the Racial and Violent Crime Task Force was part of the Diversity Directorate. So Racial and Violent Crime Task Force, when I took it on, was two fully capable murder teams who took their place in the on-call murder team roster for the whole organisation, but their particular focus was on responding to hate-motivated serious assaults and homicides.

But on top of the Racial and Violent Crime Task force had attracted all these other issues, because I guess it had become -- because of the particular people involved at the time, it had become a centre of excellence and centre of kind of intellectual property in the Met for issues around equality, diversity and, crucially, and I think where, you know, my reflection on it, and stop me if I'm wandering off, but my reflections on it crucially where they achieved a strategic success was that the first time I think the service had really begun to understand that diversity and equality are not part of the HR function and a course you go on, but they're actually an operating philosophy which sits behind successful policing outcomes.

So if you want to be the best murder detective that the Met has got, you have to understand these issues and

you have to know how to operationalise them and you have to understand why they matter to you achieving success as an operational officer. So if you want to be the best cop you can be, you have got to absolutely be steeped and understand and know why these things matter, rather than just be able to kind of recite what you learnt on the diversity course.

So anyway so it become this kind of centre of excellence and driving Met policy and response. And one of the things that then got added on -- so it had all these operational functions and there was then a team set up and I think -- I can't remember what they're called, it doesn't matter -- but they were a team set up separate from the human resources function to take on responsibility for creative ways of addressing recruitment and retention issues for minority communities, as I said there. So that became a separate team within the Diversity Directorate. Strategic independent advice was part of the operational response. Again, we can come back to that. Family liaison policy, we can talk me about that.

And then strategic engagement with staff support associations, because, again, one of the issues around the staff support associations was understanding the multiplier effect that they could bring to operational

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

effectiveness. So what I mean by that is and probably exemplify it by the way that the structure went. So there was set up a thing called -- get it right -- the Cultural Resources Unit and the idea behind this was -and it wasn't just for minority ethnic staff, but the idea was if you had -- as an individual, you believed that you had something, some personal knowledge, some personal experience that you could offer to the organisation to be used in circumstances where we needed to access expertise about something, that you basically went on an on-call list and put yourself on the register. So you could for example -- no -- I won't do that. So let's say you have grown up -- you're an officer from a Sikh background and you want -- you think that that's an asset to the organisation, that knowledge, that experience, that's an asset to the organisation, and the organisation wasn't using that. So the idea was that through the staff support associations and into this Cultural Resources Unit that you could actually -then let's say an incident happened within the Sikh community and that night, within an hour of the senior

investigating officer attending, they wanted someone

community, about just to broaden their perceptive on

they could talk to about potential issues in the

what they were dealing with, then you would find someone
on call, a police officer who could be there
straightaway, and who could provide that advice.

So but -- as I say, it wasn't just about black and minority ethnic officers, because the example I always used when I was talking about it was the people like me who came from St Albans in Hertfordshire who grew up in a very strict Baptist family and whose dad was Chair of the Rotary Club, now maybe an incident happened in a Rotary Club in Hertfordshire and the Met needed something or within the Baptist community. So it didn't matter that I was a white officer, but potentially I had some life experience and some knowledge that could then be applied and be useful to the Met in terms delivering effective investigations.

So the staff support associations that was one way of kind of effectively, I think, engaging them and making their -- role because all of us who are/were police officers most want to do the best we can for our communities, we want to deliver safer increased wellbeing in communities. And staff support associations are not just about representing their members when the grievance goes wrong, they actually have an operational benefit to bring into the policing environment and if we fail to use that, then we're just

25

1 wasting a massive resource and so -- anyway, so the 2 Diversity Directorate kind of in a strange away wrapped 3 all that up and my job was to kind of manage all those 4 different elements of it and kind of represent the force 5 I guess in things. 6 So I sat on the Stephen Lawrence -- the 7 Home Secretary Stephen Lawrence steering group for a 8 while so if there was a -- there were a number of 9 inquiry reports that came our way would list the 10 recommendations around equality and diversity, they 11 would invariable land within the Diversity Directorate. 12 Anyway, I'll stop there. 13 Thank you very much. Just to recap slightly on the Q. 14 information you've given the Chair, the Racial and 15 Violent Crime Task Force, was this the task force that 16 contained the two full murder teams? 17 Yes, that was what it composed of, yes. Α. 18 Q. How many people, officers were involved in that; can you 19 give us an impression? 20 I'm just trying to think, because I had intelligence Α. 21 teams. I would say in the Racial and Violent Crime Task 22 Force of itself probably 100 people. 23 And so if an unexplained death had occurred --Q. 24 Α. Yes.

Q. -- and there was to be an investigation into that death

1 and the subject, the deceased, was black --2 Α. Yes. 3 -- would it be one of these teams with their -- you said Q. 4 it was a centre of excellence, they had experience, 5 would it be one of them that would priorities that 6 particular death? 7 Α. The answer to that in my time is probably not, not 8 necessarily. It would -- the -- not necessarily. So 9 I'm thinking of the investigation into the murder of 10 Damilola Taylor. 11 Q. Right. 12 Which was obviously a very high-profile murder of a Α. 13 young black man and that investigation was carried out 14 by the mainstream murder command at the Met. So I think 15 in its early days, probably before my time, the answer 16 is more likely to have been, yes. During -- certainly 17 when I arrived one of the teams was -- so I was the gold 18 commander for the investigation that preceded the second 19 Inquest into the New Cross fire, so New Cross Fire in 20 1981, 14 black young people killed, and it went to a 21 second Inquest in 2014 -- 2004. And we effectively 22 reinvestigated that whole set of circumstances. So 23 certainly of those teams was being that. 24 I would think by 2004, 2005, they probably would 25 have got involved -- they probably would have been

- called in had it -- my language is going to be really
  clumsy here. Had it been a homicide of someone from a
  minority community where it became clear that the police
  had got -- had already got the response to the family,
  to the community wrong, if that makes sense?
- 6 Q. Yes.

- A. Probably at the risk of going on too long, just to say that in 2006, 2005, my last year, my last period in the Diversity Directorate, I conducted a pretty significant reform of that function and for a number of reasons those murder teams from the Racial and Violent Crime Task Force got let's call it mainstreamed into the normal murder command operations of the Met.
  - Q. And did that then embed their excellence and their experience into a wider group of murder teams?
  - A. It was a bit of a two-way process. One of the reasons for doing that the mainstream homicide commander of the Met was actually getting better at it than us -- us, the task force, because they had a higher volume of -- higher volume of cases to deal with. And also, you know, so much of this is about the leadership and the senior officers and the senior officers in the murder command by that time, as I say, they had dealt with Damilola and a number of other cases, and there was a real -- there was a real commitment to the principles of

1 critical incident management, a real engagement with 2 independent advice, strategic independent advice, a 3 real -- a real understanding of the -- the importance, 4 you know -- and it always seems like a --5 It always seems like a small issue, but it's come up 6 for me a number of times in my service, the absolute 7 importance of if the victim, the family, any other 8 person say this is a racist incident, of recording it as 9 a racist incident, making sure the family are clear that 10 you have listened and you have recorded it as a racist 11 incident and then understanding the consequences that 12 flow from that in terms of the proactivity of your 13 investigation to discern any evidence that there might 14 be of a racist motivation. And it sounds like a small 15 detail in terms of a homicide investigation, but in this 16 context, we see things -- you know, I have seen things 17 go wrong so often and we may or may not talk about 18 Simon San, but that was fundamentally the issue there, a 19 reluctance to say. You know, Macpherson's definition is 20 clear and so if someone from the family says, we believe 21 this is a racist incident, well, it is, there we are, 22 according to definition. And the service and sometimes 23 it's partners have got themselves very I think -- very 24 muddled about the implications of that and I can talk 25 about it for ages.

25

1 Q. That's very helpful. You have talked earlier today 2 about the importance of bringing families into the 3 investigation and I think you described those as experts 4 who better knows the victim's circumstances? 5 Α. Sure. 6 So you can see benefits to an investigation to be Q. 7 engaging with the families in relation to that matter? 8 Absolutely fundamental, absolutely fundamental. I Α. 9 have -- over the course of my service I have had the 10 privilege of working with -- doing quite a lot of work 11 with Neville Lawrence, with the families of the New 12 Cross Fire victims, I led the UK family liaison response 13 to the British victims of the tsunami in 2004/2005. 14 Q. In Thailand? 15 In Thailand and I established and ran for the first Α. 16 three weeks the, I think it was the first in the UK, 17 what we then called the Family Assistance Centre in the 18 immediate aftermath of the London bombings in 2005, 19 which was a one-stop shop basically for families and 20 anyone else affected to come and access the services 21 they required and others that I have forgotten, but 22 I had --23 I suppose the point I'm trying to make is that 24 I couldn't conceive now of a successful homicide

investigation which does not in some way or another seek

1 to work alongside the family. Now, that makes it sound 2 really easy and sometimes it's very complicated, but it 3 seems to me that any investigating officer that doesn't 4 regard the family as, you know, on pretty much near the 5 top of their list of resources is not thinking through 6 the whole picture and certainly that would be my 7 experience and, you know, it's, yes --8 All right. You mentioned a Family Assistance Centre? Q. 9 Yes. Α. 10 Q. Could you help us understand what that was? 11 Yes. I can do it fairly briefly. So you obviously Α. 12 recall the bombs in July 2005, I think there were 56 13 victims, and essentially what the Family Assistance 14 Centre did, and it was modeled on what was done in the 15 immediate aftermath of 9/11. So in one single building 16 we essentially collocated all the different services 17 that a victim, victim's family, anyone affected by those 18 incidents could access in a single place. 19 So rather than having to go somewhere for a bit of 20 legal advice and somewhere for some counselling and 21 somewhere else to see the family liaison officer and 22 somewhere else to get an intelligence update from the 23 SO, we put all those services in a single building. 24 Now, because of the kind of exigencies of everything 25 that was going on, I think we opened it -- it was

1 day two or day three, but to start with it was built we 2 built it in a gym that the local authority made 3 available to us and it was pretty make do and mend but 4 after a few days we were able to move it to the Royal 5 Horticultural Hall, so a massive public space and Ikea 6 came in and built the inside of it, so it was a really 7 spectacular kind of facility for people. 8 But the idea was that actually we wrap our services 9 around the family, rather than saying to the family you 10 go out and access it from each individual agency. And 11

go out and access it from each individual agency. And it was also the centre of -- you know, it was just somewhere as well -- you know, a cafe, somewhere people could come and could meet and talk to other people who

were sharing some of that experience, so it was a remarkable experience being part of that.

- Q. Thank you. I would like to move on, please, and ask you about your role in Lothian and Borders Police and can we look at paragraph 12 again, please. We touched on this earlier and it does mention Simon San.
- 20 A. Yes.

12

13

16

17

18

19

21 Q. Paragraph 12:

22 "The entire time I worked with Lothian and Borders
23 Police I was in the role of DCC. My work with Lothian
24 and Borders Police included leading the response to
25 issues raised by the family following the racist murder

1 of Simon San. As a direct consequence of this work, I 2 commissioned a project to develop a critical incident 3 training programme for police across Scotland. The work 4 continued through to the formation of Police Scotland." 5 And am I right in saying you were the ACC at the 6 time of Simon San, you were an ACC with Lothian and 7 Borders Police? 8 No, I was the deputy. Α. 9 Sorry, my mistake. Q. 10 Α. I was only ever the deputy in Lothian and Borders. 11 My mistake, I apologise. Q. 12 Α. That's all right. 13 I'll ask you about Simon San first and then, if I may, Q. 14 I'll turn to the critical incident training programme --15 Α. Yes. 16 -- for police that I would like to ask you about. Q. 17 Α. Yes. Can you tell us then what role you took at that time in 18 Q. 19 relation to the death of Simon San? 20 Yes, in two parts. The first was that on the morning Α. 21 that Simon died, I chaired the morning meeting of the 22 four senior officers, so basically half a dozen of us 23 would sit down every morning and just very quickly run 24 through significant operational incidents. Simon's 25 death obviously was top of the agenda that morning, and

we obviously talked about the case, the issue of -- the issue of racist motivation was talked about, the chief -- there was a chief officer who was overseeing the Inquiry who had been to visit the team, who was going back to visit the team later on that day. And he talked about how the team had -- the team had already been considering whether there was evidence of racist motivation. So my reflection -- and that was it, that was the kind of meeting and he would update when he had been out later that day.

