1 Friday, 4 October 2024. 2 (10.04 am)3 MARTIN GRAVES (continued) 4 Examination-in-chief by MS GRAHAME LORD BRACADALE: Yes, Ms Grahame. 5 MS GRAHAME: Good morning, Mr Graves. 6 7 Α. Good morning. Q. I would like to turn to something else. You gave 8 9 evidence in November 2022 to the Inquiry and when you 10 gave evidence you'll hopefully recall that I asked you 11 to consider matters through the prison of a hypothetical 12 reasonable officer and I asked you about a particular 13 set of circumstances and what a hypothetical reasonable 14 officer may do --15 A. Yes, I remember. Q. -- in those circumstances. And I have returned to that 16 17 again to some extent this week when we have been looking at training, so I don't want to repeat any of that 18 evidence. Obviously the Chair has your evidence from 19 20 November 2022 and he has your evidence this week, but it 21 was agreed that there would be a range of possible 22 options for a hypothetical reasonable officer to take --23 A. Yes. Q. -- in any given set of circumstances. But what I would 24 like to ask you is about your contact with the crown 25

25

1 previously and the approach that the crown took in relation to asking you questions? 2 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. So you know that's the hypothetical reasonable officer's 5 approach I have taken --6 Yes. Α. 7 Q. -- in November 2022 and this week and I would like to look at the approach the crown took with you. 8 9 Now, as background to refresh your memory to some 10 extent, could we look first of all, please, at COPFS 02126A. Now, this is a briefing note and you will 11 12 not have seen this briefing note as part of your work, 13 but it's a useful way of just reminding everyone of the 14 context and the Inquiry itself has heard evidence from a 15 number of witnesses about this note. So as you'll see, it's a briefing note by Alisdair MacLeod and I 16 understand you know Alisdair MacLeod? 17 Mr MacLeod was the first contact I had back in the end 18 Α. 19 of 2017 requesting my assistance, yes. And we have heard that he was a senior procurator fiscal 20 Q. 21 depute involved in the investigation into the death of 22 Mr Bayoh? At that time he was, yes, and I see copied in 23 Α. Fiona Carnan and she was also involved in part of that 24

and was the other contact I had within the Crown Office.

- 1 Q. Thank you. And this is dated 28 February 2022, but to 2 reassure you, I think if we look at the top paragraph, 3 this is to detail and timeline, if we can move up: 4 "The work carried out by a department within 5 Crown Office against criminal allegations against the police between 3 May 2015 [which was the date of 6 7 Mr Bayoh's death] and 11 November 2019 when the victim's right of review, called VRR, process concluded." 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. It covers the whole period between May 2015 and November 2019? 11 12 Α. That's correct, yes. 13 And so I would like to first of all look at page 13, Q. 14 please, and this specifically deals with what they've 15 called the OST training, so the officer safety training expert, and my understanding is that that was you? 16 That would be correct, yes. 17 Α. 18 Q. Here we are. You'll see the crown encountered 19 considerable difficulty in identifying a suitable OST expert and number of enquiries were made in England and
- considerable difficulty in identifying a suitable OST
 expert and number of enquiries were made in England and
 Northern Ireland and then in December 2017 the Met
 Police College in Hendon was approached for assistance
 and they weren't able to put forward any of their own
 officers, but they provided details of a known and
 trusted former training officer Martin Graves who was

1 now operating as an OST expert in the private sector? 2 That's correct, yes. Α. And we've heard that's how the crown came into contact 3 Q. 4 with you and got your name? 5 Α. Yes. And they contacted you on 29 December 2017 and initially 6 Q. 7 provided you with general nonspecific details about the case and the following day you forwarded your CV to the 8 crown and confirmed you would be in a position to start 9 10 reviewing materials and provide a report to them and does that accord with your recollection? 11 12 Α. Yes, that would be correct dates and the first process, 13 yes. And then: 14 Q. 15 "Following crown counsel's agreement, Mr Graves was formally asked to provide a report on 22 December 2017." 16 17 And you were contacted by telephone on 8 January 2018 and you were provided with specific 18 details about the case? 19 A. Yes, and just after that the materials arrived on a pen 20 21 drive complete with a copy of written instructions, yes. 22 And, indeed, it says in the briefing note: Q. "A detailed letter of instruction and pen drive was 23 couriered to Mr Graves' business address on 24 24 January 2018." 25

1 And you remember receiving that? 2 Α. Yes. Can I ask you to look at COPFS 00008, and this should be 3 Q. your letter of instruction, so it's from Crown Office 4 5 dated 24 January 2018. Do you have a hard copy in your 6 folder? 7 I do, yes. Α. Excellent, and that's -- I think it's around a 20-page 8 Q. document? 9 10 Α. Yes, I remember it being quite substantial. 11 And we've also got a copy of it on the screen, but we Q. 12 will only see a section of that, but do you recognise 13 that as the letter of instruction? I do, yes, it also detailed all the documents that would 14 Α. 15 be -- were contained on the pen drive, which also included a great deal of CCTV and also a reconstruction 16 17 of the scene and the timeline that had been done by 18 forensic scientists. 19 Thank you. Now, I will be coming back to that later to Q. 20 ask you once more detailed questions shortly, but, 21 again, to complete the context and the background, can 22 we return to the briefing note, please. So that's COPFS 02126A and we were on page 18 under "OST expert", 23 and it talks about the original materials. If we can 24

carry on down, there we are:

1 "The original materials provided to Mr Graves in January included documentation in relation to the 2 training delivered to the material officers. On 3 4 21 February 2018, Mr Graves was advised by email that 5 PIRC had carried out further enquiries in relation to the nature and content of officer training and a further 6 7 letter of instruction and materials was being prepared." And then on 22 February that year, 2018: 8 "Mr Graves advised the crown he had not been able to 9 10 devote time to preparing his report at that time." Could you look, please, at COPFS 00008, and page 9, 11 12 please. So we're going to go back to the -- now, page 9, please, of COPFS 00008. Right. I think my copy 13 14 is slightly different. So what I was looking for was 15 the next letter of instruction that was sent to you, which I have attached, and it was a letter dated 16 17 22 February 2018 and I had it following on from the first letter of instruction. 18 19 It would be page 10 is the next page. Α. Yes, you have the same copy as me. There we are. 20 Q. 21 Excellent, thank you. So that's 22 February 2018 and 22 this appears to be another second letter of instruction sent to you by the crown referring to the first letter 23 of instruction on 24 January and various materials were 24 sent and they have since received further relevant 25

- information so that was -- do you recognise that?
- 2 A. Yes, there was additional materials provided, yes.
- 3 Q. Lovely. And then I wonder if we could go back to the
- 4 briefing note, please, and that's COPFS 02126A, and if
- 5 we can look at page 9. Sorry, we were on page 13,
- 6 apologies. And if we can move down the page, there we
- 7 are, and it then talks about a second letter of
- 8 instruction, materials couriered on that 24 February,
- 9 asked to do your report, and there was a comment that
- 10 precognoscers were aiming to submit their report to the
- crown counsel on 23 March 2018. And on 7 March you
- 12 confirmed your report would be completed and then on the
- 13 16 March you advised the crown that you had been unable
- 14 to play a number of titles on the PSA multimedia
- presentation disc. So you were having some difficulties
- with the pen drive?
- 17 A. There were some technical difficulties with the
- formatting, yes.
- 19 Q. And in the end a further disc was couriered to you in
- 20 March?
- 21 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 22 Q. And then on 16 March, the precognoscers, and we've heard
- 23 that those were Fiona Carnan and Alisdair MacLeod, who
- you have mentioned --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- made arrangements with Mr Graves to discuss his emerging conclusions over the telephone on 21 March, and 2 3 this was with view to finalising the report that they 4 were preparing to crown counsel by 23 March. Then if we 5 can move on, please, down the page, and it said here: "Although the consultation with Mr Graves was very 6 7 useful, he advised the crown his report would not be completed until the April." 8 9 So they did not submit a report to Crown Office 10 until they had received your report? Yes, from memory, the telephone conversation I asked if 11 Α. 12 we could break down the questions and they added 13 actually further questions verbally in relation to 14 clarification which, again, extended the work that was 15 going to be required so I gave a revised timeline for the completion of the review. 16 And it says there you asked for further time in April 17 Q. 18 and then the OST report was received by the crown on 14 April 2018? 19 That would sound about right, yes. 20 Α. 21 Q. And it was examined in detail, so let's have a look at 22 COPFS 00024, and do we see here your name at the top instructed by Mr Alisdair MacLeod? 23
- 25 Q. And the date of the report is 13 April 2018, and if we

24

Α.

Yes.

- 1 move down the first page, we see that it is "The use of
- 2 force by police in the restraint of Mr Sheku Bayoh" and
- 3 then it says "Expert witness report of Mr Martin Graves"
- 4 and we can see that on the screen.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And do you recognise that report?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And I think I asked you questions in connection with
- 9 this in November 2022?
- 10 A. That's correct this is what I referred to, yes.
- 11 Q. Thank you. We will come back to that and I will ask you
- some further questions in due course. Could we go to
- the briefing note, please. Again, we were -- I think we
- 14 were now on page 14, and we had just finished reading
- "The OST report was received on 14 April 2018" and there
- were a number of typos and such like, there always are
- 17 with these things, and a number of matters were raised
- and you resolved those and then your draft had been
- 19 submitted as your final report on 29 April 2018?
- 20 A. That's correct, yes.
- 21 Q. And then it says that you were precognosced by the
- 22 precognoscers at Paisley Fiscals Office on 11 May 2018?
- 23 A. Yes, that was an in-person meeting, yes.
- Q. And that was in Paisley?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And you remember that taking place?
- 2 A. Yes, I remember flying up, yes.
- 3 Q. And it says -- do you remember who attended that?
- 4 A. From memory, it was Ms Carnan and Mr MacLeod, from
- 5 memory.
- 6 Q. Yes. Thank you. And the Inquiry has the precognition
- 7 that was taken from you and I know you won't have seen
- 8 that?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. But it does indicate that both Fiona Carnan's initials
- and Alisdair MacLeod's initials are on that and they
- were both there as far as you remember?
- 13 A. As far as I remember, yes.
- Q. Thank you. And then you won't be necessarily aware of
- 15 this, Mr Graves, but the Inquiry has information and has
- been advised that on 15 August 2018 the Lord Advocate,
- 17 the then Lord Advocate, James Wolffe KC, instructed
- senior crown counsel to consult with you as the
- 19 restraint expert and senior crown counsel at that time
- 20 was the Assistant Principal Crown Counsel who was
- 21 Ashley Edwards KC and do you remember meeting with
- 22 Ashley Edwards?
- 23 A. I remember coming to Edinburgh for another meeting, yes.
- I have a different name on the email, but I believe ...
- 25 Q. Well, we have -- if we could look perhaps at COPFS

25

1 02337, and we've heard evidence that this is the 2 consultation note that was taken. We've heard evidence 3 that Les Brown, head of the criminal allegations against 4 the police and Crown Office, had a consultation at 5 Scottish House, Embankment in London on 20 August 2018 and that was attended by the allocated and assistant 6 7 principal crown counsel, the allocated AD, Ashley Edwards, and that seems to have been in London in 8 August? 9 10 Α. Yes, there was a meeting in London, as I say, I've also 11 flown up to Edinburgh as well. 12 Q. Right. And I want to try and pin down the dates when 13 you attended and met with crown counsel and 14 Crown Office. So you told us that you remember meeting 15 Fiona Carnan and Alisdair MacLeod in Paisley? 16 Α. Yes. And was that on one occasion in Paisley? 17 Q. 18 One occasion and I think it was May of that year. Α. 19 And the notes appear to say that was 11 May, and then Q. 20 the next meeting you had, who was that with? 21 Α. I remember Les Brown's name. As I've said, I have 22 looked back over my email trail from that time, Les Brown, and I remember a young lady being there but I 23 can't remember her name. 24

Q. And we've heard that it was -- you'll see the names on

Edinburgh, yes.