I say rights from the outset, I got that wrong. I looked back on that and it would be a couple of reasons, neither of which are very compelling, about why, but what I should have done, and I wrote in my statement to the subsequent inquiry which I started, that what I should have done is I should have said to the team, well, do you know what, I perceive this to be a racist incident, therefore it is, so get on and record it and get on and deal with it as such. I didn't, to my regret.

Then the next role I had in relation to it was when I became aware that family were dissatisfied with the response they had had from us. A superintendent went out to visit them, and either took a statement or took a comprehensive note of their complaints. And he was an

1	officer who had worked tangentally with me in the Met
2	had nothing to do with why he went to see the family
3	but he came back and he recognised that what was
4	unfolding was a critical incident, because kind of
5	having spent time in the Met he was familiar with that
6	language and what that meant so he eventually, he
7	came to talk to me about those about the complaints
8	and the dissatisfaction of the family and I don't know.
9	I decided, I thought it through at some considerable
10	length, and decided that what I didn't want to do was
11	simply instigate a I'll call it a normal complaints
12	and discipline inquiry into the family's complaints, but
13	that this was a more seminal moment for the organisation
14	and we needed (a) to make sure absolutely first and
15	foremost that we put right the wrongs in terms of our
16	response to the family and the investigation and,
17	secondly, I was determined that we should we should
18	deal with these issues in a way that exposed and
19	maximised the learning and the potential for development
20	in the organisation. So I appointed I appointed a
21	superintendent, I think she was the superintendent,
22	might have been a chief inspector. But anyway I
23	appointed a superintendent to put together a team and
24	left it to her how she wanted to structure that team,
25	but to conduct under kind of my authority as

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wide-ranging an inquiry into what had happened as it was
possible to do.

I wanted -- because I was already aware -- I was already aware that I had a personal -- I had some personal learning to take out of what had happened, so it was important to me that that team treated me in the same way they treated all the other officers and at least I had some sort of kind of independent route for them.

So we bought in a man called Bill Griffiths who had been, because I think he had retired by then, who had been the Deputy Assistant Commissioner who had run the Met's homicide command, had been the gold commander, I think, for Damilola Taylor, massively experienced man, who had alongside John Grieve and other significant people -- had developed the Met's response to critical incident management, had trained, was head of the hostage negotiators for the Met, hugely kind of wise and experienced man. So we engaged him to work with that inquiry team as a sounding board as an independent advisor, so that they didn't feel they had to come to me to kind of take their guidance on where they should go. I wanted them to have the confidence that -- and they knew because it was Bill, they knew that he had my confidence to take that team where they needed to go in

1 terms of uncovering the truth of the Inquiry. 2 So I established the Inquiry under those sort of 3 terms. I chaired -- I chaired the gold group, the kind 4 of just kept -- kept an overall eye on the sort of 5 strategic direction, and then when the report was 6 completed, it was kind of formally submitted to me, I 7 met with the family, and we discussed all the 8 conclusions of the report, we discussed my options going 9 forward in terms of what we did with it, and then I met 10 with every single officer who had been touched by the 11 report, met with them all individually and went through 12 the report with them and tried to kind of establish the 13 learning for us all. 14 And then once I had done that, the famous bit is I 15 then apologised to the family publicly in a press 16 conference so that was -- and then subsequently lots of 17 things happened as a consequence of that, so that was my 18 involvement. 19 We have had heard some evidence about Operation Waymark? Q. 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. And that was, as I understand it, the name of the 22 Inquiry into the complaints raised by the San Family? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And there was a recognition after that inquiry that Q. 25 there had been a failure to identify the possibility of

1 a racist motive?

A. Yes, yes, I mean, yes. I mean there are -- there are a number of failures, the failure -- so the failure was to listen to the family, hear them tell us that it was a racist incident, and then conduct the inquiry in a way that was commensurate with finding the best possible evidence that related to racist motivation. So -- so the -- and I mentioned it earlier, the failure to record -- there's a kind of gap I think in terms of -- and a palpable unwillingness I think in policing in Scotland in the time we're talking about to just get on and record it.

And I thought a lot about this and it becomes —
there's something of a gap so the Crown Office and I'm
not going to relitigate the case or make any comment
about the Crown Office other than that we get ourselves
into a position where we kind of in a default way regard
the Crown Office as the arbiters of whether something
has happened or not. So whereas in fact they're simply
the arbiters of whether there's sufficient evidence at
the right level to prove an allegation and those two
things are fundamentally different. So we got ourselves
into this kind of situation over Simon San, which I
think just illustrates the point I'm trying to make
so —

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I went on BBC2 on Newsnight and had like a terrible time, because trying to get me to explain how the police could say this is a racist incident and the Crown Office are saying it's in hospital a racist incident. And actually, we're talking a different language. So the police are saying the family believe it to be a racist incident so Macpherson says it's a racist incident and therefore, as a consequence, we pursue these lines of inquiry with vigour and energy and we apply our resources to that, because we need to know and we need to understand whatever and evidence is available. So we're saying it's a racist incident, but then the crown are saying there isn't any evidence it's a racist incident and it was Gordon Brewer kept asking me, what are you apologising for? Because we didn't listen to the family, we didn't record it. You won't find the evidence if you don't go and look for it. And the recording it as a racist incident in my mind is the absolute trigger that says now you go and look for that evidence. So if you don't record it, then we're back to pre Macpherson. And the point of the definition in my understanding was to get away from the position where the senior

investigating officer said, I've had a look, there's no

evidence, it's not a racist incident. So the SIO, the

40

25

1 organisation decided whether it was a racist incident or 2 not. And the purpose of Macpherson was saying, hang on 3 a minute, it's not down to you, it's down to the victim, 4 the family, any other person, listen, hear what you're 5 being told and once you're told that by a family, then 6 record it and do your duty as a consequence of recording 7 it and there was a terrible muddle. 8 So again, based on my failure to do what I should 9 have done in that initial meeting, in 2011, I think it 10 was, Stewart -- forgive me -- the lad was killed at --11 Stuart Walker, he was killed at Cumnock and while the 12 investigation have still ongoing and they hadn't detained anyone, and Stuart was gay and was well-known 13 14 in the community, and I read about it in the papers and 15 thought, well, that's got to be a homophobic incident 16 and because I hadn't done it for Simon San, I rang 17 Strathclyde Police and said, look, I am any other person 18 and I believe it to be a homophobic incident, so please 19 you have to record it and their --20 This is not a story against anyone. I'm just trying 21 to illustrate the reluctance. Their response 22 eventually -- they obviously talked about it a lot --23 their response when they came back to me was, we 24 recorded it as a homophobic incident, but we're not

going to tell anyone. And it just sort of captured --

like they knew they had to, but there's this thing that once you do, then is it the media, is it -- what is it that we're afraid of that we are then because we have stated definitively. So I think police officers are -- have found it difficult in the past to explain why you would record something as a racist incident and they've lacked the confidence to be able to say it can be a racist incident, because the family say so and it doesn't get prosecuted as one because the evidence required to that standard simply isn't there.

But this is what I mean by -- so the Crown Office aren't the arbiters of what happened, because that doesn't mean it didn't happen. Because otherwise you would -- if you think about it in the context of rape and the conviction rate for rape across the United Kingdom, if it were true that the prosecuting authorities were the arbiters of what had happened, we would have to be saying that 80, 85 per cent of those rapes simply didn't happen and no one is ever saying that. What we're saying is we can't -- because of the issues of consent and all the rest, we can't get across that evidential line and it's why I always talk about the racist murder of Simon San, because I believe that it was. The Crown Office don't agree, but that's on a different basis.

- 1 And I think, if I may finish on, you know, that was 2 ten years ago, ten, 12 years ago, and forgive me, I 3 haven't listened to all the evidence, but I imagine you 4 will have heard evidence by now about the recording of 5 the death of Mr Bayoh as a racist incident, who did it, 6 what they did it and what flowed from it being recorded 7 as a racist incident, because clearly it is, otherwise 8 we wouldn't be here. But hopefully that gives us a 9 comparator that says, well, we've learned in the last 10 decade because we have done it better this time.
- 11 Q. So to sum up, if I may --
- 12 A. Sorry, yes.
- 13 Q. -- the role of the police is different and distinct from
  14 the role of the Crown Office. And insofar as there is a
  15 difference between the ultimate outcome, that is because
  16 you're looking at separate things?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. The crown are looking at whether there's sufficient
  19 admissible evidence to prosecute?
- A. Yes, exactly.
- Q. Whether there are reasonable prospects of securing a conviction, whether it's in the public interest?
- A. Yes, exactly.
- Q. Those are separate issues from the police saying where is the evidence here about this incident, this death,

1 and we should gather in all the evidence that we can, 2 particularly in relation to evidence about racial 3 motivation, but that's not assessing whether it's 4 sufficient --5 Α. No. 6 -- or admissible or --Q. 7 Α. And forgive me, but may be one thing that the Inquiry 8 could do in the end is assist the agencies involved with 9 some kind of clarity about -- even if it's clarity about 10 at the language that we use around it so that -- so that 11 we as professionals can talk about it in a way that 12 makes sense to the public. Because as I say in the case 13 of Simon San, I couldn't -- because I hadn't thought it 14 through to that degree and I think it's a key factor in 15 putting professional police officers off recording 16 things as they should be recorded, because of the 17 tension it sets up in your kind of conscious mind about, 18 well, if I say it's that, how can they say it isn't? 19 Thank you. So insofar as we have evidence available to Q. 20 the Inquiry from the former Lord Advocate at the time 21 that on the evidence it was not racially aggravated, 22 that is a separate issue for the crown, and this is 23 within their remit, that doesn't impact on the officers? 24 No, it doesn't, no. The issue for the officers is did Α. 25 they look in all the right places.

1 Thank you. Can I ask you to look at paragraph 126, Q. 2 please. And this mentions San but you follow on with 3 another point I would like to discuss with you, 126, 4 here we are: 5 "One of the issues arising out of the 6 Simon San report was about people not being trained for 7 the roles they were asked to undertake. My impression 8 was that training was given a lower priority generally 9 than it was in England, and that persisted into the 10 early days of Police Scotland. I fully accept the 11 context of reform and the pressures that put on the 12 system. In particular, I think there was a lack of 13 leadership training and the associated discussion of 14 culture and diversity that is an integral part of 15 leadership training." 16 Can I ask you first of all about this comment, 17 "people were not being trained for the roles they were 18 asked to undertake", can you explain the difficulty that 19 arose in relation to that? 20 A. Yes, I mean very simply and I know that the 21 Waymark Report is available to the Inquiry, I think --22 I'm pretty sure it was the senior investigating officer 23 appointed to investigate Simon's murder hadn't attended 24 the courses that he was required to attend and so he 25 was -- I mean that was an organisational failure, not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 his, putting him in that position.

So then some of the things that flowed from him trying to do the very best job he could probably would not have happened. I'm thinking about things that were said to the media. I'm thinking about the recording of decisions and things. Had he been procedural trained, I hope, and I would be reasonably confident that those things would have been done differently.

I'm also thinking, say more widely than just Simon San, I'm thinking of a particular -- make it a more general comment. There were occasions when I felt that senior officers were put in command roles in relation to, for example, firearms incidents, when they had been trained very specifically in terms of commanding a firearms incident, but not in some of the ancillary skills and professional knowledge that you might need and you might encounter as part of a firearms operation. So for example, there was a specific course on -- down in England and Wales on siege management, so managing where you've got a hostage situation or someone taken -- someone barricaded themselves in premises. I can recall at least one incident where trained firearms commanders, because we deployed firearms officers to it, didn't appear to have the awareness that I would have expected about the range of options you

would be thinking about the contingencies you would put 1 2 in place for managing a siege. 3 And I just don't think there was -- during that 4 period, I just wasn't conscious of officers saying, 5 well, I have now got to do that next module of my 6 training, particularly senior officers. I think there 7 was a sense I had that senior officers were expected by 8 virtue of their seniority to understand how to do 9 things, which perhaps hadn't existed quite the same in 10 England. 11 We've heard evidence in this Inquiry that certain Q. 12 officers who were in the role of, say, sergeant and 13 inspector that day were acting sergeant or temporary 14 roles; is that the type of scenario you're talking about 15 where --16 Sorry. On which day? Α. 17 On 3 May 2015 when Mr Bayoh died. Q. 18 Α. Yes. 19 But officers on the ground that day were in acting roles Q. 20 or temporary roles and perhaps had not received all of 21 the training courses that would normally go with someone 22 who was formally promoted into that role; is that the 23 type of situation that you're expressing? 24 Yes, that would certainly be a strong possibility, Α. 25 I would think, and, inevitably, if you're in an acting

1 role, you don't have the breadth of experience or the 2 depth of experience that the substantive rank would have 3 so, yes. 4 Q. And that was the situation of the officer in charge of 5 the Simon San investigation you said, he hadn't 6 completed all the necessary courses? 7 No, he hadn't done the course. He was at the right Α. 8 rank, he was a Detective Chief Inspector, so it wasn't a 9 question of rank, it was about had he done the 10 qualifications. 11 And just going back to your last question about 12 acting and temporary ranks, that sounded a bit -- my 13 answer was overgeneralised, because there are people who 14 will perform at acting and temporary ranks who have done 15 the training, have got the breadth of experience and, 16 you know, sometimes you find they're more cable than the 17 people in substantive rank. So it's not a general 18 comment that if you're acting, you're not trained. It's 19 more probable that you won't have received the same 20 amount of training, more probable that you won't have 21 the depth of experience. I wouldn't put it more 22 strongly than that. 23 It would depend on the individual? Q. 24 Yes, exactly. Α. 25 If you could give me a moment, please. I'm conscious Q.

```
1
             it's now nearly half past 11.
 2
         LORD BRACADALE: We'll take a 20-minute break at this point.
 3
         (11.29 am)
 4
                                (A short break)
 5
         (11.53 am)
 6
         LORD BRACADALE: Ms Grahame.
 7
         MS GRAHAME: Thank you. You've talked before the break about
8
      learning
9
             opportunities that arose as a result of the Simon San
10
      investigation. And I
11
             wondered if there were any disciplinary or misconduct proceedings
12
      that arose
13
             out of the failures in the investigation?
14
         A. No, there weren't, no. I mean, put very briefly, we
15
             discussed with the family and their view -- given the
16
             nature of the misconduct offences was in the grand
17
             scheme of things relatively minor, given that the
18
             failings were in part organisational, it was felt that
19
             the most productive way to use the findings of that
20
             inquiry were to do all the things around training that
21
             we developed in the other policy issues, but that
22
             actually, and it was important to me, that the big
23
             message to the organisation was, we must do better, but
24
             we'll do better if we learn, if we're humble about this
25
             and we learn from the things that we've got wrong.
```

It felt to me at that point in the organisation that
taking that approach was more likely to change people's
thinking and behaviour than setting up an adversarial
kind of discipline process where people would argue
against their culpability in what was actually quite a
complex and in places ambiguous situation so, no, there
were none.

- Q. So where there have been failings and they were recognised and there may have been individual failings, was it the case and generally from your own experience is the case that the views of the family about whether there should be disciplinary or conduct proceedings outweighed the desire of Police Scotland or the Lothian and Borders Police, as it was then, to deal with those issues in terms of the Regulations?
- A. It's always a balance. In the particular case of
  Simon San, my position from the beginning of it was that
  I did not think, and this suggests that I presupposed
  what the outcome would be, but from what I knew at a
  point, I did not think the most valuable outcome in
  terms of learning development, operational competence,
  in terms of the family wanting something positive -- use
  that word advisedly -- but something "positive" to come
  from the Inquiry, it was never my view from the
  beginning that the right answer was going to be

1 misconduct proceedings.

- Q. And why was it your view from the outset that the answer would never be misconduct proceedings?
  - A. Because my -- sounds ever so pompous, I don't mean it to sound that way -- my judgment of where the organisation was at the time in relation to these specific issues was that there was a lack of awareness, a lack of -- a lack of these issues being front and centre in people's minds during the response to operational incidents, that just that -- just that setting up -- as I say, setting up an adversarial process, where some people were blamed for getting it wrong, others wouldn't have been and it just seemed to me that that was going to mire the organisation in a whole load of bad feeling.

And because of the nature of what would have been the misconduct offences, no one was going to get sacked, the misconduct outcomes would have been, you know, at the level of advice and it just seemed — it just seemed not to be in the best interests of anyone to head down that past, because everyone got advice anyway from me, and it generated a whole load of discussion in the organisation about the issues and about why I had taken the particular path I had taken and why we had investigated it any away way we had. Whereas I think we would have just got mired and we know from the history

1 of some of these things the organisation would have got 2 bogged down in it for a long, long time and been 3 focusing on the wrong things. 4 Did it make a difference in you view that in the case of Q. 5 Simon San there had been a conviction, there had been 6 pleas, there had been a conviction? 7 Yes, yes. I mean I think and I'll refresh my Α. 8 recollection of the report a couple of weeks ago and 9 it's interesting it's a thing of its time in some way, 10 but there is a whole paragraph in there about what a 11 good investigation it was. And you know, it was, it was 12 a good investigation in many ways, but it missed this 13 vital element out. 14 I think if -- if there had not been a conviction or 15 if there had not been a -- if there had not been 16 charges, then that would have raised obviously more 17 significant questions about the quality of the 18 investigation, so it's a bit of a kind of if, if, if, 19 but, yes, it made a difference in the sense that that 20 wasn't an issue for us. We had kind of got the right 21 people and the right people were going through the 22 process. 23 Right. Can I go back briefly to paragraph 12. You'll Q. 24 remember that I mentioned earlier paragraph 12 of your 25 Inquiry statement, that I was going to come back to a

1 part of that. 2 Yes. Α. 3 And you'll see at the end of paragraph 12 it mentioned Q. 4 that in relation to Simon San: 5 "As a direct consequence of this work I commissioned 6 a project to develop a critical incident training 7 programme for police across Scotland." 8 Α. Yes. 9 "The work continued through to the formation of Q. 10 Police Scotland." 11 So it continued right up until April 2013 12 effectively when Police Scotland was created and formed? 13 Yes, until the end of 2015 in terms of delivering the Α. 14 training and thinking about the next stage, yes. 15 Q. Right. Can you help the Chair understand what this 16 programme was designed to do? 17 Okay. So again, without going through the history back Α. 18 to Stephen Lawrence, some of the failures in the cases 19 around Michael Menson, Roger Sylvester, Ricky Reel and 20 others and then into Soham, and I'm pretty sure others 21 will help, but in the Metropolitan Police part of the 22 response which set up is the Racial and Violent Crime 23 Task Force defined what has become known as critical 24 incident and definitions in there. But very simply, 25 it's any incident where the effectiveness of the police

1 response is likely to have a significant impact on the 2 confidence of the victim, the family or the community. 3 And from -- based on the work of Racial and Violent 4 Crime Task Force, what it tried to do was capture some 5 principles about dealing with incidents where police 6 effectiveness is likely to have that significant impact. 7 So the principles that sit under it and probably the 8 first thing to say is this is different to a major 9 incident, and still you see them used interchangeably 10 sometimes by the police. So a major incident defined in 11 legislation is about scale, about the different 12 emergency services having to work together, about mass 13 casualties, about having to integrate command 14 structures. So those are the kind of things, so a huge 15 crash on the motorway would be a major incident. It 16 could be a critical incident. In my statement I 17 describe racist abuse in a school playground will never 18 be a major incident, but it could be a critical 19 incident, because the effectiveness of the police 20 respondent to that is likely to have a significant 21 impact on victim, family, community. So the two things 22 are different. The London bombings were a critical 23 incident, they were also a major incident. The abuse in 24 fact playground is also a critical incident, but never a 25 major incident.

1	So the principles basically that sit behind critical
2	incident management are firstly described as the "golder
3	hour", so the absolute centrality of your initial
4	response capturing evidence, taking the opportunities
5	which will disappear if you don't get them from the
6	outset. Second principle sits around command structures
7	and identifies the gold, silver, bronze model and the
8	principle is basically who's in charge of doing what:
9	make sure there's absolute clarity about command.
10	Allied to that is a principle about recording decisions.
11	So so what this introduced was the notion of decision
12	logs. So previously you would have again,
13	oversimplifying but you would have had a policy log
14	where a senior investigating officer or a senior officer
15	would record decisions they had made about a policy at
16	this point of the Inquiry. A decision log takes that
17	further and the idea is to document the senior officer's
18	thinking through the process. So you would expect to
19	see in a decision log, I took this decision to do this
20	and you would expect to see the rationale for that
21	decision written down next to it. You would expect to
22	see in there, at this point I could have taken this
23	decision, but I decided not to and the reasons why
24	decided not to take that decision. You would expect to
25	see in there every now and then a statement of what I

1 know now. So at this point, this is what I can see, 2 this is what I know, so that you -- so in terms of 3 someone going back to revisit the process you have 4 got -- in your decision log you've got a kind of 5 waypoint where you say, well, at that point I knew that. 6 It turns out subsequently that you were wrong, but 7 that's what I knew, that's what I was basing my decision 8 on. So a much more comprehensive recording of thought 9 process. Some people recording them, "at this point 10 when this happened, I felt like this", so that you 11 introduce the idea of your emotional response and how 12 that impacts on your decision-making, but the idea is it 13 is a much more comprehensive kind of record of your 14 thinking. 15 So decision logs, independent advice and, again, the 16 kind of basic principle here is that -- so still again 17 we hear talk about and use of independent advisors as if 18 their principal role is to represent the community from 19 which they come and to be a conduit back and to come to 20 the police and tell them about their community. That's 21 one -- that's one element that you could use an 22 independent advisor for, but in my view the more 23 appropriate use of them is not as community 24 representatives, but as people who come in who do not 25 have your mindset as a police officer and challenge your

25

1 thinking. So it doesn't have to be that if you're 2 dealing with the death of a black man, it doesn't mean 3 that you have to have a black man as your independent 4 advisor. Obviously, you don't have to have one 5 independent advisor, but some of the best independent 6 advisors I've come across are nothing to do with the 7 community in which we're operating, but understand how 8 to challenge our decision-making, they have an 9 understanding of what being in the police for 25 years 10 does to your way of think and way of operating and 11 they're brought in and they challenge that. 12 The principles in critical incident management are 13 that you bring them in as early as you possibly can and 14 quite often what you find still, I'm talking 2015, is 15 that the police would want to get the thing sort of 16 sorted out a bit and get some boundaries around it 17 before they invited an independent advisor in to have a 18 look. Anyway, we could go back to that if you're 19 interested. 20 So the principle of independent advice, the 21 principle of using the resources within your 22 organisation, we talked about cultural -- Community and 23 Cultural Resources Unit, the staff support associations. 24 I'm going to miss one but -- and the final one I can

think of right now --yes, two more, one is importance of

25

1 learning. So from the outset of the incident you bear 2 in mind the process that you're going to use to extract 3 the learning from it as you go through. And the final 4 one is the importance of understanding these definitions 5 by which I mean racist incident, institutional racism, 6 unconscious bias and the things that may have an impact 7 on your decision-making. 8 So those are the principles that sit behind critical 9 incident management. The single most important thing 10 I would say about it is that it is not a prescription 11 for -- so -- so there's not a standard operating 12 procedure which says, here's a critical incident, if you 13 tick off -- if you go through all these stages, you will 14 have managed it properly. The idea behind it from its 15 inception was that it created a state of mind and a way 16 of thinking for senior officers to deal with complexity 17 and ambiguity. So in your mind as the senior officer, 18 who's in charge, what are the role, and you go, am I 19 recording my decisions appropriately, have I got 20 challenge coming into it. It's just about the 21 principles of good operational management, but because 22 it's the police we have to kind of constrain them in a 23 kind of box that we can teach on a course, but it's really a state of mind. 24

And of course the one I missed, there you go, giving

- 1 myself away, so the other principle is the centrality of
  2 the family.
- 3 Q. Right.
- A. And so it's a state of mind that we want to instill in officers all the way through the system. So a gold commander can be a sergeant, it can be a Constable, it can be the chief constable, so the principles stretch themselves right across the organisation.
- 9 Q. Thank you. You talk about the significance of the
  10 Macpherson definition of institutional racism. And I
  11 think in paragraph 122, if we can go to that, or perhaps
  12 we should look at the previous paragraphs just to give
  13 ourselves some context here. Let's look at 120, first
  14 of all. That's fine.
- "Discussion of institutional racism" and this is

  critical incident management training, is it?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. "I'm asked what sort of things were being raised during
  19 the discussion of institutional racism at the critical
  20 incident management training. The training event
  21 started with an introduction about organisational
  22 cultures, structures and decision-making with a number
  23 of different models being presented and then the
  24 exercise began."
- 25 A. Yes.