25

1 the screen, Ashley Edwards, QC then, KC now, and 2 Les Brown? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. And that meeting with them was in London on 5 20 August 2018? Yes, at Scottish House, yes. 6 Α. 7 Q. And was that the first time that you had met either Ashley Edwards or Les Brown? 8 I believe it was, yes. I had corresponded with Les via 9 Α. 10 email, but it was the first face-to-face meeting I'd had 11 with them. 12 Q. All right. So the information the Inquiry has been sent 13 is that on 15 August 2018 the then Lord Advocate 14 instructed senior crown counsel to consult with you, 15 Martin Graves, and then it would appear five days later on 20 August there was a consultation with you. Can 16 17 we -- we're then aware that the VRR, Victim's Right of Review, was intimated in February 2019, we have heard 18 evidence about that, and concluded, which we saw on the 19 20 first paragraph of the briefing note, 11 November 2019. 21 And during that period, am I to understand that you had another consultation and that was perhaps in 22 Edinburgh? 23 A. I have recollection of coming to the Crown Offices in 24

1	Q.	Right. And if I was to say could we look at
2		COPFS 02322. Now, these are consultation notes which
3		you wouldn't have seen before, I don't think, but they
4		do indicate that they're "AM & FC notes on AP
5		consultation with Martin Graves, OST expert, 28th June
6		2019, Crown Office, Edinburgh". And it's my
7		understanding that AP is Alex Prentice, now KC, and
8		(YouTube screen frozen)
9	Q.	So that's 00529. So you see there the statement of
10		James Wolffe KC, and I'm interested in paragraph 52,
11		please. You'll see you'll have seen the heading go
12		by about expert witnesses and what he has told the Chair
13		is that he had looked at email exchanges between himself
14		and the Assistant Principal Crown Counsel in
15		December 2017 in relation to the identification of a
16		suitably qualified restraint expert:
17		" In which I suggested that enquiries might be
18		made with police forces elsewhere in the UK and with
19		police training institutions north and south of the
20		border. Otherwise, so far as I can recall, I had no
21		personal involvement in the matters referred to in this
22		question."
23		And is it fair to say you never met with the
24		Lord Advocate?
25	Α.	I have no recollection of meeting with him, no.

1 Q. "And these were all matters for the investigative team under the direction of Assistant Principal Crown Counsel 2 3 [who we have heard was Ashley Edwards at the time] and 4 as I have already noted, when I received APCC's report 5 [that's Ashley Edwards] with her recommendation in relation to prosecution of the officers, I had a 6 discussion we her which resulted in further consultation 7 with a restraint expert before a final decision was 8 9 taken. I cannot now recall the specific point upon which I considered that further work was required." 10 Now, we've heard evidence that Ashley Edwards was 11 12 the allocated advocated depute, that she prepared in 13 fact a number of reports for the then Lord Advocate 14 James Wolffe, but the first one she sent to the 15 Lord Advocate -- and we have heard evidence they had a meeting -- and it would appear that after Mr Wolffe had 16 17 a discussion with her it resulted in further consultation with a restraint expert before a final 18 decision was to be taken, but he could not recall the 19 20 specific point on which he considered further work was 21 required. And I wondered whether you had any recollection at 22 all of discussing with Ashley Edwards what in particular 23 the Lord Advocate was interested in? 24 I remember both of the meetings being not directly 25

related to the original instructions and the original
questions and asking for more -- more of my opinion,
overarching opinion, in relation to the incident and
also some additional questions around the training which
hadn't formed part of my original instructions. I do
remember discussing other topics and other things which

were outside of my original instructions from them.

- Q. Thank you. Now, as far as the Inquiry are aware, you

 were the only OST expert or safety training expert,

 restraint expert, that was instructed by the crown and

 invited to comment on the actions of officers. Do you

 have any information to suggest it wasn't you that was

 the only expert on this?
- A. I'm not aware -- I wasn't informed that another expert
 was being approached, no.
- Q. Thank you. So as far as you knew, you were the only expert being consulted with on this matter?
- A. Yes, normal practice would be if there's more than one
 expert, the experts would be requested to meet and come
 up with a joint report in relation to their findings,
 identifying areas of agreement and areas of
 disagreement.
- Q. And there was never anything like that?
- 24 A. No.

7

25 Q. Thank you. So I would like to go back to the letter of

1 instruction and ask you one or two questions. That was COPFS 00008 and you said earlier that you recognised 2 3 that letter of instruction, it was of some length, and I 4 would like to look at page 2, please. At the top of 5 this page you'll see a section in bold and I'm going to read out the first part of that to you and then ask you 6 7 some questions on it. Please either follow your own hard copy or we can look at it on the screen: 8 9 "Given your expertise, the crown wish to instruct 10 you to prepare a report commenting on the actions of police from the point of engagement with Mr Bayoh and 11 12 particularly providing opinion on whether the method of 13 engagement with and restraint of the deceased by 14 officers was reasonable and justifiable, taking into 15 account the requirement for their use of force to be necessary, accountable, proportionate legal and ethical. 16 17 In general, in providing your opinion, please comment on whether the officers concerned seemed to have followed 18 19 their OST training. We would ask that you consider all 20 of the materials supplied to you in reaching your 21 opinion." 22 Could you explain your understanding of what it was that the crown were asking you to express an opinion on? 23

A. The actions of the officers, the tactics that were deployed, and whether or not, in my opinion, I deemed

24

1		them to be appropriate to the risk, and also whether
2		that those tactics were in line with their current
3		training.
4	Q.	Right. Where we see the section that says, "We wish to
5		instruct you to prepare a report [at the beginning of
6		the paragraph] commenting on the actions of police from
7		the point of engagement with Mr Bayoh", and they say
8		they would like an opinion "on whether the method of
9		engagement with and restraint of the deceased by
LO		officers was reasonable;" what was your understanding of
L1		that phrase?
L2	Α.	Whether their actions were appropriate to the proposed
L3		or the perceived threat, was it the right thing to do
L 4		and if it was the right thing to do, did they do it in
L5		the right way.
L 6	Q.	And was it ever your understanding that they wanted you
L7		to express an opinion on whether the restraint was
L8		reasonable?
L 9	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	And can we look at the second paragraph in bold:
21		"I should mention that we may ask for a
22		supplementary report from you at a later stage about the
23		efficacy of the police OST training."
24		Now, we don't have a report like that in the Inquiry
25		and I wanted to ask you were you ever subsequently asked

- 1 to prepare that report for the crown?
- 2 A. I never prepared a secondary or supplementary report.
- 3 As I said from memory, I do remember answering questions
- 4 in relation to the training at one or other of the two
- 5 meetings that I had with Crown Office.
- Q. And do you remember whether it was the meeting with
- 7 Ashley Edwards or the meeting with Alex Prentice?
- 8 A. I can't really. I don't have any personal notes of
- 9 those meetings still available.
- 10 Q. Right. Were you ever asked to look at -- I know you
- 11 were looking at some training materials. When you
- 12 compare what the Inquiry have asked you to look at in
- terms of training materials, and the questions that you
- 14 were asked to respond to regarding training in 2015 and
- up to date, do you remember if it was a comparable
- 16 process at the meetings you had?
- 17 A. I was certainly given access to sections of the
- 18 2013 Manual, I remember having seen that, because I had
- 19 that on record already, and being given a number, two or
- three, PowerPoints, supporting PowerPoints. I don't
- 21 remember seeing any lesson plans or anything like that
- 22 or any other supporting documents. I definitely had the
- 23 manual and I definitely had a couple of PowerPoints,
- 24 supporting PowerPoints.
- 25 Q. So your comments were restricted perhaps to the manual

- itself and the couple of PowerPoints you saw?
- 2 A. Yes, I remember making -- also making comment on the
- 3 SPELS, the first aid training, I was given a copy of
- 4 that as part of the training materials. I remember
- 5 giving a couple of paragraphs of comment on that as
- 6 well.
- 7 Q. And do you remember any specific questions that were
- 8 asked about training?
- 9 A. Not off the top of my head, no. If they're not involved
- in those documents, then, no.
- 11 Q. Do you remember what either of the PowerPoints related
- 12 to?
- A. As I say, one was a supporting OST PowerPoint. I can't
- 14 remember what the other ones were.
- Q. And when you say "supporting" was that to do with the
- 16 manual?
- 17 A. Yes, it was the basic delivery methodology for the
- manual content.
- 19 Q. All right, Thank you. Could we move on to you -- back
- 20 to your letter of instruction and I would like to look
- 21 at pages 7 to 8 and a section that is called "Restraint
- on the ground" and it's towards the bottom of page -- if
- 23 we look at page 7. There we are. So we'll start on
- page 7. You see the section that says "Restraint on the
- ground"?

1 Α. Yes. 2 And was -- before I go through the detail of this Q. 3 section, was it ever explained to you by the crown that 4 it appeared from the eyewitness evidence that there were 5 different factual versions emerging of what actually happened in Hayfield Road and they could not all be true 6 7 and accurate, but different witnesses had said different things and that it would not be for you to make up your 8 mind which version you preferred? 9 10 Α. Yes, I took into consideration all the -- I had access to all the witness statements so I used those to blend 11 12 and look at the timeline to make my own -- form my own 13 opinions. Thank you. Can we look at the final paragraph on page 14 Q. 15 7: "The Snapchat footage taken by witness Wyse..." 16 17 And I know you're familiar with the Snapchat footage. We discussed it with you in November 2022? 18 19 Yes. Α. "The Snapchat footage taken by witnesses Wyse, inserted 20 Q. 21 at one minute, one second into the restraint, contains a 22 brief glimpse of the methods of restraint being used at that time. Six officers are in various positions on or 23 around the now deceased. From that Snapchat footage, it 24

appears Mr Bayoh was lying on the southern pavement on

1 Hayfield Road surround by five police officers, namely PC Smith, PC Tomlinson, PC Paton, PC Gibson and 2 3 PC McDonagh. A sixth officer, believed to be PC Walker, 4 appears to be lying lengthwise on top of or beside 5 Mr Bayoh. His high visibility clothing visible momentarily." 6 7 And then there's the comment of PC Good making her way to assist her colleagues. And the final paragraph 8 9 of that section: 10 "During that four minutes, two seconds period, the now deceased was restrained physically by various 11 12 methods described by the officers and witnesses, was 13 handcuffed to the front and a set of two fastraps were 14 applied to his legs. 15 "The accounts from each officer as to what he or she was doing during this time are somewhat inconsistent. 16 17 PS Maxwell [that's acting police Sergeant Maxwell], DS Davidson, DC Connell and DI Robson can all speak to 18 their observations, but they did not take part in the 19 20 restraint." 21 Α. That's correct, yes. 22 Looking at the top of that page 8, it appears that the Q. crown has set out perhaps two factual scenarios, two 23 possibilities here, one that an officer believed to be 24 PC Walker appeared to be lying lengthwise on top of 25

1 Mr Bayoh or that the same officer appeared to be lying 2 beside Mr Bayoh. Can you help the Chair understand what 3 it was your -- you understood the crown to be asking you 4 in regard to those two factual possibilities? 5 I believe that was them -- their review of the CCTV Α. footage once the compilation, the timeline compilation 6 had been done, that was their interpretation of two 7 possible opinions on that video and I was asked or I was 8 9 under the impression from that I was asked as to which 10 one I believed was correct or if I had a different view, to express that opinion. 11 12 Q. Thank you. In this letter of instruction there was no 13 reference to any factual hypothesis being set out, such 14 as the type of thing I asked you in November 2022. 15 There's no specific question asking you what a hypothetical reasonable officer may or may not have 16 17 done. And I wondered if you recollect it ever having 18 been explained to you by the crown that they wished you to consider matters from that perspective, maybe what 19 20 options were open to a hypothetical reasonable officer, 21 rather than asking you what your view was about 22 particular actions taken by officers on the date in

A. I don't have any recollection of that sort of terminology regarding "hypothetical officer". When

question at Hayfield Road?

23

24

- looking at the reasonableness of the actions of any
 officer, I would always comment on those actions based
 against what would be the expected behaviour or the
 expected tactics and techniques for an officer to use
 under those circumstances.
- Q. Thank you. The crown have pointed out that the
 witnesses and the accounts from the officers, as we see
 on the screen, were somewhat inconsistent?
- 9 A. Yes, I know I gave evidence back in November in relation 10 to that, yes.
 - Q. You did. And so we've heard evidence that different witnesses have said different things at different times.

 Do you have any recollection of the crown ever setting out for you in any way a table in writing or just in discussion the various different versions that had been given and asking you to comment on each individually?
 - A. I was given access to the original officer's notes and statements. What I do recall is that they were quite lacking in places. Some of them hadn't been done, some of them had been done many, many days after the event, and I did have, I remember, a discussion with Crown Office regarding the protocols of post-incident management not having been adhered to or not having been as I would have expected them to be in relation to officers' accounts of such an incident.