1	Q.	"It's based on a scenario of a young Asian woman going
2		missing. The participants in teams develop their plans
3		and approaches to the information they have got in front
4		of me. They write that information into decision logs
5		along with the rationales for their decisions. We then
6		come back into plenary and all those decisions and
7		decision logs are look at in plenary and discussed."
8		So is it an interactive workshop-type scenario?
9	A.	Yes, it runs over two days and there are a number of
10		elements as the two days role through, yes.
11	Q.	And 121:
12		"Institutional racism was raised as part of the
13		initial introductory presentation and then in discussion
14		on the second day. There is an actual point in one of
15		the discussions on the second morning where I and the
16		facilitator of the exercise knew that if no one else
17		had, we would raise the issue of institutional racism
18		and the facilitator would ask the question like, 'Does
19		thin think that institutional racism as a concept has
20		any bearing now?'"
21		And you talk about the sort of questions you would
22		ask to promote that discussion?
23	A.	Yes.
24	Q.	And then if we can look at the next paragraph, 122:
25		"In the introductory presentation to the exercise

1 the definition of institutional racism from 2 Macpherson Report was put on the screen. It was 3 somewhat deconstructed to remind people about it and to 4 put it in their minds as they participated in the 5 exercise. The importance of the word 'unwitting' was 6 highlighted, as was the way people defend themselves by say, 'well, we are unwitting'. You can only be 7 8 'unwitting' once and once you know, then presumably you 9 are witting and all the responsibilities that flow sit 10 with the leader." 11 Could you expand on that a little? 12 Α. Yes, so -- yes, so there has been a tendency in my 13 experience over the years when people talk -- people 14 inside the service talk about institutional racism and I 15 think it's because of the dynamic about everything will 16 think we're labeling them as racists. There has been a 17 tendency to emphasise the bits that say "the collective 18 failure of an organisation" and the word "unwitting" and 19 at times that has sounded to me as if that is a way of 20 saying, so it's not really your problem, because it's 21 our collective failure and anyway it's unwitting. 22 I think, traveling into contentious territory now, 23 but my view is you can't separate individuals out of the 24 definition of institutional racism. Yes, it's got a 25 systemic, a corporate institutional kind of element to

1 it, which is truly significant, but it can only exist if 2 individuals in the organisation -- so if you think the 3 definition can be detected in processes, attitudes, 4 behaviours -- forgive me I'll get it in the wrong 5 order -- based on stereotyping, dada, I'm sure the 6 definition is on there somewhere, but those are things 7 that people do, people behave, people have attitudes, 8 organisations don't. So the whole kind of edifice of 9 institutional racism has somewhere in it individual 10 people and so the point that was being made was that you 11 can't hide behind the word "unwitting", ie, well, 12 I didn't know, I was unwitting. And it was John Grieve 13 who introduced the idea that you can only be unwitting 14 once and then once you know, well, you're outside the 15 scope of that word. 16 I mean I think I'm right in saying that in 17 Macpherson he talks about "unwitting" can be caused 18 by -- how has he describe it -- uncritical 19 self-understanding I think is what he says can be a 20 cause of the unwittingness and so that puts and the 21 definition for me has always put a responsibility on 22 individuals to ensure that they are critically 23 self-understanding and the organisation can play a part 24 any of that, of course it can, and there's -- you know, 25 it is institutional racism, but every individual in the

1 organisation has to challenge themselves about the 2 extent to which their behaviours and their attitudes and 3 most often, most often, particularly in the data 4 environment that, you know, we lived in back then, most 5 often ignorance, you know, you simply -- you simply 6 weren't aware of some of the disproportionate outcomes, 7 you simply weren't aware of the gap, the gap between 8 what police were saying and what communities were 9 saying. 10 Anyway so, yes, so we kind of got into that. And as 11 I say, the main point is that you can't hide behind it's 12 a collective failure of an organisation, because an 13 organisation is made up of people. It has additional 14 dynamics because it's an organisation, but in the end 15 every single one of us has to be -- has to take our 16 responsibility for what part we play in that. 17 I think you mentioned the wording. If we look at Q. 18 paragraph 85 very briefly --19 Α. Yes. 20 -- you do refer to the Macpherson definition and at the Q. 21 end of paragraph 58, there we are, you say: 22 "I would say that the police service collectively 23 has failed to provide appropriate and professional 24 service to people over the years because of their 25 colour, culture or ethnic origin. You see it detected

25

1 in processes, attitudes and behaviour, which amount to 2 discrimination through unwitting prejudice, ignorance, 3 thoughtlessness and ways of stereotyping." 4 So that was the wordings I think that you've quoted 5 there. 6 Α. Yes. 7 And am I right in saying that as part of this course, Q. 8 which you prepared, you prepared PowerPoint 9 presentations for use during the courses? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And I think you have provided the Inquiry with those and Q. 12 I don't -- there's a number of slides. I don't wish to 13 go through those today, but perhaps we could refer to 14 one of them, WIT 00111, and this is slide 30 out of 37, 15 and it's simplexity(?), critical incident management and 16 this slide is just an example of the sort of slides used 17 to share information with participants? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And tell us what we see on this slide? Q. 20 This deals with the "treat everyone the same approach". Α. 21 So you know, treating everyone the same doesn't lead to 22 fair equitable outcomes and I think probably says this 23 better than I will do it in the next ten minutes. 24 So treating everyone the same, of which we have heard a Q.

number of witnesses speak, is to give everyone the same

1 box? 2 That's it. Α. 3 But only two out of the three can actually see the game? Q. 4 That's it. Α. 5 If you treat everyone fairly, you give the smallest Q. 6 person two boxes, the middle-sized person one box, and 7 the tallest person no boxes and they can all see the 8 game? 9 Yes. Α. 10 Q. And that's how to --11 Exactly so. Α. 12 -- understand the difference? Q. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. Thank you. And with the other PowerPoints, there are a 15 number of images that share other aspects of information 16 and educational you wish to share with the 17 participants --18 That's right. Α. 19 Q. Along these lines? Thank you. 20 Yes. Α. 21 Q. Can we look at paragraph 55 now, please, of your Inquiry 22 statement. Can we go to the top of it, please. Thank 23 you: 24 "One of my observations about policing in Scotland 25 after my first year or two in Lothian and Borders Police

1 was that it had a strong sense of its own competence. 2 In some ways that's real positive. The downside of that 3 was I think less of a sense of the need to engage with 4 and listen to what minority communities were telling you 5 about what kind of policing they wanted. This is why we 6 want officers from every community in the police. It's 7 because when you understand the community you are 8 policing you don't have to engage in conflictual 9 conversations all the time. So in places like Dumfries 10 and Galloway, Northern was another example, people from 11 the communities police the communities. That's the 12 model that I think works best. It worked really well in 13 those places, but they were fairly non-diverse. So I 14 think the border between England and Scotland is not 15 necessarily the dividing line. I think it's the 16 difference between the type of communities that you 17 actually police." 18 I'm interested here in what you said about less 19 engaging with minority communities and the best model is 20 for people from the community to police the community. 21 Can you explain just a little bit more about what you 22 meant there? 23 A. Yes, I think it goes all the way back to the definition 24 of a constable, which is a constable is a citizen 25 locally appointed having authority under the crown. So

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

right from the beginning -- right from the beginnings of policing, and I won't dwell on it, but right from the beginnings of policing it was, you know, the shire reeve, it was the constable served in that role for a year in his or her own community and then it was someone else's turn.

So the idea of the community policing itself seems

to me to be in an environment where we're low on numbers so, you know, there aren't enough police officers to control society and so we look to communities to regulate themselves. I think if the officers working in those communities and, again, I have made this sound less nuanced than it is. But the basic principle is that if you come from a particular community, you understand the dynamics of that community. You're -say if you go to somewhere like Dumfries and Galway, people are cheek by jowl. One minute they're taking a statement from them or recording a crime and 20 minutes later they have taken their uniforms off and they're neighbors and they're in the school playground. So I think it makes people more invested in the communities that they work in, I think they are more recognisable and, I don't know, just some sense of a -- some sense of a closer relationship with the communities.

And I say it's more nuanced than that, because

1 obviously, you know, it's not as simple as someone who's 2 never livid in Dumfries and Galloway can't go and police 3 Dumfries and Galloway, of course, they can, but I think 4 it's trying to recognise that connection between where 5 you live and where you work. And it probably speaks a 6 bit to some of my early experiences of lots of white 7 police officers policing black communities and I think 8 that clearly didn't work particularly well in my 9 experience and it would have worked much better had we 10 had behind many more officers from within those 11 communities. 12 Q. Right. Can we look at paragraph 135, and here you talk 13 about working with black and other minority ethnic 14 officers: 15 "I'm asked if I have an awareness of what proportion 16 of Police Scotland officers were from minority ethnic 17 backgrounds when I was working in Police Scotland. My 18 recollection was it was around 1 per cent across the 19 force. It was disproportionately small compared to the 20 proportion in wider society. I also recall that it went 21 down to something like 0.3 per cent of sergeants. When 22 looking at ranks above sergeant, the numbers got 23 vanishingly small." 24 And you're obviously talking about limited numbers 25 of officers from black or ethnic minority communities

1 within the police, but I'm interested in this comment 2 about the senior ranks. Can you explain a little more 3 about what your experience was in that regard? 4 Α. Sorry. So ranks above sergeant? 5 Yes. Q. 6 Well, there were just very few of them. I mean I'm Α. 7 trying to think in Scotland if I can think of four or 8 five at superintendent level maybe. It's of that order, 9 vanishingly small numbers. And of course, if you don't 10 mind me saying, one of the impacts of that is it puts a 11 massive pressure on those individuals, particularly at 12 senior rank. So when you talk about things --13 organisations like SEMPER, you know, if you're a black 14 superintendent in Police Scotland then officers --15 officers across the organisation are going to look to 16 you as a role model, they're going to look to you as an 17 exemplar, as a mentor and they're going to look to you 18 to kind of carry a burden at senior levels on their 19 behalf. 20 Now, you might want to do that, you might be 21 prepared to do that, but you might just want to be a 22 really good superintendent and get qualified to be a 23 chief superintendent and get on with your career. And I 24 think the smallness of the numbers means that I 25 suspect -- again, it's not my personal experience, but