- Q. And did you have concerns about the various different accounts that were in front of you in terms of statements and other materials?
- A. Not so much the fact that they were different or
 inconsistent, because that is quite often expected when
 you have officers viewing a situation from different
 angles, different timeframes, et cetera, but the fact
 that original accounts, initial accounts or later
 referenced full accounts weren't available from the
 officers.
 - Q. Was it ever -- we've heard about something that the crown are sort of working -- it was called the core crown theory, something that they worked on that they -- that they developed, core crown theory, what the crown case was at its highest, as they would put it, and effectively what was the most prejudicial scenario for a potential officer who was involved, a potential accused, they were considering criminality. And do you remember discussing with the crown what their core crown theory was or what the crown case at its highest was?
 - A. I don't remember a specific conversation, but I remember being made aware of the fact that they were considering criminal or had -- that was one of the options open to them was consideration of criminal proceedings and basically a caveat that my report may be required at

- 1 that level.
- 2 Q. Right. And so you understood that you were being asked
- 3 to do a report potentially for criminal proceedings?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. So you may have to be a witness in a trial in the
- future. Was it ever made clear to you what the --
- 7 whether it was called a core crown theory or a crown
- 8 case at the highest -- what the sort of position,
- 9 factual position was for the crown in terms of the
- 10 worst -- the worst sort of most prejudicial factual
- position, was that ever identified for you by the crown?
- 12 A. I don't remember a specific conversation regarding what
- charges might be brought, but, as I say, I obviously
- 14 being involved in a number of cases like this elsewhere,
- 15 I'm aware of what the possible charges or the possible
- implications could be to people involved in this sort of
- 17 situation, yes.
- 18 Q. Of course. But leaving aside possible criminal charges,
- 19 did the crown clearly identify to you the worst case
- 20 factually for the officers?
- 21 A. I don't remember having that conversation, as I say,
- 22 other than the fact that I was made aware that criminal
- 23 proceedings were a possibility.
- Q. Right. So you were aware that obviously criminal
- 25 charges are the worst case scenario in many situations,

- 1 but I'm interested in whether the crown identified the
- 2 facts that might merit charges of that sort?
- 3 A. I don't remember that conversation. If it was, it was
- in one of the two verbal meetings.
- 5 Q. Do you remember anything like that?
- 6 A. I don't have a recollection of it, no.
- 7 Q. In the letter of instruction, as you will see at the top
- 8 of page 8, you were asked to proceed on the basis
- 9 that -- this relates to the Snapchat footage --
- 10 PC Walker appears to be lying lengthwise on top of
- 11 Mr Bayoh or beside Mr Bayoh and I think you described
- 12 that earlier as that appeared to be the crown's
- interpretation of the footage?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. They've -- at the very least in this letter they have
- asked you to look at perhaps two possible factual
- 17 scenarios. And I wondered, reflecting now where we are,
- 18 when I have asked you questions in November, using the
- 19 prism of a hypothetical reasonable officer and we've
- done that again this week, would you have found that
- 21 useful as a mechanism to have the facts laid out for you
- 22 and look at different scenarios?
- 23 A. As I say, I think I was requested and I would always
- 24 make my own judgment of the materials being presented to
- 25 me. I take this sort of letter of instruction as very

1 much a sort of a timeline based on the instructing 2 person's view of the evidence that's in front of them, 3 highlighting things that they might me to focus in on. 4 As I said, in relation to the hypothetical officer, 5 I would always use that level in relation to my opinion of the actions of an officer, what would be expected or 6 7 what would be recognised as good practice as against what I'm actually viewing or being presented with. 8 Thank you. Could we go back to the report that we 9 Q. 10 looked at shortly, I would like to look at COPFS 00024 and this is your report from 13 April 2018. It's a 11 12 41-page PDF and I would like to look at just one or two 13 aspects of this. Page 31, letter (j), it's at the 14 bottom of the page. And here you have said: 15 "At this time, there are differing accounts of the body position of Mr Bayoh. PC Tomlinson, Smith and 16 17 Mr Nelson (see paragraph (o) below) appear to have him on his front with PC Walker lying over his upper body. 18 PC Walker describes this as being on his knees with his 19 20 upper body over the subject's right shoulder with him 21 lying on his left side." So I think here you recognise there were two 22 versions of the facts that you had identified? 23 Yes, and that paragraph is specifically from the 24 Α. officer's account, not from my opinion of the or viewing 25

1 of the CCTV. No. So this isn't connected to the Snapchat, this is 2 Q. 3 two versions that you've identified from the statements? 4 Α. Yes, that's correct. And the first version is from Tomlinson, Smith and 5 Q. Nelson, which have Mr Bayoh on his front at 6 7 Hayfield Road with PC Walker lying over his upper body. PC Walker, the version that he had from his statement, 8 9 was that he was on his knees on the pavement, with his 10 upper body over the subject's right shoulder with him lying -- him being Mr Bayoh --11 12 A. On his left side. 13 Q. -- lying on his left side on the pavement. So you have 14 identified those from at the statements that you have 15 looked at. The next letter I would like to look at is (s) page 16 17 and I think you have also recognised here if we look (s) -- sorry, can we move further down. It is page 30 18 19 of the report. There we are. 20 "PC Walker also fell to the ground at this time, 21 dropping the baton he had taken from his colleague. He 22 states he ended up on his knees next to Mr Bayoh who was on his back." 23 24 So on this version here, the version appears to be PC Walker was on his knees again but next to Mr Bayoh on 25

- who was on his back on the pavement?
- 2 A. Yes, this --
- 3 Q. So no mention there of lying on the ground?
- 4 A. No this is prior to the movement. The other paragraph
- 5 you have seen is further on into the restraint. This is
- at the initial -- from memory, without going back a
- 7 little bit further, this is at the initial contact with
- 8 Mr Bayoh by the officers and PC Walker was one of the
- 9 first ones to engage with Mr Bayoh.
- 10 Q. Yes, I think in the original statement from PC Walker he
- 11 said Mr Bayoh had landed on his back --
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. -- on the pavement. And I wasn't able to see anything
- in your report which accorded with the letter of
- instruction which indicated the crown's interpretation
- of the Snapchat footage and that was stated in the
- 17 letter of instruction to be PC Walker lying lengthwise
- on top of Mr Bayoh during the restraint.
- 19 So that was their interpretation of that Snapchat.
- 20 Did you consider that as a possible factual scenario?
- 21 A. I would have taken my review of the CCTV and what I saw
- from it and I'm sure somewhere later on in the report
- 23 there's a description of the body position as I found to
- 24 be.
- 25 Q. Thank you. I think if we look now at (k) and (l), so if

1 we look at 31 and it must on to 32, so let's look at (k), which is at the very bottom of page 31: 2 3 "Taking into consideration the fact that all the 4 officers state he was struggling ... " 5 He being Mr Bayoh? 6 Α. Yes. 7 "... struggling violently against them and was trying to Q. get up, I would suggest that Mr Bayoh moved into a 8 9 number of positions and this probably included lying at 10 times on his front during this phase of the attempts to restrain him." 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 And was that your own interpretation of the statements Q. 14 that you had available? 15 Α. Not just the statements, but also my view of the CCTV. The CCTV? 16 Q. And my understanding and knowledge of having been 17 Α. involved in a number of incidents like this, the 18 19 movement of the subject in those sorts of situations is 20 quite -- is quite evident. 21 Q. And when you say the CCTV, we've had evidence about CCTV 22 footage but also from Snapchat footage? 23 Α. Yes. Was it both of those things you're referring to? 24 Q.