1		just what I watched and what people relayed to me is
2		that it puts increasing amount of kind of moral pressure
3		on such officers to also be representatives of their
4		entire community in a way that obviously doesn't happen
5		for white officers. And I would say, again, because of
6		the size of the Met, I think it was 55 thousands people,
7		because of the size of the Met it was much more common
8		to have black inspectors and black chief inspectors and
9		Asian officers at those kind of ranks and so it was more
10		the case, I think, that those who that they were in a
11		position to opt in to taking those kind of
12		responsibilities on behalf of others, rather than, well,
13		you're there, you need to do it for us.
14	Q.	Right. Can I move on now, please, to the experience of
15		the transition to Police Scotland in April 2013. Can we
16		go back to paragraph 16, please, of your Inquiry
17		statement. Here we are:
18		"In my view, the process that managed the transition
19		into Police Scotland (2012/2013) was suboptimal in a
20		number of ways:
21		"The process was insufficiently strategic or
22		inclusive;
23		"The prioritisation of basic operational competence
24		during transition to the exclusion of issues of culture,
25		equality and diversity;

1 "Selection of key staff for the project team(s) 2 lacked fairness and transparency. 3 There was a real sense of either being 'in the gang' 4 or not." 5 I'm interested in these specific bulletpoints that 6 you've mentioned. Obviously, we're interested in race 7 and equality, diversity, inclusion. You have mentioned 8 those specifically here. Can you explain some of the 9 issues carry where this transition into Police Scotland 10 was suboptimal and the impact that had on operational 11 duties? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Sorry that's a big question. Q. 14 It's a very big question and I take a long time Α. 15 answering your short ones. 16 So the transition to Police Scotland was a 17 generational opportunity. It was a massive -- a massive 18 opportunity for the country, for its police officers to 19 rethink the way that policing was delivered in Scotland. 20 This is my view. 21 The context in 2012, I think there were two things 22 that I would point to. One is -- so we were heading 23 towards the announcement of the Independence Referendum 24 and Scottish Government were working up what they called 25 the Scottish approach to government and, very simply,

Scottish approach to government talked about a flourishing Scotland would occur when we had increasing equality, increasing participation and increasing economic wealth. And that the way that we would design our public services would be based on approaches which were assets based, collaborative and relied on coproduction. So the whole narrative starting to develop in government was about this is service users designing services with service providers in kind of equal partnership, but equality and participation were fundamental to a flourishing Scotland. So that was one part of the context.

The second part of the context I saw was the opportunity presented by the new legislation which defined the purpose of policing as to -- forgive me if the words are slightly wrong -- improve the safety and wellbeing of people, locales and communities across Scotland. And that seemed to me to offer us an opportunity, because clearly government didn't think wellbeing and safety were the same thing, otherwise they wouldn't have used two words, and it gave us, the police, the opportunity to think about what contribution could policing make to the wellbeing of communities. And that has all sorts of complications in terms of how we prioritise our resources, how we engage with

communities, what we think wellbeing means. It has massive implications for what you set up as your performance regime. And once you have decided that, then it has massive implications, because you then have to build the workforce with the culture to deliver those things.

So I, and I obviously wasn't alone, but I saw massive opportunities for the police to step back and to engage broadly with communities and organisations across the country and have those discussions and set a vision for a police service that was -- that could be different and could leave behind some of the less good characteristics of its past. So my hope was that the service would embark on that path and my -- kind of sounds a bit glib -- but I think what we did was we set our minds and our energies to police reorganisation and not police reform. So I think -- so I think when I say insufficiently strategic or inclusive, that's what I mean. I think there were some massive opportunities to think again about what policing could look like, about what police leadership needed to look like.

So policing is massively complex and it's about nuance and it's about discretion and it's about coping with complexity. And traditionally, what the police service has done to manage that is to write lots of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

standard operating procedures and try and cram it all into a kind of box where if you just do A, B and C, you have kind of met the need. If you start to think about wellbeing and participation -- if you start to think in the way that I have suggested, then you start to think, well, do we need a different profile to our leadership? Do we need to be identifying and finding leaders who excel at coping with complexity and ambiguity, rather than leaders that are fantastic at getting people to comply with standing orders. And if that's the case, then that is a different skill set and it creates a different organisation and, potentially, we had the opportunity to do that thinking and to set off in that direction. And in my view, we sort of did the opposite. We kind of reverted to one particular model that already existed and we said, well, that model is going to apply all over Scotland and --Yes, so in terms of the next point then about culture quality, equality and diversity is if you start to think -- and all the points are linked obviously. So if you're starting to think about a different -- if you're trying to think in a different way about what policing could be in Scotland, then you have to think about what I kind of workforce delivers it, what kind of

leaders deliver it, what kind of engagement and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

relationship with our communities need to deliver it and that will set you on a course of action where you cannot help but, I would suggest, be really thoughtful about the culture of the organisation, about what does quality policing mean in terms of the outcomes you deliver, and you have to -- you can't avoid issues of equality and diversity, you can't avoid your analysis of the organisation in 2012 saying we have got issues with the way that our staff are treated, we have got issues with our service, we are institutionally racist, institutionally discriminatory. You can't avoid that conclusion and, therefore, at that point, designing a new organisation you say, well, as I say, it's a generational chance to say, well, actually how do we do this differently, how do we move forward, and we missed that opportunity. The issue of staff I'm sure you're -yes, it's to do with the second one as well, the prioritisation of basic operational competence. So it was quite a tight -- others will disagree with me on this, it was quite a tight team and it set off with a sense, probably rightly, but a sense of urgency and complexity about the task that it had been given and the approach was to keep it a very controlled process and that led, I think -- so the process I saw was that

people were selected to go on the team on the basis of

perceived operational competence, on the basis of relationships they had, on the basis of his chief says he's available so he can go. And we at one point I remember writing a letter which went from my chief in Lothian and Borders to the team -- to the reform team saying, look, kind of we want to be a values-based organisation and here we're behaving even at the beginning in a way where we're not being consistent with some of those values. Again, it sounds pompous when you tell it backwards, but we're not being consistent with those values. So we could and at the time, because at the time

I was head of equality and diversity for the Chief Police Officers' Association in Scotland, so I had a kind of locus in it, suggested that we could run in probably over two weeks a more transparent equitable process that could fill the gaps on the team and that met with a pretty negative response and I think -- I just think in all of these respects we didn't start off in the right direction and I think, and this -- I will break my own rule and speak for all off 2015 -- but I think Police Scotland has carried the burden of that, perhaps unnecessarily, ever since.

Q. I think if we can turn to paragraph 66 and 67 of your statement, again, here you're talking about your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 experience of the transition to Police Scotland:

"I am asked if I notice any particular similarities or differences in relation to race and the attitudes towards race in Scotland compared to England. Yes.

I was surprised as how it virtually wasn't a consideration in the whole lead-in to the transition process."

And then at 67 you say:

"When the transition to Police Scotland started they appointed a DCC to lead the process. Quite early on there was a meeting and part of the approach was to assign to the chief officers around Scotland a piece of the transition work. For example, I was given traffic and operations. Around that table it was all middle aged white men. There weren't many options at that point in Scotland to choose someone who wasn't a white middle aged man, but there was a woman assistant chief constable, at least one, and she wasn't in the room. I offered to not take forward traffic and operations and suggested that it should be taken forward by our female colleague. That was accepted and that would leave me with capacity. I suggested that I would take forward a bit of work on culture and diversity and equality implications of the whole process. I was surprised that those issues hadn't been identified as pertinent to the

process at that point."

Is this really examples of what you have just been saying, that there weren't any women in the room, race wasn't a factor in the room, and you were surprised that issues of culture, diversity and equality were not identified or hadn't been identified as pertinent?

A. Yes, I mean what I can't answer for is why there were, to my recollection, no women in the room. May have been perfectly good reasons why that was the case. We were all busy operational senior staff, so I wouldn't claim that in any way to be a kind of cultural thing.

Yes, I was surprised, so if you looked at the list of areas of activity, they were all the kind of nuts and bolts of the organisation. I mean there was one kind of ironic thing that came out of the meeting that there was a guy there from I think it was Lloyds Bank or Santander anyway, they were merging I think or they had around about that time, and he was in the room to give us some observations on being part of a massive programme of organisational change. And his one take away at the end of it was that whatever else you do the single most important thing you focus on during this kind of organisational change is culture and then we all sat down to talk about traffic and operations and firearms and didn't talk about culture.

1 So, yes -- yes, I was surprised, but anyway we all 2 have personal responsibility. One person can't think of 3 everything and so I was able to then go on and assist 4 the process with a bit of work that tried to pick some 5 of those issues up. 6 Thank you. Can we look at 69, please. Again, here you Q. 7 talk about staff support associations, SEMPER, the 8 Muslim Police Association, and others: 9 "There was no sense that the organisation believed 10 that they had a strategic contribution to make to this 11 transition process. There was no sense that communities 12 had a strategic contribution to make to this process. 13 Dare I say, no real sense even that the workforce had 14 much of a contribution to make to the process. It felt 15 like if you were in the gang, then you would be in the 16 room shaping things. You would be designing it. It 17 appeared as though the reform team would bat away any 18 criticism or any contribution that differed from the 19 decisions that had already been made." 20 And I understand that Sandra Delandes-Clark, who is 21 the Chair of SEMPER, has in her Inquiry statement, and 22 hopefully she will speak to this also in evidence, said 23 SEMPER were not consulted and equality issues were not 24 considered at the time. 25

So that appears to be consistent with what you're

1 saying in your statement? 2 There are of course people on the team who would Α. 3 vehemently deny that, but, yes. 4 All right. Can I move on to your experience of working Q. 5 with the public. Paragraph 33, first of all, and I'm 6 interested in paragraph 2 of this answer. So if we go 7 to 33 and look at the second paragraph, you say: 8 "I was a response officer (from 1985) primarily in 9 the south of Bristol, which was then predominantly white 10 working class, lots of poverty, lots of child neglect. 11 So much of my day-to-day work was, to put it bluntly, 12 policing poor white people." 13 I think we said at the outset of your evidence you 14 weren't in a response team in Police Scotland? 15 Α. No. 16 You were a DCC? Q. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. But you do have experience as a response officer? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And that was in Bristol when you worked there? Q. 21 Α. Yes, 40 years ago. 22 Q. Sometime ago: 23 "The next door division of which I ended up as the 24 deputy commander was where lots of Bristol's visible 25 minority ethnic communities lived. I can say on

reflection, with a degree of certainty, that we would deploy probably more resource to incidents involving people from the black community where there was a sense there might be violence than we probably did in areas where we were policing white communities. This may be my personal perspective, but I think in general terms there was a higher sense of risk among officers in the context of policing the black community than there probably was in the white community context. As I say, that's a bit impressionistic and it does go back three decades."

I'm interested in -- and 34 I should say you talk about Macpherson and you say you talk of black communities being "overpoliced and underprotected."

And at 35 you talk about policing and I won't read out the whole paragraph here, but you talk about policing different communities with a different sense of risk. If we can move down, you'll see that.

We've heard evidence from a Professor Meer who gave evidence to the Inquiry and he talked about racial bias in judgments of physical size and formidability and the size of the person and the perceived threat or harm that they could potentially cause and he called it a "harm bias". So a perception of a greater threat from black men who may have been muscular in their physique and

talked about a study from America, Wilson and Others,

where two men of similar muscularity and size, one black

one white, and I'm summarising here, the black man would

be seen and perceived as being at greater risk of

causing harm and greater threat.

And Professor Meer talked about the impact and the consequences of that perception and he said that, yes, if there was a perception of the black man that he was potentially going to be capable of causing greater harm and that was a misperception, because the men, the white man and the black man, were similar sizes. He said that there's an over-attribution of size, but there's a greater likelihood of perceiving the man as causing -- risk of causing greater harm. And he said that it didn't simply stop there, not just in terms of size and harm, but the consequence of that was that potentially greater use of force would be adopted against the black man and that that force would go on longer.

I'm interested in -- I have obviously summarised Professor Meer's evidence to a large extent, but does that appear to tie in with what you were saying about this perception of harm when you were policing black communities?

A. I'm trying to access the dynamic at the time a long time ago. I -- I recognise what you say. I think it was --

I think our perception, so I'm talking me as a young

patrol officer, I think our perceptions were driven -
my perception is probably driven that would be

subconscious I think or unconscious.

Q. Yes.

A. I think our kind of front of our head perception would have been driven by the history of violence in black communities and we don't need to talk for very long about why that might have happened, but -- so I was a PC, I think I referenced some of them there, you know, we had St Paul's, we had Brixton, we had Toxteth we had and so as part of your kind of wiring it just somewhere it was these communities are more volatile. If we get this wrong or we go in insufficient numbers, we are at risk of -- I mean I can kind of remember the sense of the risk of being surrounded if you went in just a couple of you. I mean we were -- it was these days that -- I mean I talk about, you know, policing differently, we weren't --

So the division I was on was adjacent and next door to St Paul's, and we could -- again, forgive my language, but we could pursue vehicles that were involved in criminality, we could pursue them to our heart's content, wherever on the division we wanted to go. If we pursued a vehicle from our division across

1 the boundary and it became clear it was heading into 2 St Paul's, then we were more often than not called off 3 the pursuit or we were required to get additional 4 permission from the control room based on additional 5 resources that were around us to support us. 6 So if I say there was just something in the kind of 7 atmosphere of policing in that place at the time. 8 I think there was -- there was a sense of I mean I'm 9 just trying to makes I'm not -- I'm not getting my 10 chronology wrong, but I think there was -- there was 11 talk of "Yardies" and a sense of young black men being 12 involved in kind of serious organised crime in terms of 13 drug dealing in way that in white estates it was 14 sniffing glue and a bit of cannabis and just -- of 15 course those things aren't true, but I'm just trying to 16 give you access to where I think my head and maybe my 17 colleague's heads were. So it was sort of reinforced by 18 the organisation in terms of operational procedures and 19 what we saw on the news and Keith Blakelock had been 20 killed and we were, you know, you didn't want to be the 21 next Keith Blakelock. 22 So there was a lot going on around that. And that's 23 what I mean about when I talk about we used more 24 resources. I would say in general terms you would want 25 back up going into St Paul's in a way that you wouldn't

- necessarily going into Bedminster, you know, two miles
  down the road and all those things build, they build a
  kind of background mindset.
- Q. And that mindset was not corrected by the service that
  you were working within at that time?
- 6 No. I mean, there were -- you know, again you give a Α. 7 really straightforward answer but there were some real 8 heros, Superintendent Dave Warren that I worked with in 9 St Paul's was a real pioneer of police engagement with communities. Detective Superintendent Steve Livings who 10 11 was involved in the development of family liaison and 12 there was a murder in St Paul's on New Year's Day in 13 1996 of a guy called Bangy Berry who was a community 14 worker who intervened in a robbery and got killed for 15 his trouble right in the middle of St Paul's and the 16 police response to that, you would still look at that 17 now and say that was exemplary because it was about 18 engagement with the community and the family and -- you 19 know, so these -- these things are never binary, it was 20 not just it was all bad, there was some great stuff 21 going on, but I'm just trying to give a sense of the 22 kind of prevailing things that played around in the mind 23 of a patrol officer at that time in that place.
- Q. Thank you. Thank you. I would like to move on to paragraphs 44 and 45 and this relates to the death of

1 Mr Menson. So we talked about this paragraph at the 2 beginning of the day. 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. Let's look at 44, first of all. This is the one that 5 you corrected this morning for us: 6 "One case immediately came to make when I was asked 7 about those terms was, there was a man called Michael 8 Menson, who was a black musician who was found at the 9 side of the road. He had burns all over his body and 10 was taken to hospital. If I remember rightly, while he 11 was in hospital, in and out of consciousness, he told 12 the nurse that he'd been attacked. The Met decided he 13 was a mad, bad schizophrenic who'd set fire to himself 14 and that was how the case was finalised. The Racial and 15 Violent Crime Task Force reinvestigated the case and 16 managed to find a telephone box which Michael had been 17 he would against, strayed with some sort of accelerant, 18 and set on fire. Clearly he'd been murdered. They 19 reinvestigated it." 20 And then you've corrected the position regarding 21 these suspects. 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. And you say 45 24 "That was a massively impressive piece of detective 25 work, a massively important case, like the racist murder

1 of Stephen Lawrence, in terms of challenging the 2 perception of the first officers on the scene, and 3 challenging the perception about an investigative 4 hypothesis or investigative strategy that decides within 5 the first 20 minutes what's happened and then you go 6 around looking for the evidence to prove your case. The 7 reason that triggered in my mind was I think it was my 8 reading around that case, and also reading about a 9 number of deaths in custody, that were ascribed to 10 positional asphyxia, that raised my awareness of police 11 attitudes to mental health issues. I think that would 12 have been when I started to become aware of terms like 13 that and to read a bit more into some of the mental 14 health issues and the behaviours that can be mistaken 15 for being drunk or on drugs. The Michael Menson case, 16 I believe, is really important in this context." 17 I'm interested in your impressions of the 18 significance of this in relation to policing someone who 19 has been perceived as having mental health issues. 20 Yes, and I must make clear that I had nothing personally Α. 21 to do with that case in terms --22 Q. Yes. 23 -- of its investigation or the aftermath. The reason it Α. 24 matters to me is it's one of the very first boxes of 25 files I got out when I arrived in the Met and the

1	Diversity Directorate and I can remember just thinking	
2	I'll just get a sense of this case because it's clearly	
3	important to the history of the unit and just being	
4	immersed in it for days, reading as much as I could. So	
5	in terms of its personal impact on me, I think it was	
6	significant. In terms of your question, there's	
7	probably not much more I can add than is in the	
8	paragraph. I think I think the issue about the	
9	issue about not deciding what you're dealing with	
10	straightaway is just so fundamental, just so fundamental	
11	to effective policing. And I think you know, I see	
12	issues around mental health as very clearly all part of	
13	the same agenda and the same world as diversity and	
14	equality because it's about fundamentally it's about	
15	understanding that the way you see the world through	
16	your eyes is not the same as the way everything else	
17	sees the world through their eyes and if you interpret	
18	it through your eyes, you're going to completely	
19	misinterpret so many things about that other person and	
20	their behaviour. And I mean yes, I mean this case	
21	has so many things in it but that I think is one, you	
22	know, not listening to Michael when he was in hospital,	
23	not listening presumably to the nurse to whom Michael	
24	told the story, and and having I mean, I can't	
25	remember the detail well enough but being labelled a	

1	schizophrenic early on and it was like, oh, that's all	
2	right then, we've sussed this out, we know what this is,	
3	when it fundamentally wasn't that and I think it was	
4	also one of the first one of the first cases for me	
5	where I had a glimpse of, because I had I had had	
6	dealings with Neville Lawrence before this but	
7	understanding and having not understanding, having a	
8	glimpse of how appallingly difficult it must be to be a	
9	member of someone's family and feel you're not getting	
10	justice and how much it sounds like sickly and	
11	patronising but just how much respect and admiration I	
12	have for the determination and the dignity and just the	
13	sheer staying power of families from, you can list the	
14	cases, but the Lawrences, Mensens, Sylvesters,	
15	Sean Rigg's family, the San Family, and in my view these	
16	cases should be required reading at training so that	
17	people initial training because lots of colleagues	
18	that I spoke to about this case when I was seized of it	
19	back in 2003, lots of colleagues just could not accept	
20	that the police could get it so badly wrong. They just	
21	could not accept that the police were capable of	
22	misreading something, of failing to listen to a family.	
23	So I haven't answered specifically your question but I	
24	think the sense of why it has to be included in my	
25	statement because it had a very kind of powerful	

1 learning and powerful emotional impact on me at the 2 time. 3 Thank you. Can I stop you there for a moment, please? Q. 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. Would that be an appropriate time? 6 LORD BRACADALE: We'll stop for lunch now and sit at 2 o'clock. 7 (1.01 pm)8 (Luncheon adjournment) 9 (2.04 pm)10 LORD BRACADALE: Ms Grahame. 11 MS GRAHAME: Thank you. I would like to go back to something I asked 12 you about 13 before lunch just very briefly. Could we go back to paragraph 85 14 of your 15 Inquiry statement, please, and this is where you talked about the 16 Macpherson 17 definition, and I asked you earlier today: 18 "You see it detected in processes, attitudes and 19 behaviour, which amount to discrimination." 20 If we can move up the page: 21 "Through unwitting prejudice, ignorance, 22 thoughtlessness and ways of stereotyping." 23 If we could return to that phrase "unwitting 24 prejudice" there, is there scope within the Conduct 25 Regulations and the conduct arena of the police for

1 unwitting racism to be dealt with? 2 Off the top of my head, I would say theoretically yes. Α. 3 You're taking me back many, many years to my 4 recollection of Conduct Regulations, but whether it 5 would be -- whether you would be dealing with unwitting 6 prejudice or you would be dealing with the consequences 7 of it is probably the distinction. I don't -- yes, 8 unless you can help me any more with the question. 9 Well, if there was unwitting so unknowing --Q. 10 Α. So displayed by --11 -- racism. Q. 12 Α. -- an individual. 13 By or prejudice and we're interested in racism --Q. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. -- by an individual, could that, is there anything that 16 would stop that being dealt with in terms of the 17 Regulations on conduct? 18 I can't see why, no. Α. 19 All right, thank you. In your experience do you recall Q. 20 any examples of unwitting prejudice being dealt with 21 through the conduct sphere? 22 Not immediately. I would have to give it some thought. Α. 23 All right. Thank you. Can I move on then, please, to Q. 24 paragraph 49 and here you talk about a comparison 25 working for England and Wales and Police Scotland,

1		comparisons between working for the police in England
2		and Scotland:
3		"My observations about policing in Scotland
4		following my first year or two in Lothian and Borders
5		Police were "
6		And you have given the Chair a number of
7		bulletpoints in relation to this?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	And I'm interested in one specific bulletpoint here and
10		that's the fifth:
11		"Compared to England and Wales a lack of impact from
12		the office of HMIS."
13		And then I think you also talk about this at 61 and
14		63 and if we could turn to them briefly. Here we are:
15		"It didn't feel to me that Her now His Majesty's
16		Inspector of Constabulary Scotland (HMICS) had quite the
17		influence and penetration into everyday policing that it
18		had in England and Wales in terms of the impact of its
19		reports. In England and Wales, there was a constant
20		process of basic command unit inspections by the HMIC,
21		so if you were a divisional commander you could expect
22		at some point the HMIC's team to turn up and take your
23		division apart and produce a report. Those were very
24		influential processes and that was absent in Scotland."
25		And then at 63 you say:

1 "I think I felt less accountable to the Police 2 Authority in Lothian and Borders than I had in England. 3 As a senior officer running a force, I think the Police 4 Authority were less intrusive and less rigorous with us 5 than I had experienced in England. I felt slightly less 6 uncomfortable being held to account by them. I think 7 the public generally in Scotland had more trust and 8 confidence in policing in Scotland than communities did, 9 and certainly were developing in the later years in 10 England. There hadn't been the same high profile 11 failures in Scotland, I don't think." 12 I'm interested in your reflections on the 13 differences then between the HMICS in Scotland and what 14 impact they had in Scotland on the police compared to 15 your experiences which sound much more rigorous, is 16 I think the word you use, in England. What were the 17 difference then? 18 I think the first thing to say is probably I have -- the Α. 19 way I have phrased that makes it sound inevitably like 20 it's a bad thing that the Scottish HMIC was less 21 intrusive or whatever word I used. I think I would have 22 been better describing it as different. And I say that 23 because what I write is true that in England and Wales 24 the programme of basic command unit inspections was very 25 intrusive, I was on the receiving end of one myself at