It was. The forensic collaboration, I'm not sure if

25

Α.

25

1 you've actually had that presented to you, they had done a superimposing where they'd put one set of footage on 2 3 top of the other and placed it at the same time, so you 4 had visions -- sort of multiple views or two or three 5 views of the same incident at the same time, but from different angles. 6 7 Q. We have all of that, as I understand it, and we have heard evidence from our own experts in relation to that? 8 Yes, and obviously what I could see was -- I might be 9 Α. 10 able to see something from one angle or one bit of footage, but couldn't see it from the other. 11 12 Q. Thank you. And then if we look at (1), which is on the 13 next page, 32, you say: 14 "I would also be very surprised that during this 15 time PC Walker was not at times lying across the upper body of Mr Bayoh and putting a degree of pressure onto 16 17 him. I do not think this could have been sustained or 18 prolonged pressure due to my comment in the next 19 paragraph and continued resistance of Mr Bayoh until 20 full restraint was achieved." 21 And the next paragraph is the one where you mention 22 the bench pressing --23 Α. Yes. Q. -- where Mr Bayoh sought to lift himself, "bench press 24

himself", off the ground. And you say in paragraph (n):

1 "In this position, the fact that PC Walker was on his back would not have placed any pressure on his chest 2 3 [Mr Bayoh's chest] if this was not on the ground, and 4 Mr Bayoh would have been able to breathe in this 5 position." You again don't specifically address the possibility 6 7 there of a factual scenario where Mr Bayoh was lying -let me just get the wording right -- lying lengthwise on 8 9 top of Mr Bayoh during the restraint. Did you --10 that's -- why didn't you cover that possibility? As I say, I would have -- my interpretation from that, 11 Α. 12 as I say, you have got to remember this was done six 13 years ago, my interpretation of the evidence at the 14 time, if I didn't see it, I wouldn't have commented on 15 it, it wasn't -- although it was in my instruction letter, it wasn't part of the specific question that was 16 17 asked of me, it was their interpretation and, as I said, 18 I would have made my deliberations based on my view of 19 the CCTV. Thank you. And then can we look at page 38 of your 20 Q. 21 report and this is the summary of your conclusions and I'm interested in section 8(f) which is near the bottom 22 of the page, and here you say: 23 "In relation to all the variations in body position 24 of Mr Bayoh and the officers, I would suggest this fits 25

1 with my explanation as to the fluidity of the control and restraint process and that there were indeed times 2 3 when Mr Bayoh was face down and the officers were at 4 times placing pressure on him to keep him on the ground. 5 However, I do not believe this was constant or prolonged." 6 7 And is that your own personal view of what you could see on the Snapchat footage or the --8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. -- materials you had? Yes, and obviously my expertise in that particular area. 11 Α. 12 Q. And is it fair to say, Mr Graves, that that was a 13 comment you made on what you thought the most likely 14 factual scenario was? 15 Yes, based on my review of the evidence, yes. Α. 16 Thank you. Do you remember the crown, in particular Q. 17 Ashley Edwards when she consulted with you, exploring 18 the possibility that they had in the letter of 19 instruction that PC Walker was lying lengthwise on 20 Mr Bayoh? 21 Α. I don't remember having that particular discussion. 22 I remember going over various factual points within my report and, as I say, being asked additional questions. 23 Thank you. Could I now look at the precognition that 24 Q. 25 was taken by Fiona Carnan and Alisdair MacLeod on

1 11 May 2018 and that's COPFS 00041 and I appreciate this 2 may not have been available to you? 3 Α. Yes. But I'll use it as a way of asking you some questions 4 Q. 5 about your recollection of events. Thank you. So if we can look first of all -- this was taken in Paisley, 6 7 11 May 2018, between 11 in the morning and 3.30 in the afternoon and I would like you to look at page 7. It's 8 9 15 pages long and I'm interested in page 7. And you'll 10 see towards the bottom of page 7, the second-last 11 paragraph: 12 "I am asked to watch the CCTV of the restraint 13 period including the Snapchat one." 14 Can you tell me what it was you were asked to watch? 15 Α. Sorry, where am I? Sorry, second last paragraph bottom of page 7: 16 Q. 17 "I am asked to watch the CCTV of the restraint period, including the Snapchat one." 18 19 So was that part of the precognition process that 20 you were asked to look at the videos? 21 Α. I may have viewed the video, but I had already had 22 access to them so I was just seeing them again. Do you remember if there was some sort of screen or 23 Q. otherwise set up for you to go through it? 24 I can't remember to be honest. I just remember the 25 Α.

1		meeting.
2	Q.	All right, thank you. Can we look at page 8, please,
3		and paragraph 3 starts "I am asked about". There we
4		are:
5		"I am asked about what can be seen on Snapchat one."
6		What was your understanding of what the crown were
7		asking you to do there?
8	Α.	If we've reviewed the video footage again, asking for my
9		opinion of the position of the officers. It continues
10		on there as I say, obviously, this is their notes of
11		our discussion:
12		"This looks to me like a standard position of team
13		restraint, which would have indicated an officer on each
14		arm, an officer at the head and an officer securing the
15		legs."
16	Q.	And it says there:
17		"It looks like one officer is lying across the legs.
18		From reading the statements, my impression was that this
19		was PC Tomlinson lying across the legs of Mr Bayoh."
20		And you mention the training manual. And you say:
21		"I would say PC Tomlinson, I believe, is lying
22		diagonally across the legs as shown in that picture."
23		Now, I'm not suggesting this is a transcript of the
24		words that you used, but just looking at the paragraph,
25		does that accord with your recollection of what was