1 Westminster, and the team came in a bit like you imagine 2 an Ofsted inspection and they take apart your 3 observations and produce a publicly available reported. 4 I think one of the challenges with that is there's 5 nothing essentially wrong with that as a process, but, 6 as in all these things, it depends what they're 7 inspecting, because they drive behavior by the things 8 they inspect and particularly at that point, which was 9 probably the mid to late nineties, I think the Met was, 10 in common many other forces, was in the grip of the 11 performance -- I call it performance mania, but being 12 driven by government, but everything was about 13 performance culture, do you have a performance culture, 14 are you chasing the numbers and the HMI would come in 15 and the kind of mental model they brought into an 16 inspection was, where's the performance culture, where's 17 the performance framework? And if they didn't find it 18 in the way that they wanted to find it, then you weren't 19 doing a good job or your division wasn't being run 20 right. And again, my personal view is that drove some 21 less than desirable behaviours I think. 22 So the fact that they had an intrusive process that 23 was respected and was known about by divisional 24 commanders and force hierarchies, that is true, whether 25 or not that was always a positive. I think in Scotland,

25

1 and I want to say this with the utmost respect to the 2 people that fulfilled the roles, I think in England and 3 Wales Her Majesty's Chief Superintendent of 4 Constabulary, to my recollection, was always previously 5 a senior chief constable and his, his or her staff were 6 assistant chief constables, chief superintendents. And 7 certainly for the period of time that I'm talking about 8 in Scotland, the rank of the individuals who held that 9 role I think there was -- it doesn't matter, but they 10 weren't senior long-serving Chief Constables. So 11 I think the kind of -- it sounds mad, but the sort of 12 level of respect for their intrusion into your affairs 13 was probably less so, because, rightly or wrongly, you 14 would regard their credibility as not quite as 15 significant as that of a senior chief constable. 16 I would say and I said I would not offer a comment 17 on present, but sitting outside the organisation now, 18 I think that is changing and I do think now that the 19 office of Her Majesty's Inspector in Scotland is 20 producing some quite incisive and quite intrusive 21 reports that are clearly having an impact, so I think 22 that is changing, but I think -- yes, it was just a 23 slightly different culture around what HMIC was and they 24 had far fewer resources, so far less ability to go out

and do big thematic inspections.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Q. All right, thank you. So in terms of your perspective 2 on HMICS, do you have any views on what, if anything, 3 would need to change or what could be done to improve 4 the reports by HMICS, the regard with which they're 5

considered in the service?

- 6 The only thing I would offer is I was not a big Α. 7 supporter at the time of handing the role over to a 8 nonpolice officer and I think it worked really well, so 9 I think in Scotland we should consider Her Majesty's 10 Inspector not having a police background.
  - Right, we've certainly heard from a number of witnesses Q. about the number of police officers or former officers who were involved in PIRC doing the investigations. Do you think that minimising police involvement wouldn't be a bad thing in internal bodies?
    - I think getting the balance right would be an excellent Α. thing. I think you do need a guide to the highways and byways of police culture and practice sometimes, so I think you need to retain that kind of knowledge and experience in the team, but I think in terms of where you front up to an investigation and you deal with families in the public and the overall -- the overall strategy for the investigation, I think it's important that you have that separation and that you have investigators who haven't got a police background.

1 Q. Thank you. Can we move on to paragraph 84 of your 2 Inquiry statement, please. And this is a return to the 3 section on racism and the police: 4 "During my service I have seen overtly racist 5 behavior from police officers and staff and have never 6 been in doubt that the service generally is 7 institutionally racist. I have spoken often at events 8 inside and outside the service to express that view. At 9 the point I left Police Scotland, it too was an 10 institutionally racist organisation. The evidence ..." 11 That was 2015, December 2015? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. "The evidence included recruitment outcomes, 14 representation in the misconduct system, the lack of 15 representation in senior ranks, attitudes to the staff 16 support associations, the failure to recognise the 17 importance of the issues during the transition in 2013 18 and a performance regime that drove increases in 19 activity that were well documented as impacting 20 disproportionately on minority communities." 21 I would like to go through that list, if I may? 22 Α. Gosh. 23 You say that Police Scotland was institutionally racist? Q. 24 Α. Yes. 25 And the evidence for your views on that included Q.

- 1 recruitment outcomes; what was it about recruitment
- 2 outcomes that concerned you?
- 3 A. That the proportion of people from minority ethnic
- 4 communities in the police was not representative of the
- 5 proportion in the community at large.
- 6 Q. Right. Could you see a way for that being improved,
- 7 recruitment from the minority ethnic and black
- 8 communities?
- 9 A. Well, it sounds glib, but become an outstanding
- 10 organisation where everyone is respected and valued,
- 11 where you deliver outstanding performance to communities
- and you make it an organisation that people aspire to
- join.
- 14 Q. Thank you. Representation in the misconduct system.
- Now, we've touched on this today already. Was there
- anything else that was obvious to you that gave rise to
- this view that the police service was institutionally
- 18 racist?
- 19 A. I think we've covered that earlier, yes.
- Q. The lack of representation in senior ranks, I think you
- 21 have covered that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Unless there's anything further you want to add?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Attitudes to the staff support associations. Again,

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

> No, I think the only other point I would make is that --Α. is that those who engage in the staff support -- so I'm thinking SEMPER just to keep it straightforward, the people that sit on the executive committee that do the work that keep the organisation going are by and large to my recollection in my time were constables and sergeants and maybe an inspector and their -- again, there is no disrespect to the individuals, but if we then expect them to engage at a strategic level in the organisation, so to sit down with chief officers, to sit down with Police Authority, with HMICS, we're expecting almost the impossible of them, unless we, the collective we, find a way of investing in their capability and in the resource they've got available to them to perform the role that we want.

So you could say, well, SEMPER are invited to engage with us on what wellbeing meant in a community and how that might impact on performance regimes and leadership styles and you're asking -- you're asking people who don't routinely operate in the kind of strategic environment and haven't had the training and the experience that the people like me that they're meeting

1 have had and we're asking them to go in and perform a 2 useful function and then, and I've heard this about a 3 number of staff support associations, and then you leave 4 the meeting and a colleague you're with says: 5 "I don't know why we bother because really what have 6 they contributed." 7 And you think, well, what possible chance do they 8 have of contributing if you don't kind of equip and 9 support. The challenge for the organisation doing that 10 is you don't want to set up a relationship where they 11 are dependent on your patronage for all their resources 12 and all their training and support. So it's finding a 13 way of resourcing that independently so that you give 14 them the best possible chance of engaging at the level 15 that you want them to engage at. And I think the 16 failure to do that derives from unwitting ignorance or 17 thoughtlessness or --18 Q. With the repercussions of their lack of training or 19 awareness? 20 Yes, just because -- just because if you don't actively Α. 21 think that through, then you're denying the organisation 22 the opportunity to deliver a fair and appropriate 23 service to communities because you're not listening 24 effectively to a voice that you could listen to. 25 Thank you. And then you go on to say: Q.

1		"The failure to recognise the importance of the	
2		issues during the transition in 2013 [which you have	
3		touched on] and a performance regime that drove	
4		increases in activity that were well documented as	
5		impacting disproportionately on minority communities."	
6		Now, you have touched on the performance regime when	
7		I asked you about HMCS. I'm interested in this area	
8		where you say "impacting disproportionately on minority	
9		communities." Can you provide a little more detail	
10		about what you mean there?	
11	Α.	I think without seeing what comes after I think	
12	Q.	We can move up the screen.	
13	Α.	It doesn't matter, but I think I'm talking about stop	
14		search there.	
15	Q.	Yes.	
16	Α.	So we know historically that stop search	
17		disproportionately impacts on minority communities,	
18		I don't think there's a lack of documentation on that,	
19		and the performance framework in Police Scotland in the	
20		first certainly in the first couple of years when I	
21		was there drove stop search activity in a way that I	
22		still don't quite understand why we did it that way.	
23		So stop search just for the avoidance of doubt,	
24		stop search is something I think is a really, really	
25		important police tactic. I think we also have to	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

recognise that there is virtually no evidence, as I understand it, that the level of stop search is causally related to the levels of crime and I think -- I think I was training, grew up understanding stop search to be a tactic or a power that would enhance relationships with communities and the reason being -- so I joined the police just as PACE was introduced in 1986 by the time I got operational so it introduced section 1 of PACE, which was police stop and search so all stop search in England and Wales is statutory, unlike the position back then in Scotland where it was voluntary. But the way I was trained on stop search was this power exists so that where you have reasonable grounds to suspect that someone is committing an offence, you have an option short of arresting them, so you can search for stolen and prohibited articles on the street rather than having to arrest them, take them to a police station and search for those articles. So the idea was it gave you an opportunity to conduct an encounter on the street which meant you may well not have to arrest someone, whereas previously you might have done and, as I say, my best recollection of my training is that we were trained in it as a method of de-escalation or as avoiding of unnecessary arrests.

And these numbers might be right. I think I'm

25

1 pretty confident they're right. I can find you the 2 source document. But if you look at the numbers of 3 people stop searched in 2014, per thousand of the 4 population, in Manchester, it was ten per thousand of 5 the population. In London it was 35. In Edinburgh, by, 6 2014, it was 49. In Glasgow it was 191. Now, I defy 7 anyone to look at those numbers and suggest that somehow 8 there wasn't something wrong going on either in 9 Manchester or in Glasgow, but, you know, my view would 10 be obviously Glasgow. 11 In 2014 Police Scotland carried out more stop 12 searches on 16-year-old boys than there were 16-year-old 13 boys in Scotland. So the numbers are just -- they just 14 don't make sense. This activity was being driven by an 15 interesting performance regime where the top if the 16 organisation said there are no targets for this 17 activity, but from some debriefing work I did after the 18 Commonwealth Games I captured anonymised data from 19 around the organisation where officers were saying, 20 well, that's just ridiculous, because of course we have 21 targets. And this is the point about how performance 22 regimes drive less than ideal behavior. 23 So officers were reporting that to get their daily 24 target numbers they would at the beginning of their

shift go to the place where it was known homeless people

would congregate and search them and that would get the numbers. There were traffic units who had dedicated patrol cars that would go out and chase the speeding tickets and the seatbelt tickets, so that everyone else could get on with what they understood to be the proper work of a road policing unit. So these kind of behaviours were evident in Police Scotland, driven by, as I say, a performance -- performance regime which kind of the top and the bottom didn't say the same thing.

And back to your point, if you're driving levels of stop search activity like that you must surely be having a disproportionate impact. We knew -- I have already talked about young people and to get the numbers up lots of young people were searched or they were stopped and alcohol seized of them and there were times when those were recorded as stop searches. So the disproportionate impact on young people is kind of self evident.

I can't give you -- I can't quote the numbers or even the document, but there is a document where there is some analysis of the data around minority ethnic communities in Scotland and it does point to disproportionate application of stop search, but the point is this is where you come back to institutional racism can be evidenced by the fact that you have not asked the question to get the data that you need to

1 understand whether or not you're delivering a 2 disproportionate impact. That's my interpretation of 3 it. That there's a question there to be asked and if 4 you simply don't look and simply don't ask the question, 5 then that prima facie to me is evidence of institutional 6 racism. 7 Q. Thank you. 8 And as I say, stop search was so -- it's such a clear Α. 9 example of something that the police know, we know, from 10 years and years, we know it's disproportionate 11 impact and I mean others will be able to come and tell 12 you about all the work that was done to try and 13 performance manage it, but that's certainly my view from 14 where I sat in Police Scotland that that was part of our 15 picture of institutional racism. 16 Thank you. Can I move on, please, to paragraph 91 of Q. 17 your Inquiry statement. There we are: 18 "The first step in addressing institutional racism 19 is to recognise and acknowledge its existence. At some 20 point in 2015 I sent an email to my colleague who had 21 responsibility for these issues asking what the 22 Police Scotland position was on institutional racism. 23 I was asking because we were regularly discussing it at 24 CIM training." 25 Is that Critical Incident Management training we

1 have been talking about? 2 Α. Yes. 3 "... and I was expressing my personal view, but had no Q. 4 real idea what the official position was. I never got a 5 reply." 6 Can you tell the Chair a little more about this 7 situation in relation to your message to a colleague? 8 I think that pretty much sums it up. I mean I would say Α. 9 in defence of my colleague that we all know emails get 10 sent and sometimes never arrive, but I think -- I mean 11 it's as simple as that. I sent a three or four line 12 email saying along the lines of we're discussing this 13 kind of on a regular basis at critical incident 14 training, I am giving my personal view, but that may not 15 be the view of the organisation and, therefore, what's 16 the view of the organisation so --17 And did you ever receive any indication from the Q. 18 organisation as to what the position was in 2015 about 19 institutional racism? 20 No, but I also didn't want to give the impression that Α. 21 twice a week I was knocking on people's doors asking 22 what our position on institutional racism was. I mean 23 I think -- I think the discussion was more often framed 24 in terms of culture and in terms of -- I think as I 25 recall it, sort of 2015, end of 2014, I think service

1 was developing one of its first kind of strategic 2 documents going forward and it's -- I won't repeat 3 myself, but it's back to the issues we talked about 4 earlier about -- about if you can't be clear about what 5 your organisation is going to be doing, you then can't 6 really be clear about what kind of culture and what kind 7 of leadership model supports you in doing that. So the 8 conversations were around -- more around culture and 9 strategic thinking than they were specifically about 10 institutional racism. But you're right I asked the 11 question and never got a reply. 12 Q. Thank you. Finally, I would like to move on to the 13 issue of recommendations and the Chair will ultimately 14 have to consider recommendations and I wonder if we can 15 look at paragraph 130 of your Inquiry statement: 16 "On the more general point about reports into the 17 police handling of cases and/or culture (for example, 18 the Macpherson Report, the Chhokar Report, 19 Louise Casey's Report, the Morris Inquiry report, 20 various Metropolitan Police Authority reports) there are 21 broad similarities in the recommendations that arise 22 from them. They deal with leadership, training, 23 accountability, professional competence and effective 24 engagement with communities and families. The real 25 challenge is not coming up with the recommendations, it

1 is about how you implement the recommendations in a way 2 that leads to a real and sustained change in the 3 experience of those we police. The recommendations 4 arising from the murder of Simon San cover similar 5 issues. They cover the need for awareness among our 6 staff about the needs of families and communities; the 7 need for an organisational culture that enables people 8 to speak out; the need for adequate and appropriate 9 training. As I've said, the legislative frameworks are 10 different in Scotland and England, but the 11 recommendation from all these reports will have 12 relevance and meaning in both countries." 13 Now, we've heard from a number of witnesses about 14 recommendations and the word implementation has been 15 used. We have heard evidence from Lady Angiolini who 16 talked about the emergence of the same themes in many of 17 the deaths of -- particularly of black men and she 18 described that as indicative of a failure to learn 19 lessons. And we've heard from Professor Meer that many 20 of the recommendations have simply not been implemented. 21 And I'm interested in -- your evidence also appears 22 to focus on implementation as being a key aspect; is 23 that fair to say? 24 Absolutely, yes. And if I can just start by caveating, Α. 25 again, nothing I'm about to say concerns what

1 Police Scotland is currently doing.

2 Q. Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 I haven't been part of those discussions, I don't -- so Α. 4 if you take this as being extant up to 2015. And I 5 personally was on the receiving end of quite a lot of 6 these reports and responsible for the implementation or 7 the monitoring of the implementation, and the picture 8 that I have in my head is of constantly putting new 9 wallpaper up on a damp wall. So it looks really nice 10 for a while, but because the wall is still damp, 11 eventually it curls at the corners and falls off. And 12 my experience is that you have -- you have an inquiry 13 and, sadly, of course they're mostly driven by someone's 14 death, you have the recommendations, they're picked up, 15 you have individual leaders, often charismatic people, 16 often highly talented people who develop a response and 17 move things forward and then something in the 18 organisation changes or something in the political 19 environment changes and enthusiasm wanes.

The other element of that is that I think far too often police are allowed to mark their own homework and I think far too often we have historically regarded implementing a recommendations as a proxy for delivering the outcomes it was designed to deliver. So we will say, we had an action plan, it had 17 recommendations on

1 it, here's a report telling you how we've implemented 15 2 of them and that doesn't tell you anything about levels 3 of trust and confidence in the community. 4 So I think -- I think the kind of territory that --5 forgive me -- we should be in in terms of how we think 6 about going forward is what I have always called the 7 Macpherson gap. So in part 2 of the Inquiry when 8 they're travelling around the country, Macpherson talks 9 about the inescapable evidence provided of a 10 difference -- of a difference -- I can't remember the 11 exact words -- in opinion between police and 12 communities. It talks about -- it talks about the stark 13 contrast between the positive descriptions of policies 14 by senior officers and the negative experiences played 15 back by communities who clearly feel that they are 16 discriminated against. 17 So obviously points to -- and I think -- it is 18 I think a couple of pages further on in the context of 19 hate crime, he talks about the common experience of 20 communities is of fine policies and fine words from 21 senior officers and yet of indifference on the ground 22 and it's that indifference that is most damaging to 23 trust and confidence. They're not exact quotes, but 24 they're -- you get the sense of it and I think --25 I think we need to find a way of closing that gap and it

seems to me and please don't press me on too much detail, but it seems to me that we have to find a way and I have always thought of this as like a national convention on policing.

So we need to find a way of bring people from communities all the way across Scotland into a place where they can have a discussion with us in the room about what policing should be, what policing they want and deserve, and then to engage in a discussion about what kind of police service will deliver that and then fundamentally for communities for that convention to define the performance indicators for which they are going to hold the police service to account. So that the process of defining success and holding us to account for success is taken out of the service.

So the service will talk -- again going back to 2015 -- the service will talk about developing metrics around delivery and it will talk about its equality outcomes under the general duty, specific duties, but in the end they all in my experience tend to kind of stop when you stop measuring inputs and because it's very difficult to get to outcomes, it's very difficult for the police because they're focused on resources and kind of moving on to the next thing very often. So I think some kind of big way of engaging communities in the

1 process of setting performance indicators and then 2 holding up to account that doesn't usurp the 3 Police Authority, because their role as the kind of 4 day-to-day sits alongside it. So that would be -- yes, 5 that's a sort of vague recommendation. 6 I think, if I may, there's probably may be three 7 other things. One would be to think about the general 8 duty and the specific duties and whether or not we 9 should -- whether or not we should put around them a 10 stronger statutory framework, because if I -- I mean 11 this might have changed, so if it has then please ignore 12 me. But my recollection is that the sanction for 13 failing to meet your duty is a notice from Equality and 14 Human Rights Commission and I think that's it. So I 15 think we need to think about holding the police's feet 16 to the fire more strongly on that, because it seems to 17 me in the wording of those duties lies the way out of 18 institutional racism and a lot of other things so and 19 it's there, it's already in statute so can we find way. 20 And then two other things. They're both based on my 21 experience of what has successfully in my opinion 22 changed my levels of awareness and understanding and I 23 believe I have seen it do same for staff and the 24 first -- and they're both versions of the same thing, 25 which is it's about having personal contact with the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lived experience of others and it's about having that in a prolonged way, so not a two-day course, not a visitor in the afternoon.

And my suggestion would be to think about every course of probationers that goes through their initial training, for the duration of that course, they have as part of the staff team for their group someone appointed from outwith the police who has lived experience in a minority community of living with a protected characteristic. Obviously, they would need training and support and the rest of it, but that person travels the journey with that group of probationers and they get the opportunity to get to know each other, they get the opportunity to hear about a different life, they get the opportunity to learn to challenge and that puts a lot of responsibility to put on that person, but that person can model challenging the police officer members of staff in that -- in that learning group. And I think that would be very powerful and I don't think it would be overexpensive and someone will turn around and tell me they already do that.

The other, and it's a very, very similar version of the same thing, which, again, excuse me, but one more anecdote. When I was the commander at Bath, the Divisional Commander at Bath, I employed a man who was

an ex-professional footballer called Carl Saunders, a black man who had retired early because he was injured. And the story of how he got to me doesn't matter, but he was employed on the basis that he only had one line manager and that was me, the Divisional Commander, and his job description was "make things better". And he basically had the freedom of the division. And he did lots of great initiatives with young people in schools and things like that.

But the power of what Carl achieved was he was in and around the police station everyday and he would go and sit in the parade room when cops were in having a cup of tea and he would sit and talk to them and they would ask him the questions that they probably wouldn't have asked on a diversity training course, because he was their friend, he got to know them and shared their lives.

A powerful thing he did for a number of people was just over the road from the police station in those days was a branch of a famous electricity retailer and Carl would -- obviously with them not in uniform, but Carl would walk them across the road, go into the front of the shop and he would say to the officer or member of staff, I'll go left, you go right, but what you need to do is watch where the security staff go and he knew

1 without a shadow of doubt that the security staff would 2 always move in the direction that he went, not in the 3 direction that the usually white officer would go. And 4 that's the kind of thing that's very difficult to learn 5 on e-learning or on a classroom-based course. It's 6 about that experiencial thing and about that being 7 long-term so Carl was an employee. 8 The challenge -- again, we come back to -- and I 9 would -- I would think if every division in 10 Police Scotland and they might already have one -- if 11 every division in Police Scotland had someone with that 12 kind of remit and that kind of authorising environment, 13 ie at the top of the organisation, I think that would be 14 very powerful. The challenge comes in asking people to 15 perform that role in a police organisation that isn't 16 culturally equipped to deal with it. 17 So again -- and I know a lot of my evidences makes 18 it sound like I think I know the answer to everything, 19 I don't at all. When I left to move up to the Met, Carl 20 was moved into the headquarters Community Affairs 21 Department and given a job description and a role 22 profile and was put into the chain of command and 23 because he was police staff of course he was now 24 reporting to a sergeant and it completely undermined the 25 whole point of what Carl did, because it turned him into

1 someone who was now -- they were trying to squeeze him 2 back into the system. 3 I knew it was a good thing one afternoon when I --4 and I still remember walking into the sergeant's office 5 and there was a sergeant and there was Carl and they 6 were having the most intense discussion about why 7 something was racist and why it wasn't. And the 8 sergeant -- it wasn't an argument, it was a learning 9 experience for the sergeant and it was a learning 10 experience for Carl in terms of understanding kind of 11 where the police priorities in this were. And those 12 were the kind of discussion without fear of censure, 13 without fear of suddenly finding yourself in a 14 discipline process, without being on a course, probably 15 with people you haven't met before and in front of a 16 tutor or teacher who carries organisational authority, 17 somewhere in there is the germ of the kind of thing that 18 I think will in the end take us forward. 19 But, yes, I'll leave it there. 20 Thank you very much. Could you give me one moment, Q. 21 please. 22 Α. Hm-hmm.23 Thank you very much. I have no further questions. 24 LORD BRACADALE: Are there any Rule 9 applications? No. 25 Well, Mr Allen, thank you very much for coming to

```
1
             give evidence to the Inquiry. I am very grateful for
 2
             your time. We're about to adjourn and then you'll be
 3
             free to go.
         A. Thank you very much, sir.
 4
         LORD BRACADALE: Adjourn until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning.
 5
 6
         (2.50 pm)
 7
          (The hearing was adjourned to 10.00 am on Wednesday, 3rd
 8
                                  July 2024)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1		
2		INDEX
3	1 RETIRED DCC STEVE ALLEN	(AFFIRMED)
4	2Examination-in-chief by	MS GRAHAME
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		