1 being discussed at that time? 2 As I say, it was so long ago, I don't have a Α. 3 recollection, I didn't make any original notes, because 4 they were taking details of the conversation. I have no 5 way of challenging what's on their recollection of it. Is there anything there that make you think, no, I 6 Q. 7 definitely didn't say that? No, it would appear to me just me making a comment on 8 Α. 9 what I was being shown on the Snapchat video again. 10 Q. Was it -- from thinking back now, do you think that's reasonably accurate to say that is what you -- that was 11 12 your impression from the footage? 13 It would appear to be, yes. Α. 14 Yes. And then can we look at the next paragraph where Q. 15 it starts: "It looks like a bog standard restraint position [Do 16 17 you see] on the floor trying to get leg restraints on. In my view, the police officer lying diagonally across 18 19 legs corresponds with Tomlinson. I don't see anyone 20 lying across the upper torso." 21 Do you see that? 22 Α. Yes. Do you remember saying anything like that? 23 Q. As I've said, I have no recollection of the actual 24 Α. conversation as it was a four-hour discussion so I'm 25

afraid I can't help any further. 1 All right. So you don't -- it then says there: 2 Q. 3 "I'm sure PC Walker was at times lying over the torso of Mr Bayoh, but that's not evident in that 4 Snapchat." 5 6 Α. No. 7 That's not something you remember seeing? Q. No, but as I have said, I have commented on it in my 8 Α. 9 report so I have obviously seen it on one of the other 10 bits of CCTV footage where PC Walker was across the upper body. 11 12 Q. And then it says: 13 "I'm asked about asserting body weight as a method 14 of restraint. It's impossible to control someone on the 15 ground without using downward pressure. You need body weight. Even Paton using the baton was using his body 16 17 weight. I have no issue with the restraint process." 18 Α. Yes. 19 And if we could go down, you then say: Q. 20 "I'm asked if I ..." 21 -- or appear to have been discussing: "I'm asked if I have any issue with Walker using his 22 23 upper body weight as described. As I said, from the 24 accounts I read, there were times when PC Walker has been on top of Mr Bayoh but then Bayoh bench presses." 25

1		That certainly seems to accord with the comments in
2		the report. Maybe not exact language, but do you
3		remember talking about that aspect of your
4	Α.	What I remember from the meeting was it was a review of
5		the report and they were asking clarification questions
6		on a number of the sections that I put in the report.
7	Q.	Thank you. And then can we look at page 10. It's
8		paragraph 2, "I am asked about my comments" on page 10.
9		There we are:
LO		"I'm asked about my comment under the heading of
L1		'other witnesses' in my report. I have been asked about
12		two eyewitnesses whose evidence I have not referred to,
13		namely Ashley Wyse and Christopher Fenton."
L 4		We have heard from both of them in the Inquiry:
L5		"I would class these two witnesses' evidence as what
L 6		we call 'passing bus syndrome'."
L7		Do you remember having a discussion and using the
L8		phrase "passing bus syndrome"?
L 9	Α.	It's that or the "Clapham omnibus", as referred to on
20		the video. It's about somebody who drives past an
21		incident and sees the massive police officers
22		restraining somebody on the floor. They haven't seen
23		the predetermined actions prior to and they don't see
24		the bit after. They just see that snapshot of what's
25		going on.

- 1 Q. And they form a view of that? They form a few of that being excessive or irregular. 2 Α. And it would appear there was some sort of discussion 3 Q. 4 about that. You remember having that discussion? 5 As I say, as I remember going through the report in Α. 6 detail and spending four hours reviewing over everything 7 so, yes. 8 Q. And it says: 9 "Somebody has not seen what happened beforehand. 10 The police are trying to do what they're trained to do. Nobody was lying on top of him. I'm asked what I made 11 12 of her statement [that would be Ashley Wyse], in 13 particular about what she said about six police officers 14 lying crossed over Mr Bayoh. I think it was a case of 15 passing bus. She has watched for about ten seconds the Snapchat and then gone for a cup of tea." 16 17 So does that fairly reflect your views about 18 Ashley Wyse's statement? 19 As I say, I think it's a very, very small, we're talking Α. 20 about four minutes, restraint or attempted restraint 21 process and we have got that very small bit of Snapchat 22 and that's the view that that individual forms their
 - Q. And based on your experience of cases like this, is it fair to say you don't think much of that type of

23

24

25

opinion of based on the length of the incident in total.

evidence? 1 It has its place to be considered, but evidently-wise 2 Α. 3 and in the view of the actual restraint process, I don't 4 think it had a lot of weight or added anything to the 5 review. 6 Q. Right. And then if we look at the next paragraph on the 7 screen: "I'm also asked what I made of the evidence of 8 9 witness Fenton [That was Christopher Fenton] who 10 mentioned the words 'scrummage' or 'pile up'. I put him into the same category as Wyse, eg a 15-second snapshot. 11 12 He may have seen officers on top, but reasonable 13 officers on top of him. You can't restrain without 14 police on top at some point. It's unlikely to have been 15 constant. You can't restrain without using downward 16 pressure, but bench pressing means a person starts again 17 when he gets a breath." 18 And that was your understanding, is it, of the 19 position of Christopher Fenton? 20 A. Yes, that would have been an answer to a specific 21 question. Obviously, we haven't got the actual 22 questions I was being asked. Q. There's no questions and I appreciate it would have been 23 24 more of a discussion, but this document was prepared 25 later.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And we don't have -- this is the main document we have
- 3 to ask you questions about so I appreciate you've not
- 4 seen this before. But do you remember, looking at that
- 5 now, and I appreciate it wasn't prepared by you, it's
- 6 not been signed by you, but does it accord with your
- 7 recollection of the discussion that you had with the
- 8 precognoscers?
- 9 A. As I said, I remember the discussion being around the
- 10 content of my report and being asked various questions
- in relation to clarifying pieces of it and additional
- 12 questions which would appear from some of these
- additional questions around my views on the witnesses
- 14 and the evidence that I had been given.
- 15 Q. Thank you.
- 16 A. Without the actual question to contextualise it, I can't
- 17 really tell you any further.
- 18 Q. I'm really asking these questions primarily to focus on
- 19 the actions of the crown and the precognoscers, because
- 20 we've heard evidence from Fiona Carnan and
- 21 Ashley Edwards and it's, hopefully, helpful to the Chair
- 22 to also have your recollection of events. Can I ask do
- 23 you remember if either of the precognoscers during this
- 24 process said to you they didn't want you to dismiss any
- of the evidence or comment on any of the evidence, but

- 1 to assume certain factual positions were true?
- 2 A. Not from my recollection. As I said, I reviewed all of
- 3 the evidence and formed an opinion on how helpful it was
- 4 and that would appear to be me giving my opinion as to
- 5 how helpful that was in the review.
- 6 Q. Thank you. Do you remember as part of the precognition
- 7 process either of the precognoscers asking you to
- 8 express a view on the fact that PC Walker was lying
- 9 lengthwise on top of Mr Bayoh during the restraint?
- 10 A. No, I don't remember that. Unless it's going to pop up
- in this document, I don't remember being -- specifically
- 12 being asked that.
- Q. Well, I would like to take I have fairly reflected
- 14 comments that may have been akin to that. I think at
- one point -- we just looked at the second paragraph
- there and the comment noted by you is "nobody was lying
- on top of him", so it would suggest that perhaps that
- 18 wasn't discussed, but if you have a different
- 19 recollection, please tell me?
- 20 A. That specific question, I have no recollection of that
- 21 specific question, no.
- 22 Q. Thank you. And another factual -- possible factual
- 23 scenario is on the basis of what Christopher Fenton saw
- that there was some sort of pile up. We have heard
- 25 evidence about that being described or described as a

- 1 "scrummage" like a rugby scrum. Do you remember anyone
- at the precognition saying to you "assume that is true",
- 3 "assume that is what happened"?
- 4 A. No recollection of that, no.
- 5 Q. Okay. I would like to ask you now about the
- 6 consultation with Ashley Edwards and Les Brown and that
- 7 was the one that took place in London on 20 August 2018?
- 8 A. Scottish House, yes.
- 9 Q. At Scottish House. Now I know you won't have seen these
- 10 consults notes either, but we can have them on the
- 11 screen. COPFS 02337. Now, these are three and a half
- pages long and we have heard evidence about this from
- 13 Ashley Edwards. There is nothing in these notes that
- indicates that a factual scenario was discussed with you
- where PC Walker was lying lengthwise over Mr Bayoh. But
- do you have any recollection at all of that meeting with
- 17 the Allocated AD, Ashley Edwards and Les Brown, where
- 18 you were asked to express a view on that possible
- 19 factual scenario?
- 20 A. I don't have a good memory of that -- of the content of
- 21 the meeting. I just remember the meeting with the two
- individuals, couple of hours, possibly three I think.
- 23 Q. Right. Do you remember anything about --
- A. Not particularly, no.
- Q. -- discussing --

- A. I just remember being asked lots, again, additional
 questions, some questions similar to what I had been
 asked up in Paisley and then other questions and I think
 it was this -- from recollection, it was this meeting
 where the criminal prosecution was raised in relation to
- 6 why I was having a second meeting sort of soon after the
- 7 other one.
- 8 Q. The first one being the precognition from
 9 Fiona Carnan --
- 10 A. In May, yes.
- Q. -- and Alisdair MacLeod. Do you remember if as part of
 this consultation there was ever an opportunity taken by
 Ashley Edwards or Les Brown to set out the different
 factual hypotheses?
- 15 A. Not from memory, no.
- Q. Do you remember any discussions about exploring the limits of what a reasonable response would be from a hypothetical reasonable officer?
- 19 A. I have no recollection of it. As I say, if it's later
 20 on in this document, I will be happy to review it.
- Q. Do you remember any mention being made of a core crown theory or the crown case at its highest?
- A. No. As I said, I just remember it was either at this
 meeting or the one in Edinburgh the discussion around
 the possibility of criminal prosecution.

- Q. Do you remember any discussion about thinking about the most prejudicial factual scenario?
- 3 A. No.
- Q. I asked Ms Edwards in evidence about the speed at which
- 5 the officers elected to use force against Mr Bayoh and
- 6 whether she had painted for you a factual hypothesis and
- 7 asked you to consider that and say whether the
- 8 hypothetical reasonable officer would have elected to
- 9 use force within that timescale. Now, what
- 10 recollection, if any, do you have about discussing the
- speed at which force was elected to be used?
- 12 A. I don't remember discussing. I am not saying it didn't
- happen. I don't have any recollection of that
- 14 discussion. I remember commenting on it within my
- 15 report, my original report, in relation to the initial
- 16 contact with Mr Bayoh by the officers.
- 17 Q. I mean there's nothing about that in the notes --
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. -- that we have, but I was wondering if you had any
- 20 memory of that?
- 21 A. No, no recollection, no.
- Q. And do you remember Ms Edwards saying at this
- 23 consultation that she wished you not to express your own
- views on the factual position?
- 25 A. I don't remember that conversation, no.

- 1 Q. No.
- 2 A. At the end of the day, I'm designed to be an independent
- 3 opinion to assist the investigators and the panel to
- 4 make that informed decision.
- 5 Q. I don't want to give you the impression that
- 6 Ashley Edwards has told the Inquiry she did say that to
- you, because she said she didn't remember whether she
- 8 had asked you to avoid expressing your own views on the
- 9 facts and there's certainly no statement in the
- 10 consultation notes we have that would suggest she had,
- 11 so I was just simply asking if you remembered that?
- 12 A. I don't remember being asked that, no.
- Q. Do you remember Ms Edwards asking you not to express any
- views on medical matters?
- 15 A. No, don't remember that. I made clear -- I made clear
- in my report that I'm not a medical expert, but
- obviously that I have comment in relation to what is
- 18 known by the police in what we train officers in
- 19 regarding the medical conditions to pass that on and
- 20 what our understanding is of how it affects or is
- 21 affected within a restraint process.
- 22 Q. Thank you very much. Could you give me a moment,
- please, Mr Graves.
- 24 Mr Graves, thank you so much. I have no further
- 25 questions.

1	LORD BRACADALE: Are there any Rule 9 applications?
2	Mr Graves, thank you very much for coming back to give
3	further evidence to the Inquiry. I'm very conscious
4	that we've taken up quite a lot of your time in two
5	phases. The Inquiry is about to adjourn now and then
6	you'll be free to go.
7	THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
8	LORD BRACADALE: The Inquiry will adjourn until Tuesday at
9	ten o'clock.
10	(The hearing was adjourned to 10.00 am on Tuesday, 8 October
11	2024)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX
2	MARTIN GRAVES
3	(continued)
4	Examination-in-chief by MS
5	GRAHAME
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